### COURSE CONTENT HMA AGGREGATE CONSENSUS TESTS

Module 1		Consensus Sampling and QC/QA
Module 2	AASHTO T 176	Plastic Fines in Graded Aggregate and Soils by Use of the Sand Equivalent Test
Module 3	AASHTO T 304	Uncompacted Void Content of Fine Aggregate
Module 4	ASTM 5821	Determining the Percentage of Fractured Particles in Coarse Aggregate

Course Content.doc (11-18-09)

#### HMA AGGREGATE (CONSENSUS) TESTS (RE)CERTIFICATION COURSE 2016-2017 Season

Time	Module	Location	Торіс	Instructor		
8:00-8:10	Intro	Lecture	Intro/welcome	Richardson		
8:10-8-30	1	Lecture	Aggregate QC/QA Richar			
8:30-8:55	2	Lecture	Sand Equivalent	Richardson		
8:55-9:15	2	Lab	Sand Equivalent (start) Lusher			
9:15-9:25			Break			
9:25-9:35	2	Lab	Sand Equivalent (Finish)	Lusher		
9:35-9:55	3	Lecture	Fine Aggregate Particle	Richardson		
			Shape			
9:55-10:05	3	Lab	Fine Aggregate Particle	Lusher		
			Shape			
10:05-10:20	4	Lecture	Fractured Face Count Richard			
10:20-10:30			Break			
10:35-10:40	4	Lab	Fractured Face Count	Lusher		
10:40-11:00	1-4	Lecture	Course Review	Richardson		
11:00-?	1-4	Lecture	Written Exam	Richardson		
Once written exam is complete, the attendee can start their proficiency						
exams. Whether the attendee wants to leave for lunch is their decision.						
Proficiency exam proctors will be on duty until all attendees have finished						
their proficiency exams.						
?-Until all	2-4	Lab	Proficiency Exams	Staff		
have finished						

Module 1

# **MODULE 1**

## HMA Aggregate Consensus Tests

1-2-07 1-23-07 1-26-07 3-9-07 11-9-07 11-18-09 12-29-09 2-26-10 1-17-11 12-18-13 12-29-14 12-28-16

### **MODULE 1**

#### HMA Aggregate Consensus Tests

1-2-07 1-23-07 1-26-07 3-9-07 11-9-07 11-18-09 12-29-09 2-26-10 1-17-11 12-18-13 12-29-14 12-28-16

#### **SUPERPAVE**

- A SHRP product (1993)
- SUperior PERforming asphalt PAVEments
- New way of specifying binders and aggregates, and a new mix design method

2

Tied to pavement performance

#### PERFORMANCE BEHAVIOR-Major

- Permanent distortion-this course
  - Rutting
  - Shoving
  - Corrugations
- Fatigue cracking
- Cold temperature cracking
- Moisture sensitivity (stripping) this course







#### QC/QA What is it?

- QC...Contractor provides control of the process
- QA...Owner provides assurance that control is working

#### QC/QA Who?

- Quality Control:
   Aggregate Producer
   Paving Contractor
- Quality Assurance:
  - Owner (MoDOT)

#### SUPERPAVE MIXTURE NAMES

- ∎ "SPnnnyzz"
- SP= Superpave
- nnn=nominal max size
  - 048= 4.75 mm (#4)
  - 095= 9.5 mm (3/8 in)
  - 125=12.5 mm (1/2 in)
  - 190=19.0 mm (3/4 in)
  - 250=25.0 mm (1 in)

#### SUPERPAVE MIXTURE NAMES

- y = mixture design (ESAL's)
  - F= < 300,000
  - E= 300,000 to < 3,000,000
  - C= 3,000,000 to < 30,000,000
  - B= ≥ 30,000,000
- zz = special designations
  - LP= Limestone Porphyry
  - SM= Stone Mastic Asphalt
  - SMR= SM Rural
  - NC= Non-Carbonate
  - LG= Lower Gyration

9

#### SUPERPAVE "NOMINAL MAXIMUM SIZE"

- 1. Look at the combined gradation of the hotmix. Identify the largest sieve that accumulatively retains 10% or more.
- 2. Move up one sieve larger--that is the "nominal maximum size" (NMS).
- 3. The "maximum size" is one size larger than the NMS.

10

#### **MIX EXAMPLE**

- SP250B =
  - Superpave
  - 25 mm NMS
  - "B" traffic level (≥ 30,000,000 ESALs)

#### USE OF ESAL'S IN MATERIAL SELECTION

- Level of aggregate quality is tied to level of traffic; for instance, the greater the design traffic, the more angular and cleaner the aggregate has to be.
- The choice of PG binder grade is tied to traffic level; for instance, the greater the design traffic, the more rut resistant the binder must be.

#### ESAL's

- Conversion of damage from a given axle load to an equivalent number of passes of an 18,000 lb load on a single axle (equal damage)
- For instance, one pass of a 22,000 lb single axle is equivalent in damage to 2.2 passes of an 18,000 lb single axle load

13



#### ESAL's

Another way...

- Conversion of a given vehicle to an equivalent number of passes of an 18,000 lb load on a single axle (equal damage)
- For instance, one pass of a certain 6 tire truck is equivalent in damage to 0.49 pass of an 18,000 lb single axle load





#### AGGREGATE INSPECTION

- QC and QA perform tests, compare results to each other and:
  - Standard Specifications
  - Job Special Provisions
  - Engineering Policy Guide (EPG) guidelines

#### **2006 CHANGES**

 Emphasis on end-result testing to allow quarries more flexibility during production

#### **2006 CHANGES**

- Aggregate acceptance is at the mixing facility
- Usage: MoDOT still sampling/testing ledges (initial approval of ledges & annual source approval)
- Still will visit quarries to assure that proper ledges are being used



#### 403 REQUIRED TESTING: Aggregate

- Gradation
- Consensus tests: FAA, SE, F&E, and CAA
- Deleterious Materials
- RAP



#### SAMPLING: Aggregate Consensus

- Drum plant—cold feed belt
- Batch plant—cold feed belt
- Cannot use ignition oven residue
- Do not put additional filler (lime, etc) into the sample

#### SAMPLING Drum Plant Methods

- Off the combined cold feed belt
- Diverter















#### **SPLITTING METHODS**

- Mechanical splitter
  - Riffle splitter
  - "Quartermaster"
- Quartering of pile



















#### Example Consensus Tests Sampling Scenario

- The most logical scenario sample for consensus tests material (QC = 1 per 10,000 tons) at the same time sampling for gradation and deleterious materials (QC = 1 per 2 sublots)
- Assumptions:
  - SP125
  - Drum Plant













#### QC AGGREGATE CONSENSUS SAMPLING/TESTING

Independent:

- 1 per 10,000 tons mix (at least 1 per project per mix-however, could represent several mixes if using all the same fractions)
- Retained split:
  - ½ of each QC sample will be properly tagged and retained until QA has accepted the QC-QA comparison.
  - This sample is to be the ½ part of the last split when obtaining the proper testing size.

#### QA AGGREGATE CONSENSUS SAMPLING/TESTING

Independent:

- 1 per project minimum
- QC retained split:
  - 1 per project minimum
- Small Quantity Projects (<4000 tons): comparison not necessary

#### AGGREGATE

Acceptance:

- Be within tolerance of JMF values
- Compare "favorably" with QA results

#### COMPARISON TO SPECIFICATIONS

#### Consensus tests:

- FAA<sub>spec</sub> 2%
- CAA<sub>spec</sub> 5%
- SE<sub>spec</sub> 5%
- T&E<sub>spec</sub> + 2%

MoDOT MIXTURE TYPES				
Design Levels	Design Traffic (ESALS)			
F	< 3,000,000			
E	300,000 to			
	< 3,000,000			
С	3,000,000 to			
	< 30,000,000			
В	≥ 30,000,000			



SECTION 403 CONSENSUS
REQUIREMENTS
on blended aggregate (5:1)

Design Level	CAA	FAA	SE	F&E*	
F	55/none		40	10	
E	75/none	40	40	10	
С	95/90	45	45	10	
В	100/100	45	50	10	
* SMA: ≤ 20% @ 3:1 and ≤ 5% @ 5:1					



#### **CONSENSUS REQUIREMENTS**

CAA, FAA, and SE are minimums; F&E are maximums





#### FIELD TOLERANCES Example: C mix

• FAA result is 44-- is this acceptable in the field?

Spec minimum is 45, but with field tolerance applied, the minimum acceptable is 45-2=43

So, yes 44 is acceptable

#### FIELD TOLERANCES Example: C mix

- Fractured Face Count result= 92% singlefaced and 87% multiple=-faced. Is this acceptable?
- Spec minimums are 95/90, but with field tolerance applied, the minimum acceptables are 95-5=90 and 90-5=85, so:
   92/87 is greater than 90/85, so...acceptable

#### AGGREGATE

Acceptance:

- Be within tolerance of JMF values
- Compare "favorably" with QA results (close enough)

#### COMPARING QA TO QC (QC Retained Sample) Close Enough?

- Consensus Tests:
  - CAA: QC ± 5%
  - FAA: QC ± 2%
  - SE: QC ± 8%
  - T&E: QC ± 1%

#### EXAMPLE COMPARISON Test Results

- FAA: QC = 46, QA = 48
- Is there "favorable comparison"?
  - Yes, must be within 2, and they are

#### **Reporting of Test Results**

All QC test results will be maintained in a bound booklet format in the lab, and made available to the QA inspector at all times.

#### **Reporting of Test Results**

• The sample retained will be labeled with the following information:

- Time and date of sample.
- Product specification number (¾",¾", etc.).
- Type of sample (belt, bin, stockpile, etc.).

Copy of QC test results.

■Name of sampler/tester.

FUNCTION	LOCATION	FREQUENCY
Aggregate:		
Aggregate gradation 3 sieves: 1 size smaller than NMS <sub>JMF</sub> : not to exceed 92.0% 48: not to exceed 2.0% beyond master spec #200° within master spec.	Drum: Combined cold feed Batch: Hot bins Optional: T308 Residue	QC: 1 per 2 sublot QA: 1 per 4 sublot QA: QC retained: 1 per week
Consensus tests: FAA <sub>upec</sub> -2% CAA <sub>sec</sub> -5% Se <sub>sec</sub> -5% T&E <sub>upec</sub> +2%	Drum: Combined cold feed Batch: Combined cold feed	QC: 1 per 10,000 tons (min. 1 per project per mix type QA: 1 per project QA: QC retained: 1 per project

### QC/QA Functions at the Hot Mix Facility Aggregate

FUNCTION	LOCATION	FREQUENCY
Aggregate:		
Aggregate gradation	Drum: Combined cold feed	QC: 1 per 2 sublots QA: 1 per 4 sublots
<ul> <li>3 sieves:</li> <li>1 size smaller than NMS<sub>JMF</sub> : not to exceed 92.0%</li> <li>#8: not to exceed 2.0% beyond master spec</li> <li>#200: within master spec</li> </ul>	Batch: Hot bins Optional: T308 Residue	QA: QC retained: 1 per week
Consensus tests:	Drum: Combined cold	QC: 1 per 10,000 tons (min_1 per
FAA <sub>spec</sub> -2%	Batch: Combined cold feed	project per mix type)
SE <sub>spec</sub> -5%		QA: 1 per project
T&E <sub>spec</sub> +2%		QA: QC retained: 1 per project

Module 2

-----. . . . . .

# MODULE 2

Plastic Fines in Graded Aggregates and Soils by Use of the Sand Equivalent Test

### AASHTO T 176

1-2-07, 1-10-07, 1-11-07 1-23-07, 1-26-07 3-9-07, 11-9-07 11-18-09 1-17-11 12-18-13 2-11-14 12-29-14 12-9-15 12-28-16

#### MODULE 2

Plastic Fines in Graded Aggregates and Soils by Use of the Sand Equivalent Test

#### AASHTO T 176

1-2-07, 1-10-07, 1-11-07 1-23-07, 1-26-07 3-9-07, 11-9-07 11-18-09 1-17-11 12-18-13 2-11-14 12-29-14 12-9-15 12-28-16

#### SAND EQUIVALENT

- Liquid solution separates clay-like material from larger material
- The % of the total sample that has similar characteristics to sand is determined

AASHTO T 176

2

The greater the "Sand Equivalent", the less clay-like material in the sample

#### LOW SAND EQUIVALENT

- A large amount of clay-like material can coat the aggregate surfaces and prevent the liquid asphalt from completely coating and adhering
- This harms bonding of asphalt to aggregate ---stripping in presence of water

AASHTO T 176





#### CONTAMINATION FROM:

- Inclusion of non-durable material during quarrying (e.g., shale, soft rock, etc.)
- Poor stockpile management techniques (e.g., mud, dust, etc.)
- During delivery (e.g., contaminated truck beds)

AASHTO T 176













MoDOT MIXTURE TYPES				
Design Levels	Design Traffic (ESALS)			
F	< 3,000,000			
E	300,000 to			
	< 3,000,000			
С	3,000,000 to			
	< 30,000,000			
В	≥ 30,000,000			



#### CONSENSUS REQUIREMENTS on blended aggregate (5:1)

Design Level	CAA	FAA	SE	F&E*	
F	55/none		40	10	
E	75/none	40	40	10	
С	95/90	45	45	10	
В	100/100	45	50	10	
* SMA: ≤ 20% @ 3:1 and ≤ 5% @ 5:1					



#### CONSENSUS REQUIREMENTS

AASHTO T 176

12

CAA, FAA, and SE are minimums; F&E are maximums















- Calcium chloride concentrated stock flocculating solution
- Calcium chloride flocculating working solution
- Irrigation tube
- Timer
- Weighted foot assembly
- Oven capable of maintaining 230 ± 9°F (110 ± 5°c)

AASHTO T 176

17

18

#### FLOCCULATING SOLUTION

- Stock solution- 4 alternate recipes
- Working solution- dilute 85 ± 5 ml with water to obtain 1 gal total

AASHTO T 176

- Discard after 30 days
- Maintain at 22  $\pm$  3 °C (72  $\pm$  5 °F)
- Keep out of sunlight
### For Labs Where Temperature Cannot Be Maintained at 22 ± 3 °C

- If samples meet minimum specs at low temperatures, no reference testing is required
- Otherwise, frequently submit reference samples to a lab where proper temperature control is maintained to compare

AASHTO T 176

19

20

- If no difference, OK
- If significantly different, prepare conversion curves for each material tested

# SHAKING METHODS Shaking methods hierarchy: Mechanical: preferred but not mandated

- Manual
- Hand

### 

























### **Sample Preparation**

- Reduce sample size
- Shake over a #4 (4.75mm) sieve.
- Any clumps or dust should be broken apart; the (-#4) should be included with the passing material.
- Remove coatings on (+#4) material (by rubbing it between the hands-ASTM)- include with the passing material.

```
30
```







AASHTO T 176



- While filling the tin measure, tap the bottom edge on a hard surface to consolidate.
- Using a spatula or straightedge, strike off the tin measure level full.











### Procedure

- Set cylinder upright and remove rubber stopper.
- Insert irrigation tube, rinsing the walls of the cylinder as the irrigator is lowered.
  - The container of solution should be maintained 36" to 46" above the cylinder bottom.

AASHTO T 176

### Procedure

- Force the irrigator through the material to the bottom of the cylinder by applying a gentle stabbing and twisting action while solution flows from the irrigator tube.
- Continue this action while flushing the fines upward until the cylinder is filled to the 15" (381 mm) level.

AASHTO T 176

38

### Procedure

- Allow cylinder to remain undisturbed for 20 min. ± 15 sec.
- Determine final level by judging the bottom of the meniscus to be between the top two graduations not to exceed the 15" (381mm) mark.









### Data Sheet - Sand Equivalent

Clay Reading	CR	7.0 in
Sand Reading **	SR	3.7 in
Sand Equivalent = ( SR / CR ) * 100		53 %

\*\* Don't forget to subtract 10" for the length of the indicator foot before recording the sand reading.

AASHTO T 176





- Gently lower the weighted foot assembly into the cylinder taking care not to touch the sides of the cylinder until it rests on the settled material.
- As the weighted foot assembly comes to rest on the sand, tip the assembly toward the graduations until the indicator touches the inside of the cylinder.



43







Data Sheet - Sand Ed	lnina	lent
Clay Reading	CR	7.0 in
Sand Reading **	SR	3.7 in
Sand Equivalent = ( SR / CR ) * 100		53 %
* Don't forget to subtract 10" fo of the indicator foot before re sand reading. <i>Ex: 13.7 – 10</i> .	r the I ecordii 0 = 3.	ength ng the <b>7 in.</b>
5		





Data Sheet - Sand Eo	quiva	alent
Clay Reading	CR	7.0 in
Sand Reading **	SR	3.7 in
Sand Equivalent = ( SR / CR ) * 100		53 %
* Don't forget to subtract 10" for of the indicator foot before re sand reading.	or the l ecordi	length ng the
AASHTO T 176		4





MoDOT MIXTURE TYPES		
Design Levels	Design Traffic (ESALS)	
F	< 3,000,000	
E	300,000 to	
	< 3,000,000	
С	3,000,000 to	
	< 30,000,000	
В	≥ 30,000,000	

CONSENSUS REQUIREMENTS on blended aggregate (5:1)				
Design Level	CAA	FAA	SE	F&E*
F	55/none		40	10
E	75/none	40	40	10
С	95/90	45	45	10
В	100/100	45	50	10
* SMA: ≤ 20%	@ 3:1 and ≤ 5%	@ 5:1		





- Calcium chloride working solution not mixed properly
- Calcium chloride solution not maintained properly (has a certain shelf life):
  - Used outside acceptable temperature range
  - Not checked for organic growth
  - Exposed to direct sunlight
  - Not discarded after 30 days
- New solution added to old solution

AASHTO T 176

52

53

### Common Testing Errors, cont'd.

- Organic (slimy) growth not removed from tubing and working solution container
- Improper sample preparation
- Sample not shaken properly in graduated cylinder
- Sample vibrated during sedimentation stage
- Sample not irrigated properly
- Irrigation tube holes clogged
- Hose gets soft and sticks together

### PLASTIC FINES IN GRADED AGGREGATE AND SOILS BY USE OF THE SAND EQUIVALENT TEST

### **AASHTO T176**



Developed by

Multi-Regional Aggregate Training & Certification Group Revised 2006

### Note

Successful completion of the following training materials, including examination and performance evaluations are prerequisites for this training package.

AASHTO T 176, Standard method of Testing for Plastic Fines in Graded Aggregate and Soils By Use of Sand Equivalent Test.

### Reference AASHTO Tests

- > AASHTO T 2, Standard Practice for Sampling Aggregate
- > AASHTO T 27, Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregate
- > AASHTO T 248, Reducing Samples of Aggregate to Testing Size

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Scope	<u>.Agg-T176-1</u>
Apparatus .	.Agg-T176-1
Summary of Test	Agg T176 2
Summary of Test	<u>.Ayy-1170-2</u>
Sample Preparation	.Agg-T176-2
Test Procedure	Agg-T176-3
Calculations	Agg-T176-4
Common Testing Errors	.Agg-T176-4
GLOSSARY	<u>Agg-T176-5</u>

## Plastic Fines in Graded Aggregate and Soils by Use of the Sand Equivalent Test

### Scope

The Sand Equivalent Test uses a liquid solution to separate the clay-like material (fine dust) from the larger material in a sample that passes the No. 4 sieve. Once the clay-like material is separated the percent or amount of material in a sample that has similar characteristics to sand can be determined. A higher sand equivalent value indicates that there is less clay-like material in a sample. Clay-like materials have a direct effect on the performance of Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) and the amount should be controlled to provide quality bituminous mixtures. A large amount of clay-like particles can coat the aggregate surfaces and prevent the liquid asphalt from completely coating and adhering to the aggregate.

### Apparatus

The following equipment is needed to perform the sand equivalent test. The equipment needs to conform to the specifications and dimensions of the standard test method. Additional

accessory items are also noted in a list of materials in the standard test method.

- > A plastic graduated cylinder with a rubber stopper
- Irrigation Tube
- Weighted foot assembly
- Siphon assembly
- Tinned Measure
- Wide-Mouth Funnel
- A clock or watch
- > A mechanical or manual shaker
- Bottle of solution



Figure 1 - Graduated Cylinder, Irrigation Tube, weighted foot Assembly and Siphon.





Mechanical Shaker

### Agg-T176-1

### **Summary of Test**

The sand equivalent value of a prepared sample is determined by placing the sample into a graduated cylinder with the test solution. After the sample has soaked, the cylinder is capped off or sealed. The cylinder is then shaken in a horizontal position to completely mix the sample and solution.

There are three separate methods that can be used to shake a sample. The preferred or recommended method is the method using a mechanical shaker. The other two, the manual shaker or the hand method can be used, but each one has specific requirements that must be maintained to obtain accurate results.

When the mixing is finished the cylinder is stood upright, irrigated and allowed to stand undisturbed. The sample will sink toward the base of the cylinder. The heavier particles will sink to the bottom of the cylinder rapidly and the suspended fine material will slowly settle toward the bottom. After 20 minutes + 15 sec. the top of the suspended material is noted as the clay reading. The sand reading is noted after a weighted assembly is lowered into the cylinder and it comes to rest on the surface of the sand or coarse material that has settled out. Once the readings are obtained a simple calculation is used to determine the sand equivalent value.

### **Test Precautions**

This test method has numerous steps where errors can be introduced, unless certain details are carefully controlled or monitored before and during the test procedure. The prepared solution of calcium chloride, glycerin and formaldehyde solution should be mixed, used and maintained with care. The Material Safety Data Sheets should be used for any safety issues associated with this test when using the noted solution.

Most of the precautions are associated with good laboratory techniques and watching the details. The sample preparation and the shaking of the sample have specific requirements that are needed for accurate test procedures, and test results.

### **Sample Preparation**

The test is conducted on soils or graded aggregate passing the 4.75mm (No. 4) sieve. When separating the sample special care should be made to collect all the minus 4.75mm (No. 4) material. Any clumps or dust should be broken apart and included with the material passing the 4.75mm (No. 4) sieve.

Split the sample into the desired number of test samples, with enough material to slightly overfill the tin measure. Set up each test sample by either one of the alternate methods described in the standard specification, or the referee method (mechanical shaker).

The following step by step procedure for the mechanical shaker (Reference Method) is recommended to understand the laboratory techniques needed for accurate test results.

1. Allow the initial sample to air dry.

2. Split or quarter the sample until you have slightly more material than it will take to fill a 3 ounce tin cup.

3. Place the tin cup in a larger flat container. A bread pan will work.

4. Take the sample obtained by splitting or quartering and slowly pour the sample into the tin cup.

5. As you pour the sample, gently tap the bottom edge of the tin cup on a hard surface (the bottom of the large flat container will work.)

6. After filling, strike off the top of the tin cup with a straight edge.

7. Oven dry the sample to a constant weight at  $110 \pm 5^{\circ}C$  (230  $\pm 9^{\circ}F$ ).

8. Place one of the plastic graduated cylinders under the elevated siphon assembly.

9. Siphon 4.0+/-0.1 inches of working calcium chloride solution into the cylinder.

10. Pour the content of the tin cup into the solution.

11. Tap the bottom of the cylinder several times with the heel of your hand to help release trapped air bubbles and promote thorough wetting of the sample.

12. Let the cylinder and sample stand undisturbed for 10 +/-1 minutes.

- 13. Place the rubber stopper in the cylinder.
- 14. Loosen the material from the bottom of the cylinder.
- 15. Place the cylinder in the Mechanical Shaker.
- 16. Tighten the screw to hold the cylinder.
- 17. Turn the Mechanical Shaker on.

18. BE SURE TO HOLD THE MECHANICAL SHAKER IN PLACE, IF IT HAS NOT BEEN ANCHORED TO A FIRM FLAT SURFACE. Allow the machine to shake the sample for 45 ± 1 second.

- 19. When the shaker is finished, loosen the screw.
- 20. Remove the cylinder.
- 21. Remove the stopper.
- 22. Place the cylinder under the siphon assembly.
- 23. Place the irrigation tube into the cylinder.
- 24. Loosen the restraints on the siphon tube.
- 25. Rinse the material from the cylinder walls as you lower the tube into the cylinder.
- 26. Force the irrigation tube through the sample.
- 27. Twist the irrigation tube, forcing the fine material into suspension.
- 28. Keep forcing and twisting the tube through the sample.
- 29. Keep doing this until the fluid level reaches approximately 15 inches.
- 30. Raise the tube, keeping the fluid level at the 15 inch mark.
- 31. Replace the restraints on the siphon tube.
- 32. Allow the cylinder and sample to stand undisturbed for 20 minutes +/- 15 seconds.
- 33. After this time take the Clay reading.
- 34. Read the top of the Clay suspension. If the suspension level is between lines take the highest reading.
- 35. Insert the weighted foot assembly. (Refer to the standard test method for specific notes of the weighted foot assemblies.)

36. MAKE SURE THAT YOU DO NOT ALLOW THE INDICATOR TO HIT THE MOUTH OF THE CYLINDER.

- 37. Lower the assembly into the solution until the foot comes to rest on the sand.
- 38. Take the sand reading. If the indicator is between 2 lines take the highest reading.
- 40. Record the clay and sand readings.

41. Enter the clay and sand readings in the Sand Equivalency formula and complete the calculations.

### Calculations

Calculate the sand equivalent (SE) value to the nearest 0.1 using the following formula:

$$SE = Sand Reading x 100$$
  
Clay Reading

### **Common Testing Errors**

- Calcium Chloride Solution not mixed properly, used outside of the temperature range or not checked for organic growth.
- > Vibrations or jarring while sample is settling out in the solution.
- > Improper sample preparations (splitting & test sample preparations.)
- Solution exposed to direct sunlight.
- Sample not irrigated correctly.
- Sample not shaker properly in graduated cylinder.

**Irrigation Tube** - Metal tube pushed thru material to help force clay-like material into suspension.

Weighted Foot Assembly - Device used to measure the height of the nonclay-like material.

**Siphon Assembly** - A gallon container and flexible hose used to introduce the solution into the irrigation tube.

**Mechanical Shaker** - Used to agitate the sample and solution before irrigation.

12/26/01

#### MEMO for AASHTO T 176

AASHTO T 176, Plastic Fines in Graded Aggregates and Soils by the use of the Sand Equivalent Test

Shaker Requirements

There is a manually operated shaker out on the market from Gilson, model SE-6. It uses a hand crank to throw the cylinder a stroke of 203.2 mm (8.00 inches). Gilson recommends this device be operated at 1 revolution per second for 45 seconds. At 3 strokes per revolution this would yield 131 strokes. This would be equivalent to the mechanical requirements. This device is not specified in the AASHTO Test Method.

The following issues should be considered when reviewing the acceptability of a shaker for this test method:

1. The electrical mechanical shaker is the device used for dispute resolution. If a District is considering purchasing a shaker the electrical mechanical device is recommended.

2. If the Gilson model SE-6 device is to be used it must be specified in the QC plan with the following information included about its operation.

The Gilson Hand Crank Model for Sand Equivalent testing is being used to shake the samples. The shaker will be operated by cranking at approximately 1 revolution per second for 45 seconds. The device is capable of 3 strokes per 1 revolution. The desired result will be for 131 strokes in the 45 seconds. Any disputed results will be referenced to an electrical mechanical model shaker.

Module 3

# MODULE 3

### Uncompacted Void Content of Fine Aggregate AASHTO T 304

1-2-07 1-10-07 1-11-07 1-23-07 1-26-07 11-9-07 2-26-10 11-9-10 1-17-11 12-18-13 12-29-14 1-13-16 12-28-16

### **MODULE 3**

Uncompacted Void Content of Fine Aggregate AASHTO T 304

- 1-2-07 1-10-07 1-23-07 1-26-07 11-9-07 2-26-10 11-9-10 1-17-11 12-18-13 12-29-14 1-13-16
- 12-28-16

### Scope

- Test determines the loose uncompacted *void content* of a sample of fine aggregate
- When performed on an aggregate sample of a known standard grading (Method A), this measurement provides an indication of particle shape

AASHTO T 304

2

3

### SCOPE

- The materials angularity, roundness or surface texture relative to other materials of the same standard grading is indicated by the percent of voids determined by this test
- The Superpave Asphalt Mix Design Method sets minimum requirements for void content that vary depending on traffic loads.

MoDOT MIXTURE TYPES		
Design Levels	Design Traffic (ESALS)	
F	< 3,000,000	
E	300,000 to	
	< 3,000,000	
С	3,000,000 to	
	< 30,000,000	
В	≥ 30,000,000	



CONSENSUS REQUIREMENTS	
on blended aggregate (5:1)	

Design Level	CAA	FAA	SE	F&E*
F	55/none		40	10
E	75/none	40	40	10
С	95/90	45	45	10
В	100/100	45	50	10
* SMA: ≤ 20%	@ 3:1 and ≤ 5%	@ 5:1		



### **CONSENSUS REQUIREMENTS**

AASHTO T 304

6

CAA, FAA, and SE are minimums; F&E are maximums

### REASON FOR THE SPECIFICATION

- The purpose is to maximize shear strength in either bound or un-bound aggregate mixtures
- Increased shear strength helps resist rutting

### MORE ANGULAR SAND

AASHTO T 304

- Better interlocking (thus, greater stability)
- Higher VMA

But...

- Higher cost
- Less compactibility

### **Summary of Test Method**

AASHTO T 304

- Using Method A, a standard gradation is built
- The sample is allowed to free-fall from a funnel into a cylinder of a known volume
- Using the bulk dry specific gravity of the sample (AASHTO T 84), the percent of void space in the cylinder is calculated
- This value is known as the Fine Aggregate Angularity Value or FAA

### **Typical Test Results**

Using Method A:

Natural Sands – 35 to 43 percent Crushed Products – 43 to 50 percent

### **BLENDED AGGREGATES**

AASHTO T 304

10

11

12

- Possible for a low angularity material to be blended with a greater angularity material and meet the specification
- The materials must be tested after blending
- A calculated weighted average of separate materials may not give the same results as an actual test of the blend

AASHTO T 304

### INDIVIDUAL FRACTIONS

 Individual fractions may be tested for FAA as a check on process control, but acceptance is based on tests of the blended aggregates

### Equipment

- Cylinder measuring approximately 39mm (1.56 inches) in diameter, 86mm (3.44 inches) deep with a capacity of approximately 100 ml. Calibrated when new and annually.
- Funnel and funnel stand conforming to Figure 2, AASHTO T 304

AASHTO T 304

13

14

Glass plate for calibrating cylindrical measure.

# Equipment Pan large enough to contain funnel stand and catch overflow of material Metal spatula with a *straight-edge* on the tip and side approximately 100mm (4 inches) long and 20 mm (0.8 inches) wide Balance accurate to 0.1 gram

AASHTO T 304

Pans for batching and weighing



















- Wash representative sample (T 11) Size of sample depends on gradation Generally 500 –700 g
- $\blacksquare$  Dry the washed sample at 230  $\pm$  9°F (110  $\pm$  5° C ) to a constant weight
- Sieve material (AASHTO T 27) and keep fractions separate.

AASHTO T 304

20

21

### **Test Procedure**

 Remove the following size fractions and retain in separate labeled container:
 Passing No. 8 – Retained on No. 16
 Passing No. 16 – Retained on No. 30
 Passing No. 30 – Retained on No. 50
 Passing No. 50 – Retained on No. 100

Weigh individual size fractions and combine them as follows (record to the nearest 0.1 g):

Size Fraction	Mass, Grams
No.8 – No.16	44.0 ± 0.2
No.16 – No.30	57.0 ± 0.2
No.30 – No.50	72.0 ± 0.2
No.50 – No.100	17.0 ± 0.2

22





### **Test Procedure**

AASHTO T 304

- Mix combined material with spatula until homogeneous.
- Place a pan on towel and put the apparatus in the pan
- Place finger under opening of funnel to seal opening
- Pour sample into funnel and level with spatula.



- Quickly remove finger from funnel and allow sample to free-fall into the calibrated cylinder
- Take care not to vibrate or disturb the material in the cylinder to avoid further consolidation.



25

26

27

**Test Procedure** 

AASHTO T 304

- Strike off excess material in a single pass with the edge of spatula held in a vertical position.
- At this point additional compaction will not affect test results.
  - Lightly tap cylinder using spatula to consolidate and aid in handling.

AASHTO T 304

### **Test Procedure**

- <u>After strike off</u>, remove excess sand from the outside of the cylinder mold using a small brush.
- Weigh the cylinder with sample and record to the nearest 0.1 gram.

AASHTO T 304

Retain and recombine all material for a second trial.





- Of the aggregate *blend* passing the #4 sieve
- If any of the specific gravities of the blended materials differs by 0.05 from the typical sp grav, the sp grav of each fraction must be determined

AASHTO T 304

29

### SPECIFIC GRAVITY Alternate Acceptable Methods

- Run T 84 specific gravity of the T 304 built specimen (best method)
- Run T 84 on the minus #4 material off the combined cold feed
- Calculate the weighted average (by % in the mix) sp gravity from results of T 84 testing of the individual fractions in the mix that have previously been run (MoDOT runs T 85 for a material with greater than 10% minus #4, and runs the T84 on the minus #4 material-these are averaged and reported as T85 sp gravity, but the T84 result is available).



31

33



### **UNFAVORABLE COMPARISON**

- Of the four consensus tests, FAA is the most prone to "unfavorable comparison" because of inconsistent specific gravity (eg. Just using G<sub>sb</sub> from JMF)
- Other problem: non-washed specimen
#### **Test Procedure**

- Repeat test using recombined sample.
- Calculate and report average of two trials.
- Experience has shown that variability in results decreases with operator experience and an increase in the number of trials performed.

AASHTO T 304

34

35



AASHTO T 304





EXAMPLE					
Weight of sand + measure (g)	318.0	316.4			
Weight of measure (g)	183.2	183.2			
Weight of sand (g) [F]	134.8	133.2			
Volume of measure (cm <sup>3</sup> ) [V]	99.8	99.8			
Uncompacted Voids (%) [U]*	45.9	46.5			
Average Uncompacted Voids (%)	ids (%) 46.2				
$U = \frac{V - \frac{F}{G_{sb}}}{V} \times 100$					
A	ASHTO T 304			37	











CONSENSUS REQUIREMENTS on blended aggregate (5:1)				
Design Level	CAA	FAA	SE	F&E*
F	55/none		40	10
E	75/none	40	40	10
С	95/90	45	45	10
В	100/100	45	50	10
* SMA: ≤ 20% @ 3:1 and ≤ 5% @ 5:1				



#### **Common Testing Errors**

- Improper calibration or damage to test cylinder resulting in a change of volume
- Vibration in test area causing overcompaction of sample in test cylinder
- Erroneous specific gravity used in calculation
  - A difference of 0.05 specific gravity can cause an error of 1.0% FAA

AASHTO T 304

42















#### VOLUME MEASURE CALIBRATION

5. Place plate on measure (avoid air bubbles)













DENSITY OF WATER			
Temperature (°C)	Density (kg/m³)		
18.3	998.54		
21.1	997.97		
23.0	997.54		
23.9	997.32		
AASH	TO T 304 52		



# UNCOMPACTED VOID CONTENT OF FINE AGGREGATE

# **AASHTO T 304**



Developed by Multi-Regional Aggregates Training & Certification Group Revised 2006

#### NOTE

Successful completion of the following training materials, including examination and performance evaluation are prerequisites for this training package.

- > AASHTO T84, Specific Gravity of Fine Aggregates
- AASHTO T11, Materials Finer than 75µm (No. 200) Sieve by Washing.
- AASHTO T27, Sieve Analysis of Coarse and Fine Aggregate

### **Table of Contents**

Scope	AGG-T304-1
Summary of Test Method	
Typical Test Results	<u>AGG-T304-2</u>
Common Testing Errors	<u>AGG-T304-2</u>
Apparatus	<u>AGG-T304-2</u>
Procedure	<u>AGG-T304-3</u>
GLOSSARY	

### AASHTO T304, Uncompacted Void Content of Fine Aggregate

#### Scope

This method determines the loose uncompacted void content of a sample of fine aggregate. When performed on an aggregate sample of a known, standard grading (Method A), this measurement provides an indication of particle shape. The materials' angularity, roundness or surface texture relative to other materials of the same standard grading is indicated by the percent of voids determined by this test. The Gyratory Superpave asphalt mix design method sets minimum requirements for void content that vary depending on traffic loads and depth from the surface of the asphaltic concrete pavement. In this method, the prepared sample is allowed to free-fall through a standard funnel of a specified diameter, from a specified height into a small cylinder of known volume (nominal 100 ml).

The material is then leveled with the top of the calibrated cylinder and weighed. Because the volume and weight of the cylinder are known, the weight of the sample contained in the cylinder can be calculated. Using the Bulk Dry Specific Gravity (As determined by AASHTO T84), the volume of the material in the cylinder is calculated. By subtracting the calculated volume of material from the calibrated volume of the testing cylinder, the volume of voids can be calculated.

When performed on an "as received" sample (Method C), this method can serve as an indicator of the effect the fine aggregate can have on the workability of Portland Cement concrete.

**NOTE:** This manual covers Test method A only.

### **Summary of Test Method**

A sample of sand is prepared in accordance with one of three methods. Method A, a standard gradation, is the most common used. The sample is allowed to free-fall from a funnel into a cylinder of known volume. Using the bulk dry specific gravity of the sample as determined by AASHTO T84, the percent of void space in the cylinder is calculated. This value is known as the Fine Aggregate Angularity Value or FAA.

#### **Typical Test Results**

Using Method A, values typically range between 35 to 43 for natural sands and from 43 to 50 for crushed products. Values are obtained from more than one test of the same sample.

#### **Common Testing Errors**

- Improper calibration of test cylinder or damage to test cylinder resulting in a change in volume.
- Vibration in test area resulting in over-compaction of sample in test cylinder.
- Erroneous specific gravity used in calculation. A difference of 0.05 specific gravity can cause an error of 1.0-% FAA value.

#### Apparatus

- Cylindrical measure approximately 39 mm (1.56 in.) in diameter, 86 mm (3.44 in.) deep with a capacity of approximately 100-mL.
- > Funnel conforming to figure 2 in AASHTO T304.
- > Funnel Stand conforming to figure 2 in AASHTO T304.
- > Glass Plate for calibrating cylindrical measure.
- > Pan large enough to contain funnel stand and to catch overflow material.
- Metal spatula with a straight edge approximately 100 mm (4.0 in.) long and 20 mm (0.8 in.) wide.
- > Balance accurate and readable to 0.1 grams.

#### **Calibration of Cylindrical Measure**

- 1. Apply a light coat of grease to the top edge of the dry, empty cylindrical measure.
- 2. Weigh the greased measure and glass plate.
- 3. Fill the measure with freshly boiled, deionized water at a temperature of  $18^{\circ}$  to  $24^{\circ}$  C ( $64^{\circ}$  to  $75^{\circ}$  F). Record the water temperature.
- 4. Place the glass plate over the measure, being sure no air bubbles remain.

- 5. Dry the outer surface of the measure, weigh and record to the nearest 0.1 g.
- 6. Empty the measure and clean off the grease. Dry the measure, weigh and record to the nearest 0.1 g.
- 7. Calculate the volume of the measure as follows:

Where:

V = volume of cylinder, mL

Note: determine the volume to the nearest 0.1 mL.

M = net mass of water, g.

 $D = density of water kg/m^3$ 

°F	°C	lb/ft <sup>3</sup>	kg/m³
65	18.3	62.336	998.54
70	21.1	62.301	997.97
(73.4)	(23.0)	(62.274)	(997.54)
75	23.9	62.261	997.32

#### Density of Water (ASTM C 29/C 29M)

# **Procedure** – Only Method A will be covered in this procedure, for other methods consult AASHTO T304

1. Weigh and combine the following quantities of fine aggregate, which has been washed, dried and sieved in accordance with AASHTO T11 and T27.

Individual Size Fraction	<u>Mass, q</u>
Passing No. 8 – Retained on No. 16	44
Passing No. 16 – Retained on No. 30	57
Passing No. 30 – Retained on No. 50	72
Passing No. 50 - Retained on No. 100	17
Total 190	

**NOTE:** The tolerance on each amount is  $\pm 0.2$  g.

- 2. Mix combined sample thoroughly with spatula.
- 3. Position the jar and funnel section in the stand and center the cylindrical measure.
- 4. Place finger under opening in funnel to seal opening. Pour mixed sample into funnel and level the material with the spatula.



Pouring sample into funnel

- 5. Quickly remove finger from funnel and allow sample to free-fall into the calibrated cylinder.
- 6. Take care not to vibrate or unnecessarily disturb the material in the cylinder to avoid further consolidation. Strike off the excess material above the lip of the cylinder with the spatula edge, held in a vertical position, using one continuous motion.
- 7. After striking off, remove any excess sand from the outside of the cylinder using a small brush. At this point, additional compaction of the material in the cylinder will not affect the test results and will aid in handling.
- 8. Weigh the cylinder with the sample and record to the nearest 0.1 grams. Retain and recombine all materials for the next trial.



Weighing the Cylinder

Calculate uncompacted voids content as follows:

Where:

- V = Volume of calibrated cylinder in mL (cubic centimeters)
- F = Net Mass of Sample in Cylinder (Gross mass minus mass of empty cylinder)
- G = Bulk dry specific gravity as determined by AASHTO T84
- U = Uncompacted Voids in Percent (reported to nearest 0.1%)
- 9. Repeat test using recombined sample. Calculate and report average of at least two trials.

### GLOSSARY

**Voids-** Difference between the total volume and the volume occupied only by the aggregate particles. The amount of void space (or air space) is a function of the aggregate gradation, particle shape and texture, and the amount of compaction of the material.

**Uncompacted Voids-** The amount of void space present when the material is in an uncompacted, unconsolidated state.

**Bulk Dry Specific Gravity-** The ratio of the mass in air of a unit volume of aggregate at a stated temperature to the mass in air of an equal volume of gas-free distilled water at the stated temperature.

**Angularity-** A description of the degree of roughness, surface irregularities or sharp angles of the aggregate particles (i.e. particle shape).

Module 4

# MODULE 4 Percent of Fractured Particles in Coarse Aggregates

# Fractured Face Count (FFC) Coarse Aggregate Angularity (CAA)

# ASTM D 5821

1-2-07 1-23-07 1-26-07 11-9-07 1-17-11 4-27-12 12-18-13 12-29-14 12-9-15



ASTM D 5821

12-18-13 12-29-14 12-9-15

#### Scope

- This test procedure determines the amount (percent) of fracture faced rock particles by visual inspection.
- Specifications contain minimum requirements for percentage of crushed rock particles.
- Specifications apply to aggregate after the fractions have been combined (blended)

ASTM D 5821

#### Introduction

- This method can be used to determine acceptability of coarse, dense graded, and open graded aggregates.
- Primarily used for bituminous aggregates.

#### REASON FOR THE SPECIFICATION

The purpose is to maximize shear strength in either bound or un-bound aggregate mixtures

ASTM D 5821

2

Increased shear strength helps resist rutting



#### Terminology

- Fractured Face An angular, rough, or broken surface of an aggregate particle created by crushing, other artificial means, or by nature.
- Natural fractures can be accepted as long as they are similar to fractures produced by a crusher.

#### Terminology

- Fractured Particle A particle of aggregate having at least the minimum number of fractured faces specified (usually one or two).
- A broken surface constituting an area to be at least 25% of the maximum particle cross-sectional area

ASTM D 5821

#### **Test Specifications**

- This test method is primarily used on gravel products.
- Crushed limestone, dolomite, steel slag, and porphyry are considered to have 100 percent multiple (2 or more) fractured faces and will not be tested, unless visual inspection indicates that undesirable particle shapes are being produced.

ASTM D 5821

7

#### **Test Specifications**

Refer to the Missouri Standard Specifications for Highway Construction Manual section 403 for the correct criteria.







#### Equipment

- No.4 (4.75mm) Sieve
- Balance accurate to 0.1 g.
- Spatula or similar tool to help sort particles
- Proper containers to put the sorted particles in for weighing purposes.
- Sample size reduction device (eg. riffle splitter)

ASTM D 5821

11













#### Sample Preparation

- Dry the sample sufficiently to obtain a clean separation of fine and coarse material.
- Sieve the sample over a No.4 (4.75mm) sieve and keep what is retained on the sieve.
- Reduce the sample down using a splitter to the proper test size.

ASTM D 5821

15

16

Sample Preparation

- The test sample size is based on Nominal Maximum Size.
- Nominal Maximum Size is defined as the largest sieve upon which any material is retained.
- The mass of the test sample shall be large enough so that the largest particle is not more than 1% of the sample mass, or the test sample shall be at least as large as indicated in the following table, whichever is smaller.
- Sample mass ≥ 100 x largest particle mass
- **Example:** 6 g rock  $\rightarrow$  600 g sample mass

Sample P	reparation
Nominal Maximum Size	Minimum Sample Mass
³∕₃" (9.5mm)	200 g (0.5lb.)
½" (12.5mm)	500 g (1 lb.)
<sup>3</sup> ⁄4" (19.0mm)	1500 g (3 lbs.)
1" (25.0mm)	3000 g (6.5 lbs.)
1 ½" (37.5mm)	7500 g (16.5 lbs.)
2" (50.0mm)	15,000 g (33 lbs.)
ASTM	D 5821



# Option for Lessening the Amount of Material to Test:

- 1. Separate on the 3/8" sieve
  - Split plus 3/8" material down to ≥ 1500 g
    Test the plus 3/8" material
- Separate the minus 3/8" material on the #4 sieve
  Split minus (3/8"-to-#4) material down to ≥ 200 g
  - 2. Test the minus (3/8"-to-#4) material
- 3. Calculate the percent fractured face for each portion (+3/8" and 3/8"-to-#4)
- 4. Report using weighted average. See Appendix for example.

ASTM D 5821

18

19

#### Test Procedure

- Wash and dry plus No.4 (4.75mm) material to a constant mass, 0.1% of the original dry sample mass.
- Spread sample on clean surface and evaluate each particle.
- The fractured face, when viewed directly, must constitute at least 25% of the maximum cross sectional area.































27

28

29



ASTM D 5821



EXAMPLE			
Data Sheet – Fractured Face			
Count			
Weight of particles with no Frac. Faces	N	93.2	
Weight of particles with 1 Frac. Face	F1	52.2	
Weight of particles with 2 or more Frac. Faces		99.1	
Single % FFC = $P = \left[\frac{F_1 + F_2}{F_1 + F_2 + N}\right] \times 100$		62	
Multiple % FFC = $P = \left[\frac{F_2}{F_1 + F_1 + N}\right] x 100$		41	
Note that the single % FFC includes all the multiple faces.			
ASTM D 5821		30	



MoDOT MIXTURE TYPES			
Design Levels	Design Traffic (ESALS)		
F	< 3,000,000		
E	300,000 to		
	< 3,000,000		
С	3,000,000 to		
	< 30,000,000		
В	≥ 30,000,000		







# SPECIFICATIONS

- 75/-- means the blend must have at least 75% one or more fractured faces, no requirement on multiple faces
- 95/90- means the blend must have at least 95% one or more fractured faces, and at least 90% multiple faces
- 100/100 means the blend must have at least 100% one or more fractured faces, 100% multiple faces

ASTM D 5821

33

# DETERMINING PERCENT OF FRACTURED PARTICLES IN COARSE AGGREGATE

## **ASTM D 5821**



Developed by Multi-regional Aggregate Training & Certification Group Revised 2006

#### NOTE

Successful completion of the following training materials, including examination and performance evaluation are prerequisites for this training package.

Reference ASTM Standard Tests

- > ASTM C 136 Test Method for Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregate
- > ASTM C 702 Practice of Reducing Field Samples of Aggregate to Test Size
- > ASTM D 75 Practice of Sampling Aggregate

Reference AASHTO Tests to ASTM Standard Tests Listed Above

- > AASHTO T 2 is identical to ASTM D 75
- > AASHTO T 248 is identical to ASTM C 702
- > AASHTO T 27 does differ slightly with ASTM C 136

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

SCOPE	<u>Agg-D5821-</u> 1
TERMINOLOGY	<u>Agg-D5821-1</u>
EQUIPMENT	<u>Agg-D5821-1</u>
SAMPLE PREPARATION	<u>Agg-D5821-3</u>
TEST PROCEDURE	<u>Agg-D5821-4</u>
COMMON TESTING ERRORS	. <u>Agg-D5821-4</u>
CALCULATION	<u>Agg-D5821-5</u>

### SCOPE

This test procedure determines the amount (percent) of fracture faced rock particles, by visual inspection that meets specific requirements. The fractured face of each rock particle must meet a minimum cross-sectional area (See Terminology). Specifications contain requirements for percentage of crushed rock particles, with the purpose of maximizing shear strength in either bound or unbound aggregate mixtures. This method can be used in determining the acceptability of coarse, dense-graded, and open-graded aggregates with respect to such requirements. This procedure is used primarily for aggregates used in hot-mix asphalt.

#### TERMINOLOGY

Fractured Face - A fractured face is defined as being caused either by mechanical means or by nature and should have sharp or slightly blunted edges. Natural fractures, to be accepted, must be similar to fractures produced by a crusher. A broken surface constituting an area equal to at least 25% of the maximum cross-sectional area of the particle.

Note: The AASHTO method specifies a criteria of 50%.

**Fractured Rock Particle** - A rock particle having at least one fractured face, or two fractured faces, as required for that class/type of aggregate in the specifications.

#### EQUIPMENT

- A. Sieves A set of sieves appropriate for the sample type.
- B. Balance appropriate for the size of sample and accurate to 0.1g.
- C. Spatula or similar tool to aid in sorting the aggregate particles.
- D. Splitter.
- E. Pans, bowls, or paper containers.






## SAMPLE PREPARATION

Air-dry the representative sample prior to the coarse gradation process so that there is a clean separation of the particles. A total + 4.75mm (No. 4) sample could be set up for testing or if the nominal maximum size of the aggregate is 19mm ( $\frac{3}{4}$  in.) or larger, the + 4.75mm (No. 4) material can be split into two representative fractions. It will be necessary to determine the correct proportions between the two fractions and this may be calculated from gradation results. All the material passing the 9.5mm ( $\frac{3}{6}$  in.) sieve and retained on the appropriate sieves for the selected fractions (normally the 4.75mm (#4) sieve) are weighed and the sum of the weights equal the total +4.75mm (No. 4) material. Then the material from the minus 9.5mm ( $\frac{3}{6}$  in.) fraction is split down to the required minimum 200g (0.5 lb) sample size and tested. Splitting the minus 9.5mm ( $\frac{3}{6}$  in) material is done to reduce the number of aggregate particles that must be inspected, when the sample contains a large amount of material passing the 9.5mm ( $\frac{3}{6}$  in) sieve.

SPLIT SAMPLE AND SINGLE SAMPLE SIZES				
NOMINAL	NOMINAL MAXIMUM	MINIMUM TEST SAMPLE SIZE + #4		
MAXIMUM SIEVE SIZES	SIEVE SIZES			
mm	Inch	(grams)	(Approx. lbs)	
9.5	3/8"	200	0.5	
12.5	1⁄2"	500	1	
19.0	3⁄4"	1500	3	
25.0	1"	3000	6.5	
37.5	11⁄2"	7500	16 .5	

#### See below for \*nominal maximum sieve sizes and minimum sample sizes.

\* NOTE: Nominal maximum sieve size is defined as the largest sieve size listed in the applicable specification upon which any material is permitted to be retained.

## TEST PROCEDURE

A. Wash and then dry to a constant mass (weight). Weigh the test sample to the nearest 0.1g and record as "Test Sample Weight".

B. Spread the test sample on a clean, flat surface large enough to permit the material to be spread thinly for careful inspection and evaluation.

- C. Using the spatula or a similar tool separate the particles into one of the following two categories.
  - 1. **Fractured Particles**, using the criteria of "one or more fractured faces" or "two or more fractured faces" as is consistent with the requirements in the specifications.
  - 2. Particles not meeting the specified criteria

D. Determine the mass (or count) of the "Fractured Particles" and " Particles not meeting the specified criteria" separately and record the weights.

### **COMMON TESTING ERRORS**

Sample not representative

### CALCULATION

# Case where determination is performed on 2 fractions separately, then the weighted average is calculated.

A. Calculate the percentage of fractured particles for each separate fraction as follows:

F Percent Fractured Particles (**P**) = ------ **x** 100 F + N

Where: F = Weight of crushed particles with at least the specified number of fractured faces, in grams.
 = (F1 + F2) or (F2)
 N = Weight of the particles not meeting the specified requirements, in grams.

In the example, 19.0 to 9.5 mm (3/4" to 3/8") size:

$$F = 782$$

$$N = 1068$$

$$782$$

$$P = ----- x \ 100 = 42.3\%$$

$$782 + 1068$$

In the example, 9.5 to 4.75 mm (3/8" - No. 4) size:

B. Total Percentage of Fractured Particles Retained on the 4.75mm (No. 4) Sieve.

Determine the percentages of the 19.0 to 9.5 mm (3/4" to 3/8") and the 9.5 to 4.75 mm (3/8" to No. 4) fractions using the material retained on the 4.75 mm (No. 4) sieve as 100%.

Example:

19.0 - 9.5 mm (3/4" - 3/8") Material 9.5 - 4.75 mm (3/8" - No. 4) Material	=	3766g 7314g
Total +4.75 mm (No. 4) Material	=	11080g
Percent 19.0 - 9.5 mm (3/4" - 3/8") =	3766 <b>x</b> 11080	100 <b>=</b> 34%
Percent 9.5 - 4.75 mm (3/8" - No. 4) =	7314 <b>x</b> 11080	100 = 66%

Total Percent Fractured Particles = 100 x

(% Fractured Particles 19.0 - 9.5mm [3/4" to 3/8"]) **x** (% of 19.0 - 9.5mm [3/4" to 3/8"] Material)

+

(% Fractured Particles 9.5 - 4.75mm [3/8" - No. 4]) **x** (% of 9.5 - 4.75mm [3/8" - No. 4] Material)

In the Example:

100 [(0.423 x 0.34) + (0.819 x 0.66)] =

100 [(0.144) + (0.541)] = 68.5% Fractured Particle