SCOPE OF SERVICES

Job. No.	JSE0118		
Scope	Replace J0092		
Preliminary Survey			
Survey Pickup Work	Х		
Prel. Geotech Report	Х		
Foundation Investigation	Х		
Staking of Sounding Locations	Х		
Preliminary Bridge Design	Х		
Final Bridge PSE	Х		
Preliminary Roadway Design	X		
ROW Plans	Х		
Final Roadway PSE	Х		
RR Coordination	Х		
Utility Coordination	Х		
Environmental Services			
Construction Inspection			

The consultant shall perform the following services, all in accordance with the standard practice of the Commission and the following:

AASHTO "A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets" (latest version)

AASHTO "Roadside Design Guide" (latest version)

AASHTO "LRFD Design methods" (latest version)

AASHTO "Highway Drainage Guidelines" (latest version)

"Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices" (latest version)

"Highway Capacity Manual" (latest version)

I Administration

CONSULTANT shall participate in the following as part of the Administration tasks:

(A) Attend and document milestone project meetings with MoDOT (CORE Team meetings). Meetings will be held virtually except for the project kick off and final design field check meetings.

- (B) Correspondence (emails, letters, meeting minutes, phone calls)
- (C) Set up the project and conduct Kick-Off Meeting.
- (D) Coordination with subconsultants.
- (E) Participate in one Public Meeting. Develop handouts and exhibits for meeting.
- (F) Provide monthly progress reports and invoices and review subconsultants invoices and reports.
- (G) Provide exhibits, sketches, and back-up data to MoDOT on an as-needed basis.
- (H) Provide information to support the SW District MoDOT staff in maintaining a public website for the project staff to inform the public and update impacts related to the project including timelines, changes to the project, meetings, comments. The website to be maintained through the construction phase.

II Surveys

CONSULTANT shall obtain topographic survey information required for the preparation of preliminary, right of way, and final roadway plans including:

- (A) Perform a thorough review of any existing surveys.
- (B) Coordinate available survey control and benchmarks with surveyors.
 - a. Translate control and benchmarks into sheet drawings to be used in construction plans, per EPG.
- (C) Complete remaining topographic surveys to develop preliminary plans, bridge survey, right-of-way plans and final roadway plans, including all improvements and existing topography within the limits of the project. Topographic surveys shall consist of all pertinent topographic features including, but not limited to:
 - a. existing drainage and sanitary structures (pipes, types, flowlines, sizes)
 - b. trees over 4 inches in diameter
 - c. additional existing retaining wall shots and type of wall
 - d. building front elevations and pertinent building features
 - e. pertinent parking lot features
 - f. driveway joints, pavement types and profiles
 - g. existing signal equipment surveys
 - h. drainage swales
 - i. sign posts, size, identification and photo log
 - j. pavement marking type
 - k. miscellaneous roadside identification and photo log
 - I. lighting
 - m. other
- (D) Field locate visible above ground evidence of utilities located within the project area. "Missouri One Call" and MoDOT will be contacted and a formal request will be submitted for marking the locations of member utilities. In the event that "Missouri One Call" fails to respond, in whole or in part, to the formal request, underground facilities, structures, and utilities will be plotted from surveys and/or available records. The locations of all utilities are to be considered approximate. There may be other utilities, whose existence may not be known at the time of the survey.
- (E) Coordinate with District Utility Engineer on underground utility one-call locates and have utilities located in identified areas of proposed project.
- (F) Complete utilities survey and verify completeness and accuracy of utility topographical survey.
- (G) As-needed punch list surveys due to design updates and/or new development.

CONSULTANT shall perform right-of-way surveys necessary for the preparation of preliminary, right of way and final roadway plans including:

- (A) Identify at the earliest opportunity, the title reports to be ordered by the COMMISSION. This will be coordinated during the preliminary design phase of the project.
- (B) Locate existing right of way, property lines and pertinent section lines for the entire project limits.
- (C) Clearly identify linework in drawing with text (i.e. property lines (PL), section lines, quarterquarter section lines, existing right-of-way, existing easements, etc.
- (D) Research impacted parcels. Each of these properties within the project limits shall include property owner name, assessor's map number, last deed book and page, and existing size of parcel in square feet.
- (E) All property lines shall have a bearing (to the nearest second) and a length (to the nearest hundredth of a foot) shown and the parcel closed within acceptable tolerances governed by the State of Missouri.
- (F) Incorporate all easements and identified information from the title work into the existing right-of-way drawing.
- (G) Provide a reference tie drawing with three-point ties.
- (H) Establish land corner ties.
- (I) If necessary, the CONSULTANT shall provide a land survey plat that is compliant with the current standards for property boundary surveys to be recorded. The CONSULTANT shall also provide survey plats and legal descriptions as defined in Section 236.4.6 of MoDOT's Engineering Policy Guide.

III Utility Coordination

The CONSULTANT shall perform the following utility coordination tasks:

- (A) Obtain maps from utilities of their known locations and adjust survey limits as needed.
- (B) Coordinate submittal of preliminary plans to utility companies.
- (C) Coordinate with utility companies on the development of the plan of adjustment and obtain cost estimates for reimbursable utilities for the District Utility Engineer's approval.
- (D) Show the existing utility facilities and plan of adjustments for proposed utilities facilities in the contract plans. (plans sheets, cross sections, culvert sections)
- (E) Coordinate with utility owner the relocation of each impacted utility on the project during design and construction.
- (F) Prepare special utility sheets as necessary (including utility profile and exhibits).
- (G) Assist District Utility Engineer in the preparation of agreements (includes municipal agreements).
- (H) Identify locations for power service needs, prepare service request for submittal and coordinate with the power company to obtain estimated costs.
- (I) Coordinate with MoDOT (PM and District Utility Engineer) and to provide SUE test hole information at critical utility locations.
- (J) Prepare utility job special provision and information for the preparation of the Utility Status Letter for District Utility Engineer.
- (K) Provide assistance and answer utility related questions during the construction phase for MoDOT staff and the roadway contractor.

IV Railroad Coordination

The consultant shall coordinate design of the project with the Railroad(s) and MoDOT's Multimodal Operations-Rail (MO-R) group in accordance with the guidance provided in MoDOT EPG Section 643.4.

- (A) The CONSULTANT shall furnish all drawings and documentation necessary to gain approval from the railroads. This may include drawings and documentations necessary to meet the railroads preferred design parameters along with drawings and documentation to gain approval of a variance by the railroad.
- (B) The COMMISSION will provide a template Job Special Provision (JSP) for the railroad. The CONSULTANT shall modify the JSP as necessary with approval of MoDOT.
- (C) The COMMISSION will complete any necessary agreements and right of way transaction with the railroad. The CONSULTANT shall provide any drawings to be used as exhibits for these documents.
- (D) The CONSULTANT shall be responsible for all communications with the railroad concerning approval of the design and JSP. All communications with the railroad shall include a copy to the MO-R representative and the MoDOT Project Manager or Structural Liaison Engineer.
- (E) The CONSULTANT shall provide meeting minutes to any meetings with the railroad.

V Geotechnical Investigations

The CONSULTANT will perform all geotechnical work and provide the Preliminary Geotechnical Report and Foundation Investigation Report in accordance with section 320 of the MoDOT Engineering Policy Guide (EPG). Other chapters may be applicable.

Fertility samples will be collected by the CONSULTANT and sent to MoDOT's Central Laboratory for testing. The COMMISSION will provide the seeding report based on the fertility samples collected.

The CONSULTANT will provide staking for geotechnical boring locations.

- (A) Perform all geotechnical work necessary for the project including the Preliminary Geotechnical Report and the final bridge soundings.
- (B) Consultant is responsible for obtaining all necessary permits to perform the work.

- (C) Produce a preliminary geotechnical report which includes an initial geotechnical investigation of the site including recommended spill slopes. The site work for the preliminary geotechnical work and the final soundings may occur simultaneously.
- (D) Perform all necessary bridge soundings and testing and incorporate into a Foundation Investigation Report. The report shall include rock core photographs, recommended foundation types, recommended foundation capacities, applicable resistance factors and L-pile parameters for lateral load analysis of driven piles or drilled shafts.
- (E) All boring holes shall be filled with cuttings.
- (F) Public utilities shall be notified via Missouri One-Call before drilling begins.
- (G) The cores shall be handled and labeled following MoDOT procedures.
- (H) Laboratory testing will be performed to estimate pertinent engineering properties of the soil overburden and soil and rock properties for design. Consultant shall provide staking for boring locations.
- (I) The CONSULTANT shall provide the following information on their boring logs:
 - a. N value of blows per foot
 - b. N₆₀ value of blows per foot (corrected for the energy efficiency of the autohammer)
 - c. Energy efficiency of the auto hammer
 - d. Drilling equipment identification
 - e. Boring locations (Stations and/or Coordinates, and Elevations with datums)
- (J) The consultant shall provide, at a minimum, a geologist registrant in training (GRIT) or an engineer in training (FE) to log the borings in the field per MoDOT's logging protocol. The engineer or geologist shall have at least 2 years of experience logging boreholes. Logs shall be reported in gINT format. MoDOT will provide preferred gINT templates when requested. At final submittal, please provide a copy of the electronic gINT file, in addition to the final report deliverables.
- (K) The consultant will perform standard penetration testing (SPT) and split-barrel sampling in accordance with ASTM D1586 using an automatic hammer in accordance with section 7.4.1 Method A. The automatic hammers shall be calibrated in accordance with ASTM D4633 at least every 2 years or sooner as required therein. The calibration report shall be prepared in accordance with ASTM D4633 and shall be signed and stamped by a professional engineer.
- (L) A draft copy of the final draft report should be submitted to the MODOT Geotechnical Section for review prior to signing and sealing the report.

VI Preliminary Roadway Design

The CONSULTANT'S attention is directed to Chapter 235 of the MoDOT Engineering Policy Guide (EPG) for general guidelines and requirements for preliminary design. Other chapters may be applicable for preliminary design preparation.

- (A) Upon approval of the design criteria memorandum by COMMISSION, the CONSULTANT shall undertake the following to develop the preliminary design phase:
 - a. Prepare preliminary plans, as outlined in the MoDOT EPG.
 - The COMMISSION shall furnish the CONSULTANT traffic information for the construction and design years to be used in the preliminary plans.
 - ii. The COMMISSION shall furnish the CONSULTANT the latest accident data and traffic information used to calculate the project accident rate. The COMMISSION shall furnish the CONSULTANT the "statewide accident rate for a similar class of roadway" and any high hazard locations within the project limits.
 - iii. The CONSULTANT shall submit the preliminary plans to the COMMISSION for review and approval as shown in Exhibit IV.
 - b. The preliminary plans shall be prepared in accordance with the applicable sections of the MoDOT EPG, as to what shall be shown thereon, including proposed design features.
 - i. The plan view English scale shall be <u>1"=50"</u> horizontal (or different scale as determined by MoDOT Project Manager for clarity) and extend 100 feet beyond project limits.
 - ii. The profile view English scale shall be <u>1"=50'</u> horizontal, and <u>1"=10'</u> vertical.
 - c. The CONSULTANT may have to review preliminary cross sections sufficiently to make a cost comparison between using retaining walls versus acquiring additional right of way for all proposed wall locations.
 - d. The CONSULTANT shall prepare the construction estimate. The COMMISSION shall prepare the right of way estimate based on the right of way requirements furnished by the CONSULTANT.
 - e. The preliminary plans shall be submitted to the COMMISSION for review and approval. A letter of transmittal shall be provided with the preliminary plan submittal. The COMMISSION shall furnish the template for the letter of transmittal. The construction cost estimate shall also be submitted with the preliminary plans.
 - f. The preliminary plans shall include the tentative additional easement and right of way limits, property lines and ownerships, section lines, township and ranges, any U.S. Surveys, city limits, and a general outline of the construction staging, critical design items and other items as outlined in the EPG.
 - g. Traffic assignments shall be shown on the respective roadways or on a line sketch of the roadways.

- h. Typical sections shall indicate heavy, medium or light duty pavement for new roadways, along with descriptions of the existing roadway types remaining in place.
- (B) A Preliminary Field Check will be arranged by the CONSULTANT with the COMMISSION to discuss design features in the project area.
- (C) The CONSULTANT shall provide the COMMISSION with information for proper environmental and cultural clearance including submittal of the preliminary stage RES, right of way stage RES (if needed) and final stage RES. Items that may need to be addressed include historical buildings, archaeological sites, historic bridges, conversion of farmland, endangered species, wetlands, parklands and historical sites.
- (D) The CONSULTANT shall prepare and submit the Bridge Survey Report, Bridge Survey Sheets, and Bridge Survey Checklist.
- (E) The CONSULTANT shall set horizontal and vertical control for the project and provide the COMMISSION the combined adjustment factor. All control furnished by the CONSULTANT shall use current datums and adjustments.
- (F) The CONSULTANT shall provide all land boundary work and legal descriptions to the COMMISSION for review and approval prior to right of way plans submittal.
- (G) The COMMISSION shall provide the pavement design and general Job Special Provisions related to the project including any special design elements.
- (H) The COMMISSION may hold a public meeting for this project either in person or virtually and the CONSULTANT will be required to attend and coordinate meeting. The CONSULTANT shall provide exhibits for MoDOT public meeting as requested and will refer to the sections of the EPG concerning public involvement.

VII Preliminary Bridge Design

- (A) Perform the geometric analysis at the proposed bridge site necessary to develop type, size and location drawings consisting of a general plan and elevation plan of the structures, typical roadway sections and roadway profiles. This includes preparation of the Bridge Memorandum & Layout (including the itemized preliminary bridge estimate).
- (B) The structure and/or box culvert type and size (if applicable) shall be based on roadway alignments, geometric analysis, hydraulic analysis (if applicable), spill slope requirements, roadway overpass clearances, grades and/or clear zone requirements.
- (C) The superstructure type shall be dependent upon site constraints and a detailed cost analysis comparison.

- (D) All requirements of the Federal Emergency Management Agency's National Flood Insurance Program shall be met.
- (E) Discharges will be estimated using USGS Regression Equations and available stream gauge data (if applicable).
- (F) HEC-RAS shall be used to model of the natural, existing and proposed conditions (if applicable).
- (G) Scour calculations shall be performed in accordance with FHWA Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 18 (if applicable).
- (H) The results of the hydrologic, hydraulic and scour analysis shall be documented in the Bridge Hydraulic and Scour Report (if applicable).
- (I) All requirements outlined in the MoDOT Engineering Policy Guide (EPG) shall be met. The CONSULTANT shall follow MoDOT's "practical design" philosophy and submit any design exceptions as necessary.
- (J) Develop final detailed design criteria in the form of Bridge Memorandum and Bridge Design Layout documents.

VIII Section 404 Corps of Engineers Permit (if applicable)

The CONSULTANT shall provide the following information necessary to allow MoDOT staff to apply for any required Section 404 Corps of Engineer Permits. If the permit is required due to bridge construction, the application data shall be submitted no later than with the T.S.&L. drawings. All information should be provided to the MoDOT Project Manager who will forward the information to Central Office Design.

- (A) Provide the amount and type of excavation and material that will be used in streams, lakes, and wetlands below the Corps of Engineers' ordinary high water line (OHL) elevations.
- (B) Provide location and quantities of permanent berms and spill fills below OHL.
 - a. Earth fill, rock blanket (square feet and cubic yards)
 - b. Rock blanket along right descending bank and left descending bank (linear feet)
 - c. Rock ditch (square feet)
- (C) Provide location, excavation and size of pier below OHL.
 - a. Excavation (cubic yards)
 - b. Pier (square feet)
- (D) Provide channel realignment data.
 - a. Existing channel length of section to be modified (feet)
 - b. Average channel width of section to be modified (feet)

- c. Realigned section, length and width (feet)
- (E) Provide temporary fill amounts in wetlands or below OHL in streams.
 - a. Earth fill (square feet and cubic yards)
 - b. Class C (square feet and cubic yards)
- (F) Provide information about temporary fills and shoring.
 - a. Location of temporary fills and shoring
 - b. Source of material
 - c. Final disposition of removed materials
- (G) Provide information about temporary culverts.
 - a. Number of culverts
 - b. Size (inches)
 - c. Length (feet)
- (H) Provide information on channel cleanout excavation below OHL.
 - a. Cleanout upstream and downstream of structure (linear feet)
 - b. Total quantity of material to be removed below OHL (square feet and cubic yards)
- (I) Provide 8 ½-inch by 11-inch copies of any plan or profile sheets required for the permit application.
- (J) Provide bridge elevation and plan views with OHL indicated.

IX Right of Way Design

- (A) The CONSULTANT shall prepare right of way plans, which may be separate drawings from those used for design and construction details. The right of way plans shall show alignment, geometric design, removal of improvements, drainage facilities, property lines and ownership, sub-division lot lines, other land survey information, street lines and existing right of way and easements. The CONSULTANT should also include any plan details, which will require additional right of way or permanent, temporary or utility easements during the construction phase of the project such as bypasses, temporary erosion control, etc. Right of way plans include title sheet, typical sections, profile sheets, and cross sections of the roadway, entrances and side roads. Areas of new right of way, permanent easements and/or temporary easements required from each individual property owner may be shown in tabular form on the respective sheets.
 - a. The CONSULTANT shall finalize any previous review of the roadway cross sections sufficiently to determine the feasibility of constructing retaining walls versus obtaining additional right of way. This final review shall consist of construction estimates versus right of way estimates.
 - b. Upon completion of the estimates by COMMISSION and CONSULTANT, the CONSULTANT shall recommend to the COMMISSION a choice at the various locations which warrant consideration of the alternate retaining wall versus right of way solutions. The COMMISSION shall make the final determination of purchasing right of way, or constructing retaining walls.

- (B) Right of way plans shall be submitted to the COMMISSION for review and approval. The right of way plans shall be at the same scale as the construction plans. The right of way plans shall include any design details that will control the width of right of way and necessary easements.
 - a. New right of way lines and all easements shall be dimensioned by station and offset distance from the centerline, or crossroad centerlines, if necessary.
 Bearings and distances on the right of way lines may be required.
 - b. The following minimum design features shall be included on the right of way plans:
 - i. Title sheet with appropriate project limits, access note and traffic data completed.
 - ii. Typical Sections
 - iii. Cross sections at 100' intervals, including additional sections at each entrance with new and existing entrance grades.
 - iv. Construction limits (slope lines); drainage facilities; entrances and their reference location, width and type along with their existing and future grade percentage; property owners, with areas of new right of way, easements and remaining property; centerline bearing, ties to legal land corners from centerline stations with notation for corner witness by a registered land surveyor; existing utility locations and easements, including replacement utility easements; horizontal curvature information; and proper right of way symbolization for new right of way (access control) and easements, including areas which may be required to accommodate temporary erosion control.
 - v. Township, Range, Section and/or U.S. Survey information broken down t 1/4 1/4 section line level on each plan sheet near the title block or appropriate survey/section line.
- (C) The CONSULTANT shall provide an updated construction estimate for the Right of Way design stage.
- (D) The COMMISSION shall review, approve and certify the right of way plans as completed by the CONSULTANT. The CONSULTANT shall provide one (1) electronic set of fully signed and sealed right of way plans, for the COMMISSION'S use.
- (E) The CONSULTANT shall provide title insurance information for all parcels with new right of way acquisition and the last deed of record for any parcel with easements.
- (F) The COMMISSION will prepare right of way appraisals and secure the necessary right of way by negotiation or condemnation, if necessary, for construction of this project.

- (G) The CONSULTANT shall be responsible for staking and re-staking tentative right of way on individual properties, as required by MoDOT staff, during right of way negotiation and acquisition phase of the project. The CONSULTANT shall also set permanent monuments as shown on the recordable land survey.
- (H) The CONSULTANT shall be responsible for making all revisions to the right of way and construction plans due to negotiations with the property owners in an effort to acquire right of way.
- (I) The CONSULTANT shall write, sign and seal deed descriptions for all right of way acquisitions on MoDOT's approved Exhibit A form and submit to COMMISSION.
- (J) The CONSULTANT will provide the COMMISSION with information for proper environmental and cultural clearance including submittal of the Right of Way stage RES. Items that may need to be addressed include historical buildings, archaeological sites, historic bridges, conversion of farmland, endangered species, wetlands, parklands and historical sites.

X Final Roadway Design

- (A) The COMMISSION will secure execution of municipal agreements with the cities and/or county agreements. A copy of the executed agreements will be furnished to the CONSULTANT for his information. The CONSULTANT shall conform to all design provisions of these agreements.
- (B) A final design field check shall be held with CONSULTANT and COMMISSION representatives prior to completing final design plan quantities. The CONSULTANT shall make any necessary revisions to the final plans as determined by this design field check.
- (C) The CONSULTANT shall prepare detailed temporary erosion control plans for review and approval before inclusion in the final design plans. The CONSULTANT will submit a Final Plans stage RES and help ensure previous RES items have been addressed.
- (D) The CONSULTANT shall prepare computations for all design plan quantities. All plan quantities shall be shown on the Quantity Sheets, by construction stage, if applicable. The format for these sheets shall be furnished by the COMMISSION. Specialty items may have separate sheets for quantity tabulations.
- (E) The CONSULTANT shall prepare for review and approval by the COMMISSION all General Job Special Provisions, which are to supersede the Missouri Standard Specification for Highway Construction. A brief reason for the deviation from the standard plans and specifications should also be provided. The CONSULTANT shall prepare only Job Special Provisions related to design elements shown in the plans.

- (F) The following list shall be considered the minimum requirements for a complete set of Final Design Plans.
 - a. Title Sheet
 - b. Typical Sections
 - c. Quantity Sheets
 - d. Plan Sheets at <u>1"=50'</u> horizontal (or different scale as determined by MoDOT Project Manager for clarity). Plan sheets shall include all necessary adjustments to signing and proposed pavement marking.
 - e. Profile Sheets at 1"=50' horizontal and 1"=10' vertical
 - f. Culvert Sections at 1"=10', if needed
 - g. Special Sheets for geometrics, referenced points, grading plan, traffic control plan, temporary erosion control plan and any other sheets for special design features.
 - h. Earthwork Quantities, Cross Sections at 25' intervals, <u>1"=10'</u> (1:100), horizontal and vertical, including entrance sections with existing and proposed grades
 - i. Tabulation of Quantity Sheets
 - j. Job Special Provisions in electronic format readable in COMMISSION'S current word processor
 - k. File with the bid items and quantities as generated by COMMISSION'S Estimate Program
 - I. Construction Workday Study
 - m. Transportation Management Plan
 - n. Final Plans Checklist Form D-12
- (G) Additional plans and information may be required to complete the Final Design Plans. With the submittal of the Final Design the CONSULTANT shall also provide the COMMISSION a statement that an internal quality control check has been conducted and to the best of the CONSULTANT'S knowledge the final design plans are free of gross errors, misleading or confusing typos, and includes adequate information to construct the project.
- (H) The CONSULTANT shall prepare all plans through the use of a Computer Aided Drafting (CAD) program. The CONSULTANT shall conform to MoDOT's Specifications for Computer Deliverable Contract Plans as referenced in the MoDOT EPG.
- (I) The CONSULTANT shall furnish the COMMISSION the following completed sheets and documents, as applicable, for each separate construction project included in this contract, as follows:
 - a. Final Design Plans showing profile grades, geometric data, alignment data, etc.
 - One (1) electronic copy of the location sketch for Commission Approval submitted in electronic format.

- c. Draft copy of the job special provisions related to design elements for review.

 After corrections, the job special provisions shall be furnished in electronic format utilizing the COMMISSION'S latest word processing program.
- d. One (1) legible electronic copy of engineering calculations and analysis.
- e. One (1) electronic copy of a complete summary of quantities and estimate of construction costs. The estimate shall be prepared using the latest version of MoDOT's ESTIMATE program.
- f. One (1) electronic copy of Electronic Design Data.
- g. One (1) electronic copy of a workday study showing the estimated number of workdays required to construct each project.
- h. The CONSULTANT shall provide a 3D model of the project exported from Geopak Open Roads Designer software for the COMMISSION'S use.

XI Final Bridge Design

Furnish to the COMMISSION fully checked design plans, job special provisions, design computations, quantity computations, final cost estimate, and a construction workday study for the structure(s). The CONSULTANT is expected to make the COMMISSION aware of more economical design alternatives that may become apparent during the preparation of the final design.

- (A) The plans shall be complete and shall cover all parts of the structure they represent. The degree of detail shall be comparable to that furnished on typical plans prepared by the COMMISSION. High resolution final signed and sealed plans, will be submitted in Adobe Acrobat Reader format version 7 or higher. Final signed and sealed plans shall be in pdf full size (34" x 22") format. These deliverables shall use the file naming convention and be in accordance with the "Specifications of Computer Deliverable Contract Plans" requirement outlined in the Commission's Engineering Policy Guide, Section 237.13.3. The electronic plans in Microstation format cannot be signed and sealed. The electronic submittals shall be made in a method suitable to MoDOT.
- (B) All construction changes made to the plans during construction of the project shall also be submitted electronically in Adobe Acrobat and Microstation format.
- (C) The job special provisions shall be complete and describe all design features, construction procedures, or material requirements in the plans that are deviations from the latest edition of the Missouri Standard Plans for Highway Construction. Typical job special provisions that have been developed by MoDOT for previous jobs are posted on MoDOT's website and are available for use and modification as needed. The job special provisions shall include a table of contents sheet that is signed and sealed by a professional engineer registered in Missouri. The signed and sealed job special provisions shall also be submitted in Adobe Acrobat Reader format, version 7 or higher. Job Special Provisions shall also be submitted in Microstation Word format. The submittal letter shall explain the need for each provision.

- (D) The design computations and plans shall be acceptable to and will become the property of the Commission. The CONSULTANT shall submit design computations in Adobe Acrobat Reader version 7.0 format or greater. The files shall be transferred in a manner acceptable to MoDOT. The design computations shall contain an index file, with electronic links to the files contained within. Submittals shall include a set of design computations for each bridge. The design computations shall not be combined with the Microstation or the Adobe Acrobat Reader submittals.
- (E) The final estimate submitted by the CONSULTANT shall include backup material that supports the estimates made for non-standard or lump sum pay items.
- (F) The CONSULTANT shall submit the hours and cost summarizing the design effort for each bridge. The summary shall include separate amounts for: Number of Hours for Bridge Preliminary Design, Cost of Bridge Preliminary Design, Number of Hours for Bridge Final Design, Cost of Bridge Final Design. Generally, the above amounts should include all hours and costs invoiced that are attributable to bridge design and plans preparation up to the point of turning in the signed and sealed plans. It should not include hours attributable to preparing the bridge survey, final construction cost estimate, or workday study.
- (G) Bridge Load Rating: The CONSULTANT shall furnish to the COMMISSION fully checked load ratings for the structure(s) in accordance with EPG Section 753.15. The load rating files shall be acceptable to, and will become the property of, the COMMISSION. The CONSULTANT shall submit the load ratings in an acceptable electronic format (.xml or other approved method) created using AASHTOWare BrR Bridge Rating software version 6.8 or higher. The CONSULTANT shall verify the accuracy of any load rating files provided by the COMMISSION prior to making modifications.

XII Construction Support

- (A) The CONSULTANT shall be available to the COMMISSION to discuss and interpret plans and specifications during the bidding and construction phase of the project as determined necessary by the Engineer.
- (B) The CONSULTANT shall be available to provide Shop Drawing review of CONTRACTOR submittals pertaining to essential structural components and review any contractor's Value Engineering Proposals.
- (C) The CONSULTANT may be required to attend a pre-construction meeting, and a post construction meeting via TEAMS.
- (D) If issues arise during construction, there will be a direct line of communication established between the MoDOT Construction Office and the CONSULTANT. The CONSULTANT will immediately inform the MoDOT Design Division or MoDOT Bridge Division of any recommendations or clarifications made to the Construction Office.

SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE COMMISSION

The Commission will furnish to the Consultant without charge the following information:

- A. General design criteria.
- B. Available standard detail sheets in Microstation format.
- C. Traffic and accident data.
- D. Pavement Design Selection
- E. All necessary environment services identified through the Request for Environmental Services
- F. Right of way and easement acquisition.

The Consultant shall proceed with the final design and detail plans in accordance with the data approved or furnished by the Commission which will meet with the general standards adopted by AASHTO and approved by the Department of Transportation as provided by Title 23, United States Code, Section 109(b).

PERIOD OF SERVICE

The Consultant shall make submittals in accordance with the schedule described below:

Period of Service	JSE0118
Letting	Nov 2026
PSE	8/1/2026
100% Review Plans	6/15/2026
Final RES	6/15/2026
TSL Bridge Drawings	10/20/2025
ROW Plans/ROW RES	10/20/2025
Public Meeting Exhibits	8/15/2025
Bridge Memo	8/15/2025
Preliminary Roadway Plans	8/15/2025
Preliminary RES	8/15/2025

Construction support as needed post award – Anticipated for 24 months

PERIOD OF SERVICE – The total period of service including construction services is expected to be completed by December 1, 2028.

MoDOT

Missouri Department of Transportation State Bridge Inspection Report

January 24, 2025 1:47:04PM

COUNTY: STODDARD DISTRICT: SE CLASS: STATBR FED-ID: 5246 BRIDGE: J0092

GENERAL STRUCTURE INFORMATION ***BRIDGE INSPECTION INFORMATION*** **ROUTE: MO51S** # **SPANS**: 8 PLACE CODE: 24328 FISK CITY **DATE:** 01/14/2025 **RESPONSIBILITY: BRIDGEDIV** LANES ON: 2 FEATURE: ST FRANCIS RVR LENGTH: 331 FT 0 IN FREQUENCY: 24 **CALCULATED INTERVAL**: 24** LANES UNDER: 0 STATUS: A-OPEN MAXIMUM SPAN: 60 FT 7 IN **TEAM LEADER: CURT RICKERSON ELEMENT: NO LOG MILE:** 96.092 **COMPASS DIRECTION: WEST to EAST** APPROACH ROADWAY: 24 FT 0 IN **INSPECTOR 2:** RANDY WEAVER **INSPECTOR 4: DETOUR:** 40.00 MILES **DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC: 2-WAY TRAF CURB TO CURB: 21 FT 0 IN INSPECTOR 3:** CHRISTOPHER BYRD (NTL) **OUT TO OUT:** 21 FT 0 IN NHS: NO **FUNCTIONAL CLASS: RL-MAJOR COLLECTOR** ** When calculated interval exceeds the frequency, a justification comment per BIRM is required. **BUILT:** 1929 **NBI OWNER: MODOT AADT:** 1291 **GENERAL INSPECTION COMMENTS** REHAB: **NBI MAINTAINED: MODOT AADT YEAR: 2023** MAINTENANCE DISTRICT: SE LOCATION: S 28 T 25 R 8 E **AADT TRUCK: 13.0% LATITUDE:** 36 46 49.40 (DMS) MAINTENANCE COUNTY: STODDARD **FUTURE AADT: 1807 LONGITUDE:** 90 12 5.91 (DMS) SUB AREA: 7H41 **FUTURE AADT YEAR: 2043** ***INDEPTH INSPECTION INFORMATION*** ***FRACTURE CRITICAL INSPECTION INFORMATION*** DATE: RESPONSIBILITY: **CATEGORY: CATEGORY:** DATE: **RESPONSIBILITY: FREQUENCY: CALCULATED INTERVAL**: NBI**: **FREQUENCY: CALCULATED INTERVAL**: NBI**: **TEAM LEADER: INSPECTOR 3: METHOD: TEAM LEADER: INSPECTOR 3: METHOD: INSPECTOR 2: INSPECTOR 4: INSPECTOR 2: INSPECTOR 4:** ** When calculated interval exceeds the frequency, a justification comment per BIRM is required. ** When calculated interval exceeds the frequency, a justification comment per BIRM is required. FRACTURE CRITICAL INSPECTION COMMENTS **INDEPTH INSPECTION COMMENTS** ***SPECIAL INSPECTION INFORMATION*** ***UNDERWATER INSPECTION INFORMATION*** **CATEGORY: DAMAGE POST INCIDE CATEGORY: DEEP-WADE DATE:** 05/09/2017 **RESPONSIBILITY: DISTRICT DATE:** 06/29/2022 **RESPONSIBILITY:** DIVETEAM **NBI:** NO **NBI:** YES FREOUENCY: 999 **CALCULATED INTERVAL**:** FREOUENCY: 60 CALCULATED INTERVAL**: 48 **TEAM LEADER: INSPECTOR 3:** KEVIN RAITHEL **METHOD:** VISUAL **TEAM LEADER: TERRY L SHUNAMON INSPECTOR 3:** JESSE ELSEMAN **METHOD:** OTHER, PROBE **INSPECTOR 2:** DAVE MUSSER **INSPECTOR 4: INSPECTOR 2:** ADAM ZENTZ **INSPECTOR 4: MATT TALKEN** * When calculated interval exceeds the frequency, a justification comment per BIRM is required. ** When calculated interval exceeds the frequency, a justification comment per BIRM is required. SPECIAL INSPECTION COMMENTS **UNDERWATER INSPECTION COMMENTS** (SHUNAT1, 03/29/2021)--THIS INSPECTION NEEDS TO BE LEFT AS DIVE TEAM RESPONSIBILITY DUE TO SHARP REBAR IN SWIFT CURRENT AT PILE BENTS OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTIONS OTHER UNDERWATER INSPECTIONS DATE **FREQUENCY CATEGORY** CALCULATED INTERVAL RESPONSIBILITY **METHOD** DATE **FREQUENCY CATEGORY** NBI CALCULATED INTERVAL RESPONSIBILITY **METHOD** 08/24/2016 CHANNEL CROSS NO DISTRICT 120 **SECTIONS** DISTRICT 01/24/2012 999 SCOUR ACTION PLAN NO

MoDOT

Missouri Department of Transportation State Bridge Inspection Report

January 24, 2025 1:47:04PM

COUNTY: STODDARD

DISTRICT: SE

CLASS: STATBR

FED-ID: 5246

BRIDGE: J0092

STRUCTURE POSTING **APPROVED CATEGORY: S-1** NO POSTING REQUIRED **Ton 1: Ton 2: Ton 3: COMMENTS:** FIELD CATEGORY: S-1 NO POSTING REQUIRED PROBLEM: PROBLEM DIRECTION: **Ton 1: Ton 2: Ton 3: COMMENTS:** ***GENERAL COMMENTS/MAJOR RATED ITEMS*** GENERAL COMMENTS: (BOWDEJ1, 09/05/2008)--(37-37'-38') SMP DECK GDR - (39'-60'-41') SMP WF - (38'-37') SMP DECK GDR SPANS [ITEM 58] DECK: 5-FAIR CONDITION COMMENTS: (PICKEJ1, 02/01/2023)--A FEW SPALLS & TRANSVERSE CRACKS WITH LIGHT EFFLORESCENCE THROUGHOUT THE DECK AND DECK GIRDER SECTIONS. **RATING:** 03/01/2017 [ITEM 59] SUPER: 3-SERIOUS CONDITION COMMENTS: (PICKEJ1, 02/01/2023)--HEAVY PACKRUST AND SKEW INDUCED MOVEMENT OF THE SUPERSTRUCTURE IS GREATLY REDUCING (APPROXIMATELY 20% REMAINING) THE BEARING AREA OF THE APPROACH SPANS AT PIER 6. MINOR DISINTEGRATION THROUGHOUT A FEW GIRDER ENDS. **RATING:** 01/15/2025 (RICKEC, 01/15/2025)--ADVANCE SECTION LOSS OF FLAT PLATE BEARINGS THROUGHT ALL ABUTMENTS AND BENTS DUE TO THE DETERIORATION OF THE BEARINGS THEY DON'T HAVE NO BEARING STRENGHT SPANS 4 AND 5 GIRDERS WITH 50% TO 75% SECTION LOSS OF BOTTON FLANGES AT BEARINGS HAD TO PLACE OAK WEDGES AT SEVERAL AREAS TO SUPPORT GIRDERS DUE TO SEVERE DETERIORATION OF FLAT PLATE BEARINGS. SPAN 1 GIRDER 4 WITH ADVANCE SECTION LOSS OF REINFORCED STEEL STIRRUPS COMMENTS: (MADSEJ, 07/06/2022)--MODERATE TO ADVANCED SECTION LOSS ON H-PILE AT THE WATERLINE. (FROM 2012 UW INSPECTION) [ITEM 60] SUB: 4-POOR CONDITION **RATING:** 10/25/2012 [ITEM 61] BANK/CHANNEL: 6-WIDESPREAD MINOR DAMAGE COMMENTS: (MADSEJ, 03/01/2017)--STEEP ERODING BANKS THROUGHOUT THE CHANNEL. THE RAILROAD BRIDGE WORK JUST DOWNSTREAM OF THE BRIDGE HAS CONSTRICTED THE CHANNEL **RATING:** 05/18/2001 [ITEM 113] SCOUR: 8-STABLE FOR CALCULATED **COMMENTS: RATING:** 06/05/2002 **EVALUATION TYPE:** [ITEM 71] WATERWAY ADEQUACY: DECK ABOVE FLOOD ELEV **COMMENTS: RATING:** 05/18/2001 [ITEM 72] APPRRDWY ALIGNMENT: 6-SATISFACTORY **COMMENTS: RATING:** 05/18/2001 ***RAILING AND APPROACH PAVEMENT COMPONENTS AND RATINGS*** [ITEM 36A] BRIDGE RAILING RATING: MEETS CURRENT STANDARDS-1 **RATING:** 01/29/2018 **COMMENTS:** MATERIAL **DIRECTION COMMENTS CONSTRUCTION** GALVANIZED STEEL THRIE BEAM BOTH **CONDITION** LOCATION 1 LOCATION 2 **SEVERITY COMMENT** COLLISION DAMAGE **THROUGHOUT MODERATE** [ITEM 36B] TRANSITION RAILING RATING: MEETS CURRENT STANDARDS-1 **RATING**: 01/29/2018 **COMMENTS:** MATERIAL **CONSTRUCTION DIRECTION COMMENTS** GALVANIZED STEEL THRIE BEAM TO W-BEAM ALL

Missouri Department of Transportation

2017

BRIDGE: J0092

OVERALL CONDITION

GOOD

January 24, 2025 MODOT 1:47:04PM **State Bridge Inspection Report**

DISTRICT: SE COUNTY: STODDARD CLASS: STATBR FED-ID: 5246 RATING: 05/18/2001 **COMMENTS:**

[ITEM 36C] APPROACH RAILING RATING: MEETS CURRENT STANDARDS-1 MATERIAL **CONSTRUCTION DIRECTION COMMENTS**

GALVANIZED STEEL W-BEAM ALL

[ITEM 36D] RAIL END TREATMENT RATING: MEETS CURRENT STANDARDS-1 **RATING:** 01/29/2018 **COMMENTS:**

MATERIAL CONSTRUCTION DIRECTION COMMENTS

APPROACH PAVEMENT: *Overall condition assigned for each approach pavemenet component is shown below.

BREKAWAY SYSTEM

WEARING SURFACE

TRANSVERSE CRACKS

CONSTRUCTION DIRECTION CONDITION* COMMENTS MATERIAL ASPHALT BITUMINOUS MAT BOTH POOR

ASPHALT

DRAINAGE, EXPANSION DEVICES, BANK/SLOPE, AND DECK PROTECTIVE COMPONENTS

FEW

.4 IN

BITUMINOUS SEAL COAT

DECK PROTECTIVE COMPONENTS:

SERIES TYPE-# COMPONENT MATERIAL CONSTRUCTION THICKNESS YEAR APPLIED MANUFACTURE

ALL

COMMENT: CONDITION LOCATION 1 LOCATION 2 **SEVERITY COMMENT**

NONE DECK PROTECTION *NOTAPPLICABLE* **COMMENT:**

THROUGHOUT

NONE *MEMBRANE NOTAPPLICABLE*

COMMENT:

MAIN SERIES-2 **WEARING SURFACE ASPHALT** BITUMINOUS SEAL COAT .4 IN 2017 GOOD

COMMENT: LOCATION 2 **SEVERITY CONDITION LOCATION 1 COMMENT** TRANSVERSE CRACKS THROUGHOUT FEW

DECK PROTECTION *NOTAPPLICABLE* **NONE COMMENT:**

MEMBRANE NOTAPPLICABLE **NONE**

COMMENT:

ASPHALT .4 IN 2017 GOODAPPROACH SERIES-3 **WEARING SURFACE** BITUMINOUS SEAL COAT

CONDITION LOCATION 1 LOCATION 2 **SEVERITY COMMENT** TRANSVERSE CRACKS **THROUGHOUT** FEW

DECK PROTECTION *NOTAPPLICABLE* **NONE**

MEMBRANE NOTAPPLICABLE NONE

COMMENT:

Design No = j0092

COMMENT:

COMMENT:

GALVANIZED STEEL

APPROACH SERIES-1

January 24, 2025 1:47:04PM

Missouri Department of Transportation State Bridge Inspection Report

COUNTY: STODDARD

MODOT

DISTRICT: SE

CLASS: STATBR

FED-ID: 5246

BRIDGE: J0092

	2101111011.02	0211001011111111	122 12 (02.10	21112 021, 000, 2	
DRAINAGE COMPONENTS: COMPONENT	<u>MATERIAL</u>	<u>CONSTRUCTION</u>	<u>DIRECTION</u> <u>CO</u>	<u>OMMENTS</u>	
EXPANSION DEVICE COMPONENTS: SUB UNIT-# SUB LABEL CO COMMENT:	<u>DMPONENT</u> <u>MATE</u>	RIAL CONSTRUCTI	ON GAP	YEAR APPLIED MANUFACTURE OV	ERALL CONDITION
BANK/SLOPE PROTECTION COMPONENTS: COMPONENT SLOPE PROTECTIO	<u>MATERIAL</u> DN ROCK	<u>CONSTRUCTION</u> GROUTED	<u>DIRECTION</u> <u>CO</u> BOTH	<u>DMMENTS</u>	
		DECK COMPO	NENTS		
SPAN TYPE-# APPROACH SPANS-1 DECK CONDITION DELAMINATION EFFLORESCENCE SPALLS TRANSVERSE CRACKS	TE MATERIAL REINFORCED CONCRETE LOCATION 1 BOTTOM THROUGHOUT RANDOM THROUGHOUT	CONSTRUCTION CAST-IN-PLACE LOCATION 2 FEW LIGHT SMALL FEW	COMMENTS Y MEASUREMENT	<u>COMMENT</u>	
APPROACH SPANS-2 DECK <u>CONDITION</u> EFFLORESCENCE SPALLS TRANSVERSE CRACKS	REINFORCED CONCRETE LOCATION 1 THROUGHOUT BOTTOM THROUGHOUT	CAST-IN-PLACE LOCATION 2 SEVERIT LIGHT MINOR OPEN	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
APPROACH SPANS-3 DECK <u>CONDITION</u> EFFLORESCENCE SPALLS TRANSVERSE CRACKS	REINFORCED CONCRETE LOCATION 1 THROUGHOUT RANDOM THROUGHOUT	CAST-IN-PLACE LOCATION 2 SEVERIT LIGHT SMALL FEW	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u> (VOYLEC1, 01/24/2023)SMALL RANDOM SPALLS	
MAIN SPANS-4 DECK <u>CONDITION</u> EFFLORESCENCE TRANSVERSE CRACKS	REINFORCED CONCRETE LOCATION 1 THROUGHOUT THROUGHOUT	CAST-IN-PLACE LOCATION 2 SEVERIT LIGHT FEW	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
MAIN SPANS-5 DECK <u>CONDITION</u> EFFLORESCENCE TRANSVERSE CRACKS	REINFORCED CONCRETE LOCATION 1 THROUGHOUT THROUGHOUT	CAST-IN-PLACE LOCATION 2 SEVERIT LIGHT FEW	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
MAIN SPANS-6 DECK	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE			
Design_No = j0092					

CLASS: STATBR COUNTY: STODDARD DISTRICT: SE FED-ID: 5246 BRIDGE: J0092 SEVERITY CONDITION LOCATION 1 **LOCATION 2 MEASUREMENT** COMMENT EFFLORESCENCE THROUGHOUT LIGHT **FEW** TRANSVERSE CRACKS THROUGHOUT

APPROACH SPANS-7 DECK REINFORCED CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLACE

<u>CONDITION</u> <u>LOCATION 1</u> <u>LOCATION 2</u> <u>SEVERITY</u> <u>MEASUREMENT</u> <u>COMMENT</u> EFFLORESCENCE THROUGHOUT LIGHT

EFFLORESCENCETHROUGHOUTLIGHTTRANSVERSE CRACKSTHROUGHOUTFEW

APPROACH SPANS-8 DECK REINFORCED CONCRETE CAST-IN-PLACE (DANIEC, 06/23/2003)--DECK SHIFTED AT ABUTMENT 9.

<u>CONDITION</u> <u>LOCATION 1</u> <u>LOCATION 2</u> <u>SEVERITY</u> <u>MEASUREMENT</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

EFFLORESCENCETHROUGHOUTLIGHTTRANSVERSE CRACKSTHROUGHOUTFEW

CEDIEC TUDE !!	CD 431 TUDE	MATE		SUPERSTRUCTURE COMP		COMMENTS
SERIES TYPE-#	<u>SPAN TYPE</u> SIMPLE SPAN	MATE.		<u>CONSTRUCTION</u>	<u>LABEL</u>	<u>COMMENTS</u>
APPROACH SERIES-1		REINFORCED		DECK GIR		
<u>SPAN</u>	COMPOSITE INDICATOR	<u>LENGTH</u>	<u>WEATHERING STEEL</u>	<u>COMMENTS</u>		
APPROACH SPANS-1 <i>CONDITION</i>	NON-COMPOSITE	37 FT 6 IN TION 1	NO <i>Location</i> 2	SEVERITY	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
<u>CONDITION</u> DELAMINATIO		DERS	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITI</u> FEW	<u>MEASUKEMENI</u>	COMMENT
OTHER		DR4		NOT APPLICABLE		(BLALOR1, 06/18/2014)W/ ONLY 10% BRNG
OTHER		JGHOUT		NOT APPLICABLE		(BLALOR1, 06/18/2014)SHIFTING NORTH @ BT 2 & SOUTH @ ABUT 1- 6"
REBAR SECTION I		R ENDS		ADVANCED		(PICKEJ1, 02/01/2023)GIRDER 4
SPALLS		NDS		MEDIUM		(PICKEJ1, 02/01/2023)GIRDER 4 END MEDIUM SPALLED ON NORTH SIDE.
SPALLS		DERS		LARGE		(VOYLEC1, 01/24/2023)REBAR EXPOSED WITH MINOR SECTION LOSS
						(RICKEC, 01/15/2025)GIRDER END SUPPORTS WITH HEAVY PACKRUST AND ADVANCE
						SECTION LOSS
						(RICKEC, 01/15/2025)REBAR EXPOSED WITH ADVANCED SECTION LOSS
VERTICAL CRAC		RAGMS		FEW		
VERTICAL CRAC	CKS THROU	JGHOUT		FEW		
APPROACH SPANS-2	NON-COMPOSITE	37 FT 6 IN	NO			
<u>CONDITION</u>		<u>TION 1</u>	<u>LOCATION 2</u>		<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
DELAMINATIO		DERS		FEW		
HAIR LINE CRACI		DERS		MANY		
REBAR EXPOSI		R ENDS		MINOR		(MONE EGG. 04 /04/00). ENDOGED DED AT AT GIDDED ENDOG
SPALLS	GIR	DERS		LARGE		(VOYLEC1, 01/24/2023)EXPOSED REBAT AT GIRDER ENDS
						GIRDER END SUPPORTS WITH MODERATE TO ADVANCED SECTION LOSS AND HEAVY PA
						PACK RUST THROUGHOUT BENTS 2 AND 3
						Their Rest Till Coolie of Bervis 2711 by
APPROACH SPANS-3	NON-COMPOSITE	38 FT 8 IN	NO			
APPROACH SPANS-3 CONDITION		38 F 1 8 IN TION 1	LOCATION 2	SEVERITY	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
DELAMINATIO		DERS	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	FEW	MLASCREMENT	COMMENT
DETERIORATIO		R ENDS		MINOR		
REBAR SECTION I		R ENDS		MINOR		
SPALLS		DERS		FEW		(VOYLEC1, 01/24/2023)GIRDER ENDS MINOR DETERIORATION AT BENT 3
						EXPOSED REBAR WITH MINOR SECTION LOSS

 $Design_No = j0092$

MODOT

COUNTY: STODDARD DISTRICT: SE CLASS: STATBR FED-ID: 5246 BRIDGE: J0092

MAIN SERIES-2 STEEL WIDE FLANGE GIRDERS SIMPLE SPAN **WEATHERING STEEL SPAN COMPOSITE INDICATOR LENGTH COMMENTS** MAIN SPANS-4 NON-COMPOSITE 39 FT 5 IN NO **CONDITION** LOCATION 1 **SEVERITY MEASUREMENT COMMENT LOCATION 2 STIFFENERS OTHER** NOT APPLICABLE (BLALOR1, 06/18/2014)--@ GIRDER 3 W/ 3 1/4" CRACK IN WELD HAS GROWN TO 4 1/2" (2014) (VOYLEC1, 01/24/2023)--MODERATE RUSTING TOP FLANGES AT GIRDER ENDS (RICKEC, 01/15/2025)--GIRDER 3 DIAPHRAM WELD GREW TO 5" **RUSTING** TOP FLANGE **HEAVY** SECTION LOSS **BOTTOM FLANGE** HEAVY (RICKEC, 01/15/2025)--OVER BEARINGS **MEDIUM** SECTION LOSS TOP FLANGE MAIN SPANS-5 NON-COMPOSITE 60 FT 7 IN NO **CONDITION** LOCATION 1 LOCATION 2 **SEVERITY MEASUREMENT COMMENT RUSTING TOP FLANGE** HEAVY SECTION LOSS AT BEARING HEAVY (MADSEJ, 03/02/2021)--BOTTOM FLANGES AT PIER 5. GIRDER 1 AT PIER 5 BOTTOM FLANGE HAS 50% SECTION LOSS. GIRDER 4 AT PIER 6 BOTTOM FLANGE HAS 50% SECTION LOSS (VOYLEC1, 01/24/2023)--MODERATE RUSTING TOP FLANGES AT GIRDER ENDS (RICKEC, 01/15/2025)--GIRDER 4 @ BENT 5 BOTTOM FLANGE WITH 50% SECTION LOSS AT **BEARINGS** SECTION LOSS **BOTTOM FLANGE ADVANCED** (RICKEC, 01/15/2025)--GIRDER 2 AT BENT 6 BOTTOM FLANGE WITH 75% SECTION LOSS MAIN SPANS-6 NON-COMPOSITE 41 FT 7 IN NO **CONDITION** LOCATION 2 SEVERITY **MEASUREMENT COMMENT LOCATION 1** SECTION LOSS AT BEARING **ADVANCED** (MADSEJ, 03/02/2021)--GIRDER 5 BOTTOM FLANGE IS EXPERIENCING 75% SECTION LOSS. GIRDER 3 BOTTOM FLANGE HAS A 3" HOLE RUSTED THROUGH THE BOTTOM FLANGE. (RICKEC, 01/15/2025)--GIRDER 4 AT BENT 6 WITH 75% SECTION LOSS ABOVE BEARING APPROACH SERIES-3 SIMPLE SPAN REINFORCED CONCRETE DECK GIR **WEATHERING STEEL COMMENTS SPAN COMPOSITE INDICATOR LENGTH** APPROACH SPANS-7 NON-COMPOSITE 38 FT 8 IN NO **CONDITION LOCATION 1** LOCATION 2 SEVERITY **MEASUREMENT COMMENT DELAMINATION GIRDERS FEW** OTHER **GIRDERS** NOT APPLICABLE (BLALOR1, 06/18/2014)--SHIFTED 4" TO THE W. @ BT 7 **SPALLS** LARGE (DANIEC, 06/23/2003)--GIRDER ENDS SPALLED WITH REBAR EXPOSED ON SOUTH SIDE. **ENDS SPALLS GIRDERS FEW** NON-COMPOSITE **APPROACH SPANS-8** 37 FT 6 IN NO **CONDITION** LOCATION 1 LOCATION 2 **SEVERITY MEASUREMENT COMMENT DELAMINATION MODERATE GIRDERS SPALLS ENDS** LARGE (DANIEC, 06/23/2003)--GIRDER ENDS SPALLED WITH REBAR EXPOSED ON SOUTH SIDE. **SPALLS GIRDERS** FEW (VOYLEC1, 01/24/2023)--SEVERAL HIGH STEEL SPALLS GIRDER 1 ***SUBSTRUCTURE COMPONENTS*** SUBSTRUCTURE CONSTRUCTION SKEW **LENGTH** MATERIAL LABEL **COMMENTS** ABUTMENT-1 LA-42 DEGREES 29 FT 0 IN REINFORCED CONCRETE OPEN CONCRETE **CONDITION LOCATION 1 LOCATION 2 SEVERITY** MEASUREMENT COMMENT ASSOCIATED COMPONENT **CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL** BEAM CAP CAST-IN-PLACE REINFORCED CONCRETE

MoDOT

	COUNTY: STODDAR	D DISTRICT: SE	CLASS: STATBR	FED-ID	: 5246	BRIDGE: J0092
	CONDITION	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
	HORIZONTAL CRAC			FEW		
	SPALLS	THROUGHOUT		FEW		
	VERTICAL CRACK			FEW		
COL	UMN	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE	12		
552	<u>CONDITION</u>	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	COMMENT
FOO	TING	REINFORCED CONCRETE	TIMBER PILE			
100	<u>CONDITION</u>	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	COMMENT
CTD	AIGHT WINGS	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE	<u>SEVERITT</u>	MEASCREMENT	COMMENT
311.	CONDITION	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>LOCATION 2</u>		MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
EIVI	SPALLS SPALLS	RANDOM	ELAT DI ATE	MINOR		
IAIT	ED BEARING	STEEL	FLAT PLATE <i>LOCATION 2</i>	CELEDITY	MEAGUDEMENT	COMMENT
	<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	<u>LUCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	COMMENT
	OTHER	THROUGHOUT		NOT APPLICABLE		(MADSEJ, 01/22/2019)GIRDERS SLIDING OFF OF BEARING PLATE 6"
	PACK RUST	THROUGHOUT		HEAVY		
	SECTION LOSS	AT BEARING		ADVANCED		
BENT-2	<i>LA-42 DEGREES</i>	30 FT 7 IN REINFORCED CONCRETE	MULTIPLE COLUMN			
	CONDITION	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	SEVERITY	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
ASSO	OCIATED COMPONENT	MATERIAL	CONSTRUCTION			
	M CAP	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE			
	<u>CONDITION</u>	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
	DELAMINATION	' 		FEW		
	SPALLS	THROUGHOUT		SMALL		
COL	UMN	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE			
	CONDITION	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
	REBAR EXPOSEI		<u>========</u>	FEW		<u></u>
	SPALLS	RANDOM		MINOR		
FOO	TING	REINFORCED CONCRETE	TIMBER PILE	MITOR		
100	CONDITION	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
FIXI	ED BEARING	STEEL	FLAT PLATE			<u></u>
1 1741	<u>CONDITION</u>	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
	PACK RUST	THROUGHOUT	<u> Lo chiroly 2</u>	HEAVY	MENIOCKEMENT	COMMENT
	SECTION LOSS			ADVANCED		
	SECTION LOSS	mkoodiooi		ADVANCED		
BENT-3	LA-42 DEGREES	30 FT 7 IN REINFORCED CONCRETE	MULTIPLE COLUMN			
	<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	<u>COMMENT</u>
<u>ASS</u>	OCIATED COMPONENT	<u>MATERIAL</u>	<u>CONSTRUCTION</u>			
BEA	M CAP	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE			
	<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	SEVERITY	MEASUREMENT	<u>COMMENT</u>
	DELAMINATION			LARGE		
	SPALLS	BOTTOM		SMALL		(RICKEC, 01/15/2025)REBAR EXPOSED
COL	UMN	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE			
	<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	<u>COMMENT</u>
	DELAMINATION			FEW		
	SPALLS	RANDOM		MINOR		(POPAM1, 07/16/2012)@, GDR 4 W/ REBAR EXP
	VERTICAL CRACK			FEW		
FOO	TING	REINFORCED CONCRETE	TIMBER PILE			
- 50	CONDITION	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
FIXE	ED BEARING	STEEL	FLAT PLATE			
1 1741	<u>CONDITION</u>	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
	PACK RUST	THROUGHOUT	200/11/2011 #	HEAVY		
	TACK ROST	111100011001		1111/114 1		

MoDOT

COUNTY: STODDARD DISTRICT: SE CLASS: STATBR FED-ID: 5246 BRIDGE: J0092

COUNTI. STODDAKL	DISTRICT. SE	CLASS, STATER	red-id	. 3240	DRIDGE. J0072
SECTION LOSS	THROUGHOUT		ADVANCED		
PIER-4 LA-42 DEGREES <u>CONDITION</u> <u>ASSOCIATED COMPONENT</u>	35 FT 1 IN REINFORCED CONCRETE LOCATION 1 MATERIAL	MULTIPLE COLUMN LOCATION 2 CONSTRUCTION	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
BEAM CAP	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE	CELEDIAN	ME (CUDENCE)	COLUMN
<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	COMMENT
DELAMINATION	THROUGHOUT		FEW		
SEALED SPALLS	TOP THROUGHOUT		ASPHALTICBASE SMALL		
COLUMN	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE	SWALL		
CONDITION	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	COMMENT
EFFLORESCENCE		THROUGHOUT	LIGHT		<u></u>
VERTICAL CRACKS		THROUGHOUT	FEW		
FOOTING	REINFORCED CONCRETE	TIMBER PILE			
<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
WEB BEAM	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE			
<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
DELAMINATION	RANDOM		SMALL		
SPALLS	RANDOM		SMALL		
VERTICAL CRACKS			LARGE		
FIXED BEARING <i>CONDITION</i>	STEEL <i>LOCATION 1</i>	FLAT PLATE <i>LOCATION 2</i>	SEVERITY	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
OTHER	THROUGHOUT	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	NOT APPLICABLE	MEASUREMENT	(MADSEJ, 03/02/2021)SPAN 3 BEARINGS MOVING UP TO 6" NORTH
PACK RUST	THROUGHOUT		HEAVY		(MADSEJ, 03/02/2021)BEARINGS LIFTING UP TO 1"
SECTION LOSS	THROUGHOUT		ADVANCED		(RICKEC, 01/15/2025)SPAN 3 GIRDERS 2 & 4 (PLACED BLOCKS)
BENT-5 LA-42 DEGREES <u>CONDITION</u>	30 FT 6 IN REINFORCED CONCRETE <u>LOCATION 1</u>	PILE CAP <u>LOCATION 2</u>	BENT 4A <u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
ASSOCIATED COMPONENT	<u>MATERIAL</u>	<u>CONSTRUCTION</u>			
BEAM CAP	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE	CELEBRAY	ME (CUDEMENT	COLUMNIT
<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	COMMENT
DELAMINATION SEALED	THROUGHOUT TOP		FEW ASPHALTICBASE		
SPALLS	THROUGHOUT		SMALL		
CROSS BRACING	STEEL	ANGLE			
<u>CONDITION</u>	LOCATION 1	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	SEVERITY	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
PACK RUST	RANDOM		MEDIUM		(RICKEC, 01/15/2025)WELDS ARE BROKE IN MANY LOCATIONS
					SEVERAL BRACES ARE MISSING
PILING	STEEL	H-SHAPE			
<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
SECTION LOSS	TOP		HEAVY		(RICKEC, 01/15/2025)CENTER PILING EAST SIDE
SECTION LOSS FIXED BEARING	WATERLINE STEEL	FLAT PLATE	ADVANCED		(MADSEJ, 03/02/2021)WATER TOO HIGH TO SEE (2021)
CONDITION	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
RUSTING	THROUGHOUT	<u>Localion 2</u>	HEAVY	MEMBURENT	COMMENT
SECTION LOSS	THROUGHOUT		ADVANCED		
=======================================					
BENT-6 LA-42 DEGREES	30 FT 6 IN REINFORCED CONCRETE	PILE CAP	BENT 4B		
CONDITION	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
ASSOCIATED COMPONENT	<u>MATERIAL</u>	CONSTRUCTION			
BEAM CAP	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE			

MODOT

	COUNTY: STODDARD	DISTRICT: SE	CLASS: STATBR	FED-ID	D : 5246	BRIDGE: J0092
	<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
	DELAMINATION	THROUGHOUT		FEW		(DANIEG OCIONOS), DEAM GARGEALER BLAGOS
	SEALED SPALLS	BEAM CAP THROUGHOUT		HORSEYSET SMALL		(DANIEC, 06/23/2003)BEAM CAP SEALED IN 2002.
CRO	OSS BRACING	STEEL	ANGLE	SWALL		
	<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
	PACK RUST	THROUGHOUT		MEDIUM		(RICKEC, 01/15/2025)WELDS ARE BROKEN THROUGHT
						FEW BRACES ARE MISSING
PILI		STEEL	H-SHAPE	CELEDIEN	ME ACUREMENT	COLUMENT
	<u>CONDITION</u> PACK RUST	LOCATION 1	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	COMMENT (DICKEG 01/15/2025) AT CROSS DRACING AND UNDER DEAMGAR
	SECTION LOSS	RANDOM WATERLINE		MEDIUM ADVANCED		(RICKEC, 01/15/2025)AT CROSS BRACING AND UNDER BEAMCAP (MADSEJ, 03/02/2021)WATER TOO HIGH TO SEE (2021)
FIX	ED BEARING	STEEL	FLAT PLATE	TID VIII (CLD		(MIDSE), 05/02/2021) WITER 100 HIGH 10 SEE (2021)
	<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
	RUSTING	THROUGHOUT		HEAVY		
	SECTION LOSS	THROUGHOUT		HEAVY		
		THE LANCE DEPUTED OF SOME	Laurence Corres	DUED 5		
PIER-7		FT 1 IN REINFORCED CONCRETE	MULTIPLE COLUMN	PIER 5	MEACUDEMENT	COMMENT
155	<u>CONDITION</u> OCIATED COMPONENT	<u>LOCATION 1</u> MATERIAL	<u>LOCATION 2</u> CONSTRUCTION	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
	AM CAP	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE			
BE?	<u>CONDITION</u>	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
	DELAMINATION	THROUGHOUT		FEW		
	SEALED	THROUGHOUT		ASPHALTICBASE		
	SPALLS	THROUGHOUT		SMALL		
COL	LUMN	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE	CELEDITY	MEACUDEMENT	COMMENT
	<u>CONDITION</u> SPALLS	<u>LOCATION 1</u> COLUMN	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u> SMALL	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	COMMENT (DICKELL 02/01/2022) EVROSED BERAR COLLIMBLE
	SPALLS	COLUMN		SWIALL		(PICKEJ1, 02/01/2023)EXPOSED REBAR COLUMN 1 (RICKEC, 01/15/2025)REBAR EXPOSED ON BOTH COLUMNS
FOC	OTING	REINFORCED CONCRETE	TIMBER PILE			(Refile, VIII 15/2025) REBIN EM SEBS ON BOTH COLONING
	<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	<u>COMMENT</u>
WEI	B BEAM	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE			
	<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
DIA	VERTICAL CRACKS	THROUGHOUT	OTHER	LARGE		
DIA	.PHRAGM <i>CONDITION</i>	STEEL <u>LOCATION 1</u>	OTHER <i>LOCATION 2</i>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
	DIAGONAL CRACKS	RANDOM	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	FEW	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
FIX	ED BEARING	STEEL	FLAT PLATE	1 L W		
	<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	<u>COMMENT</u>
	DETERIORATION	BEAM SEAT		ADVANCED		(RICKEC, 01/15/2025)MANY FLAT PLATE BEARINGS WITH ADVANCED SECTION
						LOSS.
						GIRDER 3 FLAT PLATE BEARING WITH SEVERE SECTION LOSS UP TO 80% BLOCKED UP
						UNDER GIRDER.
	PACK RUST	THROUGHOUT		HEAVY		CINDER GIRDER
BENT-8		TT 7 IN REINFORCED CONCRETE	MULTIPLE COLUMN	BENT 6		
	<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
	OCIATED COMPONENT	MATERIAL	<u>CONSTRUCTION</u>			
BEA	AM CAP <i>CONDITION</i>	REINFORCED CONCRETE <i>LOCATION 1</i>	CAST-IN-PLACE <i>LOCATION 2</i>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
	<u>CONDITION</u> DELAMINATION	<u>LOCATION T</u> THROUGHOUT	LUCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u> LARGE	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
	DELAWINATION	TIMOOGIIOUT		LAKOE		

MoDOT

January 24, 2025 1:47:04PM

COUNTY: ST	TODDARD	DISTRICT: SE	CLASS: STATBR	FED-ID: 5246		BRIDGE: J0092
SI	PALLS	THROUGHOUT		LARGE		(RICKEC, 01/15/2025)BOTTOM OF BEAM CAP WITH LARGE SPALL AND
						ADVANCE SECTION LOSS OF REINFORCED
						STEEL
	CAL CRACKS	THROUGHOUT	CACT DI DI ACE	FEW		
COLUMN	NDITION	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE	CELEBITY	ME ACUDEMENT	COLOUENT
	NDITION	LOCATION 1	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
FOOTING	PALLS	THROUGHOUT REINFORCED CONCRETE	TIMBER PILE	SMALL		
	NDITION .	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
FIXED BEARING	<u>VD11101V</u>	STEEL	FLAT PLATE	SLYLKITI	WE/ISCREMENT	COMMENT
	NDITION	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	COMMENT
	CK RUST	THROUGHOUT	<u> </u>	HEAVY		
	ION LOSS	THROUGHOUT		ADVANCED		
ABUTMENT-9 LA-42 D	DEGREES 29	9 FT 0 IN REINFORCED CONCRETE	OPEN CONCRETE	ABUTMENT 7		
	NDITION	LOCATION 1	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
ASSOCIATED COMPO	<u>ONENT</u>	<u>MATERIAL</u>	<u>CONSTRUCTION</u>			
BEAM CAP		REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE			
<u>CO</u> !	NDITION	<u>LOCATION 1</u>	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
	MINATION	THROUGHOUT		FEW		
SI	PALLS	THROUGHOUT		LARGE		(RICKEC, 01/15/2025)LARGE SPALL TOP OF BEAM CAP WITH ADVANCE
COLUMN		DEDIEGO GED GOVGDETE	CACT DI DI ACE			SECTION LOSS OF REINFORCED STEEL.
COLUMN	NDITION	REINFORCED CONCRETE LOCATION 1	CAST-IN-PLACE <i>LOCATION 2</i>	SEVERITY	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
FOOTING	<u>vDIIION</u>	REINFORCED CONCRETE	TIMBER PILE	<u>SEVERIII</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
	NDITION	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
STRAIGHT WINGS	<u>VD11101V</u>	REINFORCED CONCRETE	CAST-IN-PLACE	SLVLKITI	MEASCREWEIVI	COMMENT
	NDITION	LOCATION 1	LOCATION 2	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
FIXED BEARING		STEEL	FLAT PLATE	<u></u>		
	NDITION	LOCATION 1	<u>LOCATION 2</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>	MEASUREMENT	COMMENT
<u> </u>	CK RUST	THROUGHOUT		HEAVY		
SECT	TON LOSS	THROUGHOUT		ADVANCED		

OVER/UNDER ROUTES CLEARANCE INFORMATION

CLEARANCES OVER DECK

**NOTE: Vertical clearances for permitting purposes are taken as 2 inches less than the actual field measured clearance.

VERTICAL CLEARANCE TYPE**

VALUE

DIRECTION

DAT

COMMENT

January 24, 2025 Missouri Department of Transportation 1:47:04PM

State Bridge Inspection Report

COUNTY: STODDARD

MoDOT

CLEARANCES UNDER BRIDGE

DISTRICT: SE

REPLACE BRIDGE

2027

**NOTE: Vertical clearances for permitting purposes are taken as 2 inches less than the actual field measured clearance.

CLASS: STATBR

FED-ID: 5246

BRIDGE: J0092

RECORD # **ROUTE DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC** RIGHT LATERAL CLEARANCE LEFT LATERAL CLEARANCE **UR-ID** # LANES **VERTICAL CLEARANCE TYPE** VALUE DIRECTION DATE COMMENT** ***STRUCTURE PAINT INFORMATION*** **CONDITION: FAIR RUST AMOUNT:** 6=1.0% OF SURFACE RUSTED STEEL TONS: 67 **ORIGINAL PAINT CONTRACT REPAINT DEPARTMENT REPAINT PAINT TYPE:** 1 SYSTEM **PAINT TYPE: MANUFACTURE: PAINT TYPE:** NAME: NAME: NAME: CAL-ALUM SULPH/SYS C **SURFACE PREP: PAINT COLOR: ALUMINUM PAINT COLOR: PAINT COLOR:** PAINT YEAR: 1999 **PAINT YEAR: PAINT YEAR:** MILS: MILS: MILS: ***REQUESTED WORK ITEMS*** **GENERAL WORK COMMENTS: LOCATION ITEM CATEGORY PRIORITY** DATE **WORK ITEM COMMENT** RESPONSIBILITY DISTRICT ROUTINE APPROACH ROADWAY **SEAL JOINTS - HOT POUR APPROACH** 01/09/2019 (WEAVER1, 01/24/2018)--SEAL ALL JOINTS ON BRIDGE. 3 REWELD CROSS BRACING @ BNTS 5 & 6 FURTHER ACTION PIER CAP 2 **BLOCKUP GIRDER** SUPERSTRUCTURE 01/15/2025 REQUIRED **FURTHER ACTION BENT** REPLACE CROSS BRACING **SUBSTRUCTURE** 2 01/15/2025 **REQUIRED** ***UTILITY ATTACHMENTS*** **UTILITY OWNER METHOD MEASUREMENT TYPE NUMBER** UTILITY ATTACHMENT COMMENT **VALUE** ***PROGRAM NOTES INFORMATION*** **COMMENT** <u>YEAR</u> 2027 **ITEMS** PROJECT# **MONTH LET** YEAR LET

SE0118



January 24, 2025 1:47:04PM

COUNTY: STODDARD DISTRICT: SE CLASS: STATBR FED-ID: 5246 BRIDGE: J0092

COUNTY: STOL	DUARD DISTRICT: SE	CLASS: STATER	FED-1D: 3240	DKIDGE: J0092		
***COMP	UTER GENERATED RATINGS AND D		***ADVANCED SI	GN INFORMATION*	**	
NOTE: The items listed in this section are u	pdated whenever computer edits are ran on a structu	are after the inspection updates have been entered in to TMS.	SIGN #	SIGN TYPE	PROBLEM	PROBLEM DIRECTION
Rated Item	Rating	Rating Date	1			
[Item 67] Structure Evaluation Rating:	3-BASICALLY INTOL CORRECT	1/17/2025				
[Item 68] Deck Geometry Rating:	2-BASICALLY INTOLRBLE REQ	6/5/2002				
[Item 69] Underclearance:	N-NOT APPLICABLE	5/18/2001				
Sufficiency Rating:	12.2%	1/17/2025				
Deficiency:	STRUCTURAL	10/31/2012				
Funding Eligibility:	FULL			***OUTFALL INSPEC	CTION INFORMATIO	N***
Estimated New Structure Length:	361 FT.		" OXTES 1 T C	Dione	I CTO D	
Estimated Structure Cost:	\$2,093,439		# OUTFALLS:	INSPE	ECTOR:	
Estimated Total Project Cost:	\$3,140,159		STATUS:		DATE:	
Year of Cost Estimate:	2025		NOTES:			
NOTE: The above structure length and cost estimates are computer generated using algorithms in the TMS system. These algorithms are generalized to use NBI items to come up with a new structure length and width to calculate a new area which is taken times a representative cost per square foot. The actual structure size and cost may vary significantly from these numbers once site specific engineering is done.						





Missouri Department of Transportation Bridge Inventory and Inspection System Structural Inventory & Appraisal Sheet

COUNTY: STODDARD J0092 4 REVIEW STATUS: APPROVED T **BRIDGE:** NBI STATUS: 1/17/2025 2024 ROUTE CARRIED 'ON' STRUCT **RECORD TYPE: RUN DATE: SUBMITTAL YEAR:** GENERAL STRUCTURE INFORMATION ROUTE DESIGNATION INFORMATION ROUTE CARRIED 'ON' STRUCT State MISSOURI 5A Record Type MO District 5B SE Route Signing Prefix MAINLINE STODDARD County 5C Designated Level of Service 00051 5246 8 Federal ID No. 5D Route Number 1929 NOT APPLICABLE 27 5E Year Built Directional Suffix MO 51 S 106 0 7 Year Reconstructed Facility Carried NO HIGHWAY Type of Service On 12 Base Hwv. Network STATE HIGHWAY AGENCY 21 Structure Maintenance 13A LRS Inventory Route No. STATE HIGHWAY AGENCY 22 Structure Owner 13B Subroute No. 33 NO MEDIAN Toll Status ON FREE ROAD Br. Median Code 20 07-RURAL MAJOR COLLECTOR 37 Historical Significance NOT ELIGIBLE FOR NR OF HP 26 Functional Classification NONE EXISTS 101 28A Parallel Struc Desg Lanes on Structure NOT TEMPORARY Temporary Structure 103 RTE NOT A DEFENSE HWY 100 STRAHNET Designation NBIS Bridge Length YES NOT ON NHS National Highway System 104 NOT APPLICABLE 105 Federal Lands Highway NO 110 Designated Nat. Network STRUCTURE LOCATION INFORMATION STRUCTURE TRAFFIC INFORMATION 1291 4 Place FISK CITY 29 AADT 24328 2023 Code 30 AADT Year 2-WAY TRAFFIC S 28 T 25 N R 8 E Location 102 Direction of Traffic 11 Milepoint 96.65 miles 13% 109 AADT Truck Percent 36 D 46 M 49 S 16 Latitude 1807 114 Future AADT 17 Longitude 90 D 12 M 6 S 2043 115 Future AADT Year UNDERRECORD INFORMATION STRUCTURE GEOMETRIC INFORMATION ST FRANCIS RVR 10 99 Ft. 99 In. Features Intersected Inventory Rte. Vert. Clear 42B WATERWAY 19 40.00 miles Type of Service Under By pass Detour Length 00 28B Lanes Under Structure 32 Approach Roadway Width 23 Ft. 11 In. N/A 42.00 Degrees 54A Vert. Clearance Ref. 34 Skew 54B Vert. Clearance 0 Ft. 0 In. 35 Struct. Flared Rt. Lat Clear Ref. N/A Total Horiz. Clear 20 Ft. 12 In. 55A 47 55B Rt. Lat Clearance 0 Ft. 0 In. 48 Maximum Span Length 60 Ft. 8 In. 331 Ft. 0 In. Left Lat Clearance 0 Ft. 0 In. 49 Structure Length PERMIT NOT REQ Navigation Control 50A 0 Ft. 0 In. Left Curb/Sidewalk Width Nav Vertical Clear 0 Ft. 0 In. 39 50B Right Curb/Sidewalk Width 0 Ft. 0 In. 0 Ft. 0 In. Curb to Curb Br. Width 20 Ft. 12 In. 40 Nav Horizontal Clear 51 20 Ft. 12 In. Nav. Pier Protection 52 Deck Width (Out-Out) 111 99 Ft. 99 In. Nav. Cl. Vert. Clear 53 Vert.Clearance Over Deck





Missouri Department of Transportation Bridge Inventory and Inspection System Structural Inventory & Appraisal Sheet

COUNTY: STODDARD BRIDGE: J0092 4 REVIEW STATUS: APPROVED NBI STATUS: T

RECORD TYPE: ROUTE CARRIED 'ON' STRUCT RUN DATE: 1/17/2025 SUBMITTAL YEAR: 2024

RECORD TYPE: ROUTE CARRIED ON STRUCT	RUN DATE: 1/1//2025 SUBMITTAL YEAR: 2024
LOAD RATING AND POSTING INFORMATION	MATERIAL/CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION
31 Design Load	43A Main Struc. Mat type STEEL 43B Main struc Constr. Type STRINGER/MULTIBEAM - GRD 45
75A Proposed Work REPLACEMENT SUBSTND LOAD	
75B Work Done By Contract	58 Deck Cond. Rating 5 Superstructure Cond. Rating 3
76 New Struc Length 360 Ft. 11 In.	60 Substructure Cond. Rating 4
94 Struc Improve Cost \$ 2,093,000	61 Channel /Channel Protection Cond. Rating 6
95 Roadway Improve Cost \$ 209,000	62 Culvert Cond. Rating N
96 Total Project Cost \$3,140,000	INSPECTION INFORMATION
97 Year of Cost Estimates 2025	90 Gen. Insp Date 1 / 25
APPRAISAL RATING INFORMATION	91 Gen. Insp. Frequency 24 Months
36A Br. Rail App. Rating MEETS ACCEPTBLE STND	92A Frac. Critical Inspection N Months
36B Transition Rail App. Rating MEETS ACCEPTBLE STND	93A Frac. Critical Insp. Date
36C Approach Rail App. Rating MEETS ACCEPTBLE STND	92B Underwater Inspection Y Months 60
36D Rail End Treat. App. Rating MEETS ACCEPTBLE STND	93B Underwater Insp. Date 6/22
67 Struc Eval App. Rating 3 68 Deck Geometry App. Rating 2	92C Special Inspection N Months
68 Deck Geometry App. Rating 2 69 Underclearance App. Rating N	93C Special Inspection Date
71 Waterway Adeq. App. Rating 8	BORDER BRIDGE INFORMATION
72 Approach Road App. Rating 6	98 Neighboring State Code
Scour Assess App. Rating 8	98B Neighboring State % Respon
	99 Neighboring State Struc. No.
APPROVED POSTING INFORMATION	FIELD POSTING INFORMATION
Approved Posting Category S-1	Field Posting Category S-1
Ton1 Ton2 Ton3	Ton1 Ton2 Ton3
Tonnage Values for Posting Sign	Tonnage Values for Posting Sign
General Text for Posting Sign	General Text for Posting Sign
NO POSTING REQUIRED	NO POSTING REQUIRED

Design_No = j0092 and End_Date = 1/24/2025 and Start_Date = 1/25/2024