Greetings from MoDOT

The Missouri Department of Transportation is committed to full transparency and accountability in its business of preserving, managing and developing our transportation system. It’s our belief that you have a right to see how we are performing and we want you to know what we are doing well and where we need to improve. For over five years, the Tracker has been one way that Missourian’s can hold us accountable for delivering the most efficient and practical transportation services possible.

Today, perhaps more than ever, Missouri depends on a safe and strong transportation system for the commerce and mobility to support economic stability and job growth. You have high expectations of us and we want to exceed those expectations. You expect us to keep the good roads maintained and safe and to fix bad roads and bridges. Most importantly, you expect us to get the absolute best value out of every tax dollar we spend.

We share your expectations and have built the Tracker around 18 Tangible Results. These results are outcomes that you expect to see and they guide us in making decisions every day. The performance measures in the Tracker are designed to help us focus on the progress we are making to achieve these results.

The Tracker is published quarterly to ensure accountability and to allow you to see how we are measuring up. It is available in a printed format and on our website at www.modot.org. We encourage you to look it over and let us know how we are doing.

Sincerely,

Kevin Keith, Interim Director
Missouri Department of Transportation

Mission

Our mission is to provide a world-class transportation experience that delights our customers and promotes a prosperous Missouri.
Tangible Results

- Uninterrupted Traffic Flow
- Smooth and Unrestricted Roads and Bridges
- Safe Transportation System
- Roadway Visibility
- Personal, Fast, Courteous and Understandable Response to Customer Requests (Inbound)
- Partner With Others to Deliver Transportation Services
- Leverage Transportation to Advance Economic Development
- Innovative Transportation Solutions
- Fast Projects That Are of Great Value
- Environmentally Responsible
- Efficient Movement of Goods
- Easily Accessible Modal Choices
- Customer Involvement in Transportation Decision-Making
- Convenient, Clean and Safe Roadside Accommodations
- Best Value for Every Dollar Spent
- Attractive Roadsides
- Advocate for Transportation Issues
- Accurate, Timely, Understandable and Proactive Transportation Information (Outbound)

Value Statements

MoDOT will -

- support and develop employees because we believe they are the key to our success.
- be flexible because we believe one size does not fit all.
- honor our commitments because we believe in integrity.
- encourage risk and accept failure because we believe in getting better.
- be responsive and courteous because we believe in delighting our customers.
- empower employees because we trust them to make timely and innovative decisions.
- not compromise safety because we believe in the well-being of employees and customers.
- provide the best value for every dollar spent because we’re taxpayers too.
- value diversity because we believe in the power of our differences.
- be one team because we all share the same mission.
- use teamwork because it produces the best results.
- foster an enjoyable workplace because we care about each other and our mission.
- be open and honest because we must be trustworthy.
- listen and seek to understand because we value everyone’s opinion.
- treat everyone with respect because we value their dignity.
- seek out and welcome any idea that increases our options because we don’t have all the answers.
- always strive to do our job better, faster, and cheaper because we want to meet more of Missouri’s needs.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Uninterrupted Traffic Flow – Don Hillis (Page 1)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average travel indices and speeds on selected freeway sections</td>
<td>Troy Pinkerton</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average rate of travel on selected signalized routes</td>
<td>Julie Stotlemeyer</td>
<td>1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average time to clear traffic incident</td>
<td>Rick Bennett</td>
<td>1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average time to clear traffic backup from incident</td>
<td>Rick Bennett</td>
<td>1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of customers assisted by the Motorist Assist program</td>
<td>Rick Bennett</td>
<td>1e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Motorist Assist customers who are satisfied with the service</td>
<td>Rick Bennett</td>
<td>1f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of work zones meeting expectations for traffic flow</td>
<td>Dan Smith</td>
<td>1g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to meet winter storm event performance objectives on major and minor highways</td>
<td>Tim Jackson</td>
<td>1h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smooth and Unrestricted Roads and Bridges – Kevin Keith (Page 2)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projects that contribute to the Better Roads, Brighter Future program goal</td>
<td>Jay Bledsoe</td>
<td>2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of major highways that are in good condition</td>
<td>Jay Bledsoe</td>
<td>2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of minor highways that are in good condition</td>
<td>Jay Bledsoe</td>
<td>2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of vehicle miles traveled on major highways in good condition</td>
<td>Jay Bledsoe</td>
<td>2d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of deficient bridges on major highways</td>
<td>Dennis Heckman</td>
<td>2e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of deficient bridges on minor highways</td>
<td>Dennis Heckman</td>
<td>2f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of deficient bridges on the state system (major &amp; minor highways)</td>
<td>Dennis Heckman</td>
<td>2g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safe Transportation System – Don Hillis (Page 3)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of fatalities and disabling injuries</td>
<td>Leanna Depue</td>
<td>3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of impaired driver-related fatalities and disabling injuries</td>
<td>Leanna Depue</td>
<td>3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of annual fatalities and disabling injuries</td>
<td>Leanna Depue</td>
<td>3c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of safety belt/passenger vehicle restraint use</td>
<td>Leanna Depue</td>
<td>3d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of bicycle and pedestrian fatalities and disabling injuries</td>
<td>Leanna Depue</td>
<td>3e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of motorcycle fatalities and disabling injuries</td>
<td>Leanna Depue</td>
<td>3f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of commercial motor vehicle crashes resulting in fatalities</td>
<td>Mark Biesemeyer</td>
<td>3g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of commercial motor vehicle crashes resulting in injuries</td>
<td>Mark Biesemeyer</td>
<td>3h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of fatalities and injuries in work zones</td>
<td>Troy Pinkerton</td>
<td>3i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of highway-rail crossing fatalities and collisions</td>
<td>Rod Massman</td>
<td>3j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Roadway Visibility – Don Hillis (Page 4)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of nighttime crashes</td>
<td>Mike Curtit</td>
<td>4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of signs that meet customers’ expectations</td>
<td>Mike Curtit</td>
<td>4b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of stripes that meet customers’ expectations</td>
<td>Jim Brocksmith</td>
<td>4c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of work zones meeting expectations for visibility</td>
<td>Dan Smith</td>
<td>4d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personal, Fast, Courteous and Understandable Response to Customer Requests (Inbound) – Shane Peck (Page 5)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of overall customer satisfaction</td>
<td>Sally Oxenhandler</td>
<td>5a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of customers who contacted MoDOT that felt they were responded to quickly and courteously with an understandable response</td>
<td>Sally Oxenhandler</td>
<td>5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of documented customer requests responded to within 24 hours</td>
<td>Sally Oxenhandler</td>
<td>5c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average completion time on requests requiring follow up</td>
<td>Sally Oxenhandler</td>
<td>5d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Partner With Others to Deliver Transportation Services – Kevin Keith (Page 6)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of dollars of discretionary funds allocated to Missouri</td>
<td>Todd Grosvenor</td>
<td>6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of earmarked dollars that represent MoDOT’s high priority highway projects</td>
<td>Todd Grosvenor</td>
<td>6b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of dollars generated through cost-sharing and other partnering agreements</td>
<td>Todd Grosvenor</td>
<td>6c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leverage Transportation to Advance Economic Development – Roberta Broeker (Page 7)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of miles of new 4-lane corridors completed</td>
<td>Jay Bledsoe</td>
<td>7a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent utilization of SIB &amp; STAR loan programs</td>
<td>Brenda Morris</td>
<td>7b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic return from transportation investment</td>
<td>Ben Reeser</td>
<td>7c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Innovative Transportation Solutions – Mara Campbell (Page 8)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number and percent of research recommendations implemented</td>
<td>Bill Stone</td>
<td>8a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of external awards received</td>
<td>Bill Stone</td>
<td>8b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of best practices by implementation status</td>
<td>Bill Stone</td>
<td>8c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of dollars saved by increasing MoDOT’s productivity</td>
<td>Jen Harper</td>
<td>8d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast Projects That Are of Great Value – Dave Nichols (Page 9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of programmed project cost as compared to final project cost</td>
<td>Renate Wilkinson 9a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of years it takes to go from the programmed commitment in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program to construction completion</td>
<td>Machelle Watkins 9b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of projects completed within programmed amount</td>
<td>Dave Ahlvers 9c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of projects completed on time</td>
<td>Dave Ahlvers 9d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of change for finalized contracts</td>
<td>Dave Ahlvers 9e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average construction cost per day by contract type</td>
<td>Dave Ahlvers 9f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cost of construction expenditures</td>
<td>Kenneth Voss 9g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual dollar amount saved by implementing value engineering</td>
<td>Joe Jones 9h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of customers who feel completed projects are the right transportation solutions</td>
<td>Kathy Harvey 9i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmentally Responsible – Dave Nichols (Page 10)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent of projects completed without environmental violation</td>
<td>Kathy Harvey 10a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of projects MoDOT protects sensitive species or restores habitat</td>
<td>Gayle Unruh 10b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of acres of wetlands created compared to the number of acres of wetlands impacted</td>
<td>Gayle Unruh 10c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Missouri’s clean air days</td>
<td>Eric Curtit 10d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of gallons of fuel consumed</td>
<td>Jeannie Wilson 10e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of historic resources avoided or protected as compared to those mitigated</td>
<td>Bob Reeder 10f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of tons of recycled/waste materials used in construction projects</td>
<td>Dave Ahlvers 10g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Efficient Movement of Goods – Brian Weiler (Page 11)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freight tonnage by mode</td>
<td>Ernie Perry 11a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of trucks using advanced technology at Missouri weigh stations</td>
<td>Barb Hague 11b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate motor carrier mileage</td>
<td>Joy Prenger 11c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of satisfied motor carriers</td>
<td>DeAnne Rickabaugh 11d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer satisfaction with timeliness of Motor Carrier Services’ response</td>
<td>DeAnne Rickabaugh 11e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Easily Accessible Modal Choices – Brian Weiler (Page 12)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of airline passengers</td>
<td>Joe Pestka 12a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of daily scheduled airline flights</td>
<td>Joe Pestka 12b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of business-capable airports</td>
<td>Joe Pestka 12c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of transit passengers</td>
<td>Steve Billings 12d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of days per week rural transit service is available</td>
<td>Steve Billings 12e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of intercity bus stops</td>
<td>Steve Billings 12f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of rail passengers</td>
<td>Rod Massman 12g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of passengers and vehicles transported by ferryboat</td>
<td>Sherrie Turley 12h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State funding for multimodal programs</td>
<td>Lisa Hueste 12i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of customers satisfied with transportation options</td>
<td>Eric Curtit 12j</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Customer Involvement in Transportation Decision-Making – Dave Nichols (Page 13)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of customers who attend transportation-related meetings</td>
<td>Bob Brendel 13a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of customers who are satisfied with feedback they receive from MoDOT after offering comments</td>
<td>Bob Brendel 13b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoDOT takes into consideration customers’ needs and views in transportation decision-making</td>
<td>Sue Cox 13c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of positive feedback responses received from planning partners regarding involvement in transportation decision-making</td>
<td>Sue Cox 13d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Convenient, Clean &amp; Safe Roadside Accommodations – Don Hillis (Page 14)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent of customers satisfied with rest areas’ convenience, cleanliness and safety</td>
<td>Jim Carney 14a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of customers satisfied with commuter lots’ convenience, cleanliness and safety</td>
<td>Tim Chojnacki 14b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of users of commuter parking lots</td>
<td>Tim Chojnacki 14c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of users of rest areas</td>
<td>Stacy Armstrong 14d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of truck customers that utilize rest areas</td>
<td>Tim Jackson 14e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Best Value for Every Dollar Spent – Roberta Broeker (Page 15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of lane miles per full-time equivalency</td>
<td>Steve Meystrik</td>
<td>15a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of full-time equivalencies</td>
<td>Steve Meystrik</td>
<td>15b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaried employment levels</td>
<td>Steve Meystrik</td>
<td>15c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of work capacity based on average hours worked</td>
<td>Micki Knudsen</td>
<td>15d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of employee turnover</td>
<td>Kim Hickey</td>
<td>15e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of job satisfaction</td>
<td>Paul Imhoff</td>
<td>15f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of lost workdays</td>
<td>Jeff Padgett</td>
<td>15g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate and total of OSHA recordable incidents</td>
<td>Jeff Padgett</td>
<td>15h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of claims for general liability</td>
<td>Jeff Padgett</td>
<td>15i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost and usage of utilities for facilities</td>
<td>Doug Record</td>
<td>15j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleet status</td>
<td>Jeannie Wilson</td>
<td>15k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of vendor invoices paid on time</td>
<td>Debbie Rickard</td>
<td>15l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of expenditures</td>
<td>Debbie Rickard</td>
<td>15m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy of state revenue projections</td>
<td>Ben Reeser</td>
<td>15n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoDOT national ranking in revenue per mile</td>
<td>Ben Reeser</td>
<td>15o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of excess properties conveyed and gross revenue generated from excess properties sold</td>
<td>Kelly Lucas</td>
<td>15p</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Attractive Roadsides – Don Hillis (Page 16)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent of roadsides that meet customers’ expectations</td>
<td>Mike Shea</td>
<td>16a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of miles in Adopt-A-Highway program</td>
<td>Stacy Armstrong</td>
<td>16b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Advocate for Transportation Issues – Kevin Keith (Page 17)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent of minorities and females employed</td>
<td>Rudy Nickens</td>
<td>17a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separation rates for minorities and females</td>
<td>Rudy Nickens</td>
<td>17b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation-related legislation filed and passed by the General Assembly</td>
<td>Lisa Lemaster</td>
<td>17c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of federal earmarked projects on the state’s transportation system</td>
<td>Jay Wunderlich</td>
<td>17d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of customers who view MoDOT as Missouri’s transportation expert</td>
<td>Jay Wunderlich</td>
<td>17e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Accurate, Timely, Understandable and Proactive Transportation Information (Outbound) – Shane Peck (Page 18)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of public appearances</td>
<td>Sally Oxenhandler</td>
<td>18a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of customers who feel MoDOT provides timely, accurate and understandable information</td>
<td>Sally Oxenhandler</td>
<td>18b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of contacts initiated by MoDOT to media</td>
<td>Jorma Duran</td>
<td>18c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of MoDOT information that meets the media’s expectations</td>
<td>Jorma Duran</td>
<td>18d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of positive newspaper editorials</td>
<td>Jorma Duran</td>
<td>18e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of overall visitors to MoDOT’s website</td>
<td>Matt Hiebert</td>
<td>18f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## American Recovery and Reinvestment Act – Dave Nichols (Page 19)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recovery Act projects and dollars awarded to date</td>
<td>Jay Bestgen</td>
<td>19a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery Act funds obligated and expended to date by funding category</td>
<td>Jay Bestgen</td>
<td>19b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery Act project dollars awarded versus budget</td>
<td>Jay Bestgen</td>
<td>19c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery Act direct jobs supported</td>
<td>Travis Koestner</td>
<td>19d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Recovery Act Multimodal project dollars obligated to date</td>
<td>Joe Pestka</td>
<td>19e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Uninterrupted Traffic Flow

Tangible Result Driver – Don Hillis, Director of System Management

Missouri drivers expect to get to their destinations on time, without delays. Traffic, changes in weather, work zones and highway incidents can all impact their travel. MoDOT works to ensure that motorists travel as efficiently as possible on the state system by better managing work zones, snow removal and highway incidents, and by using the latest technology to inform motorists of possible delays and available options. Better traffic flow means fewer crashes.
Average travel indices on selected freeway sections-1a

Result Driver: Don Hillis, Director of System Management
Measurement Driver: Troy Pinkerton, Traffic Liaison Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the average travel index values and average speeds on various freeway sections. The desired trend is for the travel index to remain at or near a value of 1.00. A value of 1.00 is representative of a free-flow condition. The travel index is directly related to the average speed and represents the level of congestion by taking into consideration not only average speed but also the traffic volumes. The travel index is calculated according to the following equation:

\[
\text{Travel Index} = \frac{\text{Average speed}}{\text{Free flow speed}}
\]

Average speeds are taken from sensor data. The free-flow speed is constant and is equal to the highest hourly average speed for any hour in that data set.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Data from the St. Louis and Kansas City regions are provided by MoDOT’s traffic management centers. Information about the St. Louis traffic management center, Gateway Guide, can be found at http://www.gatewayguide.com and information about the traffic management center in Kansas City, KC Scout, can be found at http://www.kcscout.net/. Data for the St. Louis region is also provided through a partnership with Traffic.com. Data for each location is updated quarterly.

Improvement Status:
Kansas City metropolitan region:
As shown on the graph, the freeway systems in the Kansas City region continue to perform in the mid to upper-80 percentile range during the peak hours, as compared to the free-flow condition. The morning peak increased from 0.86 in the second quarter of fiscal year 2010 to 0.89 in the third quarter of fiscal year 2010. The evening peak decreased from 0.87 to 0.86.

The KCIcon project has made some significant changes in lane configurations on I-35 causing some additional slow downs specifically in the AM peak in the southbound direction.

Most of the Kansas City region has been free from significant work zone impacts. Construction associated with the Paseo Bridge continues to contribute to some slow downs in the morning commute southbound into downtown. This area should see some dramatic slow downs over the next few years due to the KCIcon bridge replacement project. Additional information on the construction activities along I-29/35 can be found at www.kcicon.org.

St. Louis metropolitan region:
As shown on the graph, the freeway systems in the St. Louis region are performing in the low 90- and 80-percentile range in the morning and evening peak, respectively, for this quarter. The morning peak travel index increased from 0.90 last quarter to 0.92, while the evening peak travel index increased from 0.80 to 0.83 in the third quarter of fiscal year 2010.

The amount of incidents (crashes, work zones, and special events) for this quarter was slightly higher than the previous quarter. However, the average duration and time within a lane for all incidents was almost identical to the previous quarters in FY10. Due to the fact that incidents are responsible for about half of all delay in urban areas, and because there were no significant changes in traffic volume in St. Louis, it can be inferred that these are the reasons for the travel index to be very similar to second quarter FY10 (only a .02 difference in the AM peak, and a .03 difference in the PM peak).

The opening of I-64 greatly decreased the frequency and intensity of congestion on some routes (I-70, I-44, and I-55), but shifting traffic patterns have caused congestion on some routes that had relatively little mobility issues during the I-64 closure (I-64, certain sections of I-270 and I-170). Due to the positive changes in some areas being offset by some of the negative changes in other areas, the total increase in travel index was only marginally higher over the region as a whole.

The I-435 Blue Ridge construction has concluded but Kansas City is seeing increased volume throughout this area due to commercial development opening up.
Uninterrupted Traffic Flow

Travel Index on Selected Freeway Sections
Kansas City Metropolitan Averages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>A.M. Peak</th>
<th>P.M. Peak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average FY 2008</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average FY 2009</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Qtr FY 2010</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Qtr FY 2010</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Qtr FY 2010</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AM – Regional Mobility

KANSAS CITY

PM – Regional Mobility

High Mobility

Medium Mobility

Low Mobility

April 2010
Uninterrupted Traffic Flow

Travel Index on Selected Freeway Sections
St. Louis Metro Averages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>A.M. Peak</th>
<th>P.M. Peak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Travel Index on Selected Freeway Sections
St. Louis Metro Averages

High Mobility
Medium Mobility
Low Mobility

AM – Regional Mobility
PM – Regional Mobility
Average rate of travel on selected signalized routes - 1b

**Result Driver:** Don Hillis, Director of System Management  
**Measurement Driver:** Julie Stotlemeyer, Traffic Liaison Engineer

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure indicates how well selected arterials across the state are operating during peak traffic times. As improvements are made, such as signal timing or access management, this measure will show the effects of those efforts and decisions on the arterial system.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
Travel times are measured on various arterials. Data is collected from driving each route twice during a.m. and p.m. peak times and timing how long it takes to traverse the route. The travel time is compared to the speed limit and the travel time factor determined. As the travel time factor approaches 1.00, traffic is moving at the speed limit. Data collection began in the second quarter of fiscal year 2007. Data for this measure is updated quarterly.

**Improvement Status:**  
For third quarter fiscal year 2010, the average statewide travel time factor for a.m. peak is 0.772 and p.m. peak is 0.682. Overall performance is 0.727. The a.m. peak travel time factor is 9 percent higher than p.m. peak travel time factor. Third quarter data shows the a.m. peak for arterials and p.m. peak for arterials operating higher than the average for fiscal year 2008 and the average for fiscal year 2009. For third quarter fiscal year 2010, the a.m. peak travel time factor is approximately 1 percent lower than the third quarter fiscal year 2009 a.m. peak travel time factor and the p.m. peak travel time factor is 1 percent higher than the third quarter fiscal year 2009 p.m. peak travel time factor.

The average rate of travel on selected signalized routes has changed due to construction, timing changes, installation of a traffic adaptive system, and the opening of a Diverging Diamond Interchange (DDI).
Uninterrupted Traffic Flow

Average time to clear traffic incident-1c

Result Driver: Don Hillis, Director of System Management
Measurement Driver: Rick Bennett, Traffic Liaison Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure is used to determine the trends in incident clearance on the state highway system. A traffic incident is an unplanned event that creates a temporary reduction in the number of vehicles that can travel on the road. The sooner an incident is removed, the sooner the highway system returns to normal capacity. Therefore, responding to and quickly addressing the incidents (crashes, flat tires and stalled vehicles) improves system performance.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Advanced Transportation Management Systems (ATMS) are used by both the Kansas City and St. Louis traffic management centers to record “incident start time” and the time for “all lanes cleared.” In October of 2008, St. Louis switched from using motorist assist arrival times as the “incident start time” to utilizing the time the incident was confirmed in the ATMS usually via CCTV, prior to any responder arriving on the scene, as the “incident start time.” Average time to clear traffic incidents is calculated from these times. In January of 2009, about 20 additional miles of I-70, I-470, and I-435 were added and became operational in the Kansas City urban area.

On September 1, 2009, Kansas City moved to a new software and hardware platform, (TranSuite and SQL), giving them the ability to do more detailed tracking of time to clear incidents, Motorist Assist activities and interoperability with Operation Greenlight and the arterial signal systems.

Improvement Status:
St. Louis recorded 611, 537, and 498 incidents respectively for the months of January, February and March utilizing ATMS. Time to clear increased for March due to a number of long duration incidents. There was a nine hour incident on a ramp that involved fuel spillage that lasted overnight. Another longer term incident was a collision involving a vehicle fire that lasted seven hours. Fifty percent of St. Louis incidents were cleared at or below 15 minutes. St. Louis’ data includes more incidents because St. Louis monitors more freeway miles than the Kansas City area.

Kansas City collected data on 517, 397, and 499 incidents respectively for the months of January, February and March. The number of short term incidents captured utilizing the ATMS continues to go up (there were almost 350 in March). Most of the increase is due to catching more short term incidents due to Motorist Assistance being dispatched from the TMC, thus lowering the overall average time to clear incidents for the month.
UNINTERRUPTED TRAFFIC FLOW

Average Time to Clear Traffic Incident

St. Louis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Month</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan.</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb.</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept.</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct.</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov.</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Time to Clear Traffic Incident

Kansas City

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Month</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan.</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb.</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept.</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct.</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov.</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Uninterrupted Traffic Flow

Average time to clear traffic backup from incident-1d

Result Driver: Don Hillis, Director of System Management
Measurement Driver: Rick Bennett, Traffic Liaison Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the amount of time it takes to return traffic flow back to normal after a traffic incident. A traffic incident is any unplanned event that creates a temporary reduction in the number of vehicles that can travel on the road.

Measurement and Data Collection:
“All lanes cleared” and “clear backup” times are being recorded by MoDOT’s Traffic Management Centers in Kansas City and St. Louis. Average times to clear traffic backups are calculated from these recorded times. Kansas City reports capture when a backup is relieved as an automated process. The Kansas City area has devices to collect data along portions of Interstates 435 and 70. In October 2008, St. Louis began using advanced transportation management system (ATMS) devices to collect data. The number of incidents that data is collected on in St. Louis has gone from approximately 50 to 500.

Improvement Status:
St. Louis area routes have larger traffic volumes that create more significant congestion problems than in Kansas City.

St. Louis’ times to clear traffic backup increased in February due to one incident that had a recorded backup clearance time of over six hours. The time to clear traffic backup in March did increase over January. The clearance times were all under 66 minutes for the month; however, many of the higher clearance times occurred during the peak hours. Prior to October 2008, the only incidents for which data was available were those incidents the TMC could monitor by camera.

Kansas City continues to have fairly consistent times to clear backup from an incident. Renewed efforts in developing long-term partnerships with local agencies and law enforcement have increased the awareness of MoDOT’s expectations for quick clearance and open roadways.
**Uninterrupted Traffic Flow**

### Average Time to Clear Traffic Backup From Incident

#### St. Louis

- **Calendar Month**: January, February, March, April, May, June, July, August, September, October, November, December
- **Minutes**: 2010 - 3.8, 4.0, 6.3, 5.0, 4.9, 5.4, 4.3, 5.3, 6.1, 6.5, 5.7, 5.9
- **Minutes**: 2009 - 16.1, 16.6, 16.5, 13.5, 11.0, 17.6, 19.0, 17.7, 7.0, 7.4, 5.3

#### Kansas City

- **Calendar Month**: January, February, March, April, May, June, July, August, September, October, November, December
- **Minutes**: 2010 - 9.2, 10.2, 9.0, 9.5, 9.8, 9.9, 9.0, 9.0, 6.4, 5.2, 5.7
- **Minutes**: 2009 - 6.5, 5.9, 7.9, 6.2, 6.5, 6.0, 6.0, 5.0, 5.9, 6.2, 5.1

**Desired Trend**
Number of customers assisted by the Motorist Assist program

Result Driver: Don Hillis, Director of System Management
Measurement Driver: Rick Bennett, Traffic Liaison Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure is used to gauge the use of the Motorist Assist programs on our state roadways, because traffic incidents impact Missouri’s transportation system capacity. An incident is any unplanned event that creates a temporary reduction in roadway capacity that impedes normal traffic flow. The sooner an incident is removed, the sooner the highway system returns to normal capacity. Therefore, responding to and quickly addressing the incidents (crashes, flat tires and stalled vehicles) improves system performance. MoDOT’s Motorist Assist operators are able to respond to nearly every incident, major or minor, in the areas they cover.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The Motorist Assist operators record each assist and then prepare a monthly summary. Kansas City operators patrol approximately 105 freeway miles. St. Louis operators patrol approximately 192 freeway miles.

Improvement Status:
This data demonstrates that the Motorist Assist program in both St. Louis and Kansas City continue to provide a valuable service to motorists on the urban freeways in both metropolitan areas.

In St. Louis, the trend line for the last quarter is consistent with previous years. However, the decline in assists for the past three quarters and yearly total is reflective of declining staffing levels since July 2009. Man-hour shortages contributed to the reduced overall number of assists for the Motorist Assist organization.

Over the last year, the motorist assist program in Kansas City has expanded coverage to seven days a week. This has resulted in a marked increase in the number of assists provided.
Percent of Motorist Assist customers who are satisfied with the service

Result Driver: Don Hillis, Director of System Management
Measurement Driver: Rick Bennett, Traffic Liaison Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure helps evaluate services provided through MoDOT’s Motorist Assist Program, specifically, whether the customers who use the program are satisfied with the service. Information received provides direction on how to better serve our customers and keep traffic moving safely and efficiently.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Motorist Assist operators distribute survey cards to customers. Data from the cards is compiled and tabulated by Heartland Market Research, LLC. Surveys with selections identifying that the service was "probably" or "definitely" valuable were tabulated as “satisfied” for this measure.

Improvement Status:
This data agrees with information provided by customers on prior comment forms - almost all customers are satisfied.

- First Quarter 2009, 1,413 Motorist Assist surveys received
- Second Quarter 2009, 1,504 Motorist Assist surveys received
- Third Quarter 2009, 1,592 Motorist Assist surveys received
- Fourth Quarter 2009, 1,010 Motorist Assist surveys received
- First Quarter 2010, 1,368 Motorist Assist surveys received

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Quarter</th>
<th>Percent of Motorist Assist Customers Who Are Satisfied With the Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>99.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>99.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>99.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>99.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Qtr. 2010</td>
<td>99.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Percent of work zones meeting expectations for traffic flow - 1g**

**Result Driver:** Don Hillis, Director of System Management  
**Measurement Driver:** Dan Smith, Traffic Management & Operations Engineer

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
An important factor in evaluating the department’s performance in temporary traffic control design, deployment, operation and maintenance is the measurement of work zones’ affect on the mobility of highway users. This measure tracks how well the department meets customer expectations of traffic flow in, around and through work zones on state highways.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
On January 1, 2009, MoDOT provided a Work Zone Customer Survey for the traveling public to provide evaluation of the mobility in work zones across the state. Each survey has several questions that address the sign and flagger instructions, speed limit, travel time, and travel safety. The evaluator assigns a yes, no, or n/a rating to each of the questions. The overall ratings are compiled quarterly and reported via this measurement. The survey is on the MoDOT website at the following address: [http://www.modot.gov/workzones/Comments.htm](http://www.modot.gov/workzones/Comments.htm).

**Improvement Status:**  
Compilation of the 248 surveys completed by the traveling public and MoDOT staff between January and March of this calendar year resulted in a positive satisfaction rating of 92 percent for work zone traffic flow. This is a 2 and 3 percent increase in customer satisfaction from last year’s average of 90 percent and the first quarter’s 89 percent customer satisfaction.
**Time to meet winter storm event performance objectives on major and minor highways-1h**

**Result Driver:** Don Hillis, Director of System Management  
**Measurement Driver:** Tim Jackson, Maintenance Liaison Engineer

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks the amount of time needed to perform MoDOT’s snow and ice removal efforts.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
This data is collected in the winter event database. This measurement tracks the actual time involved in this process so improvements can be made. After each winter event, such as a snow or ice storm, area maintenance personnel submit a report indicating how much time it took to clear snow from the major and minor highways. After a storm ends, the objectives are to restore the major highways to a clear condition as soon as possible and have the lower-volume minor highways open to two-way traffic and treated with salt and/or abrasives at critical areas such as intersections, hills and curves as soon as possible. The end of the storm is defined as when freezing precipitation stops accumulating on the roadways, either from falling or drifting conditions. Data collection for this measure runs from November through March of each winter season, and is updated in the January and April Tracker reports. The time in hours is the statewide average for the entire winter season.

**Improvement Status:**  
The average time to meet the performance objectives on the major highways is 0.3 hour more than the previous winter. The average time to meet the performance objectives on the minor highways is 0.7 hour more than last winter. The time to meet the performance objectives will vary based on the amount of snow received, the duration and the intensity of the storm. This winter has produced several major storms with near blizzard conditions requiring additional time to meet the objectives. Strategies to improve these numbers include implementing best practices, pursuing equipment enhancements, testing new materials and continued training of snow removal employees.

![Time to Meet Winter Storm Event Performance Objectives on Major and Minor Highways](chart.png)
MoDOT’s customers have said they want smooth roads. Smoother roads mean less wear on vehicles, safer travel and greater opportunity for economic development. MoDOT will delight its customers by providing smooth and unrestricted roads and bridges. MoDOT recognizes that road projects built and maintained to a high standard of smoothness will be more efficient. MoDOT must provide customers with smooth roads – because everyone riding on a road can feel whether it is smooth or not!
Projects that contribute to the Better Roads, Brighter Future program goal 2a

**Result Driver:** Kevin Keith, Interim Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Jay Bledsoe, Transportation System Analysis Engineer

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks annually the miles of major highways in good condition compared to that required to reach the goal of 85 percent in good condition by the end of 2011 and the miles programmed in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) that contribute to this goal. In addition to the pavement goals, MoDOT has made improvements to the overall safety and appearance of these routes a priority. Therefore, in addition to pavement condition, this measure tracks miles of major highways that have a minimum 4-foot paved shoulder, an edge-line rumble stripe and a centerline rumble stripe where appropriate.

The Better Roads, Brighter Future (BRBF) program follows the 2005 completion of the Smooth Roads Initiative (SRI). BRBF will result in 85 percent of these major highways in good condition by the end of 2011.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
The major highway system is defined as all routes functionally classified as principal arterials. By definition, the principal arterial system provides for statewide or interstate movement of traffic. Examples include the Interstate System and most U.S. routes such as 63, 54 or 36.

In urban areas, principal arterials carry traffic entering or leaving the urban area and serve movement of vehicles between central business districts and suburban residential areas. Examples include Business 50 (Missouri Blvd.) in Jefferson City, MO, 740 (Stadium Blvd.) in Columbia, and Route D (Page Ave.) in St. Louis.

The major roads in Missouri total approximately 5,573 centerline miles. This revised figure reflects additional mileage based on statewide review of the highway system. Good condition is defined using a combination of criteria. On high-speed routes (speed limits greater than 50 mph), the International Roughness Index (IRI) is used. For lower-speed routes (mostly urban areas) where smoothness is less critical, a Present Serviceability Rating (PSR) is used. While smoothness is a factor in PSR, physical condition is also a factor.

The overall progress and programmed work will be reported annually. Semi-annual updates of miles opened to traffic will be reported.

**Improvement Status:**  
Completion of SRI resulted in a significant improvement in pavement condition. At the beginning of BRBF (January 2007), 74 percent of major highways were in good condition (as shown in 2b: Percent of major highways that are in good condition). By January 1, 2010, one full year ahead of schedule, the goal of 85 percent of major roads in good condition had been achieved. More than 86 percent of major highways are currently rated in good condition.

The ability to reach this goal ahead of schedule is largely due to the unexpected influx of funds through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA). ARRA projects totaled about $595 million with approximately $200 million being dedicated to rehabilitation work on the major highways.

MoDOT will continue to emphasize maintenance of the miles improved through SRI and BRBF. Over time, all 5,573 miles will benefit from improved safety features such as shouldering, wider striping and brighter signing. There are currently more than 150 BRBF projects in the 2010-2014 STIP that will address nearly 1,300 major highway miles.

Funding for the BRBF program will come from existing Taking Care of System funds in accordance with the current funding allocation directed by the Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission. More than $430 million per year is dedicated to taking care of the existing highway system.
Smooth and Unrestricted Roads and Bridges

Projects That Contribute to the Better Roads, Brighter Future Program Goal
Lane Miles Meeting Desired Condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane Miles Remaining</td>
<td>2,198</td>
<td>1,259</td>
<td>15,353</td>
<td>15,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Miles Currently Good</td>
<td>13,468</td>
<td>14,407</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projects That Contribute to the Better Roads, Brighter Future Program Goal
Programmed Lane Miles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STIP Year</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane Miles Programmed</td>
<td>1,650</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projects That Contribute to the Better Roads, Brighter Future Program Goal
Completed Miles with Safety Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Safety Features</th>
<th>Directional Miles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paved Shoulder</td>
<td>6,719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgeline Rumble Stripe</td>
<td>5,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centerline Rumble Stripe</td>
<td>1,083</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Smooth and Unrestricted Roads and Bridges

Percent of major highways that are in good condition-2b

Result Driver: Kevin Keith, Interim Director
Measurement Driver: Jay Bledsoe, Transportation System Analysis Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the condition of Missouri’s major highway road surfaces. The public has indicated the condition of Missouri’s existing state roadway system should be one of the state’s highest priorities. MoDOT places a high priority on improving the condition of state highways.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The major highway system is defined as all routes functionally classified as principal arterials. By definition, the principal arterial system provides for statewide or interstate movement of traffic. Examples include the Interstate System and most U.S. routes such as 63, 54 or 36.

In urban areas, principal arterials carry traffic entering or leaving the urban area and serve movement of vehicles between central business districts and suburban residential areas. Examples include Business 50 (Missouri Blvd.) in Jefferson City, MO, 740 (Stadium Blvd.) in Columbia, and Route D (Page Ave.) in St. Louis.

The major roads in Missouri total approximately 5,573 centerline miles. This figure reflects mileage based on statewide review of the highway system. Good condition is defined using a combination of criteria. On high-speed routes (speed limits greater than 50 mph), the International Roughness Index (IRI) is used. For lower-speed routes (mostly urban areas) where smoothness is less critical, a Present Serviceability Rating (PSR) is used. While smoothness is a factor in PSR, physical condition is also a factor.

Direct comparison to other states is difficult because of differences in measurement methodologies. However, a general order-of-magnitude comparison is possible given certain assumptions. For example, there are five states that report mileage for major highways within 10 percent of that maintained by MoDOT. Of these five, Georgia, with 5,875 miles, currently has the highest percentage of these highways classified in good condition based on smoothness only. The Missouri definition of good uses smoothness as one factor; however, it also includes other condition factors such as physical distress to determine quality. While the comparison is not exact, it does indicate the level of performance possible on a system of Missouri’s size. This is an annual measure. Missouri data is updated in January to reflect prior calendar-year ratings.

Improvement Status:
At the beginning of BRBF (January 2007), 74 percent of major highways were in good condition. By January 1, 2010, one full year ahead of schedule, the goal of 85 percent of major roads in good condition had been achieved. More than 86 percent of major highways are currently rated in good condition.

The ability to reach this goal ahead of schedule is largely due to the unexpected influx of funds through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA). ARRA projects totaled about $595 million with approximately $200 million being dedicated to rehabilitation work on the major highways.

MoDOT will continue to emphasize maintenance of the miles improved through SRI and BRBF. Over time, all 5,573 miles will benefit from improved safety features such as shouldering, wider striping and brighter signing. There are currently more than 150 BRBF projects in the 2010-2014 STIP that will address nearly 1,300 major highway miles.

Funding for the BRBF program will come from existing Taking Care of System funds in accordance with the current funding allocation directed by the Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission.

The Interstate System is the backbone of the major highway network. While it includes only about 7 percent of the state highway mileage, it accounts for more than half the total state vehicles miles traveled. The increased emphasis on maintenance and operation of interstate highways that began in 2008 will continue into 2010. The Interstate Maintenance Plan sets specific goals, standards and responsibilities for the condition of these vital highways.

More than $430 million per year is dedicated to taking care of the existing highway system. Of this total, $125 million is reserved for work on the Interstate System and major bridges.
Smooth and Unrestricted Roads and Bridges

Percent of Major Highways That Are in Good Condition

* Source data for Georgia is “Highway Statistics” published by FHWA. Data for 2009 not available at time of publication. Georgia data is based only on pavement smoothness (IRI) submitted as part of the Highway Performance Monitoring System.
Percent of minor highways that are in good condition-2c

Result Driver: Kevin Keith, Interim Director
Measurement Driver: Jay Bledsoe, Transportation System Analysis Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the condition of Missouri’s minor highway road surfaces. The public has indicated the condition of Missouri’s existing state roadway system should be one of the state’s highest priorities. MoDOT places a high priority on improving the condition of highways in the state system.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The minor highway system consists of all routes functionally classified as minor arterials or collectors. These routes mainly serve local transportation needs and include highways commonly referred to as lettered routes, such as Route A, Route C and Route DD. The public sometimes refers to these routes as farm-to-market roads. The minor roads in Missouri total approximately 27,000 centerline miles.

Good condition is defined using a combination of criteria. Smoothness is evaluated using the International Roughness Index (IRI). Pavements below the prescribed threshold are considered good. However, public surveys have shown that physical condition is more important than ride on lower speed, lower volume roadways. Condition index, a measure of visual distress, is also evaluated and if those criteria are met, the roadway is considered good, regardless of the smoothness component.

Direct comparison to other states is difficult because of differences in measurement methodologies. However, a general order-of-magnitude comparison is possible given certain assumptions. For example, there are six states that report mileage for minor highways within 10 percent of that maintained by MoDOT. Of these six, Georgia, with 24,707 miles, currently has the highest percentage of these highways classified in good condition. The ratings reported by states as part of the Highway Performance Monitoring System for roads classified as minor more closely relate to Missouri’s rating system. The Federal Highway Administration allows conditions on minor highways to be reported on either IRI or Present Serviceability Index (PSI). PSI includes an assessment of physical distress similar to Missouri’s definition. The Missouri definition of good uses smoothness as one factor. However, it also includes other condition factors such as physical distress to determine quality. This is an annual measure. Missouri data is updated in January to reflect prior calendar-year ratings.

Improvement Status:
The Better Roads, Brighter Future program has identified the major highways as a priority for the next five years. Efforts on the minor highways will remain at or near the current levels. Work on minor highways will emphasize the use of MoDOT maintenance forces and will consist of treatments that include routine patching, crack sealing and chip seals.

However, 2009 did see an increased effort on minor highways. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) allowed additional funds to be applied to TCOS activities. More than $121 million was spent on improvements to the minor roads in 2009 from this program. In addition, approximately $30 million was applied to minor roads from internal operational savings. An additional $34 million is proposed from savings to be applied to minor roads in 2010. Despite this increase, the following graph still shows a decrease in minor highway conditions in 2009.

The increase shown in 2008 was due to a change in rating methods. Without this change, we have seen a steady decrease in good pavement for several years. It should be noted that not all the ARRA funding was directed to pavement. Only about 1,000 miles of minor road pavement was addressed with these funds.

MoDOT is positioned to react quickly to a second federal economic stimulus package should it become a reality. A portion of these funds will be applied to minor road improvements that include a mix of thin overlays and recycling to be done by contract. In addition, some funds will be used to upgrade select corridors with surface improvements, shoulders and other safety improvements. While the plan was developed using a specific funding amount, it can be easily scaled to take advantage of whatever amount is ultimately available.
Smooth and Unrestricted Roads and Bridges

* Source data for Georgia is “Highway Statistics” published by the Federal Highway Administration. Georgia data for 2009 was not available at time of publication. Data is based on a combination of pavement smoothness – IRI or PSR – as submitted as part of the Highway Performance Monitoring System.
Percent of vehicle miles traveled on major highways in good condition-2d

Result Driver: Kevin Keith, Interim Director
Measurement Driver: Jay Bledsoe, Transportation System Analysis Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the percent of vehicle miles traveled (VMT) on Missouri’s major highway system that take place on highways in good condition. The public has indicated the condition of Missouri’s existing state roadway system should be one of the state’s highest priorities. Emphasizing work on the major highway system insures that the majority of travel takes place on highways in good condition.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The major highway system is defined as all routes functionally classified as principal arterials. By definition, the principal arterial system provides for statewide or interstate movement of traffic. Examples include the interstate system and most U.S. routes such as 63, 54 or 36.

In urban areas, principal arterials carry traffic entering or leaving the urban area and serve movement of vehicles between central business districts and suburban residential areas. Examples include Business 50 (Missouri Blvd.) in Jefferson City, MO, 740 (Stadium Blvd.) in Columbia, and Route D (Page Ave.) in St. Louis.

The major roads in Missouri total approximately 5,573 centerline miles. Good condition is defined using a combination of criteria. On high-speed routes (speed limits greater than 50 mph) the International Roughness Index (IRI) is used. For lower-speed routes (mostly urban areas) where smoothness is less critical, a Present Serviceability Rating (PSR) is used. While smoothness is a factor in PSR, physical condition is also a factor.

VMT is determined by multiplying the traffic volume on a given route by the route length. For this measure, the VMT is calculated on those routes in good condition and then divided by the total VMT for major routes to determine the percentage shown below. While the system of major highways in Missouri comprises only about 17 percent of the total system mileage, it carries more than 75 percent of all traffic on the state highway system. This is an annual measure updated each January.

Improvement Status:
Completion of SRI resulted in a significant improvement in pavement condition. At the beginning of BRBF (January 2007), 74 percent of major highways were in good condition (as shown in 2b: Percent of major highways that are in good condition). By January 1, 2010, one full year ahead of schedule, the goal of 85 percent of major roads in good condition had been achieved. More than 86 percent of major highways are currently rated in good condition.

The ability to reach this goal ahead of schedule is largely due to the unexpected influx of funds through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA). ARRA projects totaled about $595 million with approximately $200 million being dedicated to rehabilitation work on the major highways.

Funding for BRBF will come from existing Taking Care of System (TCOS) funds in accordance with the current funding allocation directed by the Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission. More than $430 million per year is dedicated to taking care of the existing highway system. Funding for the BRBFuture program will come from existing TCOS funds in accordance with the current funding allocation directed by the Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission.
Smooooth and Unrestricted Roads and Bridges

Percent of Vehicle Miles Traveled on Major Highways in Good Condition

- 2005: 65.4%
- 2006: 82.3%
- 2007: 83.9%
- 2008: 85.9%
- 2009: 87.4%

Calendar Year
Smooth and Unrestricted Roads and Bridges

Percent of deficient bridges on major highways-2e

Result Driver: Kevin Keith, Interim Director
Measurement Driver: Dennis Heckman, State Bridge Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks progress toward improving the condition of Missouri’s bridges on major highways. The public has indicated the condition of Missouri’s existing roadway system should be one of the state’s highest priorities. MoDOT places a high priority on increasing the quality of bridges on the state system.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The major highway system is defined as all routes functionally classified as principal arterials. By definition, the principal arterial system provides for statewide or interstate movement of traffic. Examples include the Interstate System and most U.S. routes such as 63, 54 or 36.

In urban areas, major highways carry traffic entering or leaving the urban area and serve the movement of vehicles between central business districts and suburban residential areas. Examples include Business Route 50 (Missouri Blvd.) in Jefferson City, Route 740 (Stadium Blvd.) in Columbia, and Route D (Page Ave.) in St. Louis.

A bridge is considered deficient if it is either structurally deficient (SD) or functionally obsolete (FO) as defined using Federal Highway Administration criteria. A SD bridge is in poor condition or has insufficient load capacity when compared to modern design standards. A FO bridge has poor roadway alignment or has clearance or width restrictions that no longer meet the usual criteria for the system it serves. MoDOT staff inspects all state-owned bridges. There are currently 3,595 bridges on major highways. This is an annual measure and data is updated each April based on the prior year’s inspections.

Improvement Status:
Bridge conditions on major highways have taken a solid step forward from 2008 to 2009. Half of the improvement in this measure is attributable to STIP work that has been awarded in the last year while a third of the improvement is attributable to structure improvements from the Safe & Sound program.

The Safe & Sound Bridge Improvement Program will address more than 800 of the state’s most critical structures over five years, with the majority of the structures being on the minor highway system. With the small number of major highway system structures remaining on the Safe & Sound program and the work planned in the STIP, it is anticipated that this percentage will level off for a couple of years and then start increasing beyond that.

![Percent of Deficient Bridges on Major Highways](chart.png)
Percent of deficient bridges on minor highways - 2f

Result Driver: Kevin Keith, Interim Director
Measurement Driver: Dennis Heckman, State Bridge Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks progress toward improving the condition of Missouri’s minor highway bridges. The public has indicated the condition of Missouri’s existing roadway system should be one of the state’s highest priorities. MoDOT places a high priority on increasing the quality of bridges on the state system.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The minor highway system consists of all routes functionally classified as minor arterials or collectors. These routes serve more local transportation needs and include highways commonly referred to as lettered routes, such as Route A, Route C and Route DD. The public sometimes refers to these routes as farm-to-market roads.

A bridge is considered deficient if it is either structurally deficient (SD) or functionally obsolete (FO) as defined using Federal Highway Administration criteria. A SD bridge is in poor condition or has insufficient load capacity when compared to modern design standards. A FO bridge has poor roadway alignment or has clearance or width restrictions that no longer meet the usual criteria for the system it serves. MoDOT staff inspects all state-owned bridges. There are currently 6,740 bridges on minor highways. This is an annual measure and data is updated each April based on the prior year’s inspections.

Improvement Status:
Bridge conditions on minor highways have shown a significant improvement from 2008 to 2009. Approximately 50 percent of this improvement is attributable to structure improvements from the Safe & Sound program while another 15 percent results from STIP work that has been awarded in the last year. Over the last six years, the percentage of deficient bridges has been reduced from 33.4 percent to 31.0 percent.

The Safe & Sound Bridge Improvement Program will address more than 800 of the state’s most critical structures over five years, with the majority of the structures being on the minor highway system. With upcoming Safe & Sound work as well as STIP projects, it is anticipated that this percentage will continue to decrease and approach 28 percent over the next four years. Once the Safe & Sound program is completed, it is anticipated that this percentage will start to increase again.
**Number of deficient bridges on the state system** *(major and minor highways)* - 2g

**Result Driver:** Kevin Keith, Interim Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Dennis Heckman, State Bridge Engineer

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks progress toward improving the condition of Missouri’s bridges. The public has indicated the condition of Missouri’s existing roadway system should be one of the state’s highest priorities. MoDOT places a high priority on increasing the quality of bridges on the state system.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
A bridge is considered deficient if it is either structurally deficient (SD) or functionally obsolete (FO) as defined using Federal Highway Administration criteria. A SD bridge is in poor condition or has insufficient load capacity when compared to modern design standards. A FO bridge has poor roadway alignment or has clearance or width restrictions that no longer meet the usual criteria for the system it serves. MoDOT staff inspect all state-owned bridges. There are currently a total of 10,335 bridges on the state highway system.

This is an annual measure and data is taken from FHWA’s National Bridge Inventory. Missouri data is available in April of each calendar year and is updated in the April Tracker. The data for other states is not published until the following year.

**Improvement Status:**  
Bridge conditions on Missouri highways have taken a big step forward in 2009. The long term trend on this measure has been a steady downward reduction with the number leveling off from 2006 thru 2008. With 2009, improvements as a result of the Safe & Sound program as well as some STIP investment in bridges can be seen. Of the 2,679 deficient bridges, 1,051 are functionally obsolete and 1,628 are structurally deficient.

The strategy to improve this measure is the Safe & Sound Bridge Improvement Program that will repair or replace more than 800 of the state’s most critical structures in five years. A decrease in the number of deficient bridges is expected with the completion of this program. However, due to the accelerating rate of bridges becoming deficient, there will still be a sizable number of deficient bridges on the system. It is projected that this measure will drop to about 2,500 at the completion of the Safe & Sound Bridge Improvement Program.

*Source for Ohio, “Better Bridges” November 2009, for data collected in calendar year 2008.*
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MoDOT works closely with other safety advocates to make our roads and work zones safer. The department supports educational programs that encourage safe driving practices and enforcement efforts that increase adherence to traffic laws. MoDOT will not compromise safety because it believes in the well-being of its employees and customers.
Number of fatalities and disabling injuries-3a

Result Driver: Don Hillis, Director of System Management
Measurement Driver: Leanna Depue, Highway Safety Director

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks annual trends in fatal and disabling injuries resulting from traffic crashes on all Missouri roadways. This data drives the development and focus of the Missouri Highway Safety Plan. This plan is required annually by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and outlines key strategies to reduce these losses. In addition, this data supports Missouri’s Blueprint to Arrive Alive. This document identifies the statewide initiatives with a goal of reducing fatalities to 850 or fewer by 2012.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Crash data is collected by the Missouri State Highway Patrol and entered into a traffic accident record system. The record system automatically updates MoDOT’s traffic management system. Crash data reports are available to law enforcement and traffic safety advocates for crash analysis through both databases. Final data is collected on an annual basis and is updated in July of the following year.

Note: The 2010 quarterly fatalities are not final numbers.

Improvement Status:
Fatalities decreased approximately 30 percent from 2005 to 2009 in a continued downward trend. Until 2007, Missouri had not been under 1,000 fatalities since 1993. The Missouri Coalition for Roadway Safety achieved its first goal of reducing fatalities to 1,000 or fewer by 2008. The Coalition will now work towards reducing the volume of fatalities to 850 or fewer by 2012. Fatality numbers from the first quarter of 2010 reflect a positive step towards this direction.

Disabling injuries continue to show a decreasing trend with a reduction of over 2,000 when compared to the 2005 number. In spite of the decrease in fatalities, the national data comparison shows that Missouri moved from 35th in 2007 to 38th in 2008 for total fatalities. Fatalities and disabling injuries are decreasing due in part to engineering enhancements such as roadway shoulders, three-strand guard cable, rumble strips, and enhanced delineation. Also contributing are strong safety belt and impaired driving public information campaigns combined with increased law enforcement participation in statewide campaigns.
For all graphs on this page, the following legend applies:

- States that have primary seat belt laws
- States that have secondary seat belt laws
- States that have neither a primary nor a secondary seat belt law (1 total)
- Missouri – secondary seat belt law in place (Source: www.ghsa.org, July 2008)

**Missouri’s National Ranking by Total Number of Fatalities**
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For all graphs on this page, the following legend applies:

- States that have primary seat belt laws
- States that have secondary seat belt laws
- States that have neither a primary nor a secondary seat belt law (1 total)
- Missouri – secondary seat belt law in place (Source: www.ghsa.org, July 2008)
Number of impaired driver-related fatalities and disabling injuries-3b

**Result Driver:** Don Hillis, Director of System Management  
**Measurement Driver:** Leanna Depue, Highway Safety Director

**Purpose of the Measure:**
This measure tracks annual trends in fatalities and injuries resulting from traffic crashes on all Missouri roadways involving drivers who are impaired by alcohol and/or drugs. This data drives the development and focus of the Missouri Highway Safety Plan. This plan is required annually by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and outlines key strategies to reduce these losses. In addition, this data supports Missouri’s Blueprint to Arrive Alive. This document identifies the statewide initiatives with a goal of reducing fatalities to 850 or fewer by 2012.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**
Crash data is collected by the Missouri State Highway Patrol and entered into a traffic accident record system. The record system automatically updates MoDOT’s traffic management system. Crash data reports are available to law enforcement and traffic safety advocates for crash analysis through both databases. Final data is collected on an annual basis and is updated in July of the following year. **Note:** The 2009 fatality and injury numbers may not be final.

**Improvement Status:**
After a 9 percent increase in 2008, alcohol and drug-related fatalities fell by approximately 3 percent in 2009. Disabling injuries continue to decrease in 2009. In addition to Missouri participating in the national “You Drink and Drive, You Lose” campaign, the Missouri Law Enforcement Traffic Safety Advisory Council selected specific days to increase law enforcement activity through December 2010. Public information and education has been directed at high-risk drivers ages 21 to 35. Law enforcement efforts have been concentrated on high-crash corridors, increasing the number of sobriety checkpoints and increasing DWI units in selected locations. These efforts are designed to reduce impaired driving crashes overall and move the fatalities in a downward trend. An increasing number of people who work in liquor establishments are completing the online server training modules that were first developed in 2005.
Rate of annual fatalities and disabling injuries-3c

Result Driver: Don Hillis, Director of System Management
Measurement Driver: Leanna Depue, Highway Safety Director

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks annual trends in fatal and disabling injury rates per 100 million vehicle miles traveled (HMVM) in Missouri. This data drives the development and focus of the Missouri Highway Safety Plan. This plan is required annually by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and outlines key strategies to reduce these losses. In addition, this data supports Missouri's Blueprint to Arrive Alive. This document identifies the statewide initiatives with a goal of reducing fatalities to 850 or fewer by 2012.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Crash data is collected by the Missouri State Highway Patrol and entered into a traffic accident record system. The record system automatically updates MoDOT's traffic management system. Crash data reports are available to law enforcement and traffic safety advocates for crash analysis through both databases. Data is collected on an annual basis and is updated in July of the following year. **Note:** The 2009 rates are not final numbers.

Improvement Status:
Both the fatality and disabling injury rates in Missouri are at the lowest ever recorded. Missouri has seen a 33 percent decrease since 2005. Based on a national comparison using the most recent data, Missouri ranked 30th in 2007. Based on the NHTSA national goal of a 1.0 fatality rate, Missouri is still moving in the right direction. Focused law enforcement efforts, engineering safety enhancements and increased public awareness all contributed to the decrease.

### Rate of Annual Fatalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Rate of Annual Disabling Injuries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>12.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>11.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>11.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>10.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>9.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Percent of Safety Belt/Passenger Vehicle Restraint Use**

**Result Driver:** Don Hillis, Director of System Management  
**Measurement Driver:** Leanna Depue, Highway Safety Director

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks annual trends in safety belt usage by persons in passenger vehicles. This data drives the development and focus of the Missouri Highway Safety Plan. This plan is required annually by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and outlines key strategies to reduce these losses. In addition, this data supports Missouri’s Blueprint to Arrive Alive. This document identifies the statewide initiatives with a goal of reducing fatalities to 850 or fewer by 2012.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
Each June, a statewide survey is conducted at 460 pre-selected locations in 20 counties. The data collected at these sites is calculated into a safety belt usage rate by using a formula approved by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. The safety belt usage survey enables data collection from locations representative of 85 percent of the state’s population. The data collection plan is the same each year for consistency and compliance with the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration guidelines. Data is collected on an annual basis and is updated in August of the following year. Annual information for the national rankings may not be available from all 50 states.

**Improvement Status:**  
Safety belt use in Missouri has remained fairly constant for the past five years between 75-77 percent. In the 2008 national comparison, Missouri ranked 40<sup>th</sup> in safety belt usage slipping one spot from 39<sup>th</sup> in 2007. The national average for safety belt use for 2008 is 84 percent. Missouri currently has a secondary safety belt law, which means law enforcement may not stop a vehicle solely to determine safety belt compliance. Law enforcement must observe another driving violation to stop a vehicle and issue a safety belt citation. Many states have a primary safety belt law, which means law enforcement may stop a vehicle if they observe an occupant is not wearing a safety belt. Missouri continues to focus efforts through public information and education and law enforcement participation in the national “Click It or Ticket” campaign. The Law Enforcement Traffic Safety Advisory Council (LETSAC) recently added additional quarterly enforcement dates through December 2009 to focus on safety belt use. Battle of the Belts and Get Your Buckle On are focused on increasing seat belt use among teenagers. Promoting the passage of local primary safety belt ordinances is another strategy to increase safety belt use. MoDOT continues to promote the need for a primary safety belt law in Missouri.
For all graphs on this page, the following legend applies:

- Green: States that have primary seat belt laws
- Yellow: States that have secondary seat belt laws
- Orange: States that have neither a primary nor a secondary seat belt law (1 total)
- Blue: Missouri – secondary seat belt law in place (Source: http://www.ghsa.gov/, June 2008)
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Number of bicycle and pedestrian fatalities and disabling injuries-3e

Result Driver: Don Hillis, Director of System Management
Measurement Driver: Leanna Depue, Highway Safety Director

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks annual trends in fatalities and disabling injuries resulting from traffic crashes with bicycles and pedestrians on Missouri roadways. This data drives the development and focus of the Missouri Highway Safety Plan. This plan is required annually by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and outlines key strategies to reduce these losses. In addition, this data supports Missouri’s Blueprint to Arrive Alive. This document identifies the statewide initiatives with a goal of reducing fatalities to 850 or fewer by 2012.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Crash data is collected by the Missouri State Highway Patrol and entered into a traffic accident record system. The record system automatically updates MoDOT’s traffic management system. Crash data reports are available to law enforcement and traffic safety advocates for crash analysis through both databases. Final data is collected on an annual basis and updated in July of the following year. Note: The 2009 numbers for fatalities and injuries are not final.

Improvement Status:
This data reflects the number of fatalities and disabling injuries occurring when a motor vehicle is involved in a crash with a bicycle or pedestrian. Bicycle fatalities remained steady between 2005 and 2007. In 2008, we saw a reduction in fatalities despite MoDOT increasing the miles of dedicated bike lanes. This measure remained constant in 2009. Pedestrian fatalities increased slightly in 2009 while there was a decrease in disabling injuries. MoDOT has worked to make Missouri’s pedestrians safer by implementing signaling and dedicated crossing area improvements. Funds have been dedicated to support the Bicycle Pedestrian Advisory Committee.
Number of motorcycle fatalities and disabling injuries-3f

**Result Driver:** Don Hillis, Director of System Management  
**Measurement Driver:** Leanna Depue, Highway Safety Director

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks annual trends in fatalities and disabling injuries of motorcyclists on all Missouri roadways. This data drives the development and focus of the Missouri Highway Safety Plan. This plan is required annually by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and outlines key strategies to reduce these losses. In addition, this data supports the Missouri’s Blueprint to Arrive Alive. This document identifies the statewide initiatives with a goal of reducing fatalities to 850 or fewer by 2012.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
Crash data is collected by the Missouri State Highway Patrol and entered into a traffic accident record system. The record system automatically updates MoDOT’s traffic management system. Crash data reports are available to law enforcement and traffic safety advocates for crash analysis through both databases. Final data is collected on an annual basis and updated in July of the following year.

**Note:** The 2009 numbers for fatalities and injuries are not final.

**Improvement Status:**  
After showing an upward trend from 2005-2008, motorcycle fatalities and disabling injuries decreased in 2009. In 2008, Missouri had the highest number of motorcycle fatalities on record with 107. These accidents decreased by approximately 21 percent in 2009. Longer riding seasons and an increase in the number of licensed motorcycles and riders has increased the exposure rate in recent years. Rider education classes are offered within one hour’s driving time throughout Missouri. More than 5,000 riders at 28 sites are trained each year. In 2006, a Motorcycle Safety Task Force was organized and charged with developing a strategic plan. The task force has completed the plan and continues to move forward with implementation.
For all graphs on this page, the following legend applies:
- States that have all rider helmet laws
- States that require use for a specific segment of riders (usually under age 18)
- States that do not require helmet use (3 total)
- Missouri – motorcycle helmet law in place (Source: www.nhtsa.gov, January 2008)
Number of commercial motor vehicle crashes resulting in fatalities - 3g

Result Driver: Don Hillis, Director of Systems Management
Measurement Driver: Mark Biesemeyer, Motor Carrier Services Program Manager

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the number of commercial motor vehicles involved in fatal crashes each year. MoDOT uses the information to target educational and enforcement efforts.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The Missouri State Highway Patrol collects and records the crash statistics used in this measure. The data used in this measure reports the number of commercial motor vehicles involved in a crash where one or more people die within 30 days as a result of the crash. This is an annual measure, updated each July for the previous year.

Improvement Status:
The preliminary number of fatal crashes reported for 2009 is 89. This is 26 fewer than reported at this point in 2008, a reduction of 22.7 percent in one year. Between 2005 and 2008, the number of Missouri commercial motor vehicle fatal crashes dropped from 161 to 115, a 28.6 percent decrease.

MoDOT coordinates its efforts to reduce fatal crashes with the Missouri State Highway Patrol, the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration Missouri Division and the Kansas City and St. Louis police departments. MoDOT efforts include the installation of larger highway signs, highly reflective pavement markings, cable guardrails, roundabout intersections, incident management alert signs, roadside rumble strips, and intelligent transportation systems at scales. MoDOT conducts carrier safety training, regulation compliance reviews, safety audits of new motor carrier firms and truck inspections at terminals and destinations. The MSHP, St. Louis and Kansas City Police Departments conduct commercial vehicle roadside inspections in order to remove unsafe drivers and vehicles from the road.

Missouri ranked 39th in the number of fatality crashes nationwide in 2008.
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Number of commercial motor vehicle crashes resulting in injuries-3h

Result Driver:  Don Hillis, Director of Systems Management  
Measurement Driver:  Mark Biesemeyer, Motor Carrier Services Program Manager

Purpose of the Measure:
The measure tracks number of commercial motor vehicles involved in injury crashes each year. MoDOT uses the information to target educational and enforcement efforts.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The Missouri State Highway Patrol collects and records crash statistics. The data for this measure reflects the number of commercial motor vehicles involved in crashes where one or more people are injured. This is an annual measure and will be updated each July for the previous year.

Improvement Status:
The preliminary number of injury crashes reported for 2009 is 1988. This is 367 fewer than reported at this point in 2008, a 15.6 percent reduction in one year. Between 2005 and 2008, the number of commercial motor vehicle crashes resulting in injuries dropped from 2,694 to 2,355, a decrease of 12.6 percent.

The overall downward trend is due to the coordinated safety efforts of MoDOT, the Missouri State Highway Patrol, the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration Missouri Division, and the Kansas City and St. Louis police departments. MoDOT efforts include the installation of larger highway signs, highly reflective pavement markings, cable guardrails, roundabout intersections, incident management alert signs, rumble stripes, and intelligent transportation systems at scales. MoDOT conducts carrier safety training, regulation compliance reviews, safety audits of new motor carrier firms and truck inspections at terminals and destinations. The MSHP, St. Louis and Kansas City police departments conduct commercial vehicle roadside inspections in order to remove unsafe drivers and vehicles from the road.

Missouri ranked 39th in the number of injury crashes nationwide in 2008.
Missouri's National Ranking in Number of Injury Commercial Vehicle Crashes
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Number of fatalities and injuries in work zones-3i

Result Driver: Don Hillis, Director of System Management
Measurement Driver: Troy Pinkerton, Traffic Liaison Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
An important factor in evaluating the safety of Missouri’s transportation system is determining the safety of work zones on the state’s roads. This measure tracks the number of traffic-related fatalities, injuries, and overall crashes occurring in work zones on any Missouri public road.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Missouri law enforcement agencies are required to report crashes by submitting a standardized vehicle accident report form to the Missouri State Highway Patrol. MSHP personnel enter these reports into a statewide traffic crash database. MoDOT staff query and analyze this data to identify work zone-related crash statistics quarterly and report the results via this measurement.

Improvement Status:
In this first quarter of calendar year 2010, there were three fatal accidents resulting in three fatalities. For this same reporting period in 2009 we had no fatalities in work zones on record. The trend is up for injuries and number of crashes as well. This is due to an increased exposure as compared to this period last year.

Please be reminded that we need your feedback to help keep our work zones safe and traffic moving efficiently. Our Work Zone Survey is available to the public and can be submitted online at: http://www.modot.mo.gov/workzones/Comments.htm

Number of Fatalities in Work Zones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YTD 2010</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calendar Year
Number of highway-rail crossing fatalities and collisions

Results Driver: Don Hillis, Director of System Management
Measurement Driver: Rod Massman, Administrator of Railroads

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks annual trends in fatalities and collisions resulting from train-vehicle crashes at public railroad crossings in Missouri. This data drives the development and focus of a portion of the Missouri Highway Safety Plan. This plan is required annually by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and outlines key strategies to reduce these losses. In addition, this data supports the Missouri Blueprint for Safer Roadways. This document identifies the statewide initiatives with a goal of reducing fatalities in all areas of highway safety, including highway-rail crossing safety.

Measurement and Data Collection:
MoDOT collects crash data and enters it in a railroad safety information system, which also updates MoDOT’s traffic management system. This does not include fatalities or collisions from those on railroad property at areas other than at public railroad crossings, which are tabulated separately. Missouri is then ranked with all other states using data from the Federal Railroad Administration that consists of the numbers of collisions and fatalities in each state. Data is updated quarterly.

Improvement Status:
In the first three months of 2010, there was one crossing fatality. While this is one too many, this number is an indicator that the many efforts to reduce these casualties are working. The overall number of fatalities per year has generally not risen in the last four years, but MoDOT continues to focus on driving the overall number of fatalities still lower each year. In order to accomplish this, MoDOT has increased and implemented more public outreach efforts along with engineering improvements. This has included participating in various kinds of safety fairs, which includes presenting rail issues alongside other safety-related topics, renewing efforts to present rail crossing information at driver’s education and other high school and grade school classes, and certifying additional MoDOT employees in giving Operation Lifesaver presentations. MoDOT also continues its improvements in various cities all around the state in which the city as a whole is studied and all of the crossings in each town are evaluated, which closes some crossings and redirects traffic to other fully-equipped crossings with lights and gates. The continuing focus is the three Es: engineering, education and enforcement. This effort is designed to increase public awareness and discussion of the need for increased safety and heightened awareness at railroad crossings and the dangers of walking on tracks or other railroad property.
**Missouri's National Ranking in Number of Highway-Rail Crossing Fatalities**

- **January-December 2008**
  - Tied for third with 10 other states

- **January-October 2009**
  - *3rd*

- **January-December 2008**
  - 42nd
Number of Highway-Rail Crossing Collisions

Missouri's National Ranking in Number of Highway-Rail Crossing Collisions
January-October 2009

Missouri's National Ranking in Number of Highway-Rail Crossing Collisions
January-December 2008

Safe Transportation System
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Good roadway visibility in all weather and light conditions is critical to safe and efficient travel. MoDOT will delight its customers by using top-quality and highly visible stripes and signs.
Rate of nighttime crashes-4a

**Result Driver:** Don Hillis, Director of System Management

**Measurement Driver:** Mike Curtit, Assistant State Traffic Engineer

**Purpose of the Measure:**
This measure tracks the types of crashes where visibility of stripes and signs may be a contributing crash factor.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**
Data is collected from the statewide crash database to identify and measure the rate of nighttime crashes. Further filtering of the data divides these night crashes by major and minor roadways. Major roadways are generally used for statewide or interstate travel and minor roadways are generally used for local traffic needs. Crash rates are calculated using the average annual daily traffic counts and are expressed in the unit, per 100 million vehicle miles (HMVM), which is the national standard for expressing crash rates. This is an annual measure with the data updated each April.

**Improvement Status:**
The crash rate for run-off-road crashes decreased 9 percent on major roads but increased 5 percent on minor roads. The crash rate for cross-median crashes on major roads decreased 21 percent. The crash rate for head-on and sideswipe crashes decreased 29 percent for major roads, and decreased 15 percent on minor roads. The crash rate for wet pavement crashes increased 3 percent for major roads, and increased 22 percent for minor roads.

As part of the improvements included in the Better Roads, Brighter Future program, over 500 miles of edgeline and centerline rumble stripes have been installed. In 2009, just over 2,000 miles of additional minor roads have had an edgeline installed.

* Crash statistics and VMT for 2009 have not been finalized. These numbers may change slightly.
Crash statistics and VMT for 2009 have not been finalized. These numbers may change slightly.
Roadway visibility

Percent of signs that meet customers’ expectations-4b

Result Driver: Don Hillis, Director of System Management
Measurement Driver: Mike Curtit, Assistant State Traffic Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure will track whether the department’s sign policy, design standards and sign replacement policy are resulting in visible signs that meet customers’ expectations.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Sign-quality attributes that define user expectations have been developed based on an industry-wide literature review. The attributes selected for this measure are those that can be captured during a night sign log. A night sign log is conducted on randomly generated road segments. MoDOT employees drive a road at night, recording the location and condition of the signs, particularly how visible the signs are with headlights. MoDOT employees collect the data annually in the fall, and update it each October.

Improvement Status:
Almost 90 percent of signs on major highways are in good condition. Slightly over 80 percent of the signs on minor roads are in good condition. This represents a 2 percent decrease from last year for major roads and less than a 1 percent decrease for minor roads.

In the last twelve months, MoDOT’s sign shop has produced over 110,000 new signs for the districts. MoDOT continues to perform annual inspections of every sign in Missouri and does random quality assurance reviews targeted at signing.
Percent of stripes that meet customers’ expectations - 4c

**Result Driver:**  Don Hillis, Director of System Management  
**Measurement Driver:**  Jim Brocksmith, Traffic Liaison Engineer

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks whether MoDOT’s striping policy, processes and materials used are resulting in visible stripes that meet customers’ expectations.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
Striping quality attributes that define user expectations have been developed based on an industry-wide literature review. The attribute selected for this measure is the retroreflectivity of the striping or the visibility of the striping at night. Retroreflectivity is measured as the amount of light from vehicle headlights that is returned to the driver. We have established retroreflectivity benchmarks of 150 for white and 125 for yellow. These benchmarks were chosen because they are at the high end of what research and other states consider minimum acceptable levels. Data is collected by taking retroreflectivity readings on randomly selected road segments in the fall and spring of each year. This data is then compared to the benchmarks. Traffic volumes, winter weather and pavement condition all have an impact on the performance and durability of striping. The measurement unit for retroreflectivity is millicandellas per meter squared per lux (mcd/m²/lux). Fall readings are taken in October and November as the striping season is ending. Spring readings are taken in April, May and June to reflect the condition of the markings coming out of the winter when they are typically the poorest. For the spring readings in 2009 we changed the amount and the way the readings are collected. The sampling size used was reduced both in the length of the samples and the number of samples used. We still have a statistically significant sample. These changes were implemented to reduce the costs associated with the data collection.

**Improvement Status:**  
The data was analyzed in respect to the above benchmarks MoDOT set as the minimum acceptable level of retroreflectivity. The readings on the major roads are at 91 percent, however they are some of the lowest fall readings. Some of this decline can be attributed to the durable markings which were installed in 2006 reaching the end of their expected service life. Minor roads are doing well at 87.6 percent. During the collection period rainfall was an issue across the state which delayed efforts to stripe those roads that needed to be done.

MoDOT is expanding the use of wet reflective markings with the retro-fitting of approximately 2,400 miles of major roads with rumble stripes over the next two years. In addition, approximately 5,300 miles of minor roads will have edgelines added over the next four years. Inlaid pavement markers will be installed on two sections of interstate highways to better evaluate their effectiveness and durability.
Percent of work zones meeting expectations for visibility-4d

**Result Driver:** Don Hillis, Director of System Management  
**Measurement Driver:** Dan Smith, Traffic Management & Operations Engineer

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
An important factor in evaluating the department’s performance in temporary traffic control design, deployment, operation, and maintenance is the measurement of the effectiveness of the visual guidance provided to motorists in our work zones. This measure tracks how well the department meets customers’ expectations of visibility in work zones on state highways.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
On January 1, 2009, MoDOT provided a Work Zone Customer Survey for the traveling public to provide evaluation of the visibility within work zones across the state. Each survey has several questions that address the early warning of work zones, visibility of signs and signals, did the cones, barrels or striping guide the person through the work zone, and did the work zone look clean and organized. The evaluator assigns a yes, no, or n/a rating to each of the questions. The overall ratings are compiled quarterly and reported via this measurement. The survey is on the MoDOT website at the following address: [http://www.modot.gov/workzones/Comments.htm](http://www.modot.gov/workzones/Comments.htm).

**Improvement Status:**  
Compilation of the 248 surveys performed by the traveling public and MoDOT staff between January and March of this calendar year resulted in a positive satisfaction rating of 93 percent for work zone visibility. This is a 3 and 5 percent increase in customer satisfaction from last year’s average of 90 percent and the first quarter’s 88 percent customer satisfaction.

![Graph](chart.png)

**Percent of Work Zones Meeting Expectations for Visibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009 Average</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Quarter 2009</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Quarter 2010</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Personal, Fast, Courteous and Understandable Response to Customer Requests (Inbound)

Tangible Result Driver – Shane Peck, Community Relations Director

Responding to customers in a courteous, personal and understandable way is important. MoDOT listens and seeks to understand, because it values everyone’s opinion. MoDOT’s goal is to delight them with its customer service.
Personal, Fast, Courteous and Understandable Response to Customer Requests (Inbound)

Percent of overall customer satisfaction-5a

Result Driver: Shane Peck, Community Relations Director
Measurement Driver: Sally Oxenhandler, Community Relations Manager

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks MoDOT’s progress toward the mission of delighting its customers.

Measurement and Data Collected:
This is an annual measure. Data is collected from telephone interviews with more than 3,500 randomly selected adult Missourians each May. MoDOT is using H.J. Heinz as the benchmark for this measure. Based on information compiled by the American Customer Satisfaction Index, Heinz has the highest customer satisfaction rate – 89 percent – out of the 200 companies and government agencies that the ACSI scores.

Improvement Status:
MoDOT is nearing the national benchmark for customer satisfaction. A total of 85 percent of Missourians are satisfied with MoDOT, a 7 percent increase from last year and a dramatic 21 percent increase in just 10 years. MoDOT’s customer satisfaction is just four percentage points below H.J. Heinz, the national benchmark. The percentage of people who are very satisfied with MoDOT rose to 24 percent in 2009, up from 21 percent last year and 5 percent in 2003. In the past year, those who reported being dissatisfied with MoDOT dropped from 23 percent to 15 percent, an 8 percent decrease. The increase in customer satisfaction is likely attributed to MoDOT’s efforts to improve road conditions, decrease highway fatalities, bring projects in on time and within budget, be open and transparent and provide timely, accurate and understandable information.

![Percent of Overall Customer Satisfaction Chart](chart.jpg)
Percent of customers who contacted MoDOT that felt they were responded to quickly and courteously with an understandable response - 5b

Result Driver: Shane Peck, Community Relations Director
Measurement Driver: Sally Oxenhandler, Community Relations Manager

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure indicates whether customers are comfortable with the speed, courtesy and clarity of MoDOT customer service.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Customers who contact MoDOT Customer Service Centers are asked to complete a short telephone survey when their business with the customer service representatives is complete. Callers who agree are forwarded to an automated survey that asks three “yes or no” questions on the timeliness, accuracy and courtesy of the call.

Improvement Status:
Customers who contacted MoDOT in the first quarter of 2010 reported they received prompt, courteous and understandable service nearly 100 percent of the time. Based on 4,368 surveys, 97.8 percent felt they were responded to quickly; 99.5 percent felt they were treated courteously and 98.9 percent felt the response they received was understandable. MoDOT customer service representatives met March 24 for their annual meeting to discuss customer service issues and share best practices.
Personal, Fast, Courteous and Understandable Response to Customer Requests (Inbound)

Percent of Customers Who Contacted MoDOT That Felt They Were Responded To In a Personal and Courteous Manner

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Quarter</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>99.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>99.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Qtr. 2010</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Desired Trend

Percent of Customers Who Contacted MoDOT That Understood the Response Given

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Quarter</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>98.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>98.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Qtr. 2010</td>
<td>98.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Desired Trend
Personal, Fast, Courteous and Understandable Response to Customer Requests (Inbound)

Percent of documented customer requests responded to within 24 hours -5c

Result Driver: Shane Peck, Community Relations Director
Measurement Driver: Sally Oxenhandler, Community Relations Manager

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks how quickly MoDOT responds to customer requests through the customer service centers.

Measurement and Data Collection:
This information comes from the customer service database, where customer requests requiring follow-up are documented from the time the call comes in until the request is responded to. This may include requests for signs, traffic signal review, pothole patching or work zone congestion. Almost all customer requests are responded to immediately, including basic phone call transfers, questions, or requests for general information; these routine contacts are not documented here.

Improvement Status:
Almost 100 percent of the 7,507 customer requests in the first quarter of 2010 were responded to within 24 hours. This number has remained extremely high since MoDOT first began tracking the data.

Percent of Documented Customer Requests Responded to Within 24 Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Quarter</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Qtr. 2010</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Personal, Fast, Courteous and Understandable Response to Customer Requests (Inbound)**

**Average completion time on requests requiring follow up-5d**

**Result Driver:** Shane Peck, Community Relations Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Sally Oxenhandler, Community Relations Manager

**Purpose of the Measure:**
This measure tracks MoDOT’s responsiveness to customer inquiries that are received through the customer service centers and documented in the database.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**
Customer requests in the customer service database are tracked for average completion time. Longer-term requests that require more than 30 days to complete are removed from the results because longer-term requests would skew the overall results. Time is measured in working days; weekends and holidays are excluded.

**Improvement Status:**
The average time to complete customer requests dipped to 1.2 days in the first quarter of 2010, the lowest turn-around time since MoDOT began tracking the data. There were 7,507 customer requests this quarter.

---

**Average Completion Time on Requests Requiring Follow-up (Excludes Long-Term Issues)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Quarter</th>
<th>1st Qtr. 2009</th>
<th>2nd Qtr. 2009</th>
<th>3rd Qtr. 2009</th>
<th>4th Qtr. 2009</th>
<th>1st Qtr. 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESIRED TREND**
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**PARTNER WITH OTHERS TO DELIVER TRANSPORTATION SERVICES**

*Tangible Result Driver – Kevin Keith, Interim Director*

To be an effective leader in transportation, MoDOT must work with agencies and branches of government, including state, county, private industry and municipalities to deliver a quality transportation system that meets the needs of everyone. A coordinated transportation system requires partnerships to ensure compatible decisions are made. Partnering builds trust and ensures quality results.
Number of dollars of discretionary funds allocated to Missouri - 6a

Result Driver: Kevin Keith, Interim Director
Measurement Driver: Todd Grosvenor, Financial Resource Administrator

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure shows the amount of discretionary funds allocated to Missouri.

Measurement and Data Collection:
This is an annual measure updated each January. The federal government allocates discretionary funds to states for specific highway and multimodal projects. Multimodal projects include waterway, aviation, transit and rail activities. These funds are distributed administratively for programs that do not have statutory distribution formulas. States compete for these funds, which are above the formula apportionments. Resource Management collects this information from the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, Federal Aviation Administration and Federal Railroad Administration. Missouri’s share of the total highway funds allocated nationwide over the last five years is 4.2 percent, which ranks third. The state of California received the largest share with 14.6 percent. Missouri’s share of the total multimodal funds allocated nationwide over the last five years is 1.4 percent, which ranks 25th. The state of New York received the largest share with 15.3 percent.

Improvement Status:
Discretionary funds allocated to Missouri for highway projects decreased in 2009. This was mainly due to a decrease in the funds made available from the annual appropriations bill. The funds allocated to Missouri decreased 14 percent from 2008 to 2009, while the funds allocated nationwide decreased 16 percent.

Discretionary funds allocated to Missouri for multimodal projects increased in 2009. This was mainly due to an increase in transit funds. The funds allocated to Missouri increased 4 percent, while the funds allocated nationwide increased 7 percent.

MoDOT works closely with Missouri’s congressional delegates to identify specific transportation projects that are good candidates for discretionary funds.
Partner with Others to Deliver Transportation Services

Number of Dollars of Discretionary Funds Allocated to Missouri - Multimodal

- 5-Year Average for Missouri: $80 million, 1.4%
- 5-Year Average for New York: $852 million, 15.3%

Federal Fiscal Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Dollars</th>
<th>Percent Share of Total Nationwide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Partnering with others to deliver transportation services.
**Percent of earmarked dollars that represent MoDOT’s high priority highway projects-6b**

**Result Driver:** Kevin Keith, Interim Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Todd Grosvenor, Financial Resource Administrator

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure shows the percent of earmarked dollars that represent MoDOT’s high priority highway projects.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
This is an annual measure updated each January. Earmarked dollars are federal funds allocated to states for specific highway projects. These funds are distributed administratively for programs that do not have statutory distribution formulas. States compete for these funds, which are above the formula apportionments. Resource Management collects this information from the Federal Highway Administration. MoDOT’s high priority highway projects are identified in the Federal Priorities list that is prepared by Governmental Relations. This list is provided to Missouri’s congressional delegates.

**Improvement Status:**  
Missouri’s earmarked dollars for specific highway projects decreased in 2009. This was mainly due to a decrease in the funds made available from the annual appropriations bill. However, the percent of earmarked dollars that represent MoDOT’s high priority highway projects increased slightly. Many of the earmarked dollars were for projects identified on our Federal Priorities list. Over the last five years, MoDOT’s high priority highway projects received 65 percent of the earmarked dollars.

MoDOT works closely with Missouri’s congressional delegates to identify MoDOT’s high priority highway projects that are good candidates for earmarked dollars.
Partner with Others to Deliver Transportation Services

Number of Earmarked Dollars Representing MoDOT's High Priority Highway Projects

- MoDOT High Priority Highway Projects
- Other Projects

Federal Fiscal Year

- 2005: $50 million, Other Projects: $17 million
- 2006: $50 million, Other Projects: $56 million
- 2007: $54 million, Other Projects: $54 million
- 2008: $63 million, Other Projects: $24 million
- 2009: $54 million, Other Projects: $29 million

5-Year Average: $54 million
Partner with Others to Deliver Transportation Services

Number of dollars generated through cost-sharing and other partnering agreements-6c

Result Driver: Kevin Keith, Interim Director
Measurement Driver: Todd Grosvenor, Financial Resource Administrator

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure shows the number of dollars invested by cities, counties, transportation corporations, transportation development districts and others for state highway system improvements. It monitors the effectiveness of MoDOT’s cost-sharing and partnering programs. MoDOT allocates $30 million per year for projects proposed by entities willing to assist in a project’s funding that will benefit the state highway system.

Measurement and Data Collection:
This is an annual measure updated each October. Resource Management collects this data from the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and Permits databases. The dollars are shown in the state fiscal year in which construction contracts are awarded and permits are issued.

Improvement Status:
The number of dollars decreased in fiscal year 2009 compared to fiscal year 2008. The decrease is due to the construction contract awards of some major cost-share projects in fiscal year 2008 such as Route 36 in Macon, Marion, Monroe and Shelby counties, Route 100 in Franklin County and Route 67 in Madison and Wayne counties totaling $115 million. In fiscal year 2009, construction contracts were awarded for the following cost-share projects: Route 470 in Jackson County, Route 71 in Cass County, Route 61 in Lincoln County, Route 50 in Franklin County, Route H in Greene County, Route 72 in Madison County and others.

MoDOT markets the cost sharing and partnering programs throughout the state to build partnerships with entities to pool efforts and resources to accomplish what may have previously seemed unlikely.

Desired Trend

Number of Dollars Generated Through Cost-sharing and Other Partnering Agreements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Dollars (in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fiscal Year
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Leverage Transportation to Advance Economic Development

Tangible Result Driver – Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer

Transportation is essential to Missouri’s economic well-being. It plays a critical role in creating jobs and stimulating lasting growth for Missouri. In addition, focusing on ways to advance economic development helps MoDOT achieve its mission of promoting a prosperous Missouri.
Number of miles of new four-lane corridors completed-7a

Result Driver: Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer
Measurement Driver: Jay Bledsoe, Transportation System Analysis Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the miles of additional divided highways available to the public. Access to a divided highway system supports economic development in Missouri. One of MoDOT’s recent priorities has been completion of four-lane corridors in order to connect segments of highway where gaps exist.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Projects that create or complete sections of dual-divided highways are identified and tracked. Completion is defined as the date the project is opened to traffic. This is an annual measure updated each January.

Improvement Status:
Nearly 50 miles of new four-lane corridors were completed during calendar year 2009, primarily on U.S. Routes 60, 67 and 65. Progress in 2009 was nearly the same as that of 2008 as projects funded by Amendment 3 bonds approved by Missouri voters in November 2004 were completed. ARRA funding will accelerate the completion of some future expansion projects. There are approximately 54 miles of work to complete four-lane highways included in the current five-year Statewide Transportation Improvement Program.

A MoDOT study completed in 2007 looked at seven major economic indicators in non-urbanized counties. The indicators are county population, annual wages, household income, number of business firms, gross sales tax, real estate valuations and per capita income. Results showed that counties that have more than 15 miles of four-lane highway scored from 9 to 183 percent higher in these areas than counties with a lesser number of divided miles.

---

Number of Miles of New Four-Lane Corridors Completed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Number of Miles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>58.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>60.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent utilization of SIB & STAR loan programs-7b

Result Driver: Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer
Measurement Driver: Brenda Morris, Resource Management Director

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure shows the percent utilization of MoDOT’s revolving loan programs, the Missouri State Infrastructure Bank (SIB) and the State Transportation Assistance Revolving (STAR) program.

The SIB program, which is administered by the Missouri Transportation Finance Corporation (MTFC), was authorized by federal law in 1995 to finance both highway and non-highway projects. The STAR program finances non-highway projects such as air, water, rail or mass transit facility construction, mass transit vehicles and vehicles for elderly or handicapped people. STAR funding is appropriated by the General Assembly.

Measurement and Data Collection:
This is an annual measure updated each July. The percent utilization is the total assets less cash available for loans divided by total assets. Resource Management collects this data from financial reports and a SIB and STAR loans database.

Improvement Status:
The percent utilization of the SIB loan program increased to 89.1 percent as of June 30, 2009. The amount available to loan decreased because: eleven entities were approved for loans totaling $23.7 million; five entities determined they did not need their loans totaling $13.2 million; three entities reduced their loan amounts by $4.9 million; and adjustments were made to the timing of disbursements and repayments.

The percent utilization of the STAR loan program decreased to 80.1 percent. The amount available for loans increased due to loan repayments and investment earnings. The STAR fund has approximately $705,000 available for loans.

Resource Management held partnership development training workshops at all districts in fiscal year 2009.
Leverage Transportation To Advance Economic Development

Percent Utilization of STAR Loan Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Total Assets</th>
<th>Available for Loans</th>
<th>Percent Utilization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>59.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>98.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>80.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Dollars (in millions)

Percent

DESIRED TREND

100%
Economic return from transportation investment -7c

**Result Driver:** Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer  
**Measurement Driver:** Ben Reeser, Financial Resource Administrator

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks the economic impact resulting from the state’s transportation investments. Economists have found that transportation investments affect employment, personal income and economic output.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
MoDOT works with the Department of Economic Development to perform economic impact analyses for the state’s transportation investments. The analyses are performed using a model called the Regional Economic Modeling, Inc. (REMI). Through these efforts, the department can provide state and regional estimates to demonstrate employment, income and state benefits related to specific projects, corridors and program expenditures. This annual measure is updated each October.

**Improvement Status:**  
The REMI model results demonstrate the strong link between transportation investment and economic development. An analysis of the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) provides a summary of economic benefits related to transportation investments over the next 20 years. The 2010-2014 STIP will invest more than $4 billion into highway and bridge projects across the state. On average, these STIP investments will create approximately 7,286 new jobs with an average wage of $30,474 per job. As a result, average personal income is expected to increase by $309 million. The 2010-2014 STIP projects will contribute $810 million of economic output for the state per year totaling $16.2 billion over the next 20 years. This equates to a $3.92 return on every $1 invested in transportation. The 2010-2014 STIP has a lower economic return compared to the 2009-2013 STIP due to decreased transportation investments and a weakened economy. MoDOT continues to work with DED to conduct economic impact analyses for the various transportation investments throughout the state. Additional studies can be found online [http://www.modot.mo.gov/newsandinfo/EconomicImpactAnalysis.htm](http://www.modot.mo.gov/newsandinfo/EconomicImpactAnalysis.htm).
Leverage Transportation To Advance Economic Development

### Economic Return from Transportation Investment

#### Annual Personal Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2008-2012</th>
<th>2009-2013</th>
<th>2010-2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dollars (in millions)</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 20-Year Benefit Ratio for Every Dollar Invested

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2008-2012</th>
<th>2009-2013</th>
<th>2010-2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dollars</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESIRED TREND
(This page is intentionally left blank for duplexing purposes)
MoDOT values innovation. The department empowers employees and seeks input from stakeholders to generate innovative ideas. Collaboration with staff, academia and industry makes unique concepts come to life so MoDOT can serve its customers better, faster and at less expense to the taxpayer.
Innovative Transportation Solutions

Number and percent of research recommendations implemented-8a

Result Driver: Mara Campbell, Organizational Results Director
Measurement Driver: Bill Stone, Organizational Performance Administrator

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the number of completed research projects, and the percentage of implemented research recommendations. MoDOT realizes the importance of supporting innovation and research and is driven to provide the department with the latest ideas, technologies, and solutions needed to deliver the most efficient, safe, and economical transportation system.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Research projects implemented include any new ideas, methods, policies, processes, standards, equipment or tools introduced for the purpose of improving the department’s operation, services, or products. For this measure, research projects are categorized into two areas: 1) Information and policy guidance research, and 2) Technical, product-focused research. Both categories are reported as the number of completed activities and percent of recommendations implemented. Examples of information and policy guidance research products include determining the economic impact of highway construction or smoother pavements, or development of freight planning agendas. Technical, product-focused research projects examples include developing passing lane alternatives, or concrete curing specifications.

For these research products, the definition of implemented includes all solutions that have been or are being applied. “Percent of research recommendations implemented” is determined by dividing the number of research projects producing implementable results by the total number of research projects completed during the reporting period.

MoDOT’s elevated emphasis on strategically focused research and its implementation should result in better and more economical transportation products and services delivered. Data for this measure is collected and analyzed annually with updates in the July Tracker edition.

Improvement Status:
During fiscal year 2009, MoDOT’s research program completed 30 total research projects. Seventeen projects were categorized as information and policy guidance reports and are considered implemented. Thirteen projects were categorized as technical, product-focused reports. Nine of those projects produced implemented results within the department. This represents a 69 percent implementation rate for the technical report recommendations.

Organizational Results has made a more concerted effort to develop research project work plans that have an implementation element included. This is for both in-house and contract research. Request for proposals (RFPs) for contract research are now required to include implementation as one of the deliverables for each project. This focus leads to more usable solutions and better value. While not all research results or solutions can be implemented, MoDOT recognizes the importance and value of conducting a research program driven to make a difference.

Within the last year, Organizational Results has implemented a project-tracking tool, which has brought a better mechanism to track the progress of projects and their implementation.
Number and Percent of Research Recommendations Implemented

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Information Research (n)</th>
<th>Technology Research (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>100 (4)</td>
<td>79 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>100 (7)</td>
<td>62 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>100 (16)</td>
<td>67 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>100 (17)</td>
<td>69 (9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(n) Indicates the number of research recommendations implemented
Number of external awards received-8b

Result Driver: Mara Campbell, Organizational Results Director  
Measurement Driver: Bill Stone, Organizational Performance Administrator

Purpose of the Measure:  
This measure tracks the number of external awards received by the department. These awards display the department’s dedication and efforts towards efficiency, innovation and quality throughout the organization. This information enables the department to measure progress and encourage further participation in award programs. It also provides opportunities for the department to increase public awareness of department activities.

Measurement and Data Collection:  
Each district and division office tracks the awards presented to the department by external organizations. This includes all awards presented to individuals, teams, districts, divisions and MoDOT as a whole. Data for this measure is updated quarterly.

Improvement Status:  
In the third quarter of fiscal year 2010, MoDOT received 14 awards bringing the year-to-date total to 37. MoDOT received five American Concrete Paving Association (ACPA) awards including multiple Excellence in Paving awards and the Best Portland Cement Concrete for an Urban Divided Highway Paving Project. ACPA recognized various districts and individuals for outstanding work in concrete pavements across the state.

MoDOT continues to enter various competitions to have its work judged against the efforts of other organizations.
Percent of best practices by implementation status

**Result Driver:** Mara Campbell, Organizational Results Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Bill Stone, Organizational Performance Administrator

**Purpose of the Measure:**
This measure tracks the percent of best practices implemented within MoDOT. Best practices show how MoDOT employees are applying innovation to improve daily operations.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**
MoDOT uses a simple five-question submission form for employees to share how they have improved the ways of accomplishing daily work. Submissions are evaluated and verified by managerial and technical staff. Those submissions approved as best practices are shared with MoDOT employees through online and printed publications. Every six months, division and district managers report best practice implementation status. This measure will have updates in the July and January Tracker editions.

**Improvement Status:**
In the first six months of fiscal year 2010, MoDOT’s Solutions at Work has verified and shared one best practice with department employees. However, seven best practices from FY 2009 were too recent to be included in the implementation data in July 2009 and will be included in this reporting period. Overall, 89 percent of the best practices have been fully implemented with 5 percent partially implemented and 6 percent still under review. With 94 percent of best practices partially or fully implemented, MoDOT is aggressively taking advantage of best practices. Increased fabrication time during the winter months should push the fully implemented percentage even higher for the July reporting period. The implementation rate for the year to date is 23 percent higher than the mid-year rate for FY 2009. This is a clear indication that implementation of approved best practices has become a priority. This also may be due in part to improved fabrication processes for tool and equipment innovations put in place during 2009.
### Percent of Implementation by Best Practice

**Fiscal Year 2010 YTD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Best Practices</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCS On Line Vertical Clearance Map</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Concerns Database</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mud Jack Pipe</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guard Rail Pusher</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Plate Transport</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hitch-Haul Ramp</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>String Trimmer Fix</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Deck Repair Clamp</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Innovative Transportation Solutions**

**Number of dollars saved by increasing MoDOT’s productivity-8d**

**Result Driver:** Mara Campbell, Organizational Results Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Jen Harper, Organizational Performance Engineer

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure enables MoDOT to assess its productivity by tracking cost savings indicative of practical design, value engineering, Performance Plus, and good engineering judgment.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
The cost-saving methods used by MoDOT are so broad that this measure focuses on savings measured through the Performance Plus program. The Construction Cost Savings and the Project Scoping and Estimating incentives are verified quarterly, while the Injury Reduction incentive was verified on a semi-annual basis but has been discontinued. The number of dollars saved is calculated for each of the incentives. The amount paid out to employees is no longer subtracted from the reported savings in order to report the data in a timely manner. Note that the Construction Cost Savings incentive is now calculated in the same manner as the Project Scoping and Estimating incentive, that is, calculations are based on all of the project offices/districts whether or not they qualified. The historical data was recalculated to reflect these changes. Savings are reported in the same quarter the data is measured. For the Construction Cost Savings and Project Estimating and Scoping incentives, the measurement data reflects January to March FY 2010.

**Improvement Status:**  
For the third quarter of fiscal year 2010, MoDOT saved $18.2 million through the Construction Cost Savings incentive. In the third quarter of fiscal year 2010, $14.4 million was saved through the Project Scoping and Estimating incentive.

---

**Number of Dollars Saved by Increasing MoDOT’s Productivity**  
**Construction Cost Savings Incentive**  
(in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dollars</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>1st-3rd Qtr 2009</th>
<th>1st-3rd Qtr 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fiscal Year

**Desired Trend**
Note: The desired trend in the Project Scoping and Estimating Incentive is to keep the variance between the STIP estimate and low bid amount to 0 percent.
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Fast Projects That Are of Great Value

Tangible Result Driver – Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery

MoDOT customers expect that transportation projects be completed quickly and provide major improvements for travelers. MoDOT will honor project commitments because it believes in integrity.
Fast Projects That Are of Great Value

Percent of programmed project cost as compared to final project cost-9a

Result Driver: Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery
Measurement Driver: Renate Wilkinson, Planning and Programming Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure determines how close MoDOT’s total project completion costs are to the programmed costs. The programmed cost is considered the project budget.

Measurement and Data Collection:
MoDOT determines the completed project costs and compares them to the programmed costs. The completed project costs are reported during the fiscal year in which the project is completed.

Project costs include design, right of way purchases, utilities, construction, inspection and other miscellaneous costs. The programmed cost is based on the amount included in the most recently approved Statewide Transportation Improvement Program. Completed costs include actual expenditures. The costs do not include those that might result from any legal claims, which are rare occurrences, regarding the projects after they are completed. Positive numbers indicate the final (completed) cost was higher than the programmed cost.

This is an annual measure updated each quarter. In November of each year, this data is provided to the Missouri Legislature through the Report to the Joint Committee on Transportation Oversight.

Improvement Status:
As of March 31, 2010, for fiscal year 2010, a total of 336 projects were completed at a cost of $918 million. This represents a deviation of –7.4 percent or $73 million less than the programmed cost of $991 million.

In fiscal year 2009, a total of 411 projects were completed at a cost of $1.593 billion. This represents a deviation of 0.31 percent or $5 million more than the programmed cost of $1.588 billion.

District construction budgets are adjusted based on variation from programmed costs. The ideal status is no deviation in the programmed vs. final project cost, or 0 percent. For projects completed in the five-year period from 2005 to 2009, final costs of $6.321 billion were within 1.02 percent of programmed costs, or $64.8 million less than the programmed cost of $6.385 billion.

While a number of states track construction costs, few provide data for total project costs. Fewer still compare programmed total project costs to final total project cost. The following graph shows how MoDOT performance compares with neighboring Nebraska. In 2006, both states were within 4 percent of each other. In other years, it varied close to 10 percent. Data for Nebraska is updated annually.
Positive numbers indicate the final (completed) cost was higher than the programmed cost.
Data from Nebraska Department of Roads, one-year schedule of highway improvement projects.
Fast Projects That Are of Great Value

Average number of years it takes to go from the programmed commitment in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program to construction completion-9b

**Result Driver:** Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery  
**Measurement Driver:** Machelle Watkins, Transportation Planning Director

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure monitors how quickly projects go from the programmed commitment to fiscal closure of a construction project.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
MoDOT compares how long it takes from when the project is added to the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) to when the project is completed. Project completion is defined as fiscal closure, which happens after the visible construction work has been completed. Data is categorized by the type of work and distinguishes between design and construction stages. This is an annual measure and data is updated in October.

In general, resurfacing and safety projects take the least amount of time to develop and complete, around two to three years. New or improved bridge projects take more time, around four to five years. New or expanded highways and major bridges take yet more time, from four to eight years to develop and complete.

**Improvement Status:**  
From 2007 to 2008, design time for resurfacing projects increased to 1.6 years. Design time for safety projects decreased slightly to 1.1 years. Design time for new or improved bridges also decreased slightly to 2.7 years. The design time average for new or expanded highways decreased to 2.7 years. The design time for major bridges increased to 1.9 years. It should be noted, though, that data samples for major bridges are usually small, which allows for one to two projects to affect the averages that are reported.

Construction times from 2007 to 2008 decreased by about half for all worktype categories. This can be partially attributed to the fact that the method of calculating construction time has changed. Prior to 2008 the end date of construction was determined by using the date projects were fiscally closed, whereas now the date of substantial work completion is used. The date of substantial work completion more closely corresponds to what the traveling public would perceive as completion of construction.
Fast Projects That are of Great Value

Average Number of Years it Takes to Go from the Programmed Commitment in the STIP to Construction Completion

Resurfacing Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Award Date to Construction Completion</th>
<th>Programmed Commitment to Award</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Safety Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Award Date to Construction Completion</th>
<th>Programmed Commitment to Award</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESIRED TREND

NA
Fast Projects That Are of Great Value

Average Number of Years it Takes to Go from the Programmed Commitment in the STIP to Construction Completion

New/Improved Bridge

- Award Date to Construction Completion
- Programmed Commitment to Award

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Number of Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Award Date: 4.8, Programmed Commitment: 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Award Date: 4.0, Programmed Commitment: 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Award Date: 1.8, Programmed Commitment: 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Award Date: 1.9, Programmed Commitment: 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Award Date: 0.8, Programmed Commitment: 2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Number of Years it Takes to Go from the Programmed Commitment in the STIP to Construction Completion

New/Expanded Highway

- Award Date to Construction Completion
- Programmed Commitment to Award

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Number of Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Award Date: 8.2, Programmed Commitment: 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Award Date: 8.3, Programmed Commitment: 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Award Date: 7.6, Programmed Commitment: 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Award Date: 7.6, Programmed Commitment: 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Award Date: 4.6, Programmed Commitment: 2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fast Projects That Are of Great Value

9B (3) Missouri Department of Transportation
Fast Projects That Are of Great Value

Average Number of Years it Takes to Go from the Programmed Commitment in the STIP to Construction Completion

Major Bridge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Award Date to Construction Completion</th>
<th>Programmed Commitment to Award</th>
<th>Number of Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

April 2010

NA
Fast Projects That Are of Great Value

Percent of projects completed within programmed amount-9c

Results Driver: Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery
Measurement Driver: Dave Ahlvers, State Construction & Materials Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
The measure tracks the percentage of projects completed within the programmed amount. It includes separate categories for projects over and under one million dollars.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The completed project cost is compared to the estimated cost for each project. The percentage of projects completed within the estimated cost is gathered from across the state.

Project costs include design, right-of-way purchases, utilities, construction payments, inspection and other miscellaneous costs.

This is an annual measure updated each quarter.

Improvement Status:
MoDOT desires that all projects be completed within the programmed amount, thereby allowing the greatest number of projects to be built with the funding available. The data indicates that there is a great deal of deviation among individual projects with half over and half under budget. In fiscal year 2010, 79 percent of projects programmed over $1 million have been completed within the budgeted amount, while 65 percent of projects under $1 million came in at or below budget. Emphasis has been placed on scoping projects and developing estimates that represent the true cost of project delivery. MoDOT is striving to deliver quality projects cheaper by using practical design and by encouraging the use of value engineering.

![Percent of Projects Completed within Programmed Amount](chart.png)
Fast Projects That Are of Great Value

Percent of Projects Completed within Programmed Amount
Distribution of Projects by Amount of Variance
Fiscal Year 2010

**Percent of Projects Completed within Programmed Amount**

- **< -10%**:
  - Over $1M: 61
  - Under $1M: 52

- **-10% <= 10% Variance**:
  - Over $1M: 26
  - Under $1M: 23

- **> 10%**:
  - Over $1M: 13
  - Under $1M: 25

**Percent of Projects Completed within Programmed Amount**
Number of Projects by Amount

- **2007**:
  - Over $1M: 233
  - Under $1M: 259

- **2008**:
  - Over $1M: 221
  - Under $1M: 319

- **2009**:
  - Over $1M: 213
  - Under $1M: 198

- **2010 YTD**:
  - Over $1M: 171
  - Under $1M: 165
Fast Projects That Are of Great Value

Percent of projects completed on time-9d

Results Driver: Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery  
Measurement Driver: Dave Ahlvers, State Construction & Materials Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the percentage of projects completed by the commitment date established in the contract. Adjustments to the completion date are made when additional work is required or for unusual weather occurrences. It indicates MoDOT’s ability to complete projects by the agreed upon date.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The project manager will establish project completion dates for each project. They are documented in MoDOT’s SiteManager and STIP databases, and become part of the Plans, Specifications & Estimates submittal. The actual completion date is documented by the resident engineer and placed in MoDOT’s project management system.

This is an annual measure updated each quarter.

Improvement Status:
The results indicate that 96 percent of projects completed in fiscal year 2010 have been on time. MoDOT has focused on reducing the number of days available for construction in order to reduce congestion and inconvenience to the traveling public, while stressing the importance of completing projects on time. To achieve timely completion of improvement projects, an emphasis has been placed on reviewing construction schedules and assessing liquidated damages.

---

![Percent of Projects Completed on Time](chart.png)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Percent of Projects Completed On Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YTD 2010</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Percent of change for finalized contracts-9e**

**Results Driver:** Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery  
**Measurement Driver:** Dave Ahlvers, State Construction & Materials Engineer

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
The measure tracks the percentage difference of total construction payouts to the original contract award amounts. This indicates how many changes are made on projects after they are awarded to the contractor.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
Contractor payments are generated through MoDOT’s SiteManager database and processed in the financial management system for payment. Change orders document the underrun/overrun of the original contract.

This is an annual measure updated each quarter.

**Improvements Status:**  
MoDOT’s performance of -2.1 percent in fiscal year 2010 is below the target of 2 percent. The overall improvement is a result of a strong emphasis placed on constructing projects within budget, the use of practical design and value engineering. By limiting overruns on contracts, MoDOT can deliver more projects, leading to an overall improvement of the entire highway system. The Performance Plus employee incentive program has placed additional emphasis on completion of projects within budget.
Fast Projects That Are of Great Value

Average construction cost per day by contract type - 9f

Results Driver: Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery
Measurement Driver: Dave Ahlvers, State Construction & Materials Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the cost per day for project completion to determine the impact to the traveling public, enabling MoDOT to better manage project completion needs.

Measurement and Data Collection:
This information is gathered by extracting the actual time used for construction from the summary of days used in the SiteManager database and dividing it by the total costs of the project.

The measurement groups construction contracts into three categories:
- WD working day contracts
- CD calendar day contracts and;
- A + B or innovative contracts that provide incentive/disincentives to the contractor for early completion.

This is an annual measure updated each quarter.

Improvement Status:
The greater use of A+B and calendar-day contracts resulted in a larger amount paid per calendar day in the first two quarters of fiscal year 2010. The I-64, keICON and Safe & Sound design-build projects are included in the A+B category. Total payments for these three projects were over $159 million during this period. MoDOT’s strategy of utilizing innovative contracting techniques and design-build projects has resulted in faster contract completion and fewer delays to the traveling public. Contract types are reviewed to make a determination of the most effective use of resources for timely completion of projects.
Fast Projects That Are of Great Value

Average Construction Cost Per Day by Contract Type
All Contract Types

Fiscal Year

Dollars

2007 2008 2009 YTD 2010

Average Construction Cost Per Day by Contract Type
Number of Active Contracts

Fiscal Year

Number

2007 2008 2009 2010 YTD

April 2010
**Fast Projects that Are of Great Value**

**Unit cost of construction expenditures - 9g**

**Result Driver:** Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery  
**Measurement Driver:** Kenneth Voss, Bidding and Contract Services Engineer

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks how MoDOT projects provide great value by comparing the cost of major items of work for MoDOT projects to other state DOTs. MoDOT customers should be able to gain an understanding of what it costs for a DOT to install an item of work. While value should not be defined as MoDOT prices per unit being the lowest as compared to other DOTs, prices can be compared keeping in mind that labor rates, material availability and general project conditions such as urban vs. rural will vary from state to state.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
Value in this measure has simply been related back to dollars per unit of measure. MoDOT staff categorizes raw data from an outside vendor for the unit cost from surrounding states. In the past, FHWA has been the source for determining the “lowest in the U.S.” FHWA is currently retooling its method of determining state price indexes and is expected to have this complete in Spring 2010. This is a success for DOTs since FHWA’s old method produced numerous pieces of erroneous data. This is an annual measure updated each January.

**Improvement Status:**  
Excellent competition in the past year has enabled MoDOT to realize a minimal 5 percent increase in unit prices for bridge construction – the lowest percentage increase in this area among Missouri’s surrounding states. MoDOT was also below the surrounding states average unit prices for concrete and asphalt paving. The 64 percent increase in unit prices for soil excavation is due to an increased number of urban grading projects. In the past six months, MoDOT had an average of more than 6.1 bidders per proposal as compared to fewer than 3.5 bidders per proposal just a couple of years ago. Projects less than $500k are receiving an average of more than seven bids per proposal which can be attributed to smaller programs in cities and counties. MoDOT has also expanded the use of alternate technical concepts that give bidders and designers more flexibility to deliver the best value for every dollar spent.

---

**Unit Cost of Construction Expenditures**  
**Concrete Pavement**  
**9” Equivalent Square Yard**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>56.58</td>
<td>50.20</td>
<td>50.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>47.07</td>
<td>47.37</td>
<td>46.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>36.13</td>
<td>41.08</td>
<td>46.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>45.92</td>
<td>60.61</td>
<td>61.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>31.72</td>
<td>32.47</td>
<td>32.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>32.79</td>
<td>34.32</td>
<td>34.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>27.85</td>
<td>31.47</td>
<td>31.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>20.21</td>
<td>20.08</td>
<td>20.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>26.48</td>
<td>26.26</td>
<td>26.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Lowest for surrounding states
Footnote for the charts above:
Source data for states other than Missouri from Oman Systems Bid Tabs Professional latest data available as of January 2010. Items include common excavation items paid for by the cubic yard. Missouri data from MoDOT bid history.
Fast Projects that Are of Great Value

Unit Cost of Construction Expenditures
FHWA Bridge Cost per Square Foot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Lowest in U.S.

Source data from FHWA memo “Bridge Construction Unit Cost” dated January 2010.
Annual dollar amount saved by implementing value engineering-9h

**Result Driver:** Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery  
**Measurement Driver:** Joe Jones, Engineering Policy Administrator

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks the amount of money MoDOT saves by implementing value engineering proposals.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
Value Engineering has saved MoDOT over $500 million since 1988. VE achieves savings at the design phase and at the construction phase of a project. VE utilizes a team approach to refine the purpose and need and then develop innovative and creative ideas, which result in project savings while optimizing project performance. The VE team is usually independent from the project core team and includes participants from various disciplines both from within and outside of MoDOT. VE studies are done on projects at all stages of development, from the concept stage to final design and during construction.

Traditionally, VE studies during the design phase of a project were a five-day formal event that required a tremendous amount of organization and facilitation. As a result, VE studies were only done on the significant few projects where large savings could be realized. In an effort to increase the number of VE studies being done and thus increase the potential for cost savings, the format of the study has been revised to be more flexible. VE studies now match the size and needs of the project, ranging from two hours to five days. This change has increased the number of VE studies being done during the design phase of the projects.

VE savings are reported annually to the Federal Highway Administration by each state and the national results are available for Federal Fiscal Year 2008. For design phase savings, Florida is the best in the nation showing $480 million implemented. For construction phase savings, Rhode Island is the best in the nation showing $15 million implemented. When compared to states surrounding Missouri, Kentucky reported $34 million saved during design and Illinois reported $5.98 million saved during construction. Direct comparison to other states is challenging because of differences in construction program size and project development processes. Nationwide results from federal fiscal year 2009 are being compiled and are unavailable at the current time.

This is an annual measure using a federal fiscal year, running from Oct. 1 to Sept. 30. Annual updates are reported in the October Tracker edition, however the year-to-date total for the current fiscal year is included in each of the other editions.

**Improvement Status:**  
For federal fiscal year 2009, MoDOT design savings were $23.5 million. So far for federal fiscal year 2010, design savings are $60.9 million.

For federal fiscal year 2009, MoDOT construction savings from VECP were $3.10 million and 81 out of 96 proposals submitted were approved. For the first two quarters of federal fiscal year 2010, MoDOT construction savings from VECP are $6.82 million and 38 out of 47 proposals were approved.
Fast Projects That Are of Great Value

Annual Dollar Amount Saved by Implementing Value Engineering Design Phase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Dollars (in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Federal Fiscal Year

Annual Dollar Amount Saved by Implementing Value Engineering Construction Phase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Dollars (in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>6.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>5.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Federal Fiscal Year

Missouri Department of Transportation
Percent of customers who feel completed projects are the right transportation solutions - 9i

Result Driver: Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery
Measurement Driver: Kathy Harvey, State Design Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure provides information regarding the public’s perception of MoDOT’s performance in providing the right transportation solutions.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Data for this measure is collected through an annual survey that is sent to users of projects that were completed and opened to traffic within the previous year. The goal is for the MoDOT districts to identify 30 projects – three per district – in three different categories (large – major route listed as or funded through major project dollars; medium – district-wide importance; and small – only local significance).

A sample of residents is drawn from zip code areas adjoining the roadway where the project was recently completed. The samples have included 400 addresses per project area for a total of 12,000 surveys (11,600 in 2007 when there were 29 projects included). Nearly 2,900 surveys were returned in the initial survey, followed by 2,300 (2007), 2,697 (2008), and 2,461 in the most recent survey.

This measure is reported annually in January. Districts will continue to identify one project in each of the three categories to be surveyed, although it is recognized that it might not be possible for every district to have three projects that meet the criteria each year.

Improvement Status:
Project-specific questions were asked of MoDOT customers and each showed a high level of satisfaction with important goals such as safety, convenience, less congestion, handles traffic efficiently, easy to navigate, easy to understand and well marked.

All of the key measures were statistically similar to last year’s high ratings, but the fact that all measures went up suggests a slight improvement overall. The results show that most Missourians are very satisfied with their local project and generally believe that MoDOT provides the right transportation solution. 89.9 percent of the respondents were either “very” or “fairly” familiar with the project roadway, and 67.9 percent of the respondents were regular users of the affected roadway.

The majority of respondents thought that the project made the roadway:
- safer (95.7 percent),
- more convenient (94.0 percent),
- less congested (84.4 percent),
- easier to drive (95.2 percent),
- better marked (92.9 percent), and
- was the right transportation solution (95.4 percent).

As part of the questionnaire, each respondent had the opportunity to provide comments about why their local project was – or was not – the right transportation solution. Each comment that was provided has been shared with the districts for their evaluation and guidance for future projects.
Fast Projects That Are of Great Value

Percent of Customers Who Feel Completed Projects Are The Right Transportation Solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not really</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very much</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>77.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Missouri Department of Transportation
MoDOT takes great pride in being a good steward of the environment, both in the construction and operation of Missouri’s transportation system and in the manner in which its employees complete their daily work. The department strives to protect, conserve, restore and enhance the environment while it plans, designs, builds, maintains and operates a complex transportation infrastructure.
Environmentally Responsible

Percent of projects completed without environmental violation-10a

Result Driver: Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery
Measurement Driver: Kathy Harvey, State Design Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks environmental violations. MoDOT projects must comply with several environmental laws and regulations. To be in compliance, MoDOT makes commitments throughout the project development process that must be carried forward during construction and maintenance. In addition, the various permits obtained for projects also contain specific requirements for compliance. MoDOT must also comply with the environmental laws and regulations as it conducts its daily work in all areas of the organization.

If a violation is noted, it can result in either a Letter of Warning (LOW) or a Notice of Violation (NOV) to MoDOT. Letters of Warning can also be received as simply that, a warning to MoDOT of a special circumstance to be aware of, or for a situation that needs to be monitored so that a violation does not occur. For that reason, LOWs never will be eliminated but should be kept to a minimum. However, it is unacceptable to the department to have an NOV.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Both LOWs and NOVs are written correspondence to MoDOT or MoDOT’s contractors from regulatory agencies, which are tracked in a MoDOT database by location or project number, as appropriate. Where tracked by project, the project with violations received may span several years. The first chart is based on a calendar year of construction projects reported to be completed during that year and the number of violations received on those projects over the life of the project. The second chart is a report by calendar year of the LOWs and NOVs received by the department for any activity and the data is updated quarterly.

Improvement Status:
The percentage of projects completed without environmental violation shows a relatively level trend line for the past five years. For 2009, 96.5 percent of projects were completed without any environmental violations. There was an increase in LOWs in 2009 compared to 2008, but a decrease in NOVs.

- First quarter 2010 – MoDOT received two LOWs. One LOW was for effluent limitations at the Conway Welcome Center. Operational changes are ongoing to bring the plant into compliance. The other LOW was the result of a routine underground storage tank system inspection conducted by MoDNR. Deficiencies noted were all corrected as of March 16, 2010.
- Two NOVs were received for failure to submit manifest summary reports at the Sikeston and Mansfield maintenance facilities. After consultation with MoDNR it was determined the NOVs issued were errors and they have been rescinded.
- There were four Environmental Assistance visits conducted by MoDNR in February 2010. No violations were found that would result in a NOV or LOW.
Note: There is no benchmark data presented with this measure. MoDOT has a zero-tolerance policy toward NOVs, but recognizes LOWs will never be eliminated due to their nature. Therefore, regardless of what other states are doing, MoDOT’s desired results are zero NOVs, because NOVs are usually violations of law and state statute.
Number of projects MoDOT protects sensitive species or restores habitat-10b

**Result Driver:** Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery  
**Measurement Driver:** Gayle Unruh, Environmental & Historic Preservation Manager

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
Missouri is home to many rare species of plants and animals, some of which are on the federal endangered species list. The Endangered Species Act of 1973 prohibits harm or harassment of these species. Avoiding or minimizing harm to these species and protecting or restoring their habitat is a fundamental obligation of this organization. Avoidance and/or protection are the first goals of MoDOT’s efforts, but in circumstances where avoidance cannot be achieved, restoration of habitat is a minimum acceptable result.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
On all MoDOT projects, the department investigates and informs the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) of any activity in the vicinity of a known threatened or endangered species or critical habitat. Through consultation with USFWS, MoDOT has the data to report on this measure. Because this measure focuses on projects that protect or restore sensitive habitats that could not initially be avoided, most MoDOT projects are not included in this data. This measure is tracked by calendar year with quarterly updates. Annual data are finalized and shown in the January Tracker. There is no desired trend with this measure. The number reported will fluctuate depending on the size of MoDOT’s construction program each year, type of projects being constructed, location and the ability to make adjustments to avoid impacts on sensitive species or their habitat. There are occasionally more than one species on a project.

**Improvement Status:**  
MoDOT protected sensitive species or restored their habitat on one project in the first quarter of calendar year 2010. This project involves using a misting deterrent system to keep birds from nesting on the old Tuscumbia Bridge while it is being demolished. Used in the past as a way to keep birds from congregating on bridges in public areas, this technology is being transferred to bridges MoDOT needs to work on during the nesting season. The effectiveness of this system is being tested on this first project. It is expected that it will be a considerable cost savings over other exclusionary devices, like netting the bridge or doing work on bridges outside of the nesting season.

![Number of Projects MoDOT Protects Sensitive Species or Restores Habitat](image)

**Calendar Year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Desired Trend:** NA
Ratio of acres of wetlands created compared to the number of acres of wetlands impacted-10c

Result Driver: Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery
Measurement Driver: Gayle Unruh, Environmental & Historic Preservation Manager

Purpose of the Measure:
Wetlands are a valuable resource in Missouri, having beneficial functions such as wildlife habitat, flood storage and water quality improvement. In addition to these benefits, it is required in the Clean Water Act that impacts to wetlands are avoided, minimized or that wetlands are recreated when a wetland is destroyed during a transportation project.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Data for this measure is calculated by comparing acres of project impacts taken from Clean Water Act permits to acres of wetland constructed, as shown in roadway design plans or by calculating the actual wetland areas recreated by MoDOT, or wetland mitigation purchased from a commercial wetland bank. Impacts may occur in a different year from the mitigation; so for the purposes of this measure, the timeframe for the reporting is when the mitigation construction is complete based on a calendar year. The national goal set by the FHWA for recreating wetland is to construct 1.5 acres of wetland for every 1.0 acre of wetland impacted. Recreating wetlands at this ratio helps to offset the beneficial functions lost during the time it takes for a wetland to develop. This measure helps ensure that MoDOT is doing its part to maintain wetlands in Missouri.

Since this measure is also tracked by FHWA for the nation, MoDOT contacted state DOTs that are successful at meeting the 1.5-to-1 ratio. Most of the states queried said that the biggest factor in meeting the ratio is in the use of wetland mitigation banks. They had greater control over achieving their target ratios and more ecologically successful wetland mitigation. MoDOT has a statewide umbrella wetland mitigation banking agreement. This measure is tracked by calendar year with quarterly updates.

Improvement Status:
MoDOT has not had any projects with wetland impacts and mitigation in the first quarter of calendar year 2010. MoDOT recently made application to the Corps of Engineers to build two additional wetland banks, the North Fork Spring River Mitigation Bank, in District 7 and the Bear Creek Mitigation Bank in District 2. MoDOT has operating wetland mitigation banks in the Kansas City, Central and Southeast Districts.
Percent of Missouri’s clean air days -10d

Result Driver: Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery
Measurement Driver: Eric Curtit, Long-Range Transportation Planning Coordinator

Purpose of the Measure:
Vehicle emissions are a significant contributor to poor air quality. MoDOT makes every effort to build and operate roads in ways that improve air quality.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) establishes air quality standards for the United States. The ground level ozone standard is used in this measure as a threshold for determining if areas of the state have clean air. EPA collects ozone readings in Kansas City, St. Louis, Springfield and the out-state areas during the annual monitoring period – April through October.

The data contained in the table below reflects the available percentage of days, by area, that Missourians experienced clean air. MoDOT compares Missouri’s ozone readings to Dallas, Texas, because of its similar pollutants and distance from other areas that affect its air quality.

Improvement Status:
In 2009, as in 2008, a cooler summer contributed to cleaner air than previous years. A new, stricter standard was established in 2008 to better meet long-term air quality improvement goals. New monitors were placed in several out state areas for the 2009 ozone season.

MoDOT is committed to improving the regions’ air quality by managing congestion to reduce emissions, modifying daily operations, modifying employee action, providing information to the public, being a leader in air quality improvement, providing alternative choices for commuters and promoting the use of environmentally friendly fuels and vehicles. MoDOT continues to serve on the air quality committees in Kansas City, St. Louis and Springfield.
Number of gallons of fuel consumed - 10e

Result Driver: Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery
Measurement Driver: Jeannie Wilson, Central Office General Services Manager

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the use of fuel and fuel efficiency within MoDOT. It shows MoDOT’s contribution toward environmental responsibility and conservation of resources. The first chart shows the total number of gallons of fuel consumed. The second chart indicates the average miles per gallon for the five vehicle classes that accumulate the majority of miles driven.

Measurement and Data Collection:
This measure is intended to focus on the total fuel consumed and how wise choices can impact fuel economy. Fuel data is collected based on the number of gallons of fuel consumed by unit recorded in the statewide financial system. Mileage data is gathered through the Fleet Management System.

This measure is reported one quarter in arrears. This allows more time for employees to enter the usage on their equipment. The usage data, along with fuel information, is used to calculate the miles per gallon (MPG) of the five main classes of equipment.

Improvement Status:
In comparing the second quarter of fiscal year 2010 to the second quarter of fiscal year 2009, the total fuel consumed increased by 151,000 gallons (3.7 percent). The total miles/hours recorded increased by 1.8 million miles/hours (5.8 percent).

In reviewing the data by fuel type, diesel and biodiesel increased approximately 38,000 gallons (1.3 percent), unleaded gasoline increased by 132,000 gallons (11.3 percent), and E85 decreased by 20,000 gallons (32.4 percent).

In comparing second quarter 2010 to second quarter 2009 there was an increased emphasis on improving minor road conditions which resulted in approximately 935,000 additional miles/hours being recorded. In addition, Northwest Missouri experienced a major storm resulting in a further increase of 112,000 miles/hours being recorded.

The increase in the MPG demonstrates a concentrated effort of employees focusing on idle reduction, using the most efficient piece of equipment to get the job done and planning work to better utilize resources.
**Gasoline & E85**

**Diesel**

**Biodiesel**

### Number of Gallons of Fuel Consumed

**(in millions)**

- **2006**: 8.254
  - Gasoline & E85: 0.960
  - Diesel: 2.147
  - Biodiesel: 5.443

- **2007**: 8.727
  - Gasoline & E85: 2.287
  - Diesel: 3.140
  - Biodiesel: 2.273

- **2008**: 8.866
  - Gasoline & E85: 2.273
  - Diesel: 3.534
  - Biodiesel: 2.494

- **2009**: 8.266
  - Gasoline & E85: 2.494
  - Diesel: 3.278
  - Biodiesel: 4.127

- **2nd Qtr 2009**: 4.127
  - Gasoline & E85: 1.233
  - Diesel: 1.071
  - Biodiesel: 0.935

- **2nd Qtr 2010**: 4.278
  - Gasoline & E85: 1.997

### Statewide Average Miles per Gallon for Five Main Vehicle Classes

**(Cars, Pickups, Light Duty Trucks, Heavy Duty Trucks and Extra Heavy Duty Trucks)**

- **FY08**: 8.53
- **FY09**: 9.00
- **2nd Qtr FY09**: 9.33
- **2nd Qtr FY10**: 9.62
Number of historic resources avoided or protected as compared to those mitigated - 2010f

**Result Driver:** Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery  
**Measurement Driver:** Bob Reeder, Historic Preservation Manager

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
Federal historic preservation laws relating to federally funded projects, gaining public and agency support for particular projects, and general environmental stewardship require MoDOT to avoid, minimize or mitigate project impacts to historic buildings, bridges and marked cemeteries whenever feasible. Historic properties typically are more than 50 years in age and must retain most or all of their original features, be a good example of a rare or significant style or type, or be associated with a historically important person or event. Compiling information about project impacts to important cultural resources provides a measure of MoDOT’s success at avoiding, protecting or mitigating project impacts to important cultural resources.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
Data collection begins at the approved conceptual plans stage for projects. As project design plans and right of way plans are prepared by the district, department staff track the number of historic resources in project footprints and the number of resources that can be avoided or protected by revising the design of a project versus the number of resources MoDOT can not avoid and must be mitigated. The data includes only historic resources identified as potentially affected by projects after the conceptual plan stage. The data does not include historic resources avoided during early project planning or those avoided during consideration of different alignments during National Environmental Policy Act studies. This measure has no overall desired trend. For any year, data for the measure will vary due to the number of projects in the MoDOT program and the specific nature of those projects. This measure is tracked by calendar year with quarterly updates.

**Improvement Status:**  
MoDOT avoided project impacts to all but one historic resource during the first quarter of 2010. The St. Louis Route 141 project adversely impacted a historic building that required mitigation through the preparation of detailed photographic and historical documentation. The St. Louis Mississippi River Bridge project was modified to avoid an adverse impact to a historic building. While there is no desired trend to this measure, the goal of MoDOT’s historic preservation efforts is to minimize adverse project impacts to historic properties whenever it is feasible and prudent.
Number of tons of recycled/waste materials used in construction projects-10g

**Result Driver:** Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery  
**Measurement Driver:** Dave Ahlvers, State Construction and Materials Engineer

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks MoDOT’s efforts to be environmentally conscious through the use of recycled/waste material when applicable.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
The number of tons of recycled/waste material used in construction projects is measured through MoDOT’s construction management database, which tracks material incorporated into projects. Data is collected on an annual basis due to the seasonal nature of the construction. The annual total is finalized in each April edition.

**Improvement Status:**  
Cold, wet weather that has characterized the onset of the 2010 construction season accounts for the low year-to-date totals reported. The final quantities for 2009 changed very little from last quarter however reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) used in hot mix asphalt (HMA) surpassed the half-million ton mark for the first time. The contractors’ aggressiveness in using higher quantities of recycled materials, especially those replacing asphalt or cement, indicates that these materials are not only environmentally friendly but add a competitive bidding edge to the projects.

---

**Number of Tons of Recycled/Waste Materials Used in Construction Projects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Hot Mix Asphalt</th>
<th>Concrete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>902 (in thousands)</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YTD 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(This page is intentionally left blank for duplexing purposes)
Efficient Movement of Goods

Tangible Result Driver – Brian Weiler, Multimodal Operations Director

Missouri’s location in the nation’s center makes it a major crossroads in the movement of goods. Transportation infrastructure must be up to the task so that as the flow of freight becomes more efficient, businesses and communities share the economic benefits.
Efficient Movement of Goods

Freight tonnage by mode-11a

Result Driver: Brian Weiler, Multimodal Operations Director
Measurement Driver: Ernie Perry, Administrator of Freight Development

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks trends and indicates diversification of freight movement on Missouri’s transportation system.

Measurement and Data Collection:
This is an annual measure. However, individual charts are updated as new data is obtained from external sources. Port tonnage is reported to MoDOT from public ports and the Army Corps of Engineers. Air cargo data is collected via mail survey to commercial airports with known cargo activity. Rail tonnage is obtained from the Association of American Railroads. MoDOT calculates motor carrier freight movement using commercial vehicle miles traveled, trip length per shipment and average truck cargo weight.

Improvement Status:
Total freight tonnage for all modes increased slightly in 2007 to more than 880 million tons. While the 2007 data does not reflect ongoing economic trends, in 2008, all freight modes show the effects of the continued economic downturn. Nationally reported freight transport rates are down from between 11 and 40 percent. However, the rate of decline is decreasing and there is some hint of a rebound in some sectors of the manufacturing and logistics areas. Port tonnage has remained relatively steady since 2003 despite low flows on the Missouri River. Proposals to mitigate for these decreased freight movements on the Missouri river have been submitted to the federal Maritime Administration and Missouri’s congressional body. On the Mississippi River, long-term growth of river transportation is hampered by an inadequate lock and dam system. Motor carrier data may not directly reflect exact industry tonnage amounts and should only be used to indicate general industry trends.

In 2008, motor carrier tonnage is off nearly 20 percent while truck numbers increased slightly. Aviation tonnage continues to be impacted by a downturn in the aviation industry and the resulting financial impacts to airlines, which carry a significant portion of high-value air cargo. MoDOT’s Aviation Advisory Committee helps identify ways to better support the commercial aviation industry. Rail freight tonnage decreased slightly less than 10 percent in 2007, which is again likely related to the overall economic downturn.
Efficient Movement of Goods

Percent of trucks using advanced technology at Missouri weigh stations -11b

**Result Driver:** Brian Weiler, Multimodal Operations Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Barbara Hague, Special Projects Coordinator

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure indicates motor carriers’ acceptance of tools designed to improve the flow of freight traffic on Missouri highways.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
For this quarterly measure, data is collected by HELP, Inc.’s PrePass system computers which scan transponder-equipped vehicles as they approach 19 Missouri weigh stations. Pavement sensors check the vehicle’s weight while computers review MoDOT’s records to determine the carrier’s compliance with safety, insurance and other state and federal regulations. Drivers are notified to stop or are allowed to continue without delay. Carriers that comply with state and federal regulations save time and money.

The Missouri State Highway Patrol provides a quarterly measure of the number of trucks that use Missouri’s weigh-in-motion scales at Mayview and Foristell. These scales measure weight as trucks pass over them at 40 mph. Using ramp scales rather than verifying weight on fixed scales that require a full stop saves both time and money.

The benchmark state of Kentucky uses Ramp Sorter weigh-in-motion scales as its primary weighing tool and participates in Norpass, a mainline verification system. Kentucky’s mainline verification numbers are much lower than Missouri’s because their use of fixed scales is limited.

**Improvement Status:**  
First quarter 2010 results show a 2.3 percent increase in the use of advanced technology for verification of compliance even though overall activity levels at the weigh stations decreased. The reduction was due to the permanent weigh station closure at Barnhart, a closure at St. Clair due to a traffic collision, and road work at Steele. Benchmark data for 2009 is now available.
Efficient Movement of Goods

**Interstate motor carrier mileage-11c**

**Result Driver:** Brian Weiler, Multimodal Operations Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Joy Prenger, Accounting Services Supervisor

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure reports the fluctuations of motor carrier freight movement in Missouri. MoDOT uses the information to monitor freight movement trends.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
Data is collected quarterly. International Fuel Tax Agreement tax returns filed by member states and provinces and monthly reports of mileage data by the members are used to monitor the number of taxable miles traveled in Missouri by all motor carriers.

**Improvement Status:**  
Total interstate miles traveled in Missouri increased 1.57 percent from last quarter.  

During the first quarter of 2010, motor carriers traveled 3.45 percent fewer miles in Missouri than in the first quarter of 2009.  

Compared to the same time last year, out-of-state carriers traveled 3.58 percent fewer miles here and Missouri-based companies drove 3 percent fewer miles in their home state.

Industry reports indicate:

- The freight index for January 2010 was 19.5 percent higher than in January 2009. This increase is the largest year-over-year rise since March 2000.
- The national average price of diesel fuel is $2.045 and the Midwest average is $1.988.
Efficient Movement of Goods

Percent of satisfied motor carriers -11d

Results Driver: Brian Weiler, Multimodal Operations Director
Measurement Driver: DeAnne Rickabaugh, Outreach Coordinator

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks MoDOT’s progress toward the goal of expeditiously meeting the needs of the motor carrier industry and facilitating freight movement. MoDOT’s Motor Carrier Services team uses the data to identify opportunities to improve customer satisfaction.

Measurement and Data Collection:
MCS personnel, working with Heartland Market Research, LLC, revised a survey to collect customer satisfaction data. The survey, sent to 800 MCS clients each month, addresses all five MCS program divisions, International Registration Plan, International Fuel Tax Agreement, Oversize/Overweight Permitting, Safety and Compliance and Operating Authority. Survey respondents identified the services they use when doing business with MCS, then indicated their level of satisfaction with 12 customer service factors such as “timely response,” “friendly,” “respectful,” and “outcome.” They also gave an “overall satisfaction” score. Customers used a four-point scale: 4 = Very Satisfied, 3 = Satisfied, 2 = Dissatisfied and 1 = Very Dissatisfied. Survey results are reported quarterly.

H. J. Heinz Company is the benchmark for this measure that also mirrors measure 5a, Percent of Overall Customer Satisfaction. The American Customer Satisfaction Index reports that Heinz has the highest customer satisfaction rate of 200 companies and government agencies it scores – 89 percent.

Improvement Status:
This quarter’s data stems from customers’ opinions of service received between October and December 2009.

The survey reports Motor Carrier Services’ customer satisfaction rating remained steady at 95.1 percent in the fourth quarter of 2009, nine tenths of a point above the rating in the third quarter of 2009. When compared to the fourth quarter of 2008, the score is 1.7 points higher. The ratio of people who said they were “very satisfied” with the service they received from MCS in the first quarter 2009 is 61.5 percent, MCS’ highest “very satisfied” score to date.

MCS takes risks in an effort to balance resources, optimize employee time and increase customer usage of Motor Carrier Express while still maintaining a high level of customer service. In recent years, MCS decreased resources while increasing output, expectations and customer satisfaction.

Annual ratings for 2007-2009 describe steady progress toward a majority of “very satisfied” customers.
Very Satisfied
Satisfied
H.J. Heinz

Percent of Satisfied Motor Carriers

Calendar Year/Quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year/Quarter</th>
<th>Very Satisfied</th>
<th>Satisfied</th>
<th>H.J. Heinz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>92.4%</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
<td>94.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>94.2%</td>
<td>56.2%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Qtr 2009</td>
<td>94.2%</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Qtr 2009</td>
<td>95.1%</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Customer satisfaction with timeliness of Motor Carrier Services’ response - 11e

**Result Driver:** Brian Weiler, Multimodal Operations Director  
**Measurement Driver:** DeAnne Rickabaugh, Outreach Coordinator

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks motor carriers’ satisfaction with MoDOT Motor Carrier Services’ speed of response.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
Each month, MoDOT’s contractor, Heartland Market Research, LLC, surveys a pool of 800 motor carriers who contacted MCS in the previous month. These customers are asked to evaluate their satisfaction with 12 customer service factors across the five MCS program divisions, International Registration Plan, International Fuel Tax Agreement, Safety and Compliance, Oversize/Overweight Permitting and Operating Authority. “Timely Response” is one factor carriers evaluate with a four-point scale: 4 = Very Satisfied, 3 = Satisfied, 2 = Dissatisfied and 1 = Very Dissatisfied. Survey results are reported quarterly.

**Improvement Status:**  
This quarter’s data stems from customers’ opinions of service received during October, November and December 2009.

At 93.6 percent, satisfaction with Motor Carrier Services’ timely response is 0.8 points higher than last quarter and 0.7 percentage points lower than the same time last year. The rate of “very satisfied” customers rose 7.8 points since last quarter and is 5.7 points higher than the same time in 2008.

With the help of Information Systems, improvements made to the MoDOT Carrier Express oversize overweight permit function resulted in automated issue equal to two full time equivalent positions and the issue of a permit in a single second of time.
(This page is intentionally left blank for duplexing purposes)
MoDOT has an active role in all modes of transportation, including rail, air, water, and transit. Transportation is more than highways and bridges. Every day millions of tons of goods move through the state by rail. Thousands of passengers use Missouri’s airport facilities. And hundreds of barges navigate state waterways. All of these modes combine to keep Missouri’s economy robust and vital.
Number of airline passengers-12a

Result Driver: Brian Weiler, Multimodal Operations Director
Measurement Driver: Joe Pestka, Administrator of Aviation

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the number of passengers boarding airplanes at Missouri’s commercial airports. It helps determine the viability of Missouri’s commercial airline industry. This number is also used by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to help determine airports’ capital improvement funding levels.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The data is collected annually from FAA. Comparison data has been collected from the same source for the states of Arizona and Washington. These two states were selected based on similar populations in 2004. The annual passenger boardings’ data provided by the FAA is normally published in October for the preceding year. Airline passengers are defined as passengers boarding airplanes. 2009 data should be considered preliminary and is not official data from FAA.

Improvement Status:
Airline passengers have decreased by approximately 800,000 in Missouri from 2008 to 2009. The reduction in service by American Airlines in St. Louis is a major contributor toward the overall reduction in statewide boardings. St. Louis has initiated some new service to fill these voids.

State legislation passed in 2008 includes up to $2 million annually for the study and promotion of expanded domestic or international scheduled commercial service and for the study and promotion of intrastate scheduled commercial service. Since 2008, $4 million in State Aviation Trust Funds have been allocated toward air service development at the states’ commercial service airports.
Number of daily scheduled airline flights-12b

Result Driver: Brian Weiler, Multimodal Operations Director
Measurement Driver: Joe Pestka, Administrator of Aviation

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the number of airline flights. The data assists in determining options available to the traveling public. It provides an indication of the airline industry’s economic stability in Missouri.

Measurement and Data Collection:
A direct scheduled airline flight is a take-off by a scheduled commercial air carrier. A direct flight has the same flight number and is flying to one or more destinations. Data is being collected from seven airports in the state that accommodate scheduled airline flights. These airports are: St. Louis Lambert International, Kansas City International, Springfield-Branson, Joplin, Columbia, Waynesville and Cape Girardeau. Comparison data has been collected for the commercial airports in Arizona and Washington. These two states were selected based on similar populations in 2004. The data is collected from the Official Airline Guide. The flights are tracked on a monthly basis with a daily snapshot collected for each month and are then averaged on a quarterly basis.

Improvement Status:
Daily scheduled airline flights in Missouri have decreased from 828 in the first quarter of 2009 to 798 in the first quarter of 2010.

State legislation passed in 2008 includes up to $2 million annually for the study and promotion of expanded domestic or international scheduled commercial service and for the study and promotion of intrastate scheduled commercial service. MoDOT is participating with five rural commercial service airports in an air service study. Since 2008, $4 million in State Aviation Trust Funds have been allocated toward air service development at the states’ commercial service airports.

![Number of Daily Scheduled Airline Flights (Direct)](image)
Number of business-capable airports-12c

Result Driver: Brian Weiler, Multimodal Operations Director  
Measurement Driver: Joe Pestka, Administrator of Aviation

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the number of airports capable of handling business aircraft. Local communities and economic development agencies can use airports to assist in increasing a community’s economic viability for business retention and development.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The graph shows the number of business-capable airports. A business-capable airport is defined as accommodating business- or corporate-type aircraft with a runway length of 5,000 feet or more. Comparison data starting in 2005 has been collected from Arizona and from Wisconsin starting in 2008. These states have a population similar to Missouri. Geographically, Wisconsin is similar to Missouri while Arizona is approximately 65 percent larger than Missouri. Data is collected annually by monitoring airport developments and Federal Aviation Administration records.

Improvement Status:
MoDOT’s Statewide Transportation Improvement Plan identifies airports that meet the demand criteria and would support the development of a 5,000-foot runway. A new business-capable airport opened in Branson West in December 2009 and a privately owned commercial service airport opened in Branson in May 2009. State legislation passed in 2008 increased the cap on the State Aviation Trust Fund from $6 million to $10 million annually, which will allow additional funding for airport improvements.
Number of transit passengers -12d

Result Driver: Brian Weiler, Multimodal Operations Director
Measurement Driver: Steve Billings, Administrator of Transit

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure gauges the use of public transit mobility services in Missouri. It also provides a historical perspective and trend of public transit service use in Missouri.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The total number of transit passengers is measured by the annual total of one-way unlinked transit trips taken by passengers on public transit vehicles. Data is obtained from urban and rural providers of general public transit services. This measure is benchmarked to the state of New York, which has a historically high usage of public transit services. This is an annual fiscal year measure with Missouri data updated in October.

Improvement Status:
In 2009, Missouri’s statewide metropolitan transit ridership decreased by 0.3 million one-way unlinked passenger trips compared to the previous year. Annual ridership increased in Kansas City, Columbia and Joplin, but it declined in St. Louis, St. Joseph, Springfield and Jefferson City. Non-metro (rural) ridership increased by approximately 0.4 million one-way unlinked trips in 2009. Transit systems experienced ridership gains in early state fiscal year 2009 (July 2008) when regular unleaded gasoline approached $4.00 a gallon. However, several transit systems relying on local sales taxes for part of their funding saw recession-related declines in those revenues and cut transit services in spring 2009, which led to decreases in passenger use.

Missouri compared 14 percent below New York State’s non-metro transit ridership in 2009. New York’s rural population in the 2000 Census was 3.4 million or 100 percent greater than Missouri’s rural population of 1.7 million. The New York benchmark data is for the calendar year. New York State’s metro ridership vastly exceeded Missouri’s metro transit ridership with just fewer than 2.8 billion trips taken compared to 69 million metro transit passenger trips in Missouri for 2009. MoDOT worked with transit providers in developing the second Missouri Rural Transit Marketing Campaign.
Easily Accessible Modal Choices

**Number of Transit Passengers**

(in millions of annual one-way unlinked metro transit passenger trips)

- **Missouri Metro**
- **New York State Metro**

Fiscal Year: 2005 - 2009

- 2005: 62.7
- 2006: 67.3
- 2007: 65.4
- 2008: 69.6
- 2009: 69.3

**Number of Transit Passengers**

(in millions of annual one-way unlinked non-metro transit passenger trips)

- **Missouri Non-Metro**
- **New York State Non-Metro**

Fiscal Year: 2005 - 2009

- 2005: 3.3
- 2006: 3.1
- 2007: 2.8
- 2008: 2.7
- 2009: 3.1
Average number of days per week rural transit service is available - 12e

**Result Driver:** Brian Weiler, Multimodal Operations Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Steve Billings, Administrator of Transit

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure identifies the average existing public transit service in rural Missouri by indicating the availability of rural mobility services for employment, medical appointments and necessary shopping.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
To calculate the statewide average number of days per week rural transit service is available, MoDOT reviews published transit service schedules for each rural Missouri County and averages these daily frequencies within a week’s schedule for available county-wide transit service. Rural transit agencies operate on an annual budget and customarily make transit service changes with the start of a new budget year. This is an annual measure with updates occurring in April. The measure is benchmarked to Tennessee, which has a comparable statewide population and some amount of transit service in every rural county as does Missouri.

**Improvement Status:**  
Rural transit service at a statewide average of two days per week is not sufficient to support full-time employment for its riders. For 2010, Tennessee deployed more days of rural transit service with five-day-a-week service, subject to available seating. Tennessee directs more state funding annually to rural public transportation ($6.2 million vs. $1.1 million in Missouri). Tennessee’s transit providers also use pure demand-response dispatching compared to designated daily routes used by OATS and other Missouri providers. However, in Missouri’s rural transit providers together delivered 2.8 million trips compared to 1.5 million rural transit trips provided in Tennessee based on their most recent 2007 data.

MoDOT worked with rural transit systems to produce a second rural transit marketing campaign. MoDOT also procured rural transit intelligent transportation system design services to begin projects to increase transit service through scheduling efficiencies.
Number of intercity bus stops -12f

Result Driver: Brian Weiler, Multimodal Operations Director
Measurement Driver: Steve Billings, Administrator of Transit

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the number of intercity bus stops. Intercity bus stops represent access points to intercity bus services provided in Missouri by Greyhound, Jefferson Lines, Burlington Trailways and Megabus. More stops among Missouri’s 114 counties means greater access. Fewer stops create a barrier to access by requiring greater traveling distances in order to board an intercity bus.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Data on the number and location of intercity bus stops is obtained annually from the national and regional intercity bus carriers. This is an annual measure with quarterly year-to-date updates of the most recent calendar year. The measure is benchmarked to Wisconsin, which has a comparable total statewide population. An additional benchmark to California was added for 2008 data.

Improvement Status:
The number of Missouri’s intercity bus stops has stabilized after earlier reductions in Greyhound service. Most of the recent incremental growth in Missouri’s intercity bus service has increased the schedule frequency for cities already receiving service rather than creating new bus stops in unserved areas. Last year, Jefferson Lines moved the Lowry City stop to Collins, and the Winston stop was dropped. The stop at Kansas City’s Union Station was dropped early in 2010. Also, a route was discontinued in Wisconsin leading to the loss of seven stops there. The California bus stop data of 261 intercity bus stops is derived from a 2008 rural intercity bus study concluded that year.

A MoDOT-sponsored statewide intercity bus study has completed project meetings with corporate officers of Greyhound, Jefferson Lines and Burlington Trailways. These meetings explored the potential for increased intercity bus stops and increased intercity bus service in Missouri. The draft final study report is currently under review by the Intercity Bus Study Advisory Committee. This report includes recommendations for additional new intercity bus service corridors.
Number of rail passengers-12g

Result Driver: Brian Weiler, Multimodal Operations Director
Measurement Driver: Rod Massman, Administrator of Railroads

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the number of people using the Amtrak train service in Missouri. This includes those taking a train trip in Missouri at any point within the state, which counts those riding on the state-supported passenger rail trains between Kansas City and St. Louis, the national trains that run through the state and the St. Louis-to-Chicago trains, most of which are supported by the state of Illinois.

For comparison purposes, the state of Washington’s train data is shown based on the state’s similar size, population and the fact that Washington has both national- and state-supported trains. Washington’s “Cascades” train service is a model for the nation because the state invests millions of dollars in both infrastructure and operations every year.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Amtrak provides the number of passengers per train in Missouri on a monthly basis. MoDOT’s Multimodal Operations Division’s Railroad Section then tabulates the numbers. Data is updated quarterly.

Improvement Status:
The months of January through March 2010 showed an increase of 19 percent over the same months in 2009 and for FY10, the total performance is 7 percent more than the figure for FY09. MoDOT continued its publicity efforts through new roadside signs, news releases, a wide-ranging distribution of train schedules and use of the department’s dynamic message signs along the interstate system. These efforts, along with an increase in much better on-time performance – such as 96 percent in January, 92 percent in February and 94 percent in March – helped increase passenger numbers.

The track Amtrak operates on is owned by the Union Pacific Railroad and is a heavily used freight line with normally more than 50 trains a day. This makes it difficult to easily “flow” the trains for on-time performance. In response to this continual problem, MoDOT commissioned a study for freight and passenger capacity improvements on the Union Pacific line between St. Louis and Kansas City. This study was completed in July 2007 and contained many options for infrastructure improvements along the line mostly between Jefferson City and Kansas City. The Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission approved the study. The Missouri Legislature provided $5 million of new funding for infrastructure improvements in the 2008 budget. MoDOT also received a $3.3 million match from the Federal Railroad Administration to complement these state funds for a total of $8.3 million. Union Pacific began work on and completed the California siding in 2009 and it has been frequently credited with keeping on-time performance at an acceptable rate. This siding construction is only the beginning, however, of a long list of rail projects on this route that will eventually make dramatic improvements to this line.

The federal American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) provided new funding possibilities for improving passenger rail service by targeting track infrastructure improvements that will increase fluidity and decrease delays. Applications filed in the August 24, 2009 round of applications were successful in that on January 27, 2010, it was announced by the Federal Railroad Administration that the shovel-ready projects were granted on a competitive basis and Missouri beat out several other states. Nine of the ten infrastructure projects applied for were completely funded by a combination of mostly federal, railroad and some state funds. Those shovel-ready projects were a package of crossing improvements, a universal crossover at Kirkwood and a second bridge over the Osage River. The new improvements, (along with Union Pacific’s prior improvements) will profoundly impact the reliability of the service’s performance. A second group of planning applications was also granted to plan six more miscellaneous projects all along the route.

All of these projects are currently in various stages of grant agreement/design finalization/review, some in preparation for construction and some for preparation to resubmit projects in future rounds. Each project follows the general aims in the study to remove bottlenecks and to increase on-time performance.
EASILY ACCESSIBLE MODAL CHOICES

Number of Rail Passengers
(in thousands)

- All Missouri Trains
- Missouri State-Sponsored Trains
- All Washington Trains
- All Washington State Sponsored Trains

Fiscal Year

2007 2008 2009 YTD 2010

Number of Rail Passengers on Missouri State-Sponsored Trains by Quarter
(in thousands)

Number

1st Qtr 2nd Qtr 3rd Qtr 4th Qtr

Number of Rail Passengers
(in thousands)

Number of Rail Passengers
(in thousands)

YTD 2010

Fiscal Quarter

1st Qtr 2nd Qtr 3rd Qtr 4th Qtr
Number of passengers and vehicles transported by ferryboat-12h

Result Driver: Brian Weiler, Multimodal Operations Director  
Measurement Driver: Sherrie Turley, Waterways Program Manager

Purpose of the Measure:  
This measure tracks information regarding use of ferryboat services in Missouri.

Measurement and Data Collection:  
Missouri’s two ferry services submit a monthly report that includes information on the number of passengers and vehicles, the cost for providing the service and the reasons for any service disruption. This measure is updated on a quarterly basis.

Improvement Status:  
The New Bourbon ferryboat operated 227 days in the first three quarters of 2010 compared to 242 days in first three quarters of fiscal year 2009. The ferry transported 9,739 vehicles through the three quarters compared to 9,470 in 2009 for an increase of 3 percent. The number of passengers decreased from 23,348 through the three quarters of 2009 to 21,830 in the same three quarters of 2010 for a decrease of 6 percent. Federal funds are being used to construct a high-water mooring for the ferry equipment.  

Construction will begin as soon as river levels will allow.

The Mississippi County ferryboat was closed during the first half of fiscal year 2009 so comparisons are made to fiscal year 2008. The service operated 221 days in the first three quarters of fiscal year 2010 compared to 251 days in 2008. The ferry transported 9,741 vehicles through the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 compared to 11,305 vehicles in 2008 for a decrease of 14 percent. The number of passengers decreased from 23,929 through the third quarter of 2008 to 22,161 in 2010 for a decrease of 7 percent.

The Mississippi County Port received grant funding through the Federal Ferryboat Discretionary Program to install new engines and purchase a larger barge to increase capacity. Agreements have been signed and engineering services have been procured.
Number of Passengers and Vehicles Transported by Ferryboat
Mississippi County (in thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Vehicles</th>
<th>Passengers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thru 3rd Qtr 2010

DESIRED TREND
State funding for multimodal programs-12i

Result Driver: Brian Weiler, Multimodal Operations Director
Measurement Driver: Lisa Hueste, Resource Management Specialist

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure provides the history of state funding appropriated by the Missouri state legislature for multimodal programs that include transit, rail, air and waterways.

Measurement and Data Collection:
This is an annual measure updated each July. State funding for multimodal programs is determined by the amount of revenue the state collects each year. MoDOT has several funds, including the General Revenue Fund, dedicated to multimodal programs for assisting Missouri citizens. The spending of funds throughout the fiscal year must be requested and authorized by MoDOT and the state legislature. The legislature may also authorize spending through bills filed by the General Assembly.

Improvement Status:
The 2009 legislative session resulted in funding decreases for some of the multimodal programs due to a decline in revenues and switching state funding to federal funding. Overall, the programs received $17.4 million for fiscal year 2010, a decrease of $16.2 million from fiscal year 2009.

Transit funding remained constant for the Transit and Missouri Elderly and Handicapped Transportation Assistance programs for fiscal year 2010. Due to a decline in revenues, some General Revenue funding was switched to the State Transportation Fund.

State funding for rail decreased $9.5 million from fiscal year 2009. Daily rail service provided by Amtrak was state funded in 2009 for $8 million. For 2010, legislators increased this amount by $1 million and at the same time switched $5.5 million to federal funding. In total, state funding for daily rail service in 2010 was approved for $3.5 million. In addition, $5 million for Amtrak capital improvement funding was reduced to reflect the second year of a two-year appropriation.

Waterways funding was reduced $6.65 million to reflect the second year of a two-year appropriation for capital improvement funding for infrastructure development.

The aviation program will remain consistent with fiscal year 2009 aviation jet fuel tax collections. While legislation increased the cap amount from $6 million to $10 million during the 2008 legislative session, revenue for the aviation jet fuel tax has declined dramatically.

MoDOT continues to work with legislators to show the importance of how multimodal programs can effectively use state funds to improve economic development and provide needed services for Missouri’s citizens.
Easily Accessible Modal Choices

State Funding for Multimodal Programs

- Transit
- Rail
- Waterways
- Aviation

Fiscal Year
Dollars (in millions)

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

Total State Funding for Multimodal Programs

- Transit
- Rail
- Waterways
- Aviation

Fiscal Year
Dollars (in millions)

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

Desired Trend

Missouri Department of Transportation
**Percent of customers satisfied with transportation options-12j**

**Result Driver:** Brian Weiler, Multimodal Operations Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Eric Curtit, Long-Range Transportation Planning Coordinator

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure provides information about the public’s perception of MoDOT’s performance in providing transportation options other than Missourians’ personal vehicle.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
This is an annual measure. Data is collected through a telephone survey each May from interviews of approximately 3,500 randomly selected adult Missourians with an overall margin of error of +/- two percent.

**Improvement Status:**  
Sixty-eight percent of MoDOT’s customers are satisfied with transportation options in Missouri. This measure increased by 11 percent from last year’s results. There was also a 9 percent increase in customers who strongly agree they are satisfied with transportation options.

The increase in satisfied customers between 2008 and 2009 can be attributed to several factors. First, MoDOT continues to place an emphasis on transportation improvements in all modes including increased services to public transportation, more reliable passenger rail service and port enhancements. MoDOT has also followed through on commitments as outlined in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program, which increases satisfaction with customers. Also, gas prices rose to an all-time high in 2008. The gas prices then fell in 2009, so Missourians are more satisfied overall with transportation. For some of MoDOT’s planning partners, an increase in funding through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act has allowed for additional opportunities to enhance various modes of transportation at their discretion.
High Impact
Low Cost

- Identify expectations for ATC
- Use contract to reduce the "twice through" effect and energy costs for lighting
- Use existing contractors to reduce the "twice through" effect and energy costs for lighting
- Get contractor plans earlier
- Flexibility schedule
- View old plans from past projects
- More innovative transportation and project combinations
MoDOT seeks out and welcomes any idea that increases its options, because the department doesn’t have all the answers. The department creates and preserves a transportation decision-making process that is collaborative and transparent, involving its customers in the determination of needs right through to the development, design and delivery of projects.
Number of customers who attend transportation-related meetings-13a

Result Driver: Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery  
Measurement Driver: Bob Brendel, Outreach Coordinator

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure gauges MoDOT’s public involvement success – both in terms of public meetings and hearings that are held to make collaborative decisions with the general public, communities, elected officials, stakeholders, and in terms of public informational events scheduled by MoDOT to keep its customers advised of project status and potential impacts that could be experienced.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Attendance is determined by analyzing sign-in sheets used at public meetings or by head counts conducted by MoDOT staff. Participation in online meetings is gauged by using “Web Trends” software. This measure is updated quarterly.

Improvement Status:
Online public meetings accounted for 48 percent of the MoDOT customers who participated in transportation-related public meetings during the first quarter of 2010. Districts 3, 4 and 10 had more online participants for the quarter than attendance at traditional face-to-face meetings. In preparation for the 2010 construction season, nearly 50 community and stakeholder briefings were held as part of the Safe & Sound Bridge Improvement Program. Thirteen of those were held online, attracting 2,359 customers – four times more than the 550 who attended the traditional meetings.
**Percent of customers who are satisfied with feedback they receive from MoDOT after offering comments-13b**

**Result Driver:** Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery  
**Measurement Driver:** Bob Brendel, Outreach Coordinator

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks MoDOT’s responses to its customers. MoDOT routinely asks people who attend public meetings/hearings to submit comments that will be examined by the project team and will become part of the project’s official record. It is important that people who avail themselves of this opportunity know that their comments are taken seriously.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
MoDOT routinely coordinates a survey for persons who attend project-specific meetings and hearings. The initial survey was sent to more than 4,500 persons who attended meetings in a five-year period. A survey process continues, with contacts made each time a project reaches the official public hearing milestone. This is an annual measure based upon a fiscal year, and data is analyzed twice each year.

**Improvement Status:**  
Thirty-six projects were surveyed across eight MoDOT districts (1-2-4-5-6-7-8-10) – a dramatic increase over the same period a year ago (11 projects across five districts) and this semi-annual result even exceeded the annual total for fiscal years 2006, ’07, ’08 and ’09.

The overall satisfaction with how MoDOT handled questions and comments was 83.9 percent – a 15.8 percent increase over FY2009 and the highest since the five-year baseline score of 66.7 percent was established in 2005.

The other two key indicators also improved compared to the previous year: 87 percent of the participants credited MoDOT with providing clear explanations and over three-quarters (77.6 percent) thought the decision-making process was open, transparent and fair.

The survey tool was modified in the last year to include space for written comments to give a better opportunity to understand customer concerns. Most respondents (74 percent) used this opportunity, and all comments were shared with the appropriate district.

---

*As measured by the American Customer Satisfaction Index.*
MoDOT Representatives Explained the Project and the Decision-Making Process in Such a Way that I Completely Understood It

The Decision Making Process was Completely Open, Transparent and Fair
MoDOT takes into consideration customers’ needs and views in transportation decision-making-13c

**Result Driver:** Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery  
**Measurement Driver:** Sue Cox, Transportation Planning Special Projects Coordinator

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This data helps determine the effectiveness of MoDOT’s project planning outreach efforts.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
This is an annual measure, and this year’s data, gathered from a statewide random telephone survey of approximately 3,500 Missourians, was collected in May 2009. A comparison is made to the Tennessee Department of Transportation, which also measures customers’ perceptions regarding involvement in transportation decision-making. Tennessee DOT is in the process of updating its performance data.

**Improvement Status:**  
MoDOT learned in the 2009 customer survey that 73 percent of the survey sample feels MoDOT considers customer concerns and needs when developing transportation decisions. This is an increase of 8 percent, moving up from 65 percent in 2008.

Northwest Missouri State University is in the process of updating its 2006 measurement by surveying NMSU freshmen and juniors’ satisfaction concerning student opportunities to provide input regarding student affairs at NMSU. The 2006 data is the most current information available.

To continuously improve in this area, MoDOT identifies additional opportunities to use techniques as outlined in the planning framework decision-making and public involvement process with local officials, planning partners, community leaders, elected officials and the general public. Media interviews, Web site publicity, news releases, newsletters, specific project surveys, public involvement surveys and community meetings continually provide new opportunities to interact with the public, share MoDOT’s direction and discuss transportation priorities.
Customer Involvement in Transportation Decision-Making

Percent of positive feedback responses received from planning partners regarding involvement in transportation decision-making-13d

Result Driver: Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery
Measurement Driver: Sue Cox, Transportation Planning, Special Projects Coordinator

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks MoDOT’s efforts to include statewide planning partners (members of metropolitan planning organizations and regional planning commissions) in transportation-related decision-making.

MoDOT’s planning framework is a process used to ensure planning partners are able to influence transportation decisions regarding how transportation funds are spent in their areas. It is based on achieving informed consent rather than consensus. To continuously improve in this area, MoDOT focuses primarily on effective communication, and public involvement tools and techniques.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Transportation Planning works with Organizational Results to administer an annual survey in January that evaluates planning partners’ involvement in the transportation decision-making process for the previous year. The survey scale measures those who agree, strongly agree, disagree and strongly disagree.

Improvement Status:
The 2009 survey received 143 responses from 316 invitations to planning partners resulting in a 45.3 percent response rate. The percent of strongly agree and agree answers increased from 92 percent in 2008 to 96 percent in 2009. The increase in response rate involves two factors: reaching planning partners by email addresses and mailing printed surveys to planning partners without email addresses. The survey focuses on feedback regarding the overall involvement of planning partners in the planning process rather than on individual MoDOT outreach activities.

Feedback helps MoDOT learn new ways to achieve better involvement, fine-tune communication and try out ideas. This past year, survey improvements, including content adjustments and distribution processes, resulted in helpful feedback and a strong response rate. Survey results were shared with planning partners and co-efforts were initiated to act on concerns, solve problems and provide clarifying information.

Transportation Planning continues working with each district to assess how the process works in the field, identifying strengths and weaknesses of the planning outreach process and sharing best practices. An action team is reviewing the information and selecting priorities for implementation.

For comparison purposes, the Oregon Department of Transportation measured a similar involvement in 2006 – indicating 65 percent of all respondents involved in transportation planning felt their involvement in decision-making was effective. Oregon reports it will update this data in 2011.
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Convenient, Clean and Safe Roadside Accommodations

Tangible Result Driver – Don Hillis, Director of System Management

Many Missouri motorists depend on roadside parks and rest areas during their travels for the opportunity to rest and refresh themselves in a safe environment. Providing safe, clean and convenient accommodations allows motorists to travel more safely and comfortably.
Convenient, Clean and Safe Roadside Accommodations

Percent of customers satisfied with rest areas’ convenience, cleanliness and safety-14a

**Result Driver:** Don Hillis, Director of System Management  
**Measurement Driver:** Jim Carney, State Maintenance Engineer

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure helps MoDOT understand customer expectations concerning the convenience, cleanliness and safety of its rest areas. This information will provide insight to rest area location, lighting and security as well as the overall cleanliness expectations.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
MoDOT measures this attribute with both internal and external data collection. MoDOT receives information from a survey card offered at all rest areas. The survey card asks a variety of questions with three of the questions specifically asking if the rest area is convenient, clean and safe. This provides direct input from our customers and is considered the external source. All comments from the cards are sent to the districts and sheltered workshop contractor to ensure concerns are addressed in a timely manner.

To ensure customer satisfaction, all rest areas are inspected using an attribute list developed and based on an industry-wide literature review. The attribute list includes characteristics rest-area users identified as what they consider convenient, clean and safe. MoDOT maintenance employees inspect all rest areas and the work of the sheltered workshop contractor at least two times per month using this list and are considered the internal source.

MoDOT works with Extended Employment Sheltered Workshops to provide the cleaning at all 17 rest areas in the system. The sheltered workshop employees provide this service 365 days a year, many from early morning (6 a.m.) to late in the evening (10 p.m.). This measure is updated quarterly.

**Improvement Status:**  
The rest area survey cards were first made available in May 2005. A total of 6,835 were returned in fiscal year 2009 compared to 9,774 cards in fiscal year 2008, 8,178 in fiscal year 2007 and 8,054 in fiscal year 2006. In the third quarter of fiscal year 2010, 1,950 cards were returned, a significantly higher number of returned surveys than in the third quarter of fiscal year 2009. The Conway Welcome Center reopened on May 4, 2009, and is the primary reason for the increase in survey cards, accounting for over 51 percent (1,004) of the cards in this quarter.

- Third Quarter fiscal year 2009 - 594 surveys received
- Fourth Quarter fiscal year 2009 - 3,120 surveys received
- First Quarter fiscal year 2010 - 6,838 surveys received
- Second Quarter fiscal year 2010 - 3,188 surveys received
- Third Quarter fiscal year 2010 - 1,950 surveys received

Customer satisfaction for the three attributes is the same in all of the factors when compared to the previous quarter. All three attributes hit the 99 percent level for the third consecutive quarter and are higher than the same quarter last year. The Steele Rest Area is closed for the conversion to truck parking as the new welcome center site at Hayti is nearing completion. This reduced the number of rest areas to 17 statewide. MoDOT implements actions to improve the cleanliness at rest areas with lower satisfaction ratings by direct contact with the responsible contractor and district personnel. Cards were returned from 49 states, Canada, Ireland, the United Kingdom, Switzerland, Mongolia, China and Spain.

MoDOT is doing extremely well at meeting the customers’ expectations for convenient, clean and safe facilities; largely in part to these inspections conducted a minimum of two times per month. The inspection scores increased slightly from 95.7 percent to 97.2 percent for the third quarter of fiscal year 2010. MoDOT takes care of maintenance concerns in a timely manner to keep the rest areas open for use.
Convenient, Clean and Safe Roadside Accommodations

Note: Rest area customer satisfaction benchmarks are limited. Florida’s 2007 rest area customer survey results found: 80 percent said the rest areas were clean, 72 percent said there were enough rest areas and 84 percent said the rest areas were safe. New Mexico has a benchmark of 95 percent in their efforts to monitor rest area satisfaction and reached a level of 96 percent for FY08.
Percent of customers satisfied with commuter lots’ convenience, cleanliness and safety-14b

Result Driver: Don Hillis, Director of System Management
Measurement Driver: Tim Chojnacki, Maintenance Liaison Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure will help the department understand customer expectations concerning commuter lot convenience, cleanliness and safety. This information will provide insight to location, lighting and security at commuter lots as well as their overall cleanliness.

Measurement and Data Collection:
MoDOT receives information in the form of survey cards distributed by MoDOT employees at 25 commuter lots. The survey contains a variety of questions, three of which specifically ask if the commuter lot is convenient, clean and safe. This is a baseline measure that provides direct input from the department’s customers and is considered an external source. This is an annual measure updated each January.

To further assess conditions and ensure customer satisfaction with the commuter lots, all lots are inspected based on attributes identified in an industry-wide literature review as to what commuter lot customers consider convenient, clean and safe. MoDOT maintenance employees inspect all commuter lots each quarter. This measure is updated quarterly.

Improvement Status:
Survey cards were distributed at 25 commuter lots to 986 customers in November and December of 2009 and the department received 279 responses. 98 percent of the customers thought the lots were convenient with 73 percent using them at least five days per week. 81 percent cited saving fuel costs as the most important reason to use the lot, with being good for the environment and the cost of parking at the destination being other considerations. 89 percent of the customers were satisfied with cleanliness of the lots compared to 87 percent in 2008. 92 percent of customers were satisfied with safety at the lots compared to 95 percent in 2008. While this is a slight decrease from 2008, customer satisfaction with safety is higher than earlier surveys.

The quarterly inspections provide input to district maintenance supervisors on work needed at the commuter lot for condition of signs, parking lot surface, litter, and vegetation management. The February 2010 inspections showed the statewide average condition improved slightly, 93.7 percent compared to 92.9 percent the previous quarter.
Number of users of commuter parking lots-14c

Result Driver: Don Hillis, Director of System Management
Measurement Driver: Tim Chojnacki, Maintenance Liaison Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the number of commuter parking lot users. It will help the department determine whether its commuter parking lots are adequate at current locations and whether lots are fulfilling the needs of the traveling public.

Measurement and Data Collection:
District maintenance personnel count the number of vehicles parked in each commuter lot in conjunction with the quarterly condition inspections. Data is collected from every district to create a statewide report. This measure is updated quarterly.

Improvement Status:
There was a decrease in the number of parked vehicles this quarter while the number of available spaces remained the same as last quarter. The number of available spaces statewide is 6,638 at 113 lots. The number of parked vehicles decreased from 2,618 last quarter to 2,389 this quarter. As confirmed by the customer surveys, gas prices are the biggest reason people choose to use the commuter lots. Increased usage in response to rising gas prices has historically lagged the price increase by one to two quarters. District and Central Office staffs continue to work on strategies that were developed by a statewide team to improve the condition and usage at the commuter lots.
Number of users of rest areas -14d

Result Driver: Don Hillis, Director of System Management
Measurement Driver: Stacy Armstrong, Roadside Management Supervisor

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the number of vehicles visiting rest areas. This information helps MoDOT better understand the peak days and times visitors use rest areas, impacting staffing decisions. MoDOT estimates the rest areas have more than 20 million visitors each year.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Rest areas at Bloomsdale on I-55, Concordia, Wright City and Boonville on I-70, Eagleville and Lathrop on I-35, Dearborn and Rock Port on I-29, and St. Clair, Conway, and Joplin on I-44 have permanent counters providing data daily. Pavement sensors send data from a solar-powered wireless transfer station. All data is from permanent counters. The counts are for the average seven-day period between January 1 and March 31. This data is updated quarterly.

Improvement Status:
Permanent counters are transferring data from 11 different rest areas located throughout the state rest area system. The Steele Rest Area is closed due to the conversion of the site to truck parking and the replacement of this site with a new welcome center currently under construction at Hayti. Data for

Dearborn, Eagleville and Conway is not available for the same period last year to compare totals.

The number of users in the first graph is the weekly average for each of the 11 sites. The weekly average is determined by adding the grand totals for each individual site for the quarter, dividing by the number of days in the quarter (90 for this quarter) and multiplying by seven for the weekly total.

The second graph provides the total number of visitors for the 11 sites for each individual day of the week of the quarter. Friday continues to be the busiest day at the rest areas.

The permanent counters were operational at 11 of the 17 rest areas this quarter. A total of 967,798 vehicles were counted at those rest area sites. Using the average vehicles per rest area data from the 11 sites, it is estimated that 1,495,688 vehicles used Missouri rest areas this quarter. Using a conservative estimate of 2.5 passengers per vehicle, the rest areas had approximately 3,739,220 visitors for the quarter. Based on quarterly averages of the third quarter, Missouri rest areas will provide service to 15 million annual visitors. The first and fourth quarters of the fiscal year traditionally have the highest visitor count.
CONVENIENT, CLEAN AND SAFE ROADSIDE ACCOMMODATIONS

Number of Users of Rest Areas*
Seven-day Average

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>3rd Qtr. FY 2009</th>
<th>3rd Qtr. FY 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dearborn</td>
<td>7,690</td>
<td>7,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concordia</td>
<td>6,536</td>
<td>7,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright City</td>
<td>8,752</td>
<td>9,930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boomsdale</td>
<td>6,816</td>
<td>6,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Clair I-44</td>
<td>10,203</td>
<td>10,297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boonville I-70</td>
<td>7,181</td>
<td>6,871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Port I-29</td>
<td>2,386</td>
<td>2,195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagleville I-44</td>
<td>1,821</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lathrop I-35</td>
<td>6,546</td>
<td>6,871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conway I-44</td>
<td>7,537</td>
<td>7,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joplin I-44</td>
<td>5,272</td>
<td>4,967</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Concordia, Wright City, Dearborn, Bloomsdale, Boonville, St. Clair, Lathrop and Conway are two directions and provide counts from both sides. Rock Port, Eagleville and Joplin are one direction only.

Number of Users of Rest Areas*
By Day of Week
Third Quarter Fiscal Year 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Number of Users</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>132,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>137,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>144,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>134,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday</td>
<td>145,081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>140,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>134,344</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESIRED TREND
NA
Number of truck customers that utilize rest areas - 14e

**Result Driver:** Don Hillis, Director of System Management  
**Measurement Driver:** Tim Jackson, Maintenance Liaison Engineer

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks the number of trucks at rest areas, welcome centers and truck parking facilities. The number of trucks using the rest areas and the nearby ramps could be used to help determine how many spaces are needed to provide convenient parking facilities at each rest area.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
On a monthly basis, district maintenance personnel count the number of trucks parked at welcome centers, rest areas, on nearby ramps within 15 miles of the welcome centers/rest areas and at abandoned weigh stations that have been converted to truck parking facilities. The count is done between 4 and 6 a.m., which is typically the busiest time. Data is collected from every rest area and truck parking facility to create a statewide report and updated quarterly.

**Improvement Status:** The first quarter of calendar year 2010 showed a 5 percent decrease in the average number of trucks using the rest areas and other truck parking facilities from the previous quarter. This was a 6.5 percent increase over the first quarter of 2009. Both sides of the Steele I-55 rest area will remain closed until they are converted to truck parking facilities this fall. The Marston southbound I-55 rest area remains closed for construction of a new welcome center. These closures have resulted in a temporary decrease of 35 truck parking spaces.

Constructing welcome centers with additional truck parking spaces and converting abandoned weigh stations into truck parking facilities continues to be a way to add parking spaces across the state to accommodate the need for additional truck parking.

---

**Number of Truck Customers That Utilize Rest Areas**

![Graph showing number of truck customers utilizing rest areas from 1st Qtr. 2009 to 1st Qtr. 2010.](image-url)
Best Value For Every Dollar Spent

Tangible Result Driver – Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer

Providing the best value for every dollar spent means MoDOT is running its business as efficiently and effectively as possible. A tightly managed budget means more roads and bridges can be fixed. That keeps Missouri moving. This is one of MoDOT’s values because every employee is a taxpayer too!
Ratio of lane miles per full-time equivalency - 15a

**Result Driver:** Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer  
**Measurement Driver:** Steve Meystrik, Special Projects Coordinator

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
The purpose of this measure is to track how Missouri ranks nationally on the ratio of lane miles per full-time equivalency (FTE). This measure assists management in making efficiency and staffing level comparisons to other transportation departments based upon the total number of lane miles within each state system.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
MoDOT receives the data for this measure from reports by the U.S. Census Bureau and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA).

The number of state DOT employees is obtained annually from U.S. Census Bureau reports of state government employment within several broad classifications that include “highways.” As defined by the U.S. Census Bureau, the “highways” classification includes employees of state government agencies whose primary function is the maintenance and operation of streets, roads, sidewalks, bridges, tunnels, toll roads, and ferries; snow and ice removal; street lighting; and highway and traffic engineering activities. The number of lane miles for each state is obtained from FHWA’s annual Highway Statistics report.

The ratio of lane miles is the number of lane miles each state DOT is responsible for divided by the number of FTEs within the “highways” functional classification.

This is an annual measure updated each July. The most recent reports used to update this measure reflect data collected two years prior.

**Improvement Status:**  
According to 2007 data, Missouri ranks tenth in the nation with a ratio of 11.46 lane miles per FTE.
**Number of full-time equivalencies-15b**

**Result Driver:** Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer  
**Measurement Driver:** Steve Meystrik, Special Projects Coordinator

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks the change in the number of full-time equivalencies (FTEs) within the department and compares it to the number of FTEs in the legislative budget. The data provides a high-level view of overall staffing at MoDOT in relation to budgeted FTEs.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
This measure converts the regular hours worked or on paid leave of temporary and salaried employees, as well as overtime worked (minus any hours that are flexed during the workweek), to FTEs. In order to convert these numbers to FTEs, the total number of hours worked or on paid leave is divided by 2,080. Salaried employee data is converted to an annual number for ease in comparison to previous years, whereas temporary employee and overtime data represent actual year-to-date calculations. The data is collected and reported each quarter of the fiscal year.

**Improvement Status:**  
Through the third quarter of fiscal year 2010, there has been an increase in the number of salaried FTEs, temporary FTEs, and FTEs resulting from overtime hours worked compared to the same time last year. Although year-to-date totals have increased in salaried and temporary employee categories compared to last year, the department has reduced the number of its salaried and temporary employees as part of cost saving strategies implemented in the second quarter of fiscal year 2010, and as part of the department’s five year plan to reduce its salaried workforce communicated in March 2010. Since the beginning of fiscal year 2010, MoDOT has reduced its salaried staffing level by 145 positions and the department has 67 fewer temporary employees when compared to the same time last year, which accounts for seasonal fluctuations. The department has continued to manage overtime expenditures; however, the number of overtime hours worked through the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 was significantly impacted by the amount of overtime necessary due to the multiple weather events that occurred this winter. Over 245,000 hours of overtime were spent on snow and ice removal this year, an increase of over 93,000 hours compared to last year (the equivalent of over 44 FTEs).

Projecting FTEs from salaried employment to an annual number and adding FTEs from actual hours associated with temporary employment and overtime, results in a total of 6,648 FTEs expended in the first three quarters of fiscal year 2010.
Salaried Employment Levels -15c

Result Driver: Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer
Measurement Driver: Steve Meystrik, Special Projects Coordinator

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the change in the number of salaried employees compared to current and targeted salaried headcount levels necessary to achieve the cost savings identified as part of MoDOT’s workforce reduction plan. On March 10, 2010, MoDOT announced its plan to reach a salaried employment level of less than 6,000 employees by June 30, 2012, and less than 5,900 employees by June 30, 2013. MoDOT plans to reach these salaried employment levels through attrition, with dedicated efforts towards workforce planning and performance management.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Salaried employees include full-time (including those on leave without pay or not working due to workers’ compensation injury), permanent part-time, and Co-op employees. Targeted headcount levels are set by the department. The data related to this measure is collected and reported each quarter of the fiscal year.

Improvement Status:
The department’s salaried headcount has decreased by 26 since February 28, 2010. During this period there were 37 separations and 11 salaried new hires. During this one-month reporting period, all 11 salaried new hires had been extended employment offers prior to the March 10, 2010, workforce reduction plan announcement. As part of its workforce reduction plan, MoDOT will backfill no more than 25 percent of the salaried positions that become vacant through attrition, with a primary emphasis on filling roadway maintenance positions.
Percent of work capacity based on average hours worked-15d

Result Driver: Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer
Measurement Driver: Micki Knudsen, Human Resources Director

Purpose of the Measure:
The purpose of this measure is to track how many hours the average employee works on an annual basis. This measure can assist management in assessing staffing and productivity levels.

Measurement and Data Collection:
MoDOT tracks the average regular hours worked and average overtime hours worked by employees. The average regular and overtime hours worked does not include seasonal or wage employees. Additional hours worked by employees not eligible to earn overtime or compensatory time are not included. The work capacity measure is the percentage of regular and overtime hours worked out of the 2,080 hours available during a fiscal year.

Within a 2,080-hour fiscal year, an employee would work 1,984 hours (work capacity ratio of 95.4 percent) if he/she worked all available hours except the 12 state holidays provided to State of Missouri employees. If another holiday has been granted by Executive Order of the governor, which is customary following the Thanksgiving holiday, then 1,976 hours would be worked at a ratio of 95.0 percent. The difference between MoDOT’s reported percent of work capacity and a work capacity of 95.0 percent will illustrate how many hours on average an employee is away from work due to paid or unpaid leave.

The United States Bureau of Labor Statistics publishes hours-worked to hours-paid ratios for 14 industry sectors. The individual ratio of the utility industry (88.2 percent in 2008) is displayed for comparison purposes due to the similarities in workforce and need for 24/7 operations. The Kansas Department of Transportation has reported a work capacity of 86.0 percent for fiscal year 2009.

Improvement Status:
MoDOT work capacity was higher through the first three quarters of fiscal year 2010 compared to the same period last year. This increase can be primarily attributed to three factors. First, overall average paid leave usage per employee has decreased. Second, less paid leave was granted through Executive Order. In 2008, a holiday was provided to state employees for the day after Christmas and over 1,100 MoDOT employees working in Cole County were given eight hours of paid administrative leave for the inauguration of the Governor on January 12, 2009. Third, the average number of overtime hours worked increased compared to the same period last year, due primarily to an increased number of snow and ice events. All three of these factors have resulted in employees spending more time at work.

![Percent of Work Capacity Graph]

* Based on 2,080 hours of work in the fiscal year, including regular and overtime hours for salaried and permanent part-time employees.
Rate of employee turnover-15e

Result Driver: Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer
Measurement Driver: Kim Hickey, Employment Manager

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the percentage of employees who leave MoDOT annually and compares the department’s turnover rate to benchmarked data. Voluntary turnover includes most resignations and retirements. Involuntary turnover reflects dismissals. Beginning with calendar year 2007, it also includes retirements and voluntary resignations of employees who had a disciplinary history and/or a final performance management rating of “Needs Improvement” or below. Turnover rates as shown in this measure include voluntary and involuntary separations.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The data is collected statewide to assess overall employee turnover. Comparison data is collected from various sources annually. For benchmarked data, Saratoga Institute surveyed more than 300 organizations representing a wide variety of industries.

Improvement Status:
The department’s voluntary and involuntary separation rates remained steady at 1.4 percent and 0.5 percent, respectively, for the first quarter of calendar year 2010 compared to the same time last year. There were 21 releases in the first quarter of 2010, and an additional 8 resignations and retirements designated as involuntary separations. Of the remaining 89 voluntary separations that occurred in the first quarter of 2010, 66 were retirements and 23 were resignations. This compares to 89 voluntary separations in the first quarter of 2009 (64 retirements and 25 resignations). While the total number of voluntary resignations decreased from the first quarter of 2009 to the first quarter of 2010, the percent of resignations by employees with less than one year of service increased from 16.7 percent in the first quarter of 2009 to 21.4 percent in the first quarter of 2010.
Level of job satisfaction -15f

Result Driver: Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer
Measurement Driver: Paul Imhoff, Employee Development Manager

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the level of employee satisfaction throughout the department at specific points in time. The first chart indicates the level of department employees’ job satisfaction and changes in their satisfaction over time. The second chart shows the percentage of MoDOT employees who are satisfied compared to the organizations that scored the best in employee satisfaction using the same survey instrument, and to top-level organizations using a similar survey questionnaire.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Employee satisfaction is measured using 18 items from an annual employee survey. The vendor contracted to conduct the employee satisfaction survey in 2003 and 2005 provided “Vendor Best Practice” data collected from an anonymous company. Society for Human Resources Management (SHRM) best practice data was gathered from an SHRM report of an annual job satisfaction survey of 55 Fortune 500 companies. This is an annual measure updated in July each year, with the final survey report completed in October each year.

Improvement Status:
The 2009 Employee Satisfaction Survey was distributed on May 4, 2009, with a completion deadline of June 16, 2009. The final report for the survey was distributed on October 30, 2009.

The results from the 2009 survey indicate that 3,792 employees responded to the survey for a 60 percent return rate. This is a decrease from 64 percent in 2008 (417 fewer surveys returned). The percentage of employees that were “very satisfied” increased from 9 percent in 2008 to 13 percent in 2009. Overall, the percentage of employees who indicated they were at least “somewhat satisfied” increased to 71 percent in 2009.

The average rating on all four scales of the Employee Satisfaction Survey increased from 2008 to 2009. Job Satisfaction increased from 3.44 to 3.58 on a 5-point scale. Employee Engagement increased from 3.55 to 3.7. Organizational Justice and Fairness increased from 3.11 to 3.28. Living MoDOT Values increased from 3.45 to 3.6. Similarly, in all ten districts and in Central Office, the average rating on each of the four scales increased.

The greatest number of specific comments from the 2009 survey tended to coincide with the lowest rated items. The area with the most negative comments revolved around pay and salary increase issues. Approximately 5 percent of respondents (175 employees) made specific negative comments about pay or issues involving the lack of promotion opportunities.
Number of lost workdays-15g

Result Driver: Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer
Measurement Driver: Jeff Padgett, Risk and Benefits Management Director

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the actual number of days that employees cannot work due to work-related injuries sustained during the reporting period. Note that the results do not include lost workdays for injuries that occurred during previous reporting periods. (Example: an employee that is injured on Dec. 31, 2009 and is off during January of 2010 will not show up as lost time in 2010 because the incident occurred during the previous reporting period.)

Measurement and Data Collection:
The data is collected from Riskmaster, a claims administration software, and reported quarterly.

Improvement Status:
The number of lost workdays for the first quarter of 2010 is 38 percent less than the first quarter of 2009, decreasing from 110 to 68 lost workdays. Though not illustrated in the chart, the number of lost-time incidents reflected a 17 percent reduction from 2009 to 2010. MoDOT continues to develop and implement new safety-related initiatives to further reduce lost workdays, including Safety Pays, a work simulation physical exam and the Fit for Duty program. Risk management personnel now direct all medical care for work-related injuries. MoDOT continues to identify and provide light-duty assignments for injured workers with restrictions in an effort to get them back to work quickly.
Rate and total of OSHA recordable incidents-15h

Result Driver: Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer
Measurement Driver: Jeff Padgett, Risk and Benefits Management Director

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the number of recordable injuries, as defined by OSHA, in total and as a rate of injuries per 100 workers. The calculation for incidence rate is the number of recordables times 200,000 divided by the number of hours worked. The 200,000 used in the calculation is the base for 100 full-time workers (working 40 hours per week, 50 weeks per year). OSHA defines a recordable incident as a work-related injury or illness that results in death, days away from work, restricted work or transfer to another job, medical treatment beyond first aid or loss of consciousness. This measure has been changed to reflect this definition for all years being reported in this measure.

Measurement and Data Collection:
MoDOT reports on the measure quarterly, and collects the injury data from Riskmaster, a claims administration software. The number of hours worked is taken from MoDOT’s payroll data.

Improvement Status:
The number of OSHA recordables for MoDOT has decreased over the reporting period noted, while the incidence rate has increased slightly. The number of OSHA recordables decreased by 13 percent over the same period, with a decrease from 99 to 86. The incident rate increased by 4 percent over the reporting period, rising from 5.65 to 5.86.

(Information from Private Industry Construction was not yet available for 2009.)
Total of OSHA Recordable Incidents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Qtr 2009</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Qtr 2010</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Number of claims for general liability-15i

**Result Driver:** Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer  
**Measurement Driver:** Jeff Padgett, Risk and Benefits Management Director

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
General liability claims arise from allegations of injuries/damages caused by the dangerous condition of MoDOT property and the injury/damage directly resulted from the dangerous condition. In addition, an employee must be negligent and create the dangerous condition or MoDOT must have actual or constructive notice of the dangerous condition in sufficient time prior to the injury/damage to have taken measures to protect the public against the dangerous condition. This measure tracks the number of general liability claims filed.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
Risk and Benefits Management reports on the measure quarterly and collects the claims data from Riskmaster, a claims administration software program.

**Improvement Status:**  
The desired result is a reduction in claims. This year we have an increase due primarily to an overall increase in pothole claims.
Cost and usage of utilities for facilities-15j

Result Driver: Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer
Measurement Driver: Doug Record, General Services Manager - Facilities

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the cost and usage of utilities for department facilities, excluding roadways. It attempts to capture the impact of energy efficient improvements in buildings and operations.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The data is collected based on utility expenditures and usage recorded in the statewide financial accounting system. The following utilities are included in the analysis: electricity (excluding roadways, lighting and signals), steam, water, sewer, natural gas, propane, fuel oil, other fuel and utilities. This is a quarterly measure with the per square foot chart being updated annually.

Improvement Status:
The total cost reported for utilities for year-to-date fiscal year 2010 is $4,445,069, a decrease of 4.3 percent over same time frame in fiscal year 2009. The usage graphs show a 2.2 percent increase in electric and a 9 percent increase in natural gas. The natural gas increase can be attributed to a more severe winter. The cost per square foot chart is an annual measure, therefore no updates. We continue to improve the accuracy and timeliness of inputting usage information and have, where possible, corrected historical errors.
Best Value for Every Dollar Spent

Electric Usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>YTD 2009</th>
<th>YTD 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KWh (m)</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Natural Gas Usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>YTD 2009</th>
<th>YTD 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCF (thousands)</td>
<td>1,042.4</td>
<td>823.3</td>
<td>898.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Fleet status -15k**

**Result Driver:** Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer  
**Measurement Driver:** Jeannie Wilson, Central Office General Services Manager

**Purpose of the Measure:**
This measure tracks the number of units in the MoDOT fleet as well as their condition. The chart provides an overall fleet condition status based on actual fleet age and meter compared to maximum life-cycle thresholds.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**
Age and meter thresholds were established based on maximum useful life. Units are identified as either exceeding or not exceeding their primary life cycle for either age or meter.

Reports are generated from the FASTER Fleet Management System to obtain information regarding equipment age and usage.

**Improvement Status:**
The overall fleet size has decreased from 5,965 to 5,882 units through the third quarter of fiscal year 2010.

MoDOT’s goal is to increase the percentage of fleet under the replacement threshold. According to the established thresholds, 79 percent of the MoDOT fleet is under the recommended replacement criteria. The criteria suggests that 21 percent of the fleet currently meets or exceeds the threshold. MoDOT has made a concerted effort to maintain the fleet at the appropriate level to ensure service needs are met.

---

**Fleet Status (in units)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Exceeds Threshold</th>
<th>Under Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>6,182</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>6,063</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>5,965</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Qtr 2010</td>
<td>5,882</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Excludes those Under Threshold

**Desired Trend**

Best Value for Every Dollar Spent

April 2010
Percent of vendor invoices paid on time-15l

Result Driver: Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer
Measurement Driver: Debbie Rickard, Controller

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the department’s timeliness in processing vendor payments.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The check date determines if invoice payment is timely. Timely is defined as a check issued less than 31 days from the date of the invoice. The department’s measure is benchmarked to the New Mexico DOT through fiscal year 2009. MoDOT uses the vendor invoice date for determining promptness of payment; New Mexico utilizes a combination of vendor invoice date and the date received by the approving division when the invoice has not been promptly delivered. New Mexico no longer publishes this information.

Improvement Status:
Vendors age their receivables based on the date of invoice. This measure indicates there has been consistent improvement. The steps to further improve are: (1) identify specific vendors experiencing delayed payment and work with those vendors to obtain timely, accurate invoices, (2) determine if delayed payments are common to a particular division within the Central Office or a district, (3) identify processes contributing to the delayed payment, and (4) identify innovative solutions to receive invoices from the customer. Analysis tools have been developed to assist in identifying areas where improvements can be made.
Distribution of expenditures - 15m

**Result Driver:** Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer  
**Measurement Driver:** Debbie Rickard, Controller

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
The purpose of the measure is to demonstrate a responsible use of taxpayers’ money, with the emphasis of spending on construction and maintenance of our transportation system.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
The data collection is based on cash expenditures by appropriation on a quarterly basis. Construction and maintenance expenditures are defined as expenditures from the construction and maintenance appropriations. Other expenditures include: administration, multimodal, fleet, facilities, and information systems (FFIS), Motor Carrier and Highway Safety appropriations. Debt service appropriations are not included.

**Improvement Status:**  
MoDOT’s emphasis is on expenditures for routine maintenance of the system (maintenance appropriation) and rehabilitation and construction of the system (construction appropriation). Although construction expenditure amounts have increased as a result of a larger construction program and the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds, the percent of total dollars spent on construction and maintenance has decreased as a result of increased Multimodal ARRA expenditures. Administration, Motor Carrier, Highway Safety and FFIS have remained relatively constant as a percent of total expenditures.

---

### Distribution of Expenditures - Construction and Maintenance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>Through 3rd Qtr. 2009</th>
<th>Through 3rd Qtr. 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>86.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Thousands of Dollars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>YTD 2009</th>
<th>YTD 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction</strong></td>
<td>1,376,944</td>
<td>1,542,674</td>
<td>1,377,328</td>
<td>1,533,866</td>
<td>1,167,163</td>
<td>1,190,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maintenance</strong></td>
<td>388,572</td>
<td>405,447</td>
<td>424,815</td>
<td>457,020</td>
<td>326,456</td>
<td>340,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Const. &amp; Maint.</strong></td>
<td>1,765,516</td>
<td>1,948,121</td>
<td>1,802,143</td>
<td>1,990,886</td>
<td>1,493,619</td>
<td>1,530,406</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Best Value for Every Dollar Spent**
### Distribution of Expenditures

#### Other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Administration</th>
<th>Multimodal</th>
<th>FFIS</th>
<th>Motor Carrier</th>
<th>Highway Safety</th>
<th>Total Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>43,076</td>
<td>61,431</td>
<td>99,418</td>
<td>6,741</td>
<td>27,657</td>
<td>238,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>45,086</td>
<td>71,839</td>
<td>108,023</td>
<td>6,899</td>
<td>35,730</td>
<td>267,577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>46,808</td>
<td>77,265</td>
<td>106,343</td>
<td>6,930</td>
<td>17,064</td>
<td>254,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>49,214</td>
<td>83,007</td>
<td>104,635</td>
<td>7,095</td>
<td>26,531</td>
<td>270,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Through 3rd Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>36,724</td>
<td>63,577</td>
<td>64,249</td>
<td>5,145</td>
<td>17,228</td>
<td>186,923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Through 3rd Qtr. 2010</td>
<td>37,414</td>
<td>91,619</td>
<td>78,986</td>
<td>5,255</td>
<td>16,832</td>
<td>230,106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Total Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2,003,839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2,215,698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2,056,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2,261,368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1,680,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1,760,512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Missouri Department of Transportation**
Accuracy of state revenue projections

**Result Driver:** Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer  
**Measurement Driver:** Ben Reeser, Financial Resource Administrator

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
The measure shows the precision of state revenue projections. Projections are used to prepare the budget that funds MoDOT’s operations and capital program.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
State revenue includes three major components of taxes and fees paid by highway users: motor fuel taxes, motor vehicle and driver licensing fees, and motor vehicle sales and use taxes. This measure does not include interest earnings and miscellaneous revenue, which are also considered state revenues. The measure provides the cumulative, year-to-date percent variance of actual state revenue versus projected state revenue. Fiscal year 2010 projections are based on the financial forecast prepared in the spring of 2009. This measure is updated quarterly.

**Improvement Status:**  
The actual state revenue was less than projected through the third quarter of fiscal year 2010. The projected revenue was $751.7 million. However, the actual receipts were $748.8 million, a difference of $2.9 million and a negative variance of 0.4 percent. The desired trend is for the actual revenue to match projections with no variance. MoDOT staff adjusts future operating and capital budgets to account for these variances, if needed.
Best Value for Every Dollar Spent

MoDOT national ranking in revenue per mile

**Result Driver:** Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer  
**Measurement Driver:** Ben Reeser, Financial Resource Administrator

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
The measure shows Missouri’s national ranking in the amount of revenue per mile that is available to spend on the state highway system.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
Revenue is the total receipts less bond proceeds as reported in the Federal Highway Administration’s 2008 annual highway statistics report entitled “Revenues Used By States For State-Administered Highways.” The mileage is the state highway agency miles as reported in the Federal Highway Administration’s 2008 annual highway statistics report entitled “State Highway Agency-Owned Public Roads.” Resource Management collects this information from the Federal Highway Administration. This measure is updated as the data becomes available from the Federal Highway Administration.

**Improvement Status:**  
Missouri’s revenue per mile of $57,843 currently ranks 42nd in the nation. Missouri has a very large state highway system, consisting of 33,677 miles, which is the seventh largest system in the nation. New Jersey’s revenue per mile of $1,087,618 ranks first. However, its state highway system contains only 2,324 miles. MoDOT staff continues to communicate the need for additional transportation funding to the public. Missouri’s transportation needs greatly exceed current available funding.
Number of excess properties conveyed and gross revenue generated from excess properties sold -15p

Result Driver: Roberta Broeker, Chief Financial Officer
Measurement Driver: Kelly Lucas, Right of Way Director

Purpose of the Measure:
The purpose of this measure is to track the number of excess parcels conveyed from MHTC ownership and to track the amount of revenue generated from the sale of excess property. In order to fulfill its stewardship role of asset management while observing practical business decisions, the department is proactively identifying and disposing of property that is no longer needed for the maintenance of the transportation system, will not be used for future expansion projects and is no longer needed for its operations. Funds received from the sale of excess properties are used to improve the condition of the state highway system. The districts use these funds to apply toward the costs associated with various maintenance activities and construction projects.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Data collection for this measure is reported on a quarterly basis from the Realty Asset Inventory system.

Improvement Status:
MoDOT conveyed 243 parcels in the first three quarters of fiscal year 2010, which exceeds the 156 parcels conveyed in the first, second and third quarters of fiscal year 2009 combined. Revenue from excess sales through the end of the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 totals $3,396,502, resulting in an increase of $1,764,473 from the previous quarter. Revenue came from 42 percent of the conveyances.

In order to complete our inventory of excess property and document our records for the retention of future right of way, guidelines were implemented in January requiring a biennial review of future corridors. The direction was reinforced at the district engineers’ meetings in February and March by way of discussion and emphasis on delivering results.

In January, the Right of Way Director was given authority to approve conveyances of excess parcels that have an appraised value of less than $200,000. Previously, the Right of Way Director could only approve conveyances having an appraised value of $50,000 or less. Approval authority has also been delegated to the district engineers in districts 7 and 9 for parcels valued at less than $10,000.

Three new properties have been listed with the consultants who are under contract to provide real estate marketing and consulting services. These three parcels have a cumulative listing value of $1.7 million.

The Internet “Realty for Sale” Web page has been redesigned to allow for user flexibility in searching for property by district and county. The Web page received 7,171 hits in the third quarter compared to 9,985 hits in the second quarter.
Best Value for Every Dollar Spent

Number of Excess Properties Conveyed

Fiscal Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Missouri</th>
<th>SCDOT</th>
<th>CALTRANS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YTD 2010</td>
<td>243</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gross Revenue Generated from Excess Properties Sold

Dollars (in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Missouri</th>
<th>SCDOT</th>
<th>CALTRANS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YTD 2010</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(This page is intentionally left blank for duplexing purposes)
**Attractive Roadsides**

*Tangible Result Driver – Don Hillis, Director of System Management*

An enjoyable transportation experience includes more than a smooth surface – motorists expect to see roadsides free of litter and debris, well-managed and maintained grass and other vegetation and other attractive enhancements. MoDOT works to meet and exceed expectations for roadsides. Beautiful roadsides are visible proof that MoDOT takes pride in everything it does.
Percent of roadsides that meet customers’ expectations - 16a

Result Driver: Don Hillis, Director of System Management
Measurement Driver: Mike Shea, Maintenance Liaison Engineer

Purpose of the Measure
This measure tracks the percent of MoDOT’s roadway system that meets customers’ expectations for attractiveness.

Measurement and Data Collection
A list of roadside quality indicators was developed and approved based on an industry-wide literature review. The activities selected for this measure were used to develop a quality assurance checklist for roadside attractiveness. Data collection for this measure is based on a yearly inspection of a number of randomly selected sample sites located throughout the state. The random sites are inspected yearly for each activity. This is an annual measure updated each January.

Improvement Status:
Over the past five reporting years, the five roadside activities referenced below have shown stable to improving trend lines. In an effort to optimize resources in vegetation management, the department has implemented an array of Best Practices for mowing and weed control. Those best practices focus on improved mowing plans, right sizing mower fleets and the use of plant growth regulators (PGRs) to reduce costs while still meeting the department’s policy for vegetation management. MoDOT staff will continue to implement best practices to improve efficiencies in mowing and all of the other roadside attributes.
Number of miles in Adopt-A-Highway program -16b

**Result Driver:** Don Hillis, Director of System Management  
**Measurement Driver:** Stacy Armstrong, Roadside Management Supervisor

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks public involvement in taking care of Missouri’s roadsides through the Adopt-A-Highway program. Missouri has one of the largest and oldest Adopt-A-Highway programs in the nation. The volunteers learn about litter awareness and some of the challenges MoDOT faces, while allowing maintenance crews to do more critical activities.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
Adopters agree to pick up litter on a designated roadway section for a minimum of four times a year and report their results. Adopters commit to a three-year agreement when they join the program. Urban adoptions are for a minimum of one-half mile and rural adoptions are for at least two miles. Miles are measured by the centerline, however, volunteers are responsible for both sides of the roadway. Adopter-related information is maintained in an Adopt-A-Highway database using the Transportation Management System. This is an annual measure updated quarterly.

**Improvement Status:** The number of miles adopted has increased in recent years. This may be due to increased public awareness through No MOre Trash!, a litter-prevention campaign coordinated by MoDOT and the Department of Conservation. Adopt-A-Highway will continue to be promoted at Earth Day, state and county fairs, and other events. There are 89 new adoptions in 2010, but there has been a slight decrease in the miles adopted as adopter agreements are reviewed. Sponsor-A-Highway, a complementary program to Adopt-A-Highway, was launched on September 17, 2008. Currently 21 miles are sponsored for litter cleanup in the Kansas City and St. Louis areas. New Adopt-A-Highway safety vests were introduced in January 2009. A web-based Adopt-A-Highway database was implemented in April 2009.
Transportation issues can be extremely diverse and complex. An efficient transportation system requires leadership and, most importantly, a champion to ensure the resources support projects that will help the department fulfill its responsibilities to the taxpayers. MoDOT will be an advocate for transportation.
Percent of minorities and females employed - 17a

**Result Driver:** Kevin Keith, Interim Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Rudolph Nickens, Director of Equal Opportunity and Diversity

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This quarterly measure tracks minority and female employment in MoDOT’s workforce and compares it with availability data from the Missouri 2000 Census report. Efficient use of people resources provides opportunities for the department to leverage transportation resources with available human capital. By placing the right people in the right place, the department can better serve its customers and help fulfill its responsibilities to taxpayers.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
MoDOT’s Affirmative Action software database and Missouri 2000 Census Report are used to collect data. Private sector, departments of transportation, Missouri state agencies, and Missouri 2000 Census Data were researched to determine a benchmark for this measurement. Due to the significant variations for some of these entities (such as pay incentives, number of employees, geographic locations), it was determined Missouri 2000 Census Data, based on jobs used by the department, would be the benchmark for this measurement.

**Improvement Status:**  
The total number of minority employees increased by .84 percent (595 to 600) from the third quarter FY 2009 to third quarter FY 2010. Overall, minority employment increased from 9.35 percent to 9.56 percent during the same period. Both the total number (1,355 to 1,331) and percent (21.28 to 21.20) of female employees decreased. During this quarter the department continued to advertise in Hispanic newspapers and build relationships with faith-based organizations to ensure a diverse applicant pool. The department also hired minority interns from Metro Business College and Job Point to fill temporary positions in Information Systems and Risk and Benefits.
**Separation rates for minorities and females-17b**

**Result Driver:** Kevin Keith, Interim Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Rudolph Nickens, Director of Equal Opportunity and Diversity

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
The purpose of this measure is to track female and minority separation rates compared to the overall MoDOT separation rate.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
Data is collected quarterly through SAM II Advantage HR, ReportNet and Peopleclick AAPlanner reports. These separations include both voluntary and involuntary separations from the department.

**Improvement Status:**  
The overall number of separations for MoDOT decreased by 6.4 percent (125 to 117) from FY 2009 compared to FY 2010. Of this number, female separations decreased by 15.4 percent (26 to 22) and minority separations decreased by 33.3 percent (21 to 14). As a result of these measures, the MoDOT separation rate decreased by 0.9 percent, while the female separation rate decreased by 0.2 percent and the minority separation rate decreased by 1.2 percent.

To improve work relationships, district human resources managers introduce themselves to all new hires during the first weeks of employment and follow up during the second or third month and again at the fifth month of employment. Managers, supervisors and lead workers receive training to assist in better understanding expected employee conduct in an effort to foster a work environment that advances inclusion and to retain a quality diverse workforce.

The first regional diversity conference called “Building Unity Through Diversity” was held in District 6. Employees participated from Districts 3, 6, and 10. Topics discussed included: “The Business Case for Diversity,” “Inclusion in the Workplace”, “Building Cultural Competence in the Workplace”, “Strategies for Workplace Diversification,” and “Diversity: You may not think it’s about you but it is.”

---

**Separation Rates for Minorities and Females**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Minorities</th>
<th>MoDOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Qtr. 2010</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Desired Trend:** NA
Advocate for Transportation Issues

Transportation-related legislation filed and passed by the General Assembly-17c

**Result Driver:** Kevin Keith, Interim Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Lisa LeMaster, Senior Governmental Relations Specialist

**Purpose of Measure:**  
This measure tracks significant transportation-related legislation filed and passed by the General Assembly. Significant transportation-related legislation is legislation that is either favorable or unfavorable with regard to providing transportation resources, supporting transportation projects, creating efficiency within the department, or promoting roadway safety. This measure also tracks the department’s progress on its own legislative agenda.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
During session, data is obtained by reviewing both the Senate and House Web sites for legislation in the transportation subject categories. Each bill is then reviewed to determine whether it contains an initiative that is favorable or unfavorable to transportation. The total favorable initiatives filed are compared to the total favorable initiatives that pass and the total unfavorable initiatives filed are compared to the total unfavorable initiatives that pass. The number of favorable and unfavorable transportation-related initiatives filed and number passed are noted on the first chart as an annual measure.

Also, each fall potential legislative proposals are submitted to the Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission for review and approval. The second chart tracks each approved legislative proposal through the legislative process.

**Improvement Status:**  
MoDOT’s desired goal is to see all MHTC proposed legislation pass. For the 2009 legislative session, the MHTC proposed three separate legislative initiatives, “Primary Safety Belt,” “Incident Management,” and a “Missouri Waterways Resolution.” Two of the three proposals, “Incident Management” and “Missouri Waterways Resolution” passed. The “Primary Safety Belt” proposal passed out of the House Transportation Committee but was laid over in the House Rules Committee.

MoDOT’s desired trend as an advocate for transportation is also to see a larger number of favorable transportation initiatives pass when compared to unfavorable initiatives that pass. During the 2009 session, of the total 1,975 bills filed, 11 percent were transportation-related which equates to 220 transportation bills. Of the 220 transportation bills, there were 34 significant transportation initiatives contained in those bills. Of the 34 significant initiatives, 19 were favorable and 15 were unfavorable. Of the 19 favorable initiatives, 13 passed and six failed. Of the 15 unfavorable initiatives, one passed and 14 failed. The unfavorable initiative that passed was the repeal of the all-rider motorcycle helmet law. However, this unfavorable initiative was vetoed. All other initiatives included in transportation bills were neutral with regard to their impacts on transportation.
Advocate for Transportation Issues

Number of transportation-related legislation filed and passed by the General Assembly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legislative Session</th>
<th>Total Favorable</th>
<th>Favorable-Passed</th>
<th>Total Unfavorable</th>
<th>Unfavorable-Passed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Progress on MoDOT Legislative Initiatives

- HCS HB 665 Primary Safety Belt
- CCS SS SCS HB 683 Incident Management
- SCR 5 Waterways Resolution

Progress on MoDOT Legislative Initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st Chamber</th>
<th>Cmte Hearing</th>
<th>Cmte Vote</th>
<th>Pass 1st Chamber</th>
<th>2nd Chamber</th>
<th>Cmte Hearing</th>
<th>Cmte Vote</th>
<th>Pass 2nd Chamber</th>
<th>Conference</th>
<th>TAFP</th>
<th>Governor Signed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Number of federal earmarked projects on the state’s transportation system -17d

Result Driver: Kevin Keith, Interim Director
Measurement Driver: Jay Wunderlich, Governmental Relations Director

Purpose of the Measure:
Missouri’s support for increased transportation funding on the national level can be measured by MoDOT’s ability to demonstrate transportation needs to members of Congress. The number of federal earmarked projects on the state’s transportation system is representative of the department’s success, as an advocate to address the state’s transportation needs.

Measurement and Data Collection:
This is an annual measure. The data represents the total number of federal earmarked projects on the state transportation system that are identified as needs by MoDOT and by Missouri’s Congressional Delegation. The number of federal earmarked projects on the state’s transportation system represents the department’s success in working with Missouri’s Congressional delegation. The identified needs for this measure are projects that are included in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Plan (STIP) or projects ready to be added to the STIP as soon as funding becomes available.

Improvement Status:
The chart shows Missouri was successful in receiving federal earmarks on projects that are classified as needs. As noted in the chart, these earmarks were received in the annual appropriation bills for federal fiscal years 2008, 2009 and 2010. Congress did not include earmarks in the 2007 appropriations bill.

Each year, MoDOT staff provides a listing of transportation needs to all of Missouri’s Congressional offices in anticipation of possible funding opportunities. In FFY ’08, 34 earmarked projects received dedicated revenues. In FFY ’09, 40 projects received an appropriation. In FFY ’10, 34 projects received an appropriation.

Even though MoDOT supplies the congressional members with a list of transportation needs, there is always the opportunity for the individual congressman to earmark additional projects on the state system they deem appropriate.

Missouri continues to be successful in receiving transportation earmarks.

Interaction with Congress is very important in receiving dedicated funds for projects that are identified needs. Therefore, MoDOT continues to meet with Missouri’s Congregational offices on a regular basis to provide information on transportation issues, urging them to support programs, and projects that address Missouri’s transportation needs.

In calendar year 2009, MoDOT met with all of Missouri’s Congressional offices and provided them with details on highway, transit and aviation projects for FFY ‘10 appropriations. In January, MoDOT staff provided Missouri’s congressional offices with a FFY ‘11 appropriations request list.

MoDOT staff continues to keep the Missouri congressional delegation informed of issues related to the economic stimulus package, the next appropriation bill and the department’s position on the next multi-year transportation authorization act.

MoDOT continues to strive for Missouri’s share of any federal funding opportunity. The department will continue to communicate directly with Missouri’s Congressional offices to increase the number of earmarked projects that are identified needs on the state transportation system.
Number of Federal Earmarks on the State's Transportation System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Number of Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MoDOT Requests
Additional Congressional Requests

Advocate for Transportation Issues
Percent of customers who view MoDOT as Missouri’s transportation expert-17e

**Result Driver:** Kevin Keith, Interim Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Jay Wunderlich, Governmental Relations Director

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks whether our customers feel the department is a leader and expert in transportation issues. The measure shows the department how effectively MoDOT conveys its expertise to the traveling public.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
This is an annual measure updated each July. Data is collected from interviews with over 3,500 randomly selected adult Missourians each May. Each year, MoDOT surveys public opinion to collect information that will tell the department whether or not the public views MoDOT as the primary transportation expert in Missouri.

**Improvement Status:**  
The current information shows that 91 percent of respondents indicate MoDOT is the transportation expert they rely upon. This represents an increase of 6 percent since last surveyed in 2008. Through a questioning approach identical to the 2008 survey, the 2009 numbers increased in the strongly agree responses thus reflecting a higher percent of individuals that disagreed with this statement than previously (8 percent in 2009 vs. 15 percent in the last year). MoDOT must continue to work on improving partnerships with citizens, legislators and special interest groups promoting MoDOT as a transportation expert. Ways to accomplish this include increasing awareness of MoDOT’s responsibilities to and services for the traveling public.
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Accurate, Timely, Understandable and Proactive Transportation Information (Outbound)

Tangible Result Driver – Shane Peck, Community Relations Director

Accurate, consistent and timely information is critical to accomplishing MoDOT’s mission. By providing this information to its customers, MoDOT becomes the first and best source for transportation information in Missouri. Openness and honesty build trust with our customers.
Accurate, timely, Understandable and Proactive transportation Information (Outbound)

Number of public appearances-18a

Result Driver: Shane Peck, Community Relations Director
Measurement Driver: Sally Oxenhandler, Community Relations Manager

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks and encourages regular, personal contact with MoDOT customers. A public appearance is defined as any single, public event attended by one or more MoDOT representatives to provide transportation related information. Examples include speeches, presentations, conferences, exhibits, fairs and ribbon cuttings.

Measurement and Data Collection:
This is a quarterly measure. District Community Relations managers collect appearance information from their administrators on a quarterly basis and sends it to Central Office Community Relations; where it is combined with data from divisions and business offices to create a statewide report. The numbers change from quarter to quarter because certain events and other public appearance opportunities are seasonal, such as school visits and fairs.

Improvement Status:
Public appearances in the first quarter of 2010 rose to 880 - the second highest level ever reported. The rise was likely due to the approaching start of the busy construction season. Safety visits and events also contributed to the increase. MoDOT employees conservatively reached more than 78,000 people through outreach efforts in January, February and March.

![Number of Public Appearances](chart)

- Central Office
- District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Quarter</th>
<th>1st Qtr. 2009</th>
<th>2nd Qtr. 2009</th>
<th>3rd Qtr. 2009</th>
<th>4th Qtr. 2009</th>
<th>1st Qtr. 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearances</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>310</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>511</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>561</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent of customers who feel MoDOT provides timely, accurate and understandable information-18b

**Result Driver:** Shane Peck, Community Relations Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Sally Oxenhandler, Community Relations Manager

**Purpose of the Measure:**
This measure tracks whether customers feel MoDOT provides timely, accurate and understandable information they need and use.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**
This is an annual measure. Data is collected from telephone interviews with more than 3,500 randomly selected adult Missourians each May. As a comparison, the Tennessee Department of Transportation reported in September 2006 (the latest data available) that 49 percent of residents surveyed said they were satisfied or very satisfied with the agency’s efforts to keep them informed about transportation-related issues.

**Improvement Status:**
An outstanding 92 percent of Missourians agree MoDOT provides understandable information, while 90 percent feel the department provides timely and accurate information. These figures represent a 4-6 percent increase over last year and a 15-18 percent jump since 2005. MoDOT’s efforts to be open and transparent can be seen in these results, as can a variety of outreach activities ranging from the Traveler Information Map and electronic message boards to YouTube videos and virtual public meetings. Efforts to communicate major initiatives such as the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, the Safe & Sound Bridge Improvement Program, the New I-64, kcICON and A Conversation for Moving Missouri Forward likely contributed to the positive responses.

---

**Percent of Customers Who Feel MoDOT Provides Timely Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Tennessee DOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Accurate, timely, Understandable and Proactive transportation Information (Outbound)

Percent of Customers Who Feel MoDOT Provides Accurate Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Tennessee DOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent of Customers Who Feel MoDOT Provides Understandable Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Tennessee DOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Number of contacts initiated by MoDOT to media-18c

**Result Driver:** Shane Peck, Community Relations Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Jorma Duran, Community Relations Coordinator

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks how well MoDOT staff is “reaching out” to reporters to tell them about the good work MoDOT does.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
All contacts (news releases, e-mail, phone, correspondence and Twitter) initiated by MoDOT staff are included. Central Office Community Relations collects quarterly results, including submissions from districts.

**Improvement Status:**  
There were 177,198 media contacts made in the first quarter of 2010, the highest number ever reached on this measure. This represents an increase of 12,380 when compared to the fourth quarter of 2009, and an increase of 69,184 when compared to the first quarter of 2009. The aggressive approach from Community Relations to spread MoDOT news and information to the masses and the increasing use of social media continues to help drive the results of this measure upward.

---

![Number of Contacts Initiated by MoDOT to Media](chart.png)
Accurate, Timely, Understandable and Proactive transportation Information (Outbound)

Percent of MoDOT information that meets the media’s expectations-18d

**Result Driver:** Shane Peck, Community Relations Director  
**Measurement Driver:** Jorma Duran, Community Relations Coordinator

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks how MoDOT is meeting the media’s needs by providing appropriate information.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
MoDOT sends out an annual survey asking statewide media if MoDOT’s outreach efforts meet their expectations. They are asked to rate their level of satisfaction in the areas of press releases, public meetings and events. Each area is further rated in newsworthiness, timeliness, and how understandable it is.

**Improvement Status:**  
The annual statewide media survey is conducted each June. There were 105 media outlets that participated in the 2009 survey, a 78 percent increase from the year before. Results show MoDOT is providing appropriate information and generally meeting media expectations.

![Bar chart showing percent of MoDOT information that meets the media's expectations for Newsworthiness, Timeliness, and Understandability for the years 2007, 2008, and 2009.](chart)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Newsworthiness</th>
<th>Timeliness</th>
<th>Understandability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>97.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>95.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent of MoDOT Information That Meets the Media’s Expectations
(Public Meetings)

Percent of MoDOT Information That Meets the Media’s Expectations
(Events)
Percent of positive newspaper editorials-18e

**Result Driver:** Shane Peck, Community Relations Director

**Measurement Driver:** Jorma Duran, Community Relations Coordinator

**Purpose of the Measure:**
This measure tracks how MoDOT is perceived by the media, and by extension the public.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**
Using a newspaper clips database, MoDOT staff reviews statewide newspaper editorials and determines whether they’re positive or negative toward MoDOT and/or the issues it advocates. Only editorials written by newspaper staff are included; guest editorials and letters to the editor are not. Results are charted quarterly.

**Improvement Status:**
There were 24 editorials regarding MoDOT or state transportation issues in the first quarter of 2010. Of those editorials, 88 percent (21) were positive. Positive editorials covered 14 different issues. The issue receiving the most positive attention with three editorials was MoDOT’s support to ban texting while driving. Five issues generated two separate positive editorials, they include: Seat belt usage, MoDOT’s winter clean-up, bringing highway fatalities down, design of I-70 in downtown St. Louis and support for MoDOT to get extra funding.

There were three negative editorials. The Joplin Globe commented about MoDOT delaying the construction of a grocery store due to a stoplight concern. The St. Louis Post-Dispatch said overage costs for the Mississippi River Bridge should be paid for by statewide taxes, not solely from St. Louis citizens. The Post-Dispatch also said stimulus funds should have gone for public transit, not for high-speed rail and Amtrak improvements for Missouri River Runner trains.
Accurate, Timely, Understandable and Proactive transportation Information (Outbound)

Number of overall visitors to MoDOT’s website-18f

Result Driver: Shane Peck, Community Relations Director
Measurement Driver: Matt Hiebert, Community Relations Coordinator

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the number of customers who have used MoDOT’s website. Monitoring overall visitors aligns with national trends for Web analytics and measures both content value and public awareness of MoDOT’s website.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Data is gathered using Web Trends software. Web Trends measures site activity and produces reports in graphic and tabular formats.

Improvement Status:
More snow and ice brought just under a million visitors to the Traveler Information Map, main MoDOT site, Kansas City Scout and Gateway Guide combined in January. As road conditions improved, traffic to the site tapered off, but still reached record levels of activity for February and March.

Number of Overall Visitors to MoDOT’s Website by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Month</th>
<th>Number of Visitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>915,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>798,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>542,603</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of Overall Visitors to MoDOT’s Website by Quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Quarter</th>
<th>Number of Visitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>1,538,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>1,589,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>1,252,201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>2,096,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Qtr. 2010</td>
<td>2,256,214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(This page is intentionally left blank for duplexing purposes)
Missouri was the first state in the nation to begin construction on highway projects funded by the Recovery Act. The minute President Obama signed the economic recovery bill, MoDOT went to work to replace one of the state’s oldest and most rickety bridges, the Osage River bridge near Tuscumbia. Construction on three other recovery act projects also started immediately. Additional road, bridge, air, rail, transit, pedestrian and bicycle projects will be under way in the coming weeks and months. All along, MoDOT said we’d be ready to go with critical transportation projects, and we delivered. We are committed to putting your tax dollars to use as quickly as possible to create jobs, improve roads and save lives!
Fast Projects That Are of Great Value

Recovery Act projects and dollars awarded to date-19a

**Result Driver:** Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery  
**Measurement Driver:** Jay Bestgen, Assistant State Design Engineer

**Purpose of the Measure:**
This measure tracks the progress MoDOT is making in awarding Recovery Act projects.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**
Projects are awarded by the Missouri Highways Transportation Commission based on formalized MoDOT bid reviews shortly after letting dates. The award dates for each project are also reported to the Federal Highway Administration. The data for this measure is collected by the Design Division and will be updated quarterly. All current MoDOT reports for Recovery Act projects can be found on MoDOT’s [Ready To Go](http://www.mdot.gov) Web site.

**Improvement Status:**
As of March 31, 2010, MoDOT awarded all of the $524,593,435 in Recovery Act funding to 193 projects. The 193 projects were awarded 10.5 percent less than the program budget of $598,840,000. Between March 2, 2010 and September 30, 2010 project savings realized during the construction phase will be re-obligated towards the costs of the three remaining projects, worth $2.4 million. If any Recovery Act funds become available prior to September 30, 2010, thru savings on existing projects, the applicable projects receiving this funding will be let starting in October 2010.

As of March 31, 2009

![Graph showing the total amount awarded and projects awarded.](image)
Recovery Act Funds Obligated and Expended to date by Category-19b

**Result Driver:** Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery  
**Measurement Driver:** Jay Bestgen, Assistant State Design Engineer

**Purpose of the Measure:**  
This measure tracks the progress MoDOT is making in obligating Recovery Act project dollars within the time periods required by the legislation. The expenditure of funds by category is also shown.

**Measurement and Data Collection:**  
The obligation data for this measure is collected by the Design Division and will be updated quarterly. The expenditure data is collected from SAM II reports provided by the Controller’s Division. All current MoDOT reports for Recovery Act projects can be found on MoDOT’s Ready To Go Web site.

**Improvement Status:**  
MoDOT was required to obligate 50 percent of the Highway Infrastructure Recovery Act MoDOT-administered funds, excluding sub allocated ($426.9 million) and Transportation Enhancement funds ($19.1 million) by June 30, 2009. By that date, MoDOT had exceeded the 50 percent requirement by obligating more than $321 million. On February 8, 2010, 100 percent ($637.1 million) of the Highway Infrastructure formula funds was obligated prior to the Recovery Act deadline of March 2, 2010. As of March 31, 2010, $189.2 million in funds had been expended on projects as compared to $168.78 million expended thru December 31, 2009.

---

*Note: The deadline to obligate 100% in each category was March 2, 2010.*
Fast Projects That Are of Great Value

Recovery Act project dollars awarded versus budget—19c

Result Driver: Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery
Measurement Driver: Jay Bestgen, Assistant State Design Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure determines how close MoDOT budgets Recovery Act projects as compared to the awarded amount. The measure also tracks the savings MoDOT is achieving by expediting projects using Recovery Act funds.

Measurement and Data Collection:
Budgeted project costs include right of way, utilities, construction and other miscellaneous costs. The data for this measure is collected by the Design Division and will be updated quarterly and represents a cumulative total. All current MoDOT reports for Recovery Act projects can be found on MoDOT’s Ready To Go Web site.

Improvement Status:
As of March 31, 2010, MoDOT awarded 193 Recovery Act projects for $535,717,000. The bids came in 10.5 percent, or $63,123,000 below MoDOT’s program budget of $598,840,000 for these projects. No additional Recovery Act projects are scheduled for letting unless savings are realized during the construction phase prior to the September 30, 2010 Recovery Act deadline to obligate all unobligated balances. Bids have been coming in lower primarily due to contractor competition in the market and the strategic arrangement and timing of projects in the letting schedule.

### Budgeted Project Cost vs. Awarded Recovery Act Project Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calender Year</th>
<th>Budgeted</th>
<th>Awarded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>107.4</td>
<td>90.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>227.3</td>
<td>208.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>384.1</td>
<td>343.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Qtr. 2009</td>
<td>408.8</td>
<td>362.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Qtr. 2010</td>
<td>598.8</td>
<td>535.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recovery Act direct jobs supported—19d

Result Driver: Dave Nichols, Director of Program Delivery
Measurement Driver: Travis Koestner, Assistant State Construction & Materials Engineer

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure determines how MoDOT Recovery Act projects support direct jobs statewide.

Measurement and Data Collection:
This listing is for direct jobs only and does not include the number of indirect and induced jobs supported by manufacturing and delivery of materials for projects or the additional jobs supported by workers contributing to local economies. Further, the dollar amounts associated with payroll do not include fringe benefits, overhead or other cost associated with labor. These numbers come from contractor employment reports received by MoDOT for those projects that are active (i.e. measurable construction activity). Projects included in this data are MoDOT discretionary ARRA projects, sub allocated ARRA projects and Transportation Enhancement projects.

The data for this measure is collected by the Construction & Materials Division and will be updated quarterly. All current MoDOT reports for Recovery Act projects can be found on MoDOT’s Ready To Go Web site.

Improvement Status:
The current tally for March 2010 for direct jobs supported by active Recovery Act transportation projects is 1,950. The cumulative total of direct hours worked is 719,400 with payroll over $25 million.

![Direct Jobs Supported by Recovery Act Projects](chart.png)
**Fast Projects That Are of Great Value**

**Hours Worked Supported by Recovery Act Projects (Cumulative)**

- 2nd Qtr. 2009: 60.9 thousand hours
- 3rd Qtr. 2009: 307 thousand hours
- 4th Qtr. 2009: 610.9 thousand hours
- 1st Qtr. 2010: 719.4 thousand hours

**Payroll Dollars Supported by Recovery Act Projects (Cumulative)**

- 2nd Qtr. 2009: 2.1 million dollars
- 3rd Qtr. 2009: 10.68 million dollars
- 4th Qtr. 2009: 21.16 million dollars
- 1st Qtr. 2010: 25.1 million dollars
Percent of Recovery Act Multimodal project dollars obligated to date-19e

Result Driver: Brian Weiler, Director of Multimodal Operations
Measurement Driver: Joe Pestka, Aviation Administrator

Purpose of the Measure:
This measure tracks the progress MoDOT is making in obligating Recovery Act project dollars for each mode.

Measurement and Data Collection:
The data for this measure is collected by Multimodal Operations and will be updated quarterly. All current MoDOT reports for Recovery Act projects can be found on MoDOT’s Ready To Go Web site.

Improvement Status:
Each multimodal unit has different processes and guidelines regarding available Recovery Act funds and grants. As of March 31, 2010, the Aviation, Port and Transit units have obligated all available funds.

Recovery Act funds for rail were received in January, 2010. In total, $30.4 million dollars have been obligated for multimodal projects. This is approximately 50% of the total amount of Recovery Act funds for multimodal projects that MoDOT has received.

*Obligated and unobligated dollars as of March 31, 2010 are shown (in millions).