


Missouri Department of Transportation
Roberta L. Broeker, Interim Director

573.751.2551
Fax: 573.751.6555
1.888.ASK MODOT (275.6636)

ADDENDUM 001 Request for Proposal Economic Impact Analysis Services RFP 6-151026TV

Offerors should acknowledge receipt of Addendum 001 (ONE) by signing and including it with the original proposal. The due date for receipt of proposal has not changed by this Addendum. Accordingly, the following clarifications, and or additional information, are believed to be of general interest to all potential Offerors. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged and in full force.

Name and Title of Signer (Print or type)	Name and Title of Department Authority Tom Veasman General Services Specialist
Offeror Signature <hr/> (Signature of person authorized to sign)	Department of Transportation  <hr/> (Authorizing Signature)
Date Signed:	Date Signed: 10/14/2015

1. **VENDOR QUESTION:** Given that the Request for Proposals (RFP) is for "as needed" services – on what basis should the hours be presented? For example, the hours needed for a TIGER application could be significantly different depending on the number of modes involved; the other models and data available; the size of the project; etc. One respondent assuming a very simple project would have a much lower labor estimate than another assuming a more complex project. What assumptions are appropriate?

ANSWER: For evaluation purposes, the Offeror is to complete **Section 6: Revised Pricing Pages** (attached) with an estimated cost of completing an Economic Impact Analysis (EIA) on the Missouri Department of Transportation's Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). Attachment 1 of the RFP is the summary of latest EIA conducted on the STIP. The Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT) wants the Offeror to complete the revised pricing pages based upon them completing an EIA on the STIP and providing a similar type of summary of their analysis and results.

For each staff member anticipated to be used on the EIA of the STIP, please list their title, estimated hours to be worked on the EIA and a maximum hourly rate. In addition, please list specific licensing fees, out-of-pocket costs and any other costs in which the Offeror wants to be reimbursed by MoDOT at the completion of the EIA of the STIP.

Here is a link to MoDOT's FY 2016 – 2020 STIP:

http://www.modot.org/plansandprojects/construction_program/STIP2016-2020/index.htm

MoDOT is very aware that the time spent on EIAs for other types of projects can vary greatly. Prior to MoDOT requesting the successful Offeror to conduct an EIA on a project, MoDOT will set-up a conference call with the successful Offeror to discuss the request for the specific EIA. After the discussions, MoDOT will require the successful Offeror to provide an estimate of the total costs by each item listed on the pricing pages for that specific EIA. After MoDOT reviews the estimate, MoDOT will notify the successful Offeror as to whether they want to proceed with the specific EIA or not.

2. **VENDOR QUESTION:** Can we provide prices by year based on our proposed pricing schedule (e.g., current prices, prices for July 2016 – June 2017 and July 2017 – June 2018 and July 2018 – December 2018), with annual escalation factors after that?

ANSWER: MoDOT has revised **Section 6: Pricing Pages** of the RFP to allow for annual pricing escalations in years two and three of the contract, if so desired. Offer should be submitted using the attached **Section 6: Revised Pricing Pages**.

3. **VENDOR QUESTION:** For the STIP task, is MoDOT's intention to continue using a subscription-based transportation economic impact model (TREDIS, REMI, etc.), or is the department interested in considering alternatives to such models?

ANSWER: Section 2 (B) of the RFP states "The successful Offeror should utilize a nationally recognized economic modeling system . . . to produce the EIA of the project for both the investment phase (construction and engineering) and the operational phase of the project for at least twenty (20) years . . ." MoDOT is always willing to consider alternative methods, but MoDOT would require the alternative method to be "nationally recognized" as an acceptable method for producing EIA results. If an alternative method is proposed, please provide supporting documentation as to the professional acceptance within the EIA industry standards.

**SECTION 6:
REVISED PRICING PAGES**

- (A) **FEE SCHEDULE:** The Offeror shall indicate below all fees for providing services in accordance with the provisions and requirements stated herein:

MoDOT will pay hourly labor rates per each identified labor category and any required out of pocket expenses necessary to complete an EIA. After MoDOT has reviewed the cost estimate for the EIA, a Notice to Proceed will be issued. For the purpose of this RFP, please complete the tables below with an estimated cost of completing an EIA on the STIP (See Attachment 1 as an example of the latest EIA). Offerors must present each title and hourly rate per staff member utilized in the completion of an EIA. If more space is required, additional sheets may be used and must be marked **Section (6), Revised Pricing Pages**.

TITLE	ESTIMATED HOURS	HOURLY RATE for 2016	HOURLY RATE for 2017	HOURLY RATE for 2018
		\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$

- (B) **EXPENSES:** List the nature of expenses for which reimbursement would be sought and the estimated amount of such expenses. The MHTC and MoDOT do not own nor have any agreements with any other firm for the use of REMI Policy Insight, TranSight and/or TREDIS economic modeling systems required to produce the Economic Impact Analysis (EIA) identified in the RFP. Offeror's are responsible for any costs associated with the use of these tools in the performance of this RFP. If more space is required, additional sheets may be used and must be marked **Section (6), Revised Pricing Pages**.

DESCRIPTION	TYPICAL COST for 2016	TYPICAL COST for 2017	TYPICAL COST for 2018
	\$	\$	\$
	\$	\$	\$
	\$	\$	\$
	\$	\$	\$
	\$	\$	\$
	\$	\$	\$
	\$	\$	\$
	\$	\$	\$

REVISED PRICING PAGES CONTINUED

(C) MAXIMUM PERCENTAGE INCREASE/DECREASE: Provide the maximum percentage increase or decrease to be applied to the Hourly Rates and Typical Costs in effect at the time of renewal.

First Renewal _____ % of maximum increase and/or

_____ % of minimum decrease

Second Renewal _____ % of maximum increase and/or

_____ % of minimum decrease

Company Name

Authorized Signature

Date