Version Number:

High Speed Intercity Passenger Rail (HSIPR) Program

Application Form

Track 1b-PE/NEPA



Date of Submission: 8-24-09

Welcome to the Track 1b – Preliminary Engineering (PE)/National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) Application for the Federal Railroad Administration's High Speed Intercity Passenger Rail (HSIPR) Program. Applicants for Track 1b-PE/NEPA are required to submit this Application Form and Supporting Materials (forms and documents) as outlined in Section G of this application as well as detailed in the HSIPR Guidance.

We appreciate your interest in the program and look forward to reviewing your application. If you have questions about the HSIPR program or this application, please contact us at HSIPR@dot.fra.gov.

Instructions:

- Please complete this document and provide any supporting documentation electronically.
- In the space provided at the top of each section, please indicate the project name, date of submission (mm/dd/yy) and the application version number. The distinct Track 1b project name should be less than 40 characters and follow the following format: State abbreviation-route or corridor name-project title (e.g., HI-Fast Corridor-Track Work IV).
- For each question, enter the appropriate information in the designated gray box. If a question is not applicable to your PE/NEPA Project, please indicate "N/A."
- Narrative questions should be answered concisely in the space provided.
- Applicants must upload this completed application form and any supporting documentation to www.GrantSolutions.gov by August 24, 2009 at 11:59pm EDT.
- Fiscal Year (FY) refers to the Federal Government's fiscal year (Oct. 1- Sept. 30).
- Please direct questions to: HSIPR@dot.gov

A. Point of Contact and Application Information

(1)	(1) Application Point of Contact (POC) Name: Rodney P. Massman		POC Title: Administrator of Railroads				
	Street Address: P.O. Box 270	City: Jefferson City	State: Missouri	Zip Code: 65102	Telephone Number: 573-751-7476		
	Fax: 573-526-4709		Email: Roo	lney.massman@m	nodot.mo.gov		
(2)	Name of lead State or organization	on applying: Missouri	Department o	f Transportation			
(3)	Name(s) of additional States and	or organizations appl	lying in this g	roup (if applicabl	(e): N/A		
(4)	2 ND RAIL BRIDGE OVER THE C	***THIS PROJECT W DSAGE RIVER IN WH GE AND THIS CROSS	AS FILED A ICH THE TO OVER. THE	S PART OF THE TAL COST WAS BRIDGE ITSELF	PREAPPLICATION FOR THE NOTED AT \$33 MILLION., REMAINS AS A STANDALONE		



Version Number:

If "Yes" or "Maybe" provide the following information:

Other Program/Project Name	Lead Applicant	Track	Total HSIPR Funding Requested (if known)	Status of Application
MO-KC to STL Corridor-2 nd Rail Bridge over Osage River	Missouri	Track 1a - FD/Construction	\$28.3 M	Applied
MO-KC to STL Corridor- Missouri Rail Crossing Safety Improvements	Missouri	Track 1a - FD/Construction	\$3.2 M	Applied
*MO-KC to STL Corridor- Webster Universal Crossover	Missouri	Track 1a - FD/Construction	\$4.4 M	Applied
MO-KC to STL Corridor-Knob Noster Passing Siding Extension	Missouri	Track 1b - PE/NEPA	\$8.5 M total, \$836,800 PE- NEPA	Applied
MO-KC to STL Corridor- Hermann Universal Crossover	Missouri	Track 1b - PE/NEPA	5.2 M total, \$712,500 PE- NEPA	Applied
MO-KC to STL Corridor-3 rd Mainline Track in Jeff City Yard	Missouri	Track 1b - PE/NEPA	\$9.7 M total, \$930,000 PE- NEPA	Applied
MO-KC to STL Corridor- Kingsville Passing Siding	Missouri	Track 1b - PE/NEPA	\$11.5M total, \$958,800 PE- NEPA	Applied
MO-KC to STL Corridor- Strasburg Grade Separation	Missouri	Track 1b - PE/NEPA	\$15 M total, \$1,700,000 PE-NEPA	Applied
MO-KC to STL Corridor-Double Track Lee's Summit to Pleasant Hill	Missouri	Track 1b - PE/NEPA	\$56.6 M total, \$1,418,800 PE- NEPA	Applied
MO-KC to STL Corridor-Real- Time Passenger Information Displays	Missouri	Track 1b - PE/NEPA	\$3 M total, \$750,000 PE-NEPA	Applied
MO-KC to STL Corridor-New Locomotive Equipment	Wisconsin -MO	Track 2	\$50 M total, undetermined PE-NEPA	Will Apply

^{*} This project would immediately <u>follow</u> the asterisk (*) highlighted project in priority order.



Project Name: MO-KC to STL Corridor-Bonnots Mill Universal Crossover

Version Number:

B. Project Overview

(1)	PE/NEPA Project Name: MO-KC to STL Corridor-Bonnots Mill Universal Crossover
(2)	Indicate the activity(ies) for which you are applying: ☐ Preliminary Engineering (PE) ☐ NEPA site-specific
(3)	What are the anticipated start and end dates for this PE/NEPA Project? (mm/yyyy) Start Date*Depending on programming, but latest is 02/2010 End Date: 02/2011

(4) PE/NEPA Project Narrative. Please limit response to 4,000 characters.

Describe the PE/NEPA activities that would be completed with HSIPR Track 1 funding through this application. Include the design studies and the resulting project documents for PE activities. For NEPA activities, address the technical and field studies that would be completed and documents that would be prepared, including:

- Project component studies
- PE/NEPA tasks / milestones
- Preparation of documents

Describe the agency and public involvement approach including key activities and objectives (including permitting actions). Address the coordination plan with affected railroads and right-of-way owners.

Provide an overview of the main features and characteristics of the FD/Construction Project, including:

- The location of the project including name of rail line(s), State(s), and relevant jurisdiction(s) (include map if available in supporting documentation).
- Identification of service(s) that would benefit from the project, the stations that would be served, and the State(s) where the service operates.
- How the project was identified through a planning process and how the project is consistent with an overall plan for developing High-Speed Rail/Intercity Passenger Rail service.
- How the project will fulfill a specific purpose and need in a cost-effective manner.
- The project's independent utility.
- The specific improvements contemplated.
- Any use of railroad assets or rights-of-way, and potential use of public lands and property.

This project would most likely require a categorical exclusion. The railroad has provided the project's construction cost estimate, and it is attached. Union Pacific Railroad has completed NEPA requirements satisfactorily on several other projects, including Shell Spur, which is currently under construction. Since this project is exclusively on UP right of way and does not require property acquisition, the environmental issues should be relatively straightforward and minimal. The *Missouri River Runner* is the service currently on the line.

Both Amtrak and freight will use the universal crossover. The crossover will help sort trains in the busy Jefferson City subdivision, which is double track. It is proposed to complement the Osage River bridge Track 1a project to give trains further options on the east side of the bridge. It will also help get passengers in the Jefferson City station for sorting trains and avoiding problems to cross the river with minimal interference from freight trains and get trains on the correct track to stop at the station. Due to the delays in this area, the area was identified as a route bottleneck by a University of Missouri's 2006 study.

This proposed project is located on the Union Pacific Railroad in Missouri along the *Missouri River Runner* route, which is the Amtrak-state supported service. There are 10 Amtrak stations along the route that include St. Louis, Kirkwood, Washington, Hermann, Jefferson City, Sedalia, Warrensburg, Lee's Summit, Independence and Kansas City. There is no commuter rail service on this line. The only freight use is by Union Pacific freight trains, which will also benefit from the shovel-ready project.



Project Name: MO-KC to STL Corridor-Bonnots Mill Universal Crossover

Version Number:

Date of Submission: 8-24-09

MoDOT understands that normal FHWA-approved methods of achieving environmental compliance are not sufficient to document these FRA methods. MoDOT plans to achieve environmental compliance with FRA's permission through procedures similar to the following.

Categorical Exclusions (CE)

MoDOT steps:

- Project screening by Environmental Specialist to determine project's impact includes literary research, contacting agencies and field reconnaissance
- Document findings, prepare cover letter and submit for federal review and approval

(If the project has minimal impacts, it could qualify for a Programmatic CE, which allows MoDOT to approve certain projects as CE's without FHWA concurrence and exempts 21 types of projects from formal NEPA documentation.)

Environmental Assessment

MoDOT steps:

- Identify project's purpose and need, and alternates being considered
- Early consultation, coordination with agencies with jurisdiction by law or with special expertise to specific resources
- Draft document development
- Hold public hearing
- Agency and internal review of draft document
- Identification of preferred alternate
- Final document development
- Public, agency and internal review of final document
- Letter to federal agency to accompany FONSI that states any changes to preferred alternate
- Develop Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)
- Federal approval with a signed FONSI
- (5) **Status of Activities:** In the following table, please indicate the status of planning studies/documentation supporting your planned investment. Indicate the status and key dates for each applicable activity as noted in Appendix 2 of the HSIPR Guidance.

Select One of the Following:

	Select One of the Following:			wing.	Frovide Dates for all activities:		
	N/A	No study exists	Study Initiated	Study Completed	Actual or Anticipated Initiation Date (mm/yyyy)	Actual or Anticipated Completion Date (mm/yyyy)	
Activities/Documents							
Environmental Studies							
Final NEPA Document (Categorical Exclusion (CE) documentation, Environmental Assessment (EA), or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS))					02/01/10	02/01/11	
Historic and Cultural Resource Studies	\boxtimes						
Biological Surveys and Assessment		\boxtimes			02/01/10	02/01/11	
Wetlands Delineation and Hydrology Studies	\boxtimes						



Provide Dates for all activities:

Project Name: MO-KC to STL Corridor-Bonnots Mill Universal Crossover

Version Number:

Community Impact Assessment	\boxtimes				
Traffic Impact Studies	\boxtimes				
Air Emission Studies	\boxtimes				
Noise and Vibration Studies	\boxtimes				
Preliminary Engineering					
Capital Cost Estimates			\boxtimes	N/A	7/1/09
Travel Demand Forecasting		\boxtimes		02/01/10	02/01/11
Operations Analysis		\boxtimes		02/01/10	02/01/11
Operations & Maintenance Cost Estimates		\boxtimes		02/01/10	02/01/11
System Safety Program Plan and Collision/derailment Hazard Analysis		\boxtimes		02/01/10	02/01/11
Engineering Studies - specify in space below:	\boxtimes				
Design Drawings		\boxtimes		02/01/10	02/01/11
Project Management Plan				02/01/10	02/01/11
Other: N/A					

(6) Planned Investment. *Please limit response to 4,000 characters.*

Provide an overview of the main features of the planned investment that is the subject of the PE/NEPA Project including a brief description of:

- The location of the planned investment, including name of rail line(s), State(s), and relevant jurisdiction(s) (upload map if applicable).
- Identification of existing service(s) that would benefit from the project, the cities/stations that would be served, and the state(s) where the service operates.
- How the planned investment was identified through a planning process and how it is consistent with an overall plan for developing High-Speed Rail/Intercity Passenger Rail service.
- How the project will fulfill a specific purpose and need in a cost-effective manner.
- The existing and planned intercity passenger rail service(s).
- The project's independent utility.
- The specific improvements contemplated.
- Any use of railroad assets or rights-of-way, and potential use of public lands and property.
- Other rail services, such as commuter rail and freight rail that will make use of, or otherwise be affected by, the planned investment.





Track 1b - PE/NEPA OMB No. 2130-0583 Date of Submission: 8-24-09

Project Name: MO-KC to STL Corridor-Bonnots Mill Universal Crossover

Version Number:

This project will improve on-time performance along the entire Union Pacific corridor in Missouri between St. Louis and Kansas City and will enhance the future provision of 90- to 110-mph service. This project will construct a universal crossover at Bonnots Mill and will allow trains to cross from track to track in either direction. Because this is in an area immediately next to the Osage River bridge Track 1a project, it will allow better access to the bridge and enhance passenger comfort because the train will not have to stop outside of Jefferson city prior to arrival. Description of Work: On Jefferson City Subdivision, MP 113.3 near Bonnots Mill, Osage County, Missouri, install No.

20 universal PO crossover at 15' track centers to complement addition of new Osage bridge double track project as noted in 1-a application.

This project will most greatly impact the current bottlenecks in the area; however, it will have an even greater impact on the route's future. Sorting the trains through the area will allow the UP to route trains over second tracks as problems occur. It also gives options early in the process when dispatchers are faced with difficulties in routing faster Amtrak

trains around or behind freight trains so that problems are identified quickly and routed correctly.								
(7) Indicate the expected service objectives (check all that app	oly):							
☐ Additional Service Frequencies ☐ Service Quality Improvements ☐ Other (Please Describe):	 ∑ Improved On-Time performance on Existing Route ∑ Increased Average Speeds/Shorter Trip Times 							
(8) Indicate the type of expected capital investments to be inc	cluded in the planned investment (check all that apply):							
☐ Structures (bridges, tunnels, etc.) ☐ Track Rehabilitation ☐ Major Interlockings ☐ Station(s) ☐ Communication, Signaling and Control ☐ Rolling Stock Refurbishments	 □ Rolling Stock Acquisition □ Support Facilities (Yards, Shops, Admin. Buildings) □ Grade Crossing Improvements □ Electric Traction □ Other (Please Describe): Track – New Construction 							
(9) Total Cost of PE/NEPA Project: (Year of Expenditure (Year)	OE) Dollars*) \$764,000.00							
Of this amount, how much would come from the FRA HS Indicate the percentage of total cost to be covered by matchin * Year-of-Expenditure (YOE) dollars are inflated from the base year. Appli applicable) in the supporting documentation ** This is the amount for which the applicant is applying.								
(10) Right-of-Way Owner(s): Provide the status of agreements If appropriate, "owner(s)" may also include operator(s) unde <i>If more than two railroads, please detail in "Additional Information of the control of the </i>	r track age rights or lease agreements.							
Railroad owner 1 (Name):	Union Pacific Railroad							
Status of railroad owner 1 (Click on the appropriate option from the dropdown menu shaded in gray):	Preliminary executed agreement/MOU							
Railroad owner 2 (Name):	N/A							
Status of railroad owner 2 (Click on the appropriate option from the dropdown menu shaded in gray):	Master Agreement in place							



Project Name: MO-KC to STL Corridor-Bonnots Mill Universal Crossover

Version Number:

(11) Intercity Passenger Rail Operator: If applicable, provide the status of agreement(s) with partner(s) that will operate the benefiting planned High-Speed Rail/Intercity Passenger Rail services after completion of the planned investment (e.g., Amtrak). Click on the appropriate option from the dropdown menu shaded in gray:

Name of Operating Partner: Amtrak

Status of Agreement: Final executed on project scope/outcomes

(12) Benefits to Other Types of Rail Service: If benefits to non-intercity passenger rail services are foreseen from the planned investment, please briefly describe those agreements and provide details on their status if applicable. *Please limit response to* 1,000 characters.

This project has many benefits. The *Missouri River Runner* Amtrak service has 4 trains per day connecting to large metro areas. There is no commuter rail service. This is a busy freight corridor -- one of Union Pacific's major coal and intermodal corridors that ranges from 30-56 freight trains per day. This universal crossover will be used extensively by freight trains and will greatly help sort out trains heading in or out of the St. Louis area and the Jefferson City yard.

The Track 1a project to construct a second bridge over the Osage River and provide the double track will be a great benefit to the area also. The area has an access need for maintenance and to switch trains from one track to another to anticipate and resolve problems. The new crossover should also decrease blocked crossings in the area as trains that formerly were stopped and blocking crossings while waiting to move to other tracks will now move to the other tracks and avoid stopping altogether.





Project Name: MO-KC to STL Corridor-Bonnots Mill Universal Crossover

Version Number:

C. Eligibility Information

Select applicant type, as defined in Appendix 1.1 of the HSIPR Guidance (<i>check the appropriate box from the list</i>): ⊠State
Amtrak
If one of the following, please append appropriate documentation as described in Section 4.3.1 of the HSIPR Guidance:
Group of States
Interstate Compact
Public Agency established by one or more States
Amtrak in cooperation with one or more States

D.Public Return on Investment

(1) Transportation Project Benefits. Please limit response to 2,000 characters.

Describe the transportation benefits that are anticipated to result from the planned investment for which you are conducting PE/NEPA, including the extent to which the planned investment may be expected to:

- Lead to benefits for Intercity Passenger Rail including travel time reductions, increased frequencies, and enhanced service quality
- Address safety issues
- Address intercity passenger rail reliability issues
- Be integrated and complementary to the relevant comprehensive planning process (23 U.S.C. 135)
- Provide benefits to other modes of transportation, including benefits to Commuter Rail Services, Freight Rail Service, and Highway and Air Congestion Reduction and Delay or Avoidance of Planned Investments

This project will complement and complete the second Osage River bridge Track 1a project by sorting train traffic correctly across the bridge. This area was identified in the University of Missouri's 2006 capacity study as one of the large bottlenecks on the current Amtrak route. The area between Hermann and Jefferson City was one of the route's higher delay points at about 13 percent of total delays.

This project will be similar in benefits to the other two crossovers being developed for this section of the line near Webster Groves and Hermann. As with the other crossovers, this crossover will provide an additional place to pass trains easily and allow freight trains to take the nearest siding instead of Amtrak. The overall benefits of greater on-time performance and reliability will be further served by this crossover, which results in a higher quality of service.

Safety is also an important consideration. This area was chosen for this project because there are few crossings. Since this project is in a rural setting, the two tracks would not be in a crossing. The project will also benefit the freight line. It will have an immediate impact in terms of being able to sort trains in and out of Jefferson City. It will also benefit the sorting of both east- and west-bound Amtrak trains moving through this busy area.

(2) Environmental Project Benefits Narrative. Please limit response to 1,000 characters.

Describe the intended contribution of the planned investment for which you are conducting PE/NEPA towards improved environmental quality, energy efficiency and reduction in the dependence on oil.

Allowing MoDOT to pursue the PE/NEPA study for the Bonnots Mill universal crossover will confirm that freight and passenger rail travel improves the environment, provides energy-efficient transportation, increases passenger/freight rail fluidity and reduces oil dependency. The project positively affects passenger and freight rail travel by strengthening the Missouri corridor, increasing ontime performance and providing growth opportunities for additional freight and passenger trains, while offering many environmental benefits to the state.





Project Name: MO-KC to STL Corridor-Bonnots Mill Universal Crossover

Version Number:

- Each ton-mile of freight moved by rail reduces greenhouse gas emissions by 2/3, compared to truck transportation.
- Freight trains are almost 4 times more fuel-efficient than trucks and have less impact on greenhouse gas emissions.
- Rail travel generates less carbon dioxide and consumes less energy per passenger mile than cars or planes.
- Amtrak has committed to a 6% reduction in carbon dioxide emissions by volunteering to meet reduction targets.

(3) Livable Communities Project Benefits Narrative. Please limit response to 3,000 characters.

Describe the anticipated benefits of the planned investment for which you are conducting PE/NEPA for fostering and promoting Livable Communities, and include information on the following:

- Integration with existing high density, livable development (including relevant details on livable development (e.g., central business districts with walking and public transportation distribution networks with transit oriented development)).
- Development of intermodal stations with direct transfers to other transportation modes (both intercity passenger transport and local transit).

One of the project's goals is to improve dependability and speed of Amtrak service between St. Louis and Kansas City. This service connects 10 diverse communities including Missouri's two largest major metropolitan areas, the state capital and several popular historic towns. Improving the service will synergistically support the existing transportation systems providing intermodal access to an abundance of work- and tourist-related locations within these 10 communities. The Gateway Transportation Center in downtown St. Louis combines access from Amtrak to the local transit systems (light rail and bus), taxis and intercity buses.

In Hermann, Sedalia and Jefferson City, passengers can access the Katy Trail State Park, which is Missouri's most popular hiking/biking facility and the nation's longest rails-to-trails conversion. Amtrak and Missouri partnered to provide specific accommodation for bicycles on board the trains in response to passengers' desiring to take bikes along for trail rides. Also in Sedalia, the OATS transit system shares the building with the Amtrak station.

In Warrensburg, home of the University of Central Missouri, the local bus system includes the Amtrak station along with 14 other regular stops. In Kansas City, the Amtrak station is located at Union Station, which is a local bus transfer facility offering access to the metropolitan area.

In addition to these locations with interconnectability to other transportation facilities, six of the Amtrak stations provide direct access to historic downtown business areas with stores, restaurants, wineries and lodging within walking distance. Clearly the expected improvements to Amtrak service will foster positive enhancement to livable communities.

(4) **Economic Recovery Benefits.** Please limit response to 2,000 characters.

Estimate the benefit that the PE/NEPA Project and the planned investment for which you are conducting PE/NEPA will make towards economic recovery and reinvestment, including information on the following:

- How both the PE/NEPA Project and the planned investment will result in the creation and preservation of jobs (including number of onsite and other direct jobs (on a 2080 work-hour per year, full-time equivalent basis).
 Include a timeline for the anticipated job creation; specifying which jobs would be created for the PE/NEPA studies and an estimate for the planned investment (consider the construction period and operating period).
- How the project represents an investment that will generate long-term economic benefits (including the timeline for achieving economic benefits) and describe, if applicable, how the project was identified as a solution to a wider economic challenge.
- If applicable, how the project will help to avoid reductions in State-provided essential services.

The *High-Speed Intercity Rail Plan's* goal is to reduce delay time for both passenger and freight trains by adding additional rail sidings and enhancing existing rail infrastructure. The project would span the distance between Kansas City and St. Louis. The first phase involves three corridor improvement projects with a combined investment of \$36 million. Additional projects along the corridor would complete phase two with a combined investment of \$115 million. The total investment for the Missouri plan is estimated at \$151 million.





Project Name: MO-KC to STL Corridor-Bonnots Mill Universal Crossover

Version Number:

The Bonnots Mill universal crossover project would compliment the second rail bridge over the Osage River and would increase passenger/freight rail fluidity and maintenance flexibility. The total project investment is \$5.6 million. The following information from the Missouri Department of Economic Development's Missouri Economic Research and Information Center (MERIC) address the economic recovery and reinvestment benefits.

Statewide Impact of Bonnots Mill Universal Crossover Project

The actual impact of this project is in addition to those created by the Osage Bridge Track 1a project, of which this will complement as it is essentially in the same area and will create related benefits. During the next three years, every dollar of project investment returns (benefit-cost ratio):

0.03: 1.00 in new net general revenues totaling \$0.149 million,

0.53: 1.00 in new personal income totaling \$2.735 million,

0.76: 1.00 in new value-added (GSP) totaling \$3.946 million, and

1.30: 1.00 in new economic activity (output) totaling \$6.754 million.

On average each year, the project creates:

23 new jobs annually (16 direct/ 7 indirect) paying an average wage of \$32,827 per job,

\$ 0.05 million in new net general revenues annually,

\$ 0.91 million in new personal income annually,

\$ 1.32 million in new value-added to the economy annually, and

\$ 2.25 million annually in new economic activity.

(See the attached MERIC report.)





Version Number:

E. Project Success Factors

(1) Project Management Approach and Applicant Qualifications. Please limit response to 3,000 characters.

Describe qualifications of the applicant and its key partners for undertaking the PE/NEPA Project, include the following information:

- Management Experience provide relevant information on experience in managing rail programs and planning
 activities of a similar size and scope to the one proposed in this application. Provide an organizational chart (or
 equivalent) that outlines the roles played by key project team members in completing activities as well as
 information on the role of contract support, engineering support and program management.
- Financial Management Capacity and Capability– provide relevant information on capability to absorb potential planning project cost overruns.
- Risk Assessment provide a preliminary assessment of uncertainties within the planning process and possible mitigation strategies (consider grantee risk, funding risk, schedule risk and stakeholder risk).

The applicant previously secured a grant from the Federal Railroad Administration, Intercity Passenger Rail Program, Grant No. 6048 of \$3,292,684, to construct a new siding at Shell Spur on the same Union Pacific-Amtrak corridor of this project. The award was made Sept. 30, 2008, and construction began May 29, 2009. Work is on going and will be complete by Dec. 31, 2009. The award was matched to a \$5 million state appropriation. An MOU and a later multifaceted agreement were signed in 2009 with the Union Pacific Railroad to facilitate the project. A grant agreement was also signed with the FRA.

Both application and the current grant oversight are efforts on behalf of many areas of expertise in the Missouri Department of Transportation. These areas include but are not limited to environmental, design, controller's office, transportation planning, governmental relations and multimodal operations. The key stakeholder/project driver in MoDOT is the railroad section. Each of these units also interfaces with Union Pacific and the actual contractor as well in order to solve problems and expedite solutions.

The project is somewhat similar to the Shell Spur project and another of the Track 1b projects -- the Knob Noster siding extension, which was designed using part of the monies from the same Shell Spur grant. The third mainline construction is expected to be similar to the Shell Spur siding. MoDOT has been extensively involved in all areas of the Shell siding project including design, prebid process and daily updates with the contractor.

(2) **Funding Sources:** In the following table, please provide the requested information about your funding sources (*if applicable*)





Project Name: MO-KC to STL Corridor-Bonnots Mill Universal Crossover

Version Number:

Non FRA Funding Sources	New or Existing Funding Source?	Status of Funding ¹	Type of Funds	Dollar Amount (YOE \$)	% of Total Project Cost	Describe any uploaded supporting documentation to help FRA verify funding source
Union Pacific	New	Committed	Cost share	153,000	20	MOU attached

(3) **Project Implementation Narrative.** Please limit response to 1,000 characters.

Provide a preliminary self-assessment of PE/NEPA Project uncertainties and mitigation strategies (consider grantee risk, funding risk, schedule risk and stakeholder risk). Describe any areas in which you could use technical assistance, best practices, advice or support from others, including FRA.

There is no known funding risk if approved per the cost-sharing terms with Union Pacific per the MOU. The project can be completed in a 2-year construction timeframe, so barring extreme unforseen 'acts of God,' such as earthquakes, tornados, floods or fires, there are no schedule risks. Amtrak has shown no propensity to discontinue service as long as there is state financial support, which has been in place for more than 30 years. Many communities have invested substantial funds in their train stations and have a vested interest in ensuring the route's success, so there is no substantial risk of cities discontinuing support of their station stops.

If this application is approved, MoDOT will appreciate an expedited completion of the grant agreement, so the project can be quickly started. MoDOT will require minimal technical assistance similar to the FRA assistance requested during the successful implementation of the application for an intercity passenger rail grant in 2008.

(4) **Timeliness of Project Completion.** *Please limit response to 1,000 characters.*

Describe the extent to which the PE/NEPA Project will lead to future project and/or Service Development Program applications for Tracks 1 FD/Construction and Track 2 Programs.

All projects MoDOT is applying for under Track 1b for PE/NEPA are on schedule. When PE and NEPA are completed, the projects can be moved to Track 1A-FD/Construction at the next available funding cycle. Each of the projects has been estimated in terms of projected costs and are refinanced in one or both of the following: (1) the University of Missouri Engineering School's detailed capacity analysis of the line and its subsequent updates, and (2) the memorandum of understanding signed between MoDOT and Union Pacific – a result of MoDOT's efforts to pursue projects for funding along the present UP corridor for its state-supported trains and in conjunction therewith to secure minimum levels of performance. Future SDP's will build on the work developed thus far by MWRRI of which Missouri has been a member for more than 15 years.

¹ <u>Reference Notes:</u> The following categories and definitions are applied to funding sources:

Planned: This category is for funds that are identified and have a reasonable chance of being committed, but are neither committed nor budgeted. Examples include proposed sources that require a scheduled referendum, requests for state/local capital grants, and proposed debt financing that has not yet been adopted in the agency's CIP.





Committed: Committed sources are programmed capital funds that have all the necessary approvals (e.g. legislative referendum) to be used to fund the proposed project without any additional action. These capital funds have been formally programmed in the State Rail Plan and/or any related local, regional, or state Capital Investment Program (CIP) or appropriation. Examples include dedicated or approved tax revenues, state capital grants that have been approved by all required legislative bodies, cash reserves that have been dedicated to the proposed project, and additional debt capacity that requires no further approvals and has been dedicated by the sponsoring agency to the proposed project.

Budgeted: This category is for funds that have been budgeted and/or programmed for use on the proposed project but remain uncommitted, i.e., the funds have not yet received statutory approval. Examples include debt financing in an agency-adopted CIP that has yet to be committed in their near future. Funds will be classified as budgeted where available funding cannot be committed until the grant is executed, or due to the local practices outside of the project sponsor's control (e.g., the project development schedule extends beyond the State Rail Program period).

Project Name: MO-KC to STL Corridor-Bonnots Mill Universal Crossover

Version Number:

F. Additional Information

(1) Please provide any additional information, comments, or clarifications and indicate the section and question number that you are addressing (e.g., Section D, Question 3). *This section is optional.*

The Bonnots Mill crossover is a complement to the existing Track 1a project at Osage River bridge. It will help sort the trains across the bridge when the bridge is in place. It will also enhance the new lights and gates project that is part of the Track 1a MO-Missouri Rail Safety crossing projects, which will be on the other side of the bridge from the crossover location.





Version Number:

G.Summary of Application Materials

Program Forms	Required	Optional	Reference	Description	Format
	✓		HSIPR Guidance Section 4.3.3.3	This document to be submitted through <i>GrantSolutions</i> .	Form
Supporting Documentation	Required	Optional	Reference	Description	Format
		√	Application Question B.6	Map of the Planned Investment location. Please upload into <i>GrantSolutions</i> .	None
Standard Forms	Required	Optional	Reference	Description	Format
SF 424: Application for Federal Assistance	√		HSIPR Guidance Section 4.3.3.3	Please submit through GrantSolutions	Form
SF 424A: Budget Information-Non Construction	√		HSIPR Guidance Section 4.3.3.3	Please submit through GrantSolutions	Form
SF 424B: Assurances- Non Construction	✓		HSIPR Guidance Section 4.3.3.3	Please submit through GrantSolutions	Form
FRA Assurances Document	√		HSIPR Guidance Section 4.3.3.3	May be obtained from FRA's website at http://www.fra.dot.gov/downloads/admin/a ssurancesandcertifications.pdf. The document should be signed by an authorized certifying official for the applicant. Submit through <i>GrantSolutions</i> .	Form

PRA Public Protection Statement: Public reporting burden for this information collection is estimated to average 32 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, a federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is **2130-0583**.

