

Employee Signature:

Supervisor Signature:

MoDOT Accountability and Performance System (MAPS) Form

Employee Nan	ne:	Supervis	Supervisor Name:				
Job Title:		Job Title	Job Title:				
Evaluation Per	iod:	Through:	hrough:				
Step 1 – Perfo	rmance Planning (A _]	pril 1 – May 15) – to be	completed with empl	oyee			
Review ar	nd discuss MoDOT's 1	mission and goals and t	he unit work plan				
Review th	e Competency job aid	to explain/define expe	cted performance				
Develop a	and review Employee I	Expectations and tie the	ese to the Competencie	es/Results (page 2)			
Employee Signature:			Date:				
Supervisor Sig	nature:		Date:				
Step 2 – Perfo	ormance Progress Re	view (Oct. 15 – Nov. 30)) – to be completed w	rith employee			
	C	`	o, to be completed w	in omproyee			
	nd discuss unit work p						
		ward Employee Expecta					
Review an	nd discuss mid-year do	ocumentation and provi	de employee feedback	(page 3)			
Employee Sigr	nature:		Date:				
Supervisor Signature:		Date:					
Ston 3 Final	Performance Review	v (April 1 – May 15) –	to be completed with e	employee			
Step 5 – Filiai	nd discuss progress to	ward Employee Expect	ations (page 2)				
	iu discuss progress to		4 0 /	(page 3)			
Review as	1 0	cumentation and provi	de employee feedback				
Review an	nd discuss year-end do	ocumentation and provide petency ratings and Ov					
Review an Review an Determine	nd discuss year-end do	petency ratings and Ov					
Review an Review an Determine	nd discuss year-end do e the employee's Com the employee's perfor Ye	petency ratings and Ov rmance for the year ar-End MAPS Rat	erall MAPS rating (pa				
Review ar Review ar Determine Indicate 1	nd discuss year-end do e the employee's Com the employee's perfor Ye Needs Improvement	petency ratings and Overmance for the year ar-End MAPS Rate or the receiver are examples and not all inclusive Successful	erall MAPS rating (pa	ge 4) Outstanding			
Review an Review an Determine Indicate (nd discuss year-end do e the employee's Com the employee's perfor	petency ratings and Ov rmance for the year ear-End MAPS Rat criteria are examples and not all inclusive	erall MAPS rating (pa	Outstanding Completed all Key Employee Expect with overall performance and Compe			
Review an Review an Determine Indicate to Indicate Ind	Needs Improvement Completed some Key Employee Expectations; few areas of overall performance were below expected standards; some efforts made to improve performance	petency ratings and Overmance for the year ar-End MAPS Rate criteria are examples and not all inclusive Successful Completed Key Employee Expectations; overall performance and Competency performance met expected	ing Highly Successful Completed Key Employee Expectations with overall performance and Competency performance at a higher-than-expected level compared to expected standards	Outstanding Completed all Key Employee Expect with overall performance and Compe performance at a level far above expe compared to expected standards; contributed greatly to high performance			
Review an Review an Determine Indicate 1	Needs Improvement Completed some Key Employee Expectations; few areas of overall performance and Competency performance were below expected standards; some efforts made to improve performance	petency ratings and Overmance for the year ar-End MAPS Rate or the receiver are examples and not all inclusive or the second of	ing /e) Highly Successful Completed Key Employee Expectations with overall performance and Competency performance at a higher-than-expected level compared to expected standards Ontact your human resources man	Outstanding Completed all Key Employee Expect with overall performance and Compe performance at a level far above expe compared to expected standards; contributed greatly to high performance			

Date:

Key Employee Expectations

1) Write key employee goals, projects, and outcomes for the rating period. 2) Check the Competencies that are related to each Employee Expectation. 3) Write the Tangible Result, Tracker Measure, and/or Value(s) that each Employee Expectation is designed to affect or fulfill.

A minimum of three Employee Expectations is required for non-supervisors and five for supervisors (including two communication expectations). Copy this page as necessary.

*** Important: If at <u>any time during the rating period</u> an employee is not performing at a level to receive a yearend rating of at least "Successful" (see page 4), contact your human resources manager.

Expectation #	☐ Job Quality ☐ Job Quantity ☐ Job Knowledge ☐ Interpersonal Skills ☐ Accountability ☐ Work Management ☐ Supervision	Tangible Result/Tracker Measure/ Value(s):
Expectation #	 □ Job Quality □ Job Quantity □ Job Knowledge □ Interpersonal Skills □ Accountability □ Work Management □ Supervision 	Tangible Result/Tracker Measure/ Value(s):
Expectation #	 □ Job Quality □ Job Quantity □ Job Knowledge □ Interpersonal Skills □ Accountability □ Work Management □ Supervision 	Tangible Result/Tracker Measure/ Value(s):
Expectation #	 □ Job Quality □ Job Quantity □ Job Knowledge □ Interpersonal Skills □ Accountability □ Work Management □ Supervision 	Tangible Result/Tracker Measure/ Value(s):
Expectation #	 □ Job Quality □ Job Quantity □ Job Knowledge □ Interpersonal Skills □ Accountability □ Work Management □ Supervision 	Tangible Result/Tracker Measure/ Value(s):

Employee Feedback

Supervisors must provide written feedback to each employee both at the mid-year review and the year-end review. Feedback is **mandatory** and should include information on how well the employee has performed on Expectations, and overall according to the Competencies. Feedback should also cover what the employee can do to improve during the upcoming performance period.

Mid-Year Performance Feedback:

Mid-Year MAPS Rating (criteria are examples and not all inclusive)						
Unacceptable	Needs Improvement	Successful	Highly Successful	Outstanding		
Failed to complete most Key Employee Expectations; overall performance and Competency performance were below expected standards with no signs of	Completed some Key Employee Expectations; few areas of overall performance and Competency performance were below	Completed Key Employee Expectations; overall performance and Competency performance met expected standards	Completed Key Employee Expectations with overall performance and Competency performance at a higher-than-expected level	Completed all Key Employee Expectations with overall performance and Competency performance at a level far above expected compared to expected standards;		
improvement	expected standards; some efforts made to improve performance		compared to expected standards	contributed greatly to high performance		

If the employee's Mid-year MAPS Rating is "Unacceptable" or "Needs Improvement," contact your human resources manager.

Year-End Performance Feedback:

MAPS Competency Ratings

Please rate the employee on each Competency using the following grid. Place a checkmark in the box under the appropriate rating for each Competency. (Do not rate the employee on Work Management and/or Supervision if these Competencies do not apply to his/her job.) During the Performance Progress Review, determine the employee's Mid-Year Rating and place a checkmark in the appropriate box on Page 3.

During the Final Performance Review, determine the employee's Overall MAPS Rating and place a checkmark in the appropriate box on Page 1.

If the employee earns an "Unacceptable" rating on any Competency, contact your human resources manager.

(Criteria are examples and not all inclusive.) Needs Competency Successful Highly Successful Unacceptable Outstanding Improvement - Defective or incomplete work Does not meet all "Successful Completed work effectively - Exceeds most "Successful" criteria - Completed work with a minimum of errors and rework JOB QUALITY Followed directions - Was a recognized leader in the quality of work Did not follow directions criteria Work Quality, Accuracy, Solutions were ineffective Solved problems effectively Anticipated and avoided problems Partner/Customer Satisfaction, Work created problems Very few defects in work Delighted partners and customers - Partners or customers were dissatisfied with work - Partners and customers were satisfied with work Excelled in all tasks Problem Solving, Effectiveness Competent on routine and new tasks Mid-year Year-End Year-End Mid-year Year-End Mid-vea Year-End Mid-year Year-End Ratings - Often failed to produce the minimum workload Does not meet all "Successful Produced an appropriate amount of work - Exceeds most "Successful" criteria - Consistently produced an amount of work greater than - Avoided additional duties Was efficient in job duties criteria JOB QUANTITY - Projects completed late - Accepted additional assignments - Requested additional assignments Efficiency, Productivity, - Projects completed on time - Worked extra hours as demanded by workload Deadlines, Additional Duties - Improved the efficiency of the work unit - Projects completed early Ratings Mid-year Year-End Year-End Year-End Mid-year Year-End Mid-year Year-End - Exceeds most "Successful" criteria Often did not use proper procedures Does not meet all "Successful Consistently used proper procedures - Instructed others on proper procedures JOB KNOWLEDGE - Used unsafe work practices - Ensured everyone on the team worked safely at all times Worked safely at all times criteria Work Knowledge Proficiency, Was unorganized Kept records up to date and organized - Corrected others not using proper procedures Correct Procedures, Organizing, - Did not keep records updated - Learned new work methods - Taught others new and better work methods and Did not learn new work methods Actively sought out new equipment or processes equipment Records, Safety Procedures Avoided learning new equipment or processes Year-End Year-End Year-End Mid-year Year-End Mid-year Year-End Ratings Created conflict Does not meet all "Successful" Reinforced other team members' efforts Exceeds most "Successful" criteria Acted as a team-builder INTERPERSONAL SKILLS - Put self above the team criteria Kept commitment to the team - Improved cooperation and progress of the team Speaking, Writing, Listening, - Resistant to change - Accepted change - Sought out input from others, made others feel included Teamwork, Respectful of Others, - Unwilling to work with others - Worked well with others Provided direction to the team - Accepted input from others Adaptable to Change - Did not listen to input from others - Excellent communicator - Disrespectful of others - Respected the views of others Served as a mentor to team members - Wrote and spoke effectively Poor writing or speaking Ratings Mid-year Year-End Year-End Mid-year Year-End Year-End Mid-year Year-End ACCOUNTABILITY - Neglected or avoided assigned duties - Does not meet all "Successful' - Effectively completed assigned duties - Exceeds most "Successful" criteria - Excelled at completing duties and helped others complete - Frequent unapproved work absences All work absences approved Initiative, Preferred Employee - Frequently late for work - Arrived to work on time - Took initiative to help others Qualities, Organizational Lacked initiative Took initiative to get own work done - Modeled MoDOT's Values, policies, and Preferred Awareness, Reliability, Values, - Wasted time on the job Lived MoDOT Values Employee Qualities and corrected others Policies, Procedures - Did not live MoDOT Values Consistently followed policies - Consistently available to do more Displayed Preferred Employee Qualities - Did not follow policies Did not display Preferred Employee Qualities Mid-year Year-End Year-End Year-End Ratings Year-End - Poor decision making caused problems Does not meet all "Successfu Effective decision making - Exceeds most "Successful" criteria - Decisions led to increased productivity Did not plan work effectively - Planned work well - Planning led to very little wasted time or effort criteria WORK MANAGEMENT Wasted time and resources Effectively used time and resources Saved time and money by integrating planning, Decision Making, Planning, Wasted money by not budgeting effectively - Effectively managed budget, no wasted money budgeting, and resources into all decisions Budgeting, Resource Management - Poor project management Managed projects effectively Excelled at project management Year-End Year-End Ratings Year-End Year-End Avoided MAPS responsibilities - Does not meet all "Successful Completed MAPS on schedule - Exceeds most "Successful" criteria - Used MAPS to maximize individual and team SUPERVISION Did not give employees feedback Gave employees good feedback performance criteria Performance Management. Used coaching and feedback to improve performance Did not coach employees Coached employees as needed Employee Development. Encouraged employees to develop through Did not encourage employees to develop professionally problems and reward good performance - Cooperatively created development plans with - Did not inspire employees Motivation, Coaching, Leadership Inspired and motivated employees to do good employees work Mid-vear Year-End Year-End Mid-vear Year-End Mid-vear Year-End Mid-vear Year-End Ratings Mid-vear