



ADDENDUM NUMBER 3

Project Number TAP 3301 (483) Project No. 89060403

Project Title Reissue #3 MO Hwy 9 & NW Prairie View Rd. Sidewalk Improvements

ISSUE DATE: **01/26/2026**

Bidders are hereby notified that the Bidding and Contract Documents for the above project, for which Bids are to be received on January 27, 2026, are amended as follows:

The following documents have been revised and updated below:

Questions & Answers

NOTE: Bidders must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum by listing the number and date, where provided, on the Bid Form - Document 00410.



ADDENDUM NUMBER 3

Project Number TAP 3301 (483) Project No. 89060403

Project Title Reissue #3 MO Hwy 9 & NW Prairie View Rd. Sidewalk Improvements

ISSUE DATE: **01/26/2026**

Bidders are hereby notified that the Bidding and Contract Documents for the above project, for which Bids are to be received on January 27, 2026, are amended as follows:

The following documents have been revised and updated below:

Questions & Answers

NOTE: Bidders must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum by listing the number and date, where provided, on the Bid Form - Document 00410.

| Project # 89060403 | | | |
|--------------------|----------|---|---|
| | Question | KCMO Answer | |
| 1 | No. | Question | Answer |
| 2 | 1 | While reviewing the plans, we noticed discrepancies in the asphalt bid quantities compared to the plans. The plans call for 4" base and 2" surface for a total of 308 SY, equating to 68 tons of base and 34 tons of surface. The bid form only lists 7 tons of base and 4 tons of surface. Can you confirm whether we are missing something or if the quantities need to be corrected? | The asphalt quantities should be changed to 68 tons, asphalt base, and 34 tons, asphalt surface. |
| 3 | 2 | Can you provide clarification on the linear grading line item? The spec references APWA 2102, but APWA does not explain the measurement method. Linear grading Class A appears to reference MODOT. The bid item includes 17.3 stations — does the measurement include both sides of the road, or only areas with concrete driveways/sidewalks? Does this linear grading include all onsite excavation/embankment except the 285 CY already shown? | The liner grading should be MoDOT specification, Section 207, spec. 207.1.1 Liner Grading Class 1. The 17.3 stations do include both sides of the road. |
| 4 | 3 | Will all permit fees be waived? | Yes, permit fees will be waived. |

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 5 | 4 | Will the owner cover utility fees? If not, what utilities are required and what are those associated costs? | No utility relocations are anticipated. |
| 6 | 5 | Will the owner cover all field testing costs? If not, what tests are required and how frequently must they be performed? | Field testing will be performed by KCMO staff. |
| 7 | 6 | Is this project prevailing wage and/or tax exempt? | See Project Manual |
| 8 | 7 | What is the anticipated NTP? | 16-Mar-26 |
| 9 | 8 | What is the project budget? | No response (not providing) |

| | | | |
|----|----|---|--|
| 10 | 9 | Is there a geotechnical report available for the areas within our construction limits? | No |
| 11 | 10 | Will any utilities need to be relocated? If so, which ones and what is the schedule for relocation? | No utility relocations are anticipated. |
| 12 | 11 | How will unsuitable subgrade repairs be handled? | Unsuitable subgrade repair locations to be addressed with aggregate and rock base or suitable agreed upon alternative. |
| 13 | 12 | Will this project be inspected by KCMO or MoDOT? | KCMO staff and representatives will perform inspection with MoDOT LPA oversight. |

| | | | |
|----|----|--|--|
| 14 | 13 | Will disturbed areas require seeding, sodding, or both? If both, can you specify where each is required? | We anticipate seeding only. |
| 15 | 14 | Can the Engineer confirm the area requiring seeding/sodding? | 1.0 AC |
| 16 | 15 | Can the Engineer provide additional detail on Bid Item #1 – Embankment In-Place? We cannot recreate the 285 CY shown in the Bid Tab from the plans — it appears substantially more than 285 CY. | This was calculated as an unadjusted volume between the existing and proposed DTM model. |
| 17 | 16 | Will imported topsoil be required? | We anticipated that the existing topsoil would be striped and reused for final grading outside the new sidewalk and pavement areas. We did not plan for imported top soil. |
| 18 | 17 | One more if I may. The existing island/median at NW Spur is to come out and be rebuilt. The plans call out 8" Non reinforced but does not specify the thickness inside the median. It has to be greater than 8". | The 8" Reinf. Concrete is to bring the removed existing median to pavement grade. The inside median thickness will be the height of the curb. |

| | | | |
|----|----|--|--|
| 19 | 18 | Engineer has put the 10 by 5 foot inlet directly under 4 power lines that are low hanging. Highly suggest they redesign. I probably will not bid this project due to the safety issues with the power lines. | The 10'x5' inlet is at the low point in the roadway. The low hanging lines are telecom. The powerline line is higher up. |
| 20 | 19 | When completing takeoff, we are noticing a substantial difference in the amount of "Embankment In Place" that will be required (Bid Item #1). If we bid the quantity on the bid form of 285 cy, will we be paid additionally for the amount of final cubic yardage placed? | This is in addition to the "embankment in place" which is accounted for in the Linear Grading Class 1 bid item. In otherwords the 285 cy should be Contractor Furnished material. Also note, that linear grading is by centerline stationing that incorporates both sides of the roadway. For information only, the calculated unadjusted "fill" volume is ~923 cy, calculated unadjusted "cut" volume is ~638 cy. |
| 21 | 20 | Can a bid item be added for the RRFB's or should these be subsidiary to another bid item? | Subsidiary to Pavement Marking and Signing. |
| 22 | 21 | Will flagging be required along Hwy 9? | Flaggers will not be required unless specifically required by MoDOT. |
| 23 | 22 | Will drives be required to be poured one half at a time? | If the property does not have a second entrance then you will be required to pour half at a time to maintain access to the property. |

| Project # 89060403 | | | |
|--------------------|----------|--|--|
| | Question | KCMO Answer | |
| 1 | No. | Question | Answer |
| 2 | 1 | <p>While reviewing the plans, we noticed discrepancies in the asphalt bid quantities compared to the plans. The plans call for 4" base and 2" surface for a total of 308 SY, equating to 68 tons of base and 34 tons of surface. The bid form only lists 7 tons of base and 4 tons of surface. Can you confirm whether we are missing something or if the quantities need to be corrected?</p> | <p>The asphalt quantities should be changed to 68 tons, asphalt base, and 34 tons, asphalt surface.</p> |
| 3 | 2 | <p>Can you provide clarification on the linear grading line item? The spec references APWA 2102, but APWA does not explain the measurement method. Linear grading Class A appears to reference MODOT. The bid item includes 17.3 stations — does the measurement include both sides of the road, or only areas with concrete driveways/sidewalks? Does this linear grading include all onsite excavation/embankment except the 285 CY already shown?</p> | <p>The liner grading should be MoDOT specification, Section 207, spec. 207.1.1 Liner Grading Class 1. The 17.3 stations do include both sides of the road.</p> |
| 4 | 3 | Will all permit fees be waived? | Yes, permit fees will be waived. |

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 5 | 4 | Will the owner cover utility fees? If not, what utilities are required and what are those associated costs? | No utility relocations are anticipated. |
| 6 | 5 | Will the owner cover all field testing costs? If not, what tests are required and how frequently must they be performed? | Field testing will be performed by KCMO staff. |
| 7 | 6 | Is this project prevailing wage and/or tax exempt? | See Project Manual |
| 8 | 7 | What is the anticipated NTP? | 16-Mar-26 |
| 9 | 8 | What is the project budget? | No response (not providing) |

| | | | |
|----|----|---|--|
| 10 | 9 | Is there a geotechnical report available for the areas within our construction limits? | No |
| 11 | 10 | Will any utilities need to be relocated? If so, which ones and what is the schedule for relocation? | No utility relocations are anticipated. |
| 12 | 11 | How will unsuitable subgrade repairs be handled? | Unsuitable subgrade repair locations to be addressed with aggregate and rock base or suitable agreed upon alternative. |
| 13 | 12 | Will this project be inspected by KCMO or MoDOT? | KCMO staff and representatives will perform inspection with MoDOT LPA oversight. |

| | | | |
|----|----|--|--|
| 14 | 13 | Will disturbed areas require seeding, sodding, or both? If both, can you specify where each is required? | We anticipate seeding only. |
| 15 | 14 | Can the Engineer confirm the area requiring seeding/sodding? | 1.0 AC |
| 16 | 15 | Can the Engineer provide additional detail on Bid Item #1 – Embankment In-Place? We cannot recreate the 285 CY shown in the Bid Tab from the plans — it appears substantially more than 285 CY. | This was calculated as an unadjusted volume between the existing and proposed DTM model. |
| 17 | 16 | Will imported topsoil be required? | We anticipated that the existing topsoil would be striped and reused for final grading outside the new sidewalk and pavement areas. We did not plan for imported top soil. |
| 18 | 17 | One more if I may. The existing island/median at NW Spur is to come out and be rebuilt. The plans call out 8" Non reinforced but does not specify the thickness inside the median. It has to be greater than 8". | The 8" Reinf. Concrete is to bring the removed existing median to pavement grade. The inside median thickness will be the height of the curb. |

| | | | |
|----|----|--|--|
| 19 | 18 | Engineer has put the 10 by 5 foot inlet directly under 4 power lines that are low hanging. Highly suggest they redesign. I probably will not bid this project due to the safety issues with the power lines. | The 10'x5' inlet is at the low point in the roadway. The low hanging lines are telecom. The powerline line is higher up. |
| 20 | 19 | When completing takeoff, we are noticing a substantial difference in the amount of "Embankment In Place" that will be required (Bid Item #1). If we bid the quantity on the bid form of 285 cy, will we be paid additionally for the amount of final cubic yardage placed? | This is in addition to the "embankment in place" which is accounted for in the Linear Grading Class 1 bid item. In otherwords the 285 cy should be Contractor Furnished material. Also note, that linear grading is by centerline stationing that incorporates both sides of the roadway. For information only, the calculated unadjusted "fill" volume is ~923 cy, calculated unadjusted "cut" volume is ~638 cy. |
| 21 | 20 | Can a bid item be added for the RRFB's or should these be subsidiary to another bid item? | Subsidiary to Pavement Marking and Signing. |
| 22 | 21 | Will flagging be required along Hwy 9? | Flaggers will not be required unless specifically required by MoDOT. |
| 23 | 22 | Will drives be required to be poured one half at a time? | If the property does not have a second entrance then you will be required to pour half at a time to maintain access to the property. |