

LEVEL 2 ALTERNATIVE SCREENING PROCESS AND RESULTS TECHNICAL REPORT

Prepared for:



Prepared by:



Date February 20, 2023

CONTENTS

1.	LEVEL 2 ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT AND SCREENING SUMMARY	1
1.1.	Level 2 Screening Criteria	1
1.1.2	Summary of Level 2 Screening Criteria Analyses for Project Goals	4
2.	LEVEL 2 ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT	5
3.	LEVEL 2 ANALYSIS	6
4.	PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT	8
5.	ENDORSEMENT	8

APPENDICES

Appendix D.1. Level 2 Screening Evaluation Summary
Appendix D.2. Level 2 Screening Evaluation Detailed Results
Appendix D.3. Level 2 Alternative Exhibits
Appendix D.4. Level 2 Design Criteria
Appendix D.5. Level 2 Cost Estimates
Appendix D.6. Technical Advisory Group Meeting #3 Summary (December 14, 2022)
Appendix D.7. Community Advisory Group Meeting #3 Summary (December 14, 2022)



1. LEVEL 2 ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT AND SCREENING SUMMARY

In Level 1, the project team screened concepts to determine how well they addressed project needs. The results of the Level 1 screening identified concepts to be carried into Level 2; that process is documented in the *Level 1 Alternative Development, Screening Process and Results Technical Report* (Level 1 analysis) dated October 25, 2022. The Level 2 alternative development and screening process built upon findings from the Level 1 process to develop three corridor-wide alternatives and combine the most promising elements of the Level 1 concepts. The results of the Level 2 screening will be a set of recommendations discussed in the Implementation Plan section of the PEL report.

1.1. LEVEL 2 SCREENING CRITERIA

Before beginning the Level 2 process, the project team developed more detailed screening criteria and applied a process using quantitative analysis to assess how well the alternatives met the project needs – as well as qualitative and quantitative analyses to assess how well they addressed the project goals. The Level 2 Screening Criteria and method of analysis for projects and goals listed below were reviewed by FHWA, and they concurred with it on November 9, 2022.

1.1.1. Summary of Level 2 Screening Criteria Analyses for Project Needs

• Increase Safety for All Users:

Regional Vehicular Movements

- For each alternative, quantify interchange spacing, gore separation, and ramp lengths along I-64.
- Where available, for each alternative, apply the appropriate CMFs (Crash Modification Factors) to estimate the potential reduction in crashes. Additionally, quantify the lane alignments, intersection reconfigurations, and traffic control enhancements within the local road network for each alternative.
- Apply the appropriate CMFs to estimate potential reduction in crashes, where available.

Bicycle and Pedestrian

- Qualitatively assess bicycle and pedestrian safety by comparing alternatives at hotspots identified during the existing conditions exercise based on a high-level understanding of the configuration and assumed controls.
- Improve Transportation System with Intuitive Navigation To, From, and Across I-64

I-64 Access

• Measure the distance, estimate travel time to and from destinations for the alternative, and compare these results against the No Build (Maintenance Only) scenario for interchange configurations.



- Compare alternatives against the No Build (Maintenance Only) scenario and determine the number of turning movements required to reach a destination (to and from).
- Identify potential impacts on signage and wayfinding.

Interstate and Local Network Interface

- Identify how many roadways are impacted by access points and ramps consolidated to a single roadway and compare across all alternatives.
- Compare the alternative versus the No Build (Maintenance Only) scenario using AASHTO/MoDOT standards as a basis; the emphasis should be placed on gore-to-gore measurements.
- Evaluate if the exits are in the same order as the local roads and if motorists enter and exit I-64 from the same intersection.

• Reduce the Barrier Effect of I-64 on Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Users

Support Other Entity's Bicycle and Pedestrian Plans

- Compare the alternative to the No Build (Maintenance Only) scenario to determine the number of I-64 crossings and the total mileage of pedestrian and bicycle facilities by facility type.
- Compare the alternative to the No Build (Maintenance Only) scenario using the scoring methodology of pedestrian and bicycle connectivity ratio.
- Compare the alternative to the No Build (Maintenance Only) scenario concerning the complexity of bicycle and pedestrian interaction with traffic flow (ramp terminals, roundabouts, signalized intersections).

Transit Access and Effectiveness

- Assess transit access based on how many people and how many transit-dependent people can walk 10 mins or less to a transit line; the emphasis should be placed on high-quality lines.
- Conduct a qualitative assessment of proposed transit performance improvements (higher transit speed, lower travel time, better reliability/OTP, access to popular destinations).
- Optimize Bridge Maintenance by Improving Structural Conditions to Maintain a Good State of Repair

Structure Repair

• Calculate the number of bridges that, after 2050, would need a full replacement for their next major rehabilitation.



Reduce Structures

- Quantify the square footage of the bridge deck in the alternative.
- Quantify the number of existing functionally obsolete bridges that the alternative would replace.
- Quantify the number of new walls or area of new walls required.
- Maintain Interstate Function, Operations, and Capacity for the Future

Capacity

- Compare speed, density, and throughput for the I-64 mainline to the No Build (Maintenance Only) scenario.
- Compare the alternative to the No Build (Maintenance Only) scenario to determine the difference in vehicular delay, queue lengths, Volume over Capacity (v/c), and Level of Service (LOS) for I-64 ramp terminals.
- Compare the alternative to the No Build (Maintenance Only) scenario to determine overall LOS for intersections (non-I-64).

Freight

- Conduct a comparison between the alternative and the No Build (Maintenance Only) scenario for the complexity of heavy vehicle interaction with traffic flow ramp terminals, roundabouts, and signalized intersections.
- Identify the number of improvements to roadway geometry, including bridge clearance, ramp curvature, lateral clearance, bridge load restrictions, and standard shoulder widths (inside/outside).

• Environmental Resource Protection

Environmental Resources

- Conduct a qualitative and quantitative assessment of notable benefits and impacts on differentiating environmental resources, as applicable:
 - Air Quality
 - Wetlands and Waters of the U.S.
 - o Noise
 - o Floodplains

Social and Built Environment

- Conduct a qualitative and quantitative assessment of notable benefits and impacts on differentiating social and built resources, as applicable:
 - Socioeconomic and Environmental Justice



- Hazardous Materials
- Historic Resources
- Parks and Recreation

1.1.2. Summary of Level 2 Screening Criteria Analyses for Project Goals

• Project Goals

Right-size I-64 to Reduce the Highway Footprint and Reuse the Space to Benefit the Community

- Evaluate the overall footprint of the I-64 right-of-way, mainline, interchanges, ramps, and roadways that primarily deliver traffic to and from ramps.
- Estimate the type of reuse that might occur in the excess right-of-way and rate it based on how well it integrates with adjacent land uses.

Support Improved Land Use Near Transit Stations and Trails

- Evaluate whether the alternative adds or removes any constraints or provides new access and use of transit stations, stops, or trails within the study area.
- Identify vehicle-based or mixed-use development typologies for areas of planned development.

Improve Equitable Outcomes – Protect Community Assets

• Evaluate whether the alternative adds or removes any constraints to access and use of schools, hospitals, or libraries within the study area.

Improve Equitable Outcomes - Improve the Quality of Life

• Evaluate whether the alternative improves multimodal access and connectivity to and from employers, commercial hubs, groceries, and parks for residents and workers within the study area.

Improve Equitable Outcomes - Improved Access to Underserved Communities

• Evaluate whether the alternative improves multimodal access and connectivity, particularly to underserved neighborhoods, and ensure that improvements to underserved neighborhoods are substantial when compared to communities that have historically been better served.

Coordinate with Regional Partners to Enhance the Connectivity, Safety, and Comfort of the Local Transportation Network

• Evaluate whether the alternative integrates well into the local transportation network by creating new connections, overlaps with existing partner investments and initiatives, or creates opportunities for regional partners to enhance connectivity, safety, and travel comfort within the study area.

Integrate Bicycle and Pedestrian Facility Design Best Practices into Project Designs

• Assess how well the alternative would meet standards based on AASHTO's Bike and Pedestrian Guides.





 Identify areas that could exceed AASHTO standards by referencing NACTO Urban Bikeway Design Guide and NACTO Urban Street Design Guide as a resource guide for Best Practices.

Consolidate Access Points from the Interstate to a Local system

- Compare the total number of ramps (on and off), to and from I-64, between the alternative and the No Build (Maintenance Only) scenario.
- Identify the number of roadways impacted by access points and ramps consolidated to a single roadway, and compare across alternatives.

Invest in Projects that Provide Good Cost-benefit Improvements

• Compare the order of magnitude of the cost against the level of benefit that the alternative provides relative to the four needs.

Integrate Ecology Best Practices into Project Designs and Right-of-way Use

• For any unencumbered right-of-way, assess the potential for that property to be rehabilitated with natural landscaping and stormwater management.

Integrate Improved Aesthetics and Visual Environment into Project Designs

- For any unencumbered right-of-way, assess property and infrastructure potential to improve beautification, placemaking, and inviting infrastructure in locations readily visible or accessible by the community.
- For new proposed infrastructure, assess potential aesthetic and visual impacts and opportunities for beautification and placemaking.

2. LEVEL 2 ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT

The project team reviewed the results of the Level 1 screening, which analyzed the 17 Level 1 alternatives – this included six concepts for the western interchange complex and 11 concepts for the eastern interchange complex. Alternatives 4 and 5 were recommended to move forward from the western interchange complex. Alternatives 7, 8, and 9 (a combination of elements from 3, 5, and 9) were recommended to move forward from the eastern interchange complex. Based on the Level 1 analysis, individual elements of the other alternatives provided a benefit that also carried into the Level 2 alternatives.

The project team took the primary elements of the "carried forward" concepts, combined them into three alternatives, and made modifications to improve the findings of the Level 1 process. Alternatives were also refined to meet the Level 2 Design Criteria for geometrics. While the Level 1 concepts were developed separately for the western and eastern interchange areas, the Level 2 alternatives considered corridor-wide improvements which necessitated piecing the interchange improvements together to create the three corridor alternatives for Level 2.

Due to traffic operations between the two interchange locations being independent, there was the flexibility to create corridor-wide alternatives. The project team met with extended MoDOT staff on September 1, 2022, to discuss the creation of these alternatives. Alternative #1 included improvements that were the least amount of change to the operations of the corridor, and Alternative #3 had the greatest change, particularly on the western interchange complex. This



flexibility also allowed the Level 2 analysis to test various elements to determine if there was a fatal flaw. For example, Alternative #3 is the only alternative that considers the removal of the left-hand on-ramp from Vandeventer Ave. to WB I-64. There were concerns based on existing analysis of the corridor that there may be adverse impacts to the weaving movements between the Vandeventer Ave. on-ramp, Boyle Ave. on-ramp, and the Kingshighway Blvd. exit to the west. Testing both processes allowed the project team to see if there were any differences in the operations and safety measures.

3. LEVEL 2 ANALYSIS

The three alternatives were analyzed against the criteria listed above to understand how well they achieve the project's needs and goals. The following documents contain detailed information and analysis that provided the basis of the data used:

- Traffic Safety & Multimodal Alternatives Analysis Technical Memorandum
- Community Benefits Assessment of Alternatives Technical Memorandum

While some alternatives performed better than others in certain areas, all three build alternatives met the purpose and need and are considered reasonable alternatives to advance toward NEPA for further study and refinement. The relative strengths and weaknesses of Level 2 alternatives are summarized here and are further developed in the Implementation chapter of the Future64 PEL report. Appendix E of the Future64 PEL report includes the Level 2 screening matrix for the No Build (Maintenance Only) Alternative and the Level 2 corridor alternatives.

3.1. NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE

Pros:

- Increases the amount of bicycle and pedestrian-separated facilities.
- With the involvement of partnering agencies, bicycle and pedestrian facilities will likely exceed AASHTO standards and could be built using NACTO-based guidance.

Cons:

- There are no safety improvements.
- Decreases the likelihood of released land which could be repurposed.
- Does not have any positive influence on operations.
- Does not consolidate any access points.
- Does not remove any existing constraints for access to transit stations.

3.2. ALTERNATIVE 1

Pros:

- Moderate improvements to safetyt.
- Moderate improvements to walking and bicycle sheds.
- Scores high for Providing logical access to the perpendicular street grid.
- Moderate opportunities for redevelopment near the Grand Blvd. interchange.



- Scores high for opportunities to incorporate green infrastructure near Grand Blvd.
- Additional bicycle and pedestrian facilities that will meet or exceed the current AASHTO Bike and Pedestrian guides.
- Facilitates consolidation at some of the existing access points.

Cons:

- Transit performance would generally be unaffected.
- Does not reduce the number of MoDOT-maintained bridges or walls.
- Assuming an at-grade intersection at Grand Blvd. and Forest Park Ave., ramps would experience congestion, and excessive delays could potentially spill back onto I-64.

3.3. ALTERNATIVE 2

Alternative Pros:

- Scores high for facilitates connectivity for transit users and people walking and biking across the interstate within the study area.
- Scores high for transit performance as it would improve with the inclusion of bus-only lanes along the Grand Blvd. corridor.
- No operational concerns along I-64.
- Scores high for enhanced connectivity by increasing facility density near with new connections on Theresa Ave, Scott Ave and other corridors.
- Scores high for opportunities to improve beautification, placemaking and inviting infrastrucute due to the Boyle Ave. Bridge widening and a new bridge over the railroad tracks at Theresa Ave. with companion bicycle and pedestrian facilities, the Bernard St connection to Grand Blvd, new bus and bike lanes on Grand Blvd provide opportunity for "complete street" design.

Additional bicycle and pedestrian facilities that will meet or exceed the current AASHTO Bike and Pedestrian guides. **Alternative Cons**:

- Does not reduce MoDOT-maintained bridges and walls.
- Scores low on increasing access to Community assets.
- Scores low on improving multimodal access and connectivity for local residents and workers.
- Scores low on providing access used by underserved communities.

3.4. ALTERNATIVE 3

Alternative Pros:

- Scores high for vehicular safety with improved interchange spacing, improved acceleration and deceleration lengths, decreased access points, and right-in/right-out on Grand Blvd. at Council Plaza.
- No operational concerns along I-64.
- Scores high for improving the safety of non-vehicular users by creating separated facilities and grade separation at some of the projected high-volume crossings.
- Scores high for providing logical access to the street grid and all traffic movements at interchanges signed along the corridor.



- Scores high for facilitating connectivity for transit users by creating fewer vehicle interactions with the removal of the Compton Ave./Market St. ramps separated facilities at Grand Blvd. and the grade separation of the outer road with Tower Grove Ave. The existing Tower Grove Bridge being repurposed for only bicycles and pedestrians also contributes.
- Scores high for reducing the overall footprint allowing for trail and transit-oriented development, additional connectivity to community assets, and improves multimodal access to employers and other hubs to the residents and underserved community within the study area.
- Additional bicycle and pedestrian facilities that will meet or exceed the current AASHTO Bike and Pedestrian guides.
- Scores high for consolidating access relative to the other two alternatives. Scores high for cost-benefit analysis relative to other two alternatives.

Alternative Cons:

- Would increase traffic on Grand Blvd. which could increase travel times and decrease the reliability of the 70 Grand MetroBus route.
- Does not reduce the total number of MoDOT-maintained structures and adds additional structures and retaining walls.

4. PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

The Level 2 Alternatives and screening results were shared with the public and stakeholders for review and comment. They were presented to the Steering Committee on December 7, 2022. The alternatives were also presented to the Community Advisory Group (CAG) and Technical Advisory Group (TAG), which met separately on December 14, 2022. The TAG asked clarifying questions about the screening results for bicycle and pedestrian safety and interstate function and operations. The CAG and TAG also provided suggestions for improving the existing alternatives, which will be considered in developing the Implementation Plan section of the final Future64 report. Discussion details with CAG and TAG can be found in the meeting's summary in Appendix D.6 and D.7. Finally, the Level 2 Alternatives were also shared with the general public at an in-person public meeting on January 18, 2023, and via an online public meeting that ran from January 18, 2023, through February 1, 2023. Both public meeting formats featured informational boards and maps of the three alternatives. A total of 158 people attended the in person public meeting ion 232 total comments.

5. ENDORSEMENT

This technical report was provided to FHWA on February 20, 2023, and FHWA endorsed the Level 2 alternative development and screening process on XX date.



Appendix D.1. Level 2 Screening Evaluation Summary



Needs	Criteria	No Build	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3
Increase Safety for All Users	Regional Vehicular Movements	*	0	0	~
	Bicycle and pedestrian Movements	0	0	0	~
Improve Transportation with	I-64 Access	*	0	0	0
Intuitive Navigation To, From, and Across I-64	Interstate and Local Network Interface	*	\checkmark	0	~
Reduce the Barrier Effect to	Support Other Entities' Bicycle and Pedestrian Plans	*	0	 	~
Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Users	Transit Access and Effectiveness	*	*	~	*
Optimize Bridge Maintenance by Improving Structural Conditions	Structure Repair	*	0	0	0
to Maintain a Good State of Repair	Reduce Structures	*	*	*	*
Maintain Interstate Function,	Capacity	*	*	\checkmark	~
operations, and Capacity for the Future	Freight	*	0	0	0
Environmental Resource	Environmental Resources	*	0	0	0
Protection	Social and Built Environment	\bigcirc	0	0	0

Key: 🏶 Low/Least ^O Moderate 🗸 High/Best



	Criteria	No Build	Alternative #1	Alternative #2	Alternative #3
	Right-size I-64	*	0	0	~
	Support Improved Land Use Near Transit Stations and Trails	*	0	0	~
	Protect Community Assets	*	0	*	~
	Improve Quality of Life	*	0	*	~
	Improve Access to Underserved Communities	*	0	*	~
Project Goals	Coordinate to Enhance Local Transportation Network	0	0	~	0
	Bicycle and Pedestrian Best Management Practices	0	0	0	~
	Consolidate access points from Interstate to Local System	*	0	0	~
	Cost-Benefit	*	0	0	~
	Integrate Ecology Best Practices into Design and Right-of-Way	*	~	0	0
	Integrate Improved Aesthetics into Project Designs	*	0	~	0

Key: 🏶 Low/Least ^O Moderate 🗸 High/Best



Appendix D.2. Level 2 Screening Evaluation Detailed Results

Note: The detailed results are documented in Appendix E of the Future64 PEL Report.



Appendix D.3. Level 2 Alternative Exhibits





Corridor No Build (Maintenance Only) reflects the following improvements along the corridor:

- The City of St. Louis and other partnering agencies are implementing the currently planned bicycle and pedestrian improvements within the corridor limits.
- Rehabilitating or replacing the following bridges:
 - A3735 EB I-64 on-ramp from Papin St. over EB I-64 ramp to Vandeventer Ave.
 - · L0669 EB I-64 over Vandeventer Ave., WB I-64 on-ramp
 - A3651 WB I-64 over Sarah St.
 - A3893 EB I-64 over Sarah St.
 - L0667 EB I-64
 - A3594 WB I-64
 - · A3740 WB I-64 on-ramp from Grand Blvd. over fill



- · L0638 Grand Blvd. over I-64
- A0549 EB I-64 off-loop ramp to Grand Blvd.
- · A3741 WB I-64 on-ramp from Market St. over fill
- A0832 EB I-64 over Market St.
- A3636 WB I-64 on-ramp from Market St. over Forest Park Ave.
- A0835 EB I-64 off-ramp to Market St. over Forest Park Ave.





Corridor **Alternative #1** reflects the following improvements along the corridor:

- Widening the existing WB I-64 off-ramp to Boyle Ave. and lengthening the deceleration lane to provide additional stacking capacity and deceleration length.
- Lengthening the existing acceleration lane for EB traffic merging onto I-64 from Papin St.
- Widening the existing on-ramp to WB I-64 from Boyle Ave. (at the current on-ramp location) to provide a two-lane on-ramp.
- Reconstruction of Boyle Ave. from Papin St. north to the MetroLink tracks, including the overpass of I-64, to accommodate additional lanes.
- Reconstruction of Clayton Ave. between Newstead Ave. and Boyle Ave. to accommodate additional lanes.
- Widening Tower Grove Ave. to accommodate an additional left turn lane.
- Provision a WB off-ramp from I-64 to Grand Blvd. with extended deceleration length.
- Relocation and widening to two lanes of the existing WB I-64 on-ramp from Grand Blvd. to align with the proposed WB I-64 off-ramp with an extended acceleration lane; ramp terminal to be signalized.



- Removal of the existing EB loop ramp from I-64 to Grand Blvd. and replace it with a curved off-ramp that would meet design standards and effectively provide up to 900 feet of deceleration length.
- Provision of an EB on-ramp from Grand Blvd. to I-64 that would be integrated into a signalized intersection along Grand Blvd. with the reconfigured EB off-ramp.
- Reconstruction of the grade-separated intersection of Forest Park Ave. with Grand Blvd. to an at-grade signalized intersection. Lane additions to all four legs of the intersection.
- Provision additional lanes along Grand Blvd. between Forest Park Ave. and the railroad overpass.
- Extension of Theresa Ave. from Scott Ave. to realigned Forest Park Ave.
- Removal of the following existing ramps:
 - The EB I-64 off-ramp to Market St./Bernard St.
 - The I-64 EB on-ramp from Forest Park Ave. (left-hand ramp).
 - The WB I-64 on-ramp from Market St./Compton Ave.
 - The EB Forest Park Ave. to Market St./Compton Ave. ramp.
- Reconstruction of Forest Park Ave. and the Market St./Compton Ave. intersection to accommodate the removal of the above ramps and the extension of Forest Park Ave.
- Widening of the inside shoulders along I-64 between Tower Grove Ave. and Sarah St. and between Theresa Ave. (extended) and Ewing Ave.
- Provision of all bicycle and pedway facilities reflected in the No Build (Maintenance Only) scenario and 0.8 mile of additional facilities at the following locations reflective of approximately 12.8 miles of bicycle and pedestrian committed, likely, and potential projects.
 - Tower Grove Ave. via a separate structure parallel to the Tower Grove Ave. overpass at I-64, extending north of Clayton Ave. via Boyle Ave.



- Grand Blvd. to the north of Forest Park Ave.
- Forest Park Ave. between Grand Blvd. and Market St./Compton Ave.
- Theresa Ave. between Scott Ave. and Forest Park Ave.
- Bernard/Spruce St. between Grand Blvd. and Compton Ave.



Corridor **Alternative #2** reflects the following improvements along the corridor:

- Widening the existing WB I-64 off-ramp to Boyle Ave. and lengthening the deceleration lane to provide additional stacking capacity and deceleration length.
- Widening the existing on-ramp to WB I-64 from Boyle Ave. (at the current on-ramp location) to provide a two-lane on-ramp.



- Relocation of the EB I-64 on-ramp from Papin St. to Boyle Ave, including lengthening the acceleration lane on EB I-64; ramp terminal to be signalized.
- Removal of the existing traffic signal at Papin St. and Boyle Ave.
- Reconstruction of Boyle Ave. from Papin St. north to the MetroLink tracks, including the overpass of I-64, to accommodate additional lanes.
- Reconstruction of Clayton Ave. between Newstead Ave. and Boyle Ave. to accommodate additional lanes.
- Widening of Tower Grove Ave. to accommodate an additional left turn lane.
- Widening the existing WB I-64 on-ramp from Grand Blvd. to accommodate two lanes with extended acceleration length; installing a traffic signal at the ramp terminal.
- Removal of the existing EB loop ramp from I-64 at Grand Blvd. and replacement with an off-ramp that would intersect the proposed roundabout of Bernard St./Theresa Ave./Spruce St., east of Grand Blvd.
- Reconstruction of Bernard St. to intersect Grand Blvd. at-grade with a signal south of I-64.
- Provision of an EB slip on-ramp to I-64 from a one-way Spruce St., east of the proposed Theresa Ave. extension.
- Realignment of existing EB I-64 from the beginning of Bridge No. A0832 to 650 feet east of Compton Ave.
- Reconstruction of the grade-separated intersection of Forest Park Ave. with Grand Blvd. to an at-grade signalized intersection. Lane additions to all four legs of the intersection.
- Provision additional lanes along Grand Blvd. between Forest Park Ave. and Bernard St.
- Extension of Theresa Ave. from its current terminus south of the railroad (via grade separation) north to realigned Forest Park Ave., effectively providing a continuous connection between Chouteau Ave. and Forest Park Ave.
- Removal of the following existing ramps:



- The EB I-64 off-ramp to Market St./Bernard St.
- The I-64 EB on-ramp from Forest Park Ave. (left-hand ramp)
- The WB I-64 on-ramp from Market St./Compton Ave.
- The EB Forest Park Ave. to Market St./Compton Ave. ramp
- Reconstruction of the Forest Park Ave. and Market St./Compton Ave. intersection to accommodate the removal of the above ramps and the extension of Forest Park Ave.
- Widening the inside shoulders along I-64 between Tower Grove Ave. and Sarah St. and between Theresa Ave. (extended) and Ewing Ave.
- Provision of all bicycle and pedway facilities reflected in the No Build (Maintenance Only) scenario as well as 1.5 miles of additional facilities at the following locations reflective of approximately 13.5 miles of bicycle and pedestrian committed, likely, and potential projects:
 - Tower Grove Ave. across I-64, extending north of Clayton Ave. via Boyle Ave.
 - Grand Blvd. to the north of Forest Park Ave., with multi-use paths provided via parallel structures adjacent to the Grand Blvd. bridge.
 - Forest Park Ave. between Grand Blvd. and Market St./Compton Ave.
 - Theresa Ave. between Scott Ave. and Forest Park Ave.
 - Bernard St./Spruce St. between Grand Blvd. and Compton Ave.
- Provision of Bus-Only Lane accommodations along both sides of Grand Blvd. between Forest Park Ave. and Chouteau Ave. to allow for potential future BRT operation of the 70 Grand service line.





Corridor **Alternative #3** reflects the following improvements along the corridor:

- Widening the existing WB I-64 off-ramp to Boyle Ave. and lengthening the deceleration lane to provide additional stacking capacity and deceleration length.
- Widening the existing on-ramp to WB I-64 from Boyle Ave. (at the current on-ramp location) to provide a two-lane on-ramp.
- Removal of the existing EB I-64 off-ramp to Tower Grove Ave. roundabout and the EB I-64 on-ramp from Papin St.
- Provision a new one-way EB outer roadway along the south side of I-64, providing access to Tower Grove Ave. to the south, Boyle Ave. (signalized), Vandeventer Ave. and terminating as an EB on-ramp to I-64 east of Boyle Ave.
- Relocation of WB I-64 on-ramp from Vandeventer Ave. to a right-sided merge condition.
- Realignment of WB I-64 from Newstead Ave. to Sarah St.
- Addition of a ramp from Vandeventer Ave. to the proposed EB outer road, facilitating access from Vandeventer Ave. to EB I-64.
- Reconstruction of Boyle Ave. from Papin St. north to the MetroLink tracks, including the overpass of I-64, to accommodate additional lanes.



- Reconstruction of Clayton Ave. between Newstead Ave. and Boyle Ave. to accommodate additional lanes.
- Cul-de-sac on Papin St. east of Boyle Ave. and removal of the existing traffic signal.
- Closure of Tower Grove Ave. between Stix ECC and Elementary School and the proposed outer road to vehicular traffic.
- Provision of a WB off-ramp from I-64 to Grand Blvd. with extended declaration length.
- Relocation and widening to two lanes of the existing WB I-64 on-ramp from Grand Blvd. to align with the proposed WB I-64 off-ramp with an extended acceleration lane; ramp terminal to be signalized.
- Removal of the existing EB loop ramp from I-64 at Grand Blvd. and replacement with an off-ramp that would intersect the proposed roundabout of Theresa Ave./Spruce St., east of Grand Blvd.
- Provision of an EB I-64 on-ramp from Grand Blvd. that would be "braided/grade-separated" with the proposed off-ramp from EB I-64. The ramp terminal of the proposed on-ramp with Grand Blvd. would be signalized.
- Bernard St. would be removed.
- Reconstruction of the grade-separated intersection of Forest Park Ave. with Grand Blvd. to an at-grade signalized intersection. Lane additions to all four legs of the intersection.
- Provision of additional lanes along Grand Blvd. between Forest Park Ave. and the proposed EB I-64 on-ramp.
- Extension of Theresa Ave. from its current terminus at Scott Ave. north to realigned Forest Park Ave., with the provision of a roundabout at its intersection with Spruce St. and the proposed EB off-ramp from I-64.
- Removal of the following existing ramps:
 - The EB I-64 off-ramp to Market St./Bernard St.
 - The I-64 EB on-ramp from Forest Park Ave. (left-hand ramp)
 - The WB I-64 off-ramp to Forest Park Ave.



- The WB I-64 on-ramp from Market St./Compton Ave.
- The EB Forest Park Ave. to Market St./Compton Ave. ramp.
- Reconstruction of the Forest Park Ave. and Market St./Compton Ave. intersection to accommodate the removal of the above ramps and the extension of Forest Park Ave.
- Widening of the inside shoulders along I-64 between Tower Grove Ave. and Sarah St. and between Theresa Ave. (extended) and Ewing Ave.
- Provision of all bicycle and pedway facilities reflected in the No Build (Maintenance Only) scenario as well as 0.8 miles of additional facilities at the following locations reflective of approximately 12.8 miles of bicycle and pedestrian committed, likely, and potential projects:
 - Grade-separated bicycle and pedway crossing of Tower Grove Ave. at the proposed outer road
 - Repurpose the existing Tower Grove Ave. I-64 overpass to bicycle, pedway, and no auto traffic.
 - Tower Grove Ave. across I-64, extending north of Clayton Ave. via Boyle Ave.
 - Grand Blvd. to the north of Forest Park Ave.
 - Forest Park Ave. between Grand Blvd. and Market St./Compton Ave.
 - Theresa Ave. between Scott Ave. and Forest Park Ave.
 - Bernard St./Spruce St. between Grand Blvd. and Compton Ave.



Appendix D.4. Level 2 Design Criteria

							1				
LOCATION		I-64		EXIT RAMPS		ENTRANCE RAMPS		LOOP RAMPS		SOURCE	
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATI	ON		Interstate		Diamond Ramp-Urban		Diamond Ramp-Urban		Loop Ramp-Urban		
			Standard	Proposed	Standard	Proposed	Standard	Proposed	Standard	Proposed	
DESIGN VEHICLE			WB-67	1	W	/B-67	W	В-67	WE		MoDOT EPG 233.4.9
DESIGN SPEED (mph) (MINIM	IUM)	Level	55	55	40	50	50	50	30	20 Min.	MoDOT EPG 230.1, EPG 234.2, & 234.5 Greenbook Table 10-1 Greenbook 10.9.6.2.4
TYPICAL SECTION (SHEET #)			D-61	D-61F	D-50H	D-50H	D-50H	D-50H	D-51	D-51	MoDOT D Sheets
LANE WIDTH (ft)			12	12	12	12	12	12	18		MoDOT EPG 231.3 MoDOT D Sheets
CROSS SLOPE (%)			2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	MoDOT D Sheets
SUPERELEVATION RATE (%)	(MAXIMUM)		8	8	8*	8*	8*	8*	8*	8*	MoDOT Standard Plan 203.22 MoDOT EPG 234.2 & 234.5. * 6% maximum if ramp is on structure
SUPERELEVATION RUNOFF I	LENGTH (ft)		L1=204/ L2=306	L1=204/ L2=306	204	204	204	204	204	204	MoDOT Standard Plan 203.22
SUPERELEVATION PIVOT PO	DINT LOCATION		Inside EC	DP	Ba	seline	Bas	seline	Base	eline	MoDOT Standard Plan 203.21K MoDOT D Sheets
SPIRAL CURVE MAX RADIUS	6 (ft)		1531	1531	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	MoDOT Standard Plan 203.21K
SPIRAL CURVE MIN LENGTH	I (ft)		See source	See Source	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	MoDOT Standard Plan 203.21K & 203.22 Greenbook 3.3.8.4.3
SPIRAL TANGENT RUNOUT I	LENGTH (FT)		40	40	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Table 3-21 AASHTO Greenbook
SHOULDER WIDTH (ft) (INSII	DE;OUTSIDE)		4;10	10	4;6-8	4;6-8	4;6-8	4;8	4;6-8	4;8	MoDOT 231.4 Shoulder Width MoDOT D Sheets
SHOULDER CROSS SLOPE (%))		2		2		2		2		MoDOT D Sheets
SHY DISTANCE TO BARRIER	(ft) (MIN)		2		2		2		2		MODOT 231.4 Shoulder Width
	BACKSLOF		3:1		3:1		3:1		3		MoDOT D Sheets
SLOPES (H:V)	FILLSLOPE <= 4']		6:1		6:1		6:1		6:1		
	FILLSLOPE > 4' F FORESLOF		3:1	4:1	6:1 - 4:1	3:1 4:1	6:1 - 4:1	3:1 4:1	6:1 - 4:1		
	FORESLOF	E	6:1 - 4:1	4:1	6:1 - 4:1	4:1	6:1 - 4:1	4:1	6:1 - 4:1	4:1	Table 3-1 AASHTO Roadside Design Guide
CLEAR ZONE (FT)			32' Foreslope 24' Backslope	32' Foreslope 24' Backslope	See	source	See	source	See s	ource	Table 3-1 AASHTO Roadside Design Guide RDG Section 3.3.6
CURVATURE, RADIUS (MIN)			960	960	758 1920*	758 1920*	758 1920*	758 1920*	758 1920*	758 1920*	Tables 3-7 & 3- 10 AASHTO Greenbook * 6% maximum superelevation when ramp is on structure
GRADE (%) (MAXIMUM) (MIN	NIMUM=0.5% ALL F	ROADS)	4% Max	4% Max	3-5%	5% Max	3-5%	5% Max	See source	5% Max	Tables 8-1 & 10-2 AASHTO Greenbook, "Level" Terrain
STOPPING SIGHT DIST (FT) L	LEVEL RDWY (MIN	DESIRABLE)	495	495	425	425	425	425	See s	ource	Table 3-1 AASHTO Greenbook
STOPPING SIGHT DIST (FT) (ON DOWNGRADE	3-6 %	See sour	ce	See	source	See	source	See s	ource	Table 3-2 AASHTO Greenbook
STOPPING SIGHT DIST (FT) C			See sour	1		source		source			Table 3-2 AASHTO Greenbook
CREST VERTICAL CURVE (SS			495	495	425	425	425	425	See s		Table 3-35 AASHTO Greenbook
SAG VERTICAL CURVE (K VALUE)		115	115	96	96	96	96	See s	ource	Table 3-37 AASHTO Greenbook	
Over Railroad		23 ' 23'-4" UPRR 23'-6" BNSF	23 ' 23'-4" UPRR 23'-6" BNSF	23 ' 23'-4" UPRR 23'-6" BNSF		23 ' 23'-4" UPRR 23'-6" BNSF				MoDOT EPG 751.1.2.6	
VERTICAL CLEARANCE (FT) Over Interstate Over State Route Over All Others		16'-6"	16'-6"	16'-6"	16'-6"	16'-6"	16'-6"	16'-6"		MoDOT EPG 751.1.2.6	
		16'-6"	16'-6"	16'-6"	16'-6"	16'-6"	16'-6"	16'-6"	16'-6"	MoDOT EPG 751.1.2.6	
		15'-6"	16'-6"	15'-6"	15'-6"	15'-6"	15'-6"	15'-6"		MoDOT EPG 751.1.2.6 (Designated Commercial Zone)	
BASELINE LOCATION			CL MEI		, v	ht EOP	Ű	nt EOP	Ű	EOP	MoDOT D Sheets
PGL LOCATION		Inside EC)P	Rigl	ht EOP	Righ	nt EOP	Right	EOP	MoDOT D Sheets	

Route I-64 MoDOT Job #: J6I3585

			-				
LOCATION		South or North Outer Rd if	present in Concept	SOURCE			
FUNCTIONAL CLAS	SIFICATION		Major Collec	tor	See Note		
			Standard	Proposed			
DESIGN VEHICLE			WB-67		MoDOT EPG 233.4.9		
DESIGN SPEED (mpl	n) (MINIMUM)	Level	35	35	MoDOT EPG 230.1		
LANE WIDTH (ft)			11 ft (min.) / 12ft (pref.)	12	MoDOT EPG 231.3		
CROSS SLOPE (%)			2		Section 3.3.3.1 AASHTO Greenbook		
SUPERELEVATION I	RATE (%) (MAXIMUM)		4		MoDOT EPG 230.1.4 Superelevation		
SUPERELEVATION I	PIVOT POINT LOCATION		Centerline	e	MoDOT Standard Plan 203.20		
SHOULDER WIDTH	(ft) (INSIDE;OUTSIDE)		2-10	4	MoDOT EPG 231.4.1		
SHOULDER CROSS	SLOPE (%)		2-6	2	Section 4.4.3 AASHTO Greenbook		
ADD'L SHY DISTAN	CE TO BARRIER (ft) (MIN)		2	-	MoDOT EPG 231.4 Shoulder Width		
SIDEWALK (WIDTH	(ft);SLOPE (%))		6' BOC 5' with offset; 2%	5Max., 1% Min.	Standard width applied from: MoDOT Standard Plan 608.10 Future detailed design shall meet minimum standards from references above w goal of exceeding these standards by applying NACTO Guidelines when possib		
BIKE LANE		4' (On Paved Shoulder)	6' (Adjacent to Curb)	Standard width applied from: MoDOT Standard Plan 608.10 AASHTO 2012 4th Edition Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities Future detailed design shall meet minimum standards from references above with goal of exceeding these standards by applying NACTO Guidelines when possible			
CURB AND GUTTER	ТҮРЕ		Type B (Barrier)		MoDOT EPG 609		
	BACKSLOI	PE	4:1 OR FLATTER	4:1 OR FLATTER	Roadside Design Guide 3.2.1		
SLOPES (H:V)	FILLSLOP	E	4:1 OR FLATTER	4:1 OR FLATTER	Roadside Design Guide 3.2.1		
	FORESLOF	Έ	4:1 OR FLATTER 4:1 OR FLATTER		Roadside Design Guide 3.2.1		
CLEAR ZONE (FT)			See Source		Table 3-1 AASHTO Roadside Design Guide		
CURVATURE, RADIU	US (MIN)		371	371	Table 3-7 AASHTO Greenbook		
GRADE (%) (MAXIM	UM) (MINIMUM=0.5% ALL	ROADS)	9%	5%	Table 6-7 AASHTO Greenbook		
STOPPING SIGHT D	ISTANCE (FT) (MIN DESIR	ABLE)	250	250	Table 3-1 AASHTO Greenbook		
CREST VERTICAL C	URVE (SSD)		250	250	Table 3-35 AASHTO Greenbook		
SAG VERTICAL CUR	XVE (K VALUE)		49	49	Table 3-37 AASHTO Greenbook		
VERTICAL CLEARANCE (FT)		Over Railroad	23 ' 23'-4" UPRR 23'-6" BNSF	23 ' 23'-4" UPRR 23'-6" BNSF	MoDOT EPG 751.1.2.6		
		Over Interstate	ate 16'-6" 16'-6" MoDOT EPG 751.1.2.6		MoDOT EPG 751.1.2.6		
		Over State Route	16'-6" 15'-6" (ADT<1700)	16'-6"	MoDOT EPG 751.1.2.6		
		Over All Others	15'-6"	15'-6"	MoDOT EPG 751.1.2.6 (Designated Commercial Zone)		
BASELINE LOCATIO			Centerline	e	MoDOT EPG 230		
PGL LOCATION			Centerline	e	MoDOT EPG 230		

Route I-64 MoDOT Job #: J6I3585



City Streets

LOCATION			Market/Forest	Park Ave/Grand	SOURCE		
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFI	CATION		Minor	Arterial	MoDOT Functional Classification Map		
			Standard	Proposed			
DESIGN VEHICLE			WI	8-67	MoDOT EPG 233.4.9		
DESIGN SPEED (mph) (N	IINIMUM)	Level	35	35	MoDOT EPG 230.1		
LANE WIDTH (ft)			11 (min.) 12 (pref.)	12 (Match Existing)	MoDOT EPG 231.3		
BRT LANE WIDTH (ft)			NA	11 (Curbside)	NACTO Urban Street Design Guide https://nacto.org/publication/urban-street-design-guide/ streets/dedicated-curbside-offset-bus-lanes/		
CROSS SLOPE (%)				2	Section 3.3.3.1 of AASHTO Greenbook		
SUPERELEVATION RAT	E (%) (MAXIMUM)			4	MODOT 230.1.4 Superelevation, Section 3.3.6.2 of AASHTC		
SUPERELEVATION PIVO	OT POINT LOCATION	J	Cent	erline	MoDOT Standard Plan 203.20		
SHOULDER WIDTH (ft)			N/A	N/A	MoDOT EPG 231.4.1		
SHOULDER CROSS SLO	PE (%)		N/A	N/A	Section 4.4.3 AASHTO Greenbook		
BARRIER TYPE (MEDIA)	N;OUTSIDE)		N/A	N/A	MoDOT EPG 617 Traffic Barrier		
CURB AND GUTTER TY	PE		Tyj	pe B	MoDOT Standard Plan 609.00		
SIDEWALK (WIDTH (ft);	SLOPE (%))		6' BOC 5' with offse	et; 2%Max., 1% Min.	Standard width applied from: MoDOT Standard Plan 608.10 Future detailed design shall meet minimum standards fro goal of exceeding these standards by applying NACTO C		
BIKE LANE			4' (On Paved Shoulder)	6' (Adjacent to Curb)	Standard width applied from: MoDOT Standard Plan 608.10 AASHTO 2012 4th Edition Guide for the Development of B Future detailed design shall meet minimum standards from goal of exceeding these standards by applying NACTO Gu		
	BACKSI	LOPE	4:1 OR FLATTER	4:1 OR FLATTER	Roadside Design Guide 3.2.1		
SLOPES (H:V)	FILLSL	OPE	4:1 OR FLATTER	4:1 OR FLATTER	Roadside Design Guide 3.2.1		
	FORESLOPE		4:1 OR FLATTER 4:1 OR FLAT		Roadside Design Guide 3.2.1		
CLEAR ZONE (FT)			See S	Source	Table 3-1 AASHTO Roadside Design Guide		
CURVATURE, RADIUS (MIN)		371	371	MoDOT Standard Plan 203.22		
GRADE (%) (MAXIMUM) (MINIMUM=0.5% ALL ROADS)			7%	5%	Table 6-7 AASHTO Greenbook		
STOPPING SIGHT DISTANCE (FT) (MIN DESIRABLE)			250	250	Table 3-1 AASHTO Greenbook		
CREST VERTICAL CURVE (SSD VALUE)			29 29		Table 3-35 AASHTO Greenbook		
SAG VERTICAL CURVE (K VALUE)			49	49	Table 3-37 AASHTO Greenbook		
BASELINE LOCATION			Cent	erline	MoDOT EPG 230		
PGL LOCATION			Cent	erline	MoDOT EPG 230		

Route I-64 MoDOT Job #: J6I3585

e/street-design-elements/transit-
TO Greenbook
om references above with the Guidelines when possible.
f Bicycle Facilities om references above with the Guidelines when possible.



Appendix D.5. Level 2 Cost Estimates

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST + EAST			KINOSHIONWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF, KJ, JR	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/27/2023	

PROJECT	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	COST CATEGORY	ALTERNATIVE 1	ALTERNATIVE 2	ALTERNATIVE 3	NOTES
		FREEWAY	\$ 21,800,000	\$ 21,700,000	\$ 44,000,000	
		RAMP	\$ 8,500,000	\$ 8,900,000	\$ 13,000,000	
	ED L(L) D (N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	LOCAL - MODOT	\$ 5,900,000	\$ 8,600,000	\$ 8,900,000	
COMBINED WEST		LOCAL AGENCY	\$ 17,000,000	\$ 40,200,000	\$ 20,100,000	
+ EAST		BRIDGE	\$ 45,400,000	\$ 42,200,000	\$ 64,500,000	
+		MAJOR UTILITY RELOCATION				
NO-BUILD TOTALS		(MoDOT)	\$ 500,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 600,000	
IOTALS		MAJOR UTILITY RELOCATION				
		(LOCAL AGENCY)	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	10000 10001
		EXIST. BRIDGE REHAB OR				L0669, A3651 A3893, A0832
		REPLACEMENT	\$ 90,000,000	\$ 90,000,000	\$ 90,000,000	L0667, A3594
		TOTAL	\$ 189,400,000	\$ 212,300,000	\$ 241,400,000	

		MODOT	\$ 82,100,000	\$ 81,800,000	\$ 131,000,000	
						L0669
		EX BRIDGE				A3651
		REHAB OR				A3893
		REPLACEMENT	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	A0832
ALTERNATIVE TOTALS		EX MAJOR BRIDGE REHAB OR REPLACEMENT	\$ 88,000,000	\$ 88,000,000	\$ 88,000,000	L0667 (Replace) A3594 (Rehab)
		MoDOT SubTotal	\$ 172,100,000	\$ 171,800,000	\$ 221,000,000	
		LOCAL AGENCIES	\$ 17,300,000	\$ 40,500,000	\$ 20,400,000	
		LOCAL				
		AGENCIES				
		SubTotal	\$ 17,300,000	\$ 40,500,000	\$ 20,400,000	
		TOTAL	\$ 189,400,000	\$ 212,300,000	\$ 241,400,000	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST + EAST			FUTURE 64
Number: J6I3585			
Estimated By: TF, KJ, JR	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/27/2023	
The unit costs shown in this estimate corrected an opinion of probable costs propagad in good faith and with reasonable case. CDI has no cost	atral over the costs of construction labor materials	ar aquinment, nor over the competitive hidding or	pagatistian mathada and doos not

PROJECT	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	COST CATEGORY		ALTERNATIVE 1		ALTERNATIVE 2	ALTERNATIVE 3	NOTES
	WEST Alt 1 & Alt 2- Proposed Shared Use facility over I-64 Paralleling Tower Grove Avenue.	FREEWAY	\$	2,000,000	\$	400,000	\$ 2,700,000	
	Alt 3 - Widen existing shoulder east hound 1-64	RAMP	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	
1	Alt 2- Adding an addional lane to the on ramp to west bound I-64 from Grand Blvd.	LOCAL - MODOT	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 200,000	
		LOCAL AGENCY	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	
		BRIDGE	\$	1,600,000	\$	18,900,000	\$ -	
		Subtotal	\$	3,600,000	\$	19,300,000	\$ 2,900,000	
	WEST Alt 1 - Lengthening of I-64 east bound ramp acceleration lane. Widening of A3893.	FREEWAY	\$	2,700,000	\$	3,400,000	\$ 5,800,000	
	Alt 3 - Improvements to Local road system Clayton Ave along with Intersections at Tower Grove and Boyle Ave. <u>EAST</u> Alt 1 and Alt 3 - Reconstructing the Forest Park Ave & Grand Blvd intersection At-grade replacing existing Grand bridge over I-64. Adding turn lanes at ramp terminals and intersections.	RAMP	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	
2		LOCAL - MODOT	\$	1,300,000	\$	-	\$ 2,800,000	
		LOCAL AGENCY	\$	9,200,000	\$	-	\$ 18,800,000	
		BRIDGE	\$	6,100,000	\$	900,000	\$ 3,700,000	
		Subtotal	\$	19,300,000	\$	4,300,000	\$ 31,100,000	
	WEST Alt 1 - Widening of shoulders on eastbound and westbound I-64 and I-64 west bound on ramp from Vandeventer.	FREEWAY	\$	5,700,000	\$	2,000,000	\$ 10,900,000	
	Alt 3 - Widefining of Boyle on Ramp to 1-94 to 2 failes lengthening of 1-94 of 1 failing to Boyle deceleration faile and widening, widening bridge A8052 to 4 lanes. <u>EAST</u> Alt 1 and Alt 3 - Realigning the I-64 west bound on ramp and off ramp to create signalized intersection at Grand Blvd. Alt 2 - Reconstructing the Forest Park Ave & Grand Blvd intersection At-grade replacing existing Grand bridge over I-64. Adding turn lanes at ramp terminals and intersections. Reconfiguring the existing Grand Blvd bridge from Compton to north of Forest Park Ave to carry a dedicated bus lane in each direction. Parrellel struture compton to north on Forest Park Ave to carry a dedicated bus lane in each direction. Parrellel struture	RAMP	\$	800,000	\$	700,000	\$ 3,400,000	
3		LOCAL - MODOT	\$	-	\$	2,000,000	\$ -	
		LOCAL AGENCY	\$	1,400,000	\$	28,300,000	\$ -	
		BRIDGE	Ś	26,700,000	Ś	14,100,000	\$ 31,800,000	
		Subtotal	\$	34,600,000	\$	47,100,000	\$ 46,100,000	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST + EAST			KINOSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF, KJ, JR	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/27/2023	

PROJECT	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	COST CATEGORY	AL	TERNATIVE 1	A	LTERNATIVE 2	ALTERNATIVE 3	NOTES
 Alt 1 & Alt 2 - Improvements to Local road system Clayton Ave along with Intersections at Tower Grove at Boyle Ave. Alt 3 - Combine Boyle and Vandeventer east bound exit to existing Boyle exit creating a collector distribution one-way outer road south of interchange. Grade seperation at Tower Grove Ave and at grade intersection with Boyle road continues east of Boyle allowing exit to Vandeventer or access to east bound I-64. Alternative also inlcudes loop connection from Vandeventer Ramps to east bound I-64. EAST Alt 1 - Reconfiguring east bound ramps to access at Grand in the south east quadrant of the interchange. entrance to eastbound I-64 replaced with right entrance with reconfiguration of Ramps. Alt 2 - Reconfiguring east bound ramps to access at Grand in the south east quadrant of the interchange. Creating a one-way outer rd on Spruce with a slip ramp to east bound I-64. Realigning Forest Park Ave to Spruce St. Alt 3 - Reconfiguring east bound ramps to access at Grand in the south east quadrant of the interchange. Realigning Forest Park Ave to create a four legged intersection at Market and providing a north/south formed and receive a north/south 	<u>WEST</u> Alt 1 & Alt 2- Improvements to Local road system Clayton Ave along with Intersections at Tower Grove and Boyle Ave. Alt 3 - Combine Boyle and Vandeventer east bound exit to existing Boyle exit creating a collector distributer	FREEWAY	\$	4,200,000	\$	9,100,000	\$ 24,600,000	
	Alternative also inlcudes loop connection from Vandeventer Ramps to east bound I-64.	RAMP	\$	4,100,000	\$	5,700,000	\$ 9,600,000	
	Alt 2 - Reconfiguring east bound ramps to access at Grand in the south east quadrant of the interchange.	LOCAL - MODOT	\$	100,000	\$	6,400,000	\$ 5,900,000	
	create a four legged intersection at Market and providing a north/south connection via Theresa Ave from Forest Park Ave to Spruce St. Alt 3 - Reconfiguring east bound ramps to access at Grand in the south east quadrant of the interchange.	LOCAL AGENCY	\$	4,800,000	\$	6,200,000	\$ 1,300,000	
	connection via Theresa Ave from Forest Park Ave to Spruce St. Providing a shared-use path connection from Grand to Theresa on the south side of the Interchange.	BRIDGE	\$	1,900,000	\$	3,600,000	\$ 29,000,000	
		Subtotal	\$	15,100,000	\$	31,000,000	\$ 70,400,000	
	<u>WEST</u> Alt 1 & Alt 2 - Widening of Boyle on Ramp to I-64 to 2 lanes lengthening of I-64 off ramp to Boyle deceleration	FREEWAY	\$	7,200,000	\$	6,800,000	\$ -	
	lane and widening, widening bridge A8052 to 4 lanes.	RAMP	\$	3,600,000	\$	2,500,000	\$ -	
5	EAST	LOCAL - MODOT	\$	4,500,000	\$	200,000	\$ -	
	Alt 1 - Realignment of Forest Park Ave to create a four legged intersection at Compton. Addition of north/south connection at Theresa across the interstate.	LOCAL AGENCY	\$	1,600,000	\$	5,700,000	\$ -	
	Alt 2 - New rail road crossing at Theresa.	BRIDGE	\$	9,100,000	\$	4,700,000	\$ -	
		Subtotal	\$	26,000,000	\$	19,900,000	\$ -	

Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF, KJ, JR	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY = TRANSPORTATION = TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

PROJECT	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	COST CATEGORY		ALTERNATIVE 1		ALTERNATIVE 2	ALTERNATIVE 3	NOTES
	Alt 1 & Alt 2- Proposed Shared Use facility over I-64 Paralleling Tower Grove Avenue. Alt 3 - Widen exisiting shoulder east bound I-64	FREEWAY	\$	-	\$	400,000	\$ 700,000	
		RAMP	Ś	_	Ś	-	\$ -	
1		LOCAL - MODOT	ć		ć		ć	
			ې د		ې د			
		LOCAL AGENCY	Ş	-	Ş	-	Ş -	
		BRIDGE	\$	1,600,000	\$	900,000	\$-	
		Subtotal	Ş	1,600,000	Ş	1,300,000	\$ 700,000	
	Alt 1 - Lengthening of I-64 east bound ramp acceleration lane. Widening of A3893. Alt 2 - Widen exisiting shoulder east bound I-64.	FREEWAY	\$	400,000	\$	1,000,000	\$ 1,900,000	
	Alt 3 - Improvements to Local road system Clayton Ave along with Intersections at Tower Grove and Boyle	RAMP	\$	-	\$	-	\$-	
2		LOCAL - MODOT	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 200,000	
		LOCAL AGENCY	Ś	-	Ś	-	\$ 6,100,000	
		BRIDGE	¢	2,400,000	¢		\$ _	
			→		→		,	
Alt 1 - Widening of shoulders on eastbound and Vandeventer.		Subtotal	Ş	2,800,000	Ş	1,000,000	\$ 8,200,000	
	Alt 1 - Widening of shoulders on eastbound and westbound I-64 and I-64 west bound on ramp from Vandeventer.	FREEWAY	\$	1,000,000	\$	2,000,000	\$ 6,100,000	
	lane. Alt 3 - Widening of Boyle on Ramp to I-64 to 2 lanes lengthening of I-64 off ramp to Boyle deceleration lane and widening, widening bridge A8052 to 4 lanes.	RAMP	\$	-	\$	700,000	\$ 2,500,000	
3		LOCAL - MODOT	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	
		LOCAL AGENCY	\$	1,400,000	\$	-	\$ -	
		BRIDGE	\$	-	\$	3,100,000	\$ 6,000,000	
		Subtotal	\$	2,400,000	\$	5,800,000	\$ 14,600,000	
	Alt 1 & Alt 2- Improvements to Local road system Clayton Ave along with Intersections at Tower Grove and Boyle Ave.	FREEWAY	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 14,200,000	
	Alt 3 - Combine Boyle and Vandeventer east bound exit to existing Boyle exit creating a collector distributer one-way outer road south of interchange. Grade seperation at Tower Grove Ave and at grade intersection	RAMP	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 8,400,000	
4	with Boyle road continues east of Boyle allowing exit to Vandeventer or access to east bound I-64.	LOCAL - MODOT	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 700,000	
	Alternative also inlcudes loop connection from Vandeventer Ramps to east bound I-64.	LOCAL AGENCY	\$	4,800,000	\$	6,200,000	\$ -	
		BRIDGE	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 22,000,000	
		Subtotal	\$	4,800,000	\$	6,200,000	\$ 45,300,000	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINESHIENWAY TO JEITERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF, KJ, JR	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

PROJECT	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	COST CATEGORY		ALTERNATIVE 1	ALTERNATIVE 2	ALTERNATIVE 3	NOTES
	Alt 1 & Alt 2 - Widening of Boyle on Ramp to I-64 to 2 lanes lengthening of I-64 off ramp to Boyle deceleration lane and widening, widening bridge A8052 to 4 lanes.	FREEWAY	\$	6,500,000	\$ 4,700,000	\$ -	
5		RAMP	\$	2,500,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ -	
	LOCAL - MODOT	\$	400,000	\$ 200,000	\$ -		
	LOCAL AGENCY	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -		
		BRIDGE	\$	8,700,000	\$ 4,700,000	\$ -	
		Subtotal	\$	18,100,000	\$ 12,100,000	\$ -	
		FREEWAY	\$	7,900,000	\$ 8,100,000	\$ 22,900,000	
		RAMP	\$	2,500,000	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 10,900,000	
		LOCAL - MODOT	\$	400,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 900,000	
WEST		LOCAL AGENCY	\$	6,200,000	\$ 6,200,000	\$ 6,100,000	
TOTALS		BRIDGE	\$	12,700,000	\$ 8,700,000	\$ 28,000,000	
		MAJOR UTILITY RELOCATION (MoDOT)	ć	500,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 600,000	
		(MAJOR UTILITY	Ş	500,000	÷ 400,000	ş 000,000	
		RELOCATION					
		(100) (10)	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	
		TOTAL	\$	30,200,000	\$ 26,800,000	\$ 69,400,000	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: TF, JR, KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMONTY * TRANSPORTATION - TONFTHER
Checked By: KJ/EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

PROJECT	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	COST CATEGORY		ALTERNATIVE 1	ALTERNATIVE 2	ALTERNATIVE 3	NOTES
	Alt 1 and Alt 3 - Widening of existing shoulders east bound and west bound near the Compton Overpass. Alt 2- Adding an addional lane to the on ramp to west bound I-64 from Grand Blvd.	FREEWAY	\$	2,000,000	\$ -	\$ 2,100,000	
		RAMP	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	
1		LOCAL - MODOT	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 200,000	
		LOCAL AGENCY	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	
		BRIDGE	\$	-	\$ 17,900,000	\$ -	
		Subtotal	\$	2,000,000	\$ 17,900,000	\$ 2,300,000	
	Alt 1 and Alt 3 - Reconstructing the Forest Park Ave & Grand Blvd intersection At-grade replacing existing Grand bridge over I-64. Adding turn lanes at ramp terminals and intersections.	FREEWAY	\$	2,300,000	\$ 2,300,000	\$ 3,900,000	
	Alt 2 - Widening of existing shoulders east bound and west bound near the Compton Overpass.	RAMP	\$	-	\$-	\$ -	
2		LOCAL - MODOT	\$	1,300,000	\$ -	\$ 2,600,000	
		LOCAL AGENCY	\$	9,200,000	\$ -	\$ 12,700,000	
		BRIDGE	\$	3,700,000	\$ 900,000		
		Subtotal	Ş	16,500,000	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 22,900,000	
		FREEWAY	\$	4,600,000	\$ -	\$ 4,800,000	
	Alt 2 - Reconstructing the Forest Park Ave & Grand Blvd intersection At-grade replacing existing Grand bridge over I-64. Adding turn lanes at ramp terminals and intersections. Reconfiguring the existing Grand Blvd bridge	RAMP	\$	800,000	\$ -	\$ 800,000	
3	from Compton to north of Forest Park Ave to carry a dedicated bus lane in each direction. Parrellel struture carrying a shared use path is also inluded in this project.	LOCAL - MODOT	\$	-	\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	
		LOCAL AGENCY	\$	-	\$ 28,300,000	\$ -	
		BRIDGE	\$	26,700,000	\$ 11,000,000	\$ 25,800,000	
		Subtotal	\$	32,100,000	\$ 41,300,000	\$ 31,400,000	
		FREEWAY	\$	4,200,000	\$ 9,100,000	\$ 10,400,000	
	Alt 2 - Reconfiguring east bound ramps to access at Grand in the south east quadrant of the interchange. Creating a one-way outer rd on Spruce with a slip ramp to east bound I-64. Realigning Forest Park Ave to create a four legged intersection at Market and providing a north/south connection via Theresa Ave from	RAMP	\$	4,100,000	\$ 5,700,000	\$ 1,200,000	
4	Forest Park Ave to Spruce St.	LOCAL - MODOT	\$	100,000	\$ 6,400,000	\$ 5,100,000	
	Realigning Forest Park Ave to create a four legged intersection at Market and providing a north/south connection via Theresa Ave from Forest Park Ave to Spruce St. Providing a shared-use path connection from	LOCAL AGENCY	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 1,300,000	
	Grand to Theresa on the south side of the Interchange.	BRIDGE	\$	1,900,000	\$ 3,600,000	\$ 7,000,000	
		Subtotal	\$	10,300,000	\$ 24,800,000	\$ 25,000,000	
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST							
--------------------------	-------	-----------	--				
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64				
Estimated By: TF, JR, KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTISTION - TOGETHER				
Checked By: KJ/EW	Date:	1/20/2023					

PROJECT	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	COST CATEGORY		ALTERNATIVE 1	ALTERNATIVE 2	ALTERNATIVE 3	NOTES
	Alt 1 - Realignment of Forest Park Ave to create a four legged intersection at Compton. Addition of north/south connection at Theresa across the interstate.	FREEWAY	\$	700,000	\$ 2,100,000	\$ -	
	Alt 2 - New rail road crossing at Theresa.	RAMP	\$	1,100,000	\$ -	\$ -	
5		LOCAL - MODOT	\$	4,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	
		LOCAL AGENCY	\$	1,600,000	\$ 5,700,000	\$ -	
		BRIDGE	\$	400,000	\$ -	\$ -	
		Subtotal	\$	7,800,000	\$ 7,800,000	\$ -	
		FREEWAY	\$	13,800,000	\$ 13,500,000	\$ 21,200,000	
		RAMP	\$	6,000,000	\$ 5,700,000	\$ 2,000,000	
		LOCAL - MODOT	\$	5,400,000	\$ 8,400,000	\$ 7,900,000	
EAST		LOCAL AGENCY	\$	10,800,000	\$ 34,000,000	\$ 14,000,000	
TOTALS		BRIDGE	\$	32,700,000	\$ 33,400,000	\$ 36,500,000	
		MAJOR UTILITY RELOCATION (MoDOT)	Ś	800,000	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 1,700,000	
		MAJOR UTILITY RELOCATION	Ť	000,000	÷ 1,100,000	÷ 1,, 30,000	
		(LOCAL AGENCY)	\$	300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	
		TOTAL	\$	69,800,000	\$ 96,400,000	\$ 83,600,000	

APPENDIX





FUTURE

MMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - TOGETHER



SCALE CLAYTON AVE WEST PROJECT 5 6 L0669 PROPOSED SHOULDER WIDENING PROPOSED BRT LANES PROPOSED LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS PED/BIKE PLANNED BY PARTNER AGENCIES PROPOSED MoDOT IMPROVEMENTS PROPOSED STRUCTURE PROPOSED PED/BIKE FACILITIES UNDERPASS REMOVED Х #> NUMBER OF LANES ROUNDABOUT (PROP.) LOCATIONS OF EXIST. BRIDGES L0669, A3651, A3893, L0667, AND A3594 SHOWN FOR REHAB OR REPLACEN TRAFFIC SIGNAL(EXST.) TRAFFIC SIGNAL (PROP.)

FUTURE

DODTATION ... TOCCTUC



I-64 PEL ALTERNATIVE 3 - WEST FOR



FUTURE

COOPTATION - TOCCTUR

J6I3585 - I-64 PEL Study Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement Summary



#	Bridge No.	Route & Feature Crossed	Built	Structure Type	25 Yr Rehab	Replacement
1	L0669	EB I-64 over Vandeventer WB I-64 On-Ramp	1956	Simple WF Beam Spans	\$918,327	
2	A3651	WB I-64 over Sarah	1981	Continuous P/S Concrete I- Girders	\$743,998	
3	A3893	EB I-64 over Sarah	1982	P/S Concrete I-Girders	\$471,620	
4	L0667	EB 1-64	1956	Continuous Steel Plate Girder Spans		\$43,984,446
5	A3594	WB I-64	1982	Continuous Steel Plate Girder Spans	\$44,344,750	
6	A0832	EB I-64 over Market	1963	Continuous Concrete Box Girder Spans	\$896,643	

Engineer's Cost Estimate									
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST									
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64						
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	OOMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER						
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023							

		Alternative 1 - Free	eway Cost (Project 2	1)		
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
0 0	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	Ś -	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ -	
Pavement and Base						
Mainline I-64						
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$ -	
Outer- Roads	··· · /					
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
Highway Lighting						
	Highway Lighting	-	Mile	\$ 350,000.00	\$-	
Interchanges						
U U	Lighting and Signing	-	EA	\$ 600,000.00	\$ -	
	Signalization	-	EA		\$ -	
Outer- Roads	-					
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Walls						
	MSE Walls	-	SQFT	\$ 85.00	\$-	
	Sound Walls	-	SQFT	\$ 100.00	\$ -	
Utility Relocation						
· · ·	Corridor Utility Relocation	-	Mile	\$ 500,000.00	\$ -	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	-	Mile		\$ -	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$ -	% of Roadway Const.	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements		% of Const.	10%		
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$ -	
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$ -	
	Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$ -	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585 Estimated By: TF Checked By: EW/KJ		Date: Date:	11/29/2022 1/20/2023		FUTURE 64				
e unit costs shown in this estimate represent an opinion of probable costs prepared in good faith and with reasonable care. CDI has no control over the costs of construction labor, materials, or equipment, nor over the competitive bidding or negotiation methods and es not make any commitment or assume any duty to assure that bids or negotiated prices will not vary from this estimate of unit costs.									
Contingency									
Contingency	\$.	- % of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ -					
Total Engineering & Construction Cost				\$-					
Right-of-Way Costs									
Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT				
Total Cost				\$ -					

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 1 - Freeway Cost (Project 2)							
	Estimated Quantity	Unit			Extended Price (\$)	Remarks	
Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	819	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$ 40,948.15		
Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$-		
10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	1,228	SQYD	\$	130.00	\$ 159,697.78		
Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$-		
8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$-		
					•		
Highway Lighting	-	Mile	\$	350,000.00	\$-		
				· · ·			
Lighting and Signing	-	EA	Ś	600.000.00	\$ -		
	-		Ś				
5				,			
Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SOYD	Ś	65.00	Ś -		
		50.5	Ŷ	00.00	÷		
MSE Walls		SOFT	Ś	85.00	¢ -		
	-		Ś				
		50.1	Ý	200100	Ŷ		
Corridor Litility Relocation		Mile	ć	500.000.00	¢ .		
			Ś				
no nelocation and improvinento		ivine .	Y	130,000.00	Ŷ		
Drainage	\$ 200.646	% of Boadway Const		15%	\$ 30.097		
			+				
					1 -/		
			1				
	Erosion Control 10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	Estimated QuantityMainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)819Erosion Control-Erosion Control-10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base1,228Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)-8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base-10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base-10.5- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base-1111121213131415151617<	Estimated QuantityUnitMainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)819CUYDErosion Control-MileErosion Control-Mile10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base1,228SQYDPermanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)-LF8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base-SQYDHighway Lighting-MileLighting and Signing-EASignalization-EASidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps-SQYDMSE Walls-SQFTSound Walls-SQFTDrainage\$200,646Removal of Improvements\$200,646Traffic Control - Signing and Pavement Marking\$200,646Mile-MileTraffic Control - Signing and Pavement Marking\$200,646Mot During Construction\$200,646Mot During Construction\$200,646Surveying\$200,646Mobilization\$200,646% of Const.\$200,646Mot During Construction\$200,646Enhancements\$200,646Surveying\$200,646% of Const.\$200,646% of Const.\$200,646% of Const.\$200,646% of Const.\$200,646% of Const.\$200,646% of Const.\$200,646% of Const.\$200,646<	Estimated QuantityS/UMainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)819CUYDErosion Control-Mile\$10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base1,228\$QYDPermanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)-LF\$8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base-\$QYD\$Highway Lighting-Mile\$10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base-\$QYD\$8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base-\$QYD\$10.5- Inch Heavy Lighting-KA\$11.5- Signalization-EA\$11.5- Signalization-EA\$11.5- Sourd Walls-\$QYD\$11.5- Sourd Walls-\$QYD\$11.5- Sourd Walls-\$QYD\$11.5- Sourd Walls-\$QYD\$11.5- Corridor Utility Relocation-Mile\$11.5- Relocation and Improvments\$200,646% of Const.11.5- Renoval of Improvements\$200,646% of Const.\$11.5- Renoval of Improvements\$200,646% of Const.\$11.5- Renoval of Improvements\$200,646% of Const.\$12.5- Surveying\$200,646% of Const.\$Motil Liation\$200,646% of Const.\$15.5- Sourd Surveying\$200,646% of Const.\$15.5- Surveying\$200,646% of Const.\$ <td>Estimated Quantity S/Unit or % (2022 Dollars) Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment) 819 CUYD \$ 50.00 Erosion Control - Mile \$ 300,000.00 Erosion Control - Mile \$ 300,000.00 10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base 1,228 SQYD \$ 130.00 Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D) - LF \$ 120.00 8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base - SQYD \$ 90.00 Highway Lighting - Mile \$ 350,000.00 Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps - EA \$ 600,000.00 Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps - SQYD \$ 65.00 Sound Walls - SQFT \$ 85.00 Sound Walls - SQFT \$ 450,000.00 Traffic Control - Signing and Pavement Marking \$ 200,646 % of Const. 15% Removal of Improvements \$ 200,646 % of Const. 2% MOT During Construction \$ 200,646 % of Const. 2% Removal of Improvements</td> <td>Estimated Quantity S/Unit or % (2022 Dollars) Extended Price (\$) Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment) 819 CUYD \$ 50.00 \$ 40,948.15 Forsion Control - Mile \$ 300,000.00 \$ - I.0.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base 1,228 SQVD \$ 130.00 \$ 159,697.78 Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D) - LF \$ 120.00 \$ - 8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base - SQVD \$ 90.00 \$ - Highway Lighting - Nile \$ 350,000.00 \$ - Signalization - EA \$ 600,000.00 \$ - Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps - SQVD \$ 65.00 \$ - Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps - SQTT \$ 85.00 \$ - MSE Walls - SQTT \$ 85.00 \$ - Sound Walls - SQTT \$ 80.00 \$ - Tis Relocation and Improvments - SQTT \$ 85.00 \$ - Tis Relocation and Improvments</td>	Estimated Quantity S/Unit or % (2022 Dollars) Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment) 819 CUYD \$ 50.00 Erosion Control - Mile \$ 300,000.00 Erosion Control - Mile \$ 300,000.00 10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base 1,228 SQYD \$ 130.00 Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D) - LF \$ 120.00 8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base - SQYD \$ 90.00 Highway Lighting - Mile \$ 350,000.00 Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps - EA \$ 600,000.00 Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps - SQYD \$ 65.00 Sound Walls - SQFT \$ 85.00 Sound Walls - SQFT \$ 450,000.00 Traffic Control - Signing and Pavement Marking \$ 200,646 % of Const. 15% Removal of Improvements \$ 200,646 % of Const. 2% MOT During Construction \$ 200,646 % of Const. 2% Removal of Improvements	Estimated Quantity S/Unit or % (2022 Dollars) Extended Price (\$) Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment) 819 CUYD \$ 50.00 \$ 40,948.15 Forsion Control - Mile \$ 300,000.00 \$ - I.0.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base 1,228 SQVD \$ 130.00 \$ 159,697.78 Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D) - LF \$ 120.00 \$ - 8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base - SQVD \$ 90.00 \$ - Highway Lighting - Nile \$ 350,000.00 \$ - Signalization - EA \$ 600,000.00 \$ - Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps - SQVD \$ 65.00 \$ - Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps - SQTT \$ 85.00 \$ - MSE Walls - SQTT \$ 85.00 \$ - Sound Walls - SQTT \$ 80.00 \$ - Tis Relocation and Improvments - SQTT \$ 85.00 \$ - Tis Relocation and Improvments	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585 Estimated By: TF		Date:	11/29/2022		FUTURE 64
Checked By: EW/KJ		Date:	1/20/2023		
The unit costs shown in this estimate represent an opinion of probable costs pr			onstruction labor, mater	ials, or equipment, nor over	the competitive bidding or negotiation methods and
loes not make any commitment or assume any duty to assure that bids or neg	otiated prices will not vary from this estimate of a	nit costs.			
Contingency					
5 7					
Contingency	\$ 325,046	.40 % of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 65,009.28	
	\$ 325,046	.40 % of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 65,009.28 \$ 390,055.68	
Contingency	\$ 325,046	40 % of Subtotal of Above	20%	, ,	
Contingency Total Engineering & Construction Cost	\$ 325,046	40 % of Subtotal of Above	20%	, ,	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 1 - Freeway Cost (Project 3)							
				\$/U	nit or % (2022		
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	Doll	ars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	2,170	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$ 108,488.89	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Mainline I-64							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	3,255	SQYD	\$	130.00	\$ 423,106.67	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$-	
Outer- Roads							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$-	
Highway Lighting							
	Highway Lighting	-	Mile	\$	350,000.00	\$ -	
Interchanges							
	Lighting and Signing	-	EA	\$	600,000.00	\$-	
	Signalization	-	EA	\$	350,000.00	\$-	
Outer- Roads							
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$-	
Walls	·						
	MSE Walls	-	SQFT	\$	85.00	\$-	
	Sound Walls	-	SQFT	\$	100.00		
Utility Relocation							
•	Corridor Utility Relocation	-	Mile	\$	500,000.00	\$ -	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	-	Mile	\$	450,000.00		
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$ 531,596	% of Roadway Const.		15%	\$ 79,739	
	Removal of Improvements		% of Const.		10%	\$ 53,160	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		% of Const.	1	2%		
	MOT During Construction	\$ 531,596	% of Const.	1	6%	\$ 31,896	
	Enhancements	\$ 531,596	% of Const.	1	2%	\$ 10,632	
	Surveying	\$ 531,596	% of Const.	1	1%	\$ 5,316	
	Mobilization		% of Const.	1	6%	\$ 31,896	
	Engineering Design		% of Const.	1	10%		
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 531,596	% of Const.	1	10%		

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585 Estimated By: TF			Date:	11/29/2022		FUTURE 64
Checked By: EW/KJ	ad faith and with some		Date:	1/20/2023		*
The unit costs shown in this estimate represent an opinion of probable costs prepared in goo does not make any commitment or assume any duty to assure that bids or negotiated prices	•		-	nstruction labor, materi	ais, or equipment, nor over	the competitive blading of negotiation methods and
Contingency						
Contingency	\$	861,184.80	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 172,236.96	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost					\$ 1,033,421.76	
Right-of-Way Costs						
Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ 1,033,421.76	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 1 - Freeway Cost (Project 4)									
				\$/Un	it or % (2022					
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	Dolla	rs)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks			
Grading and Drainage										
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$-				
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$-				
Pavement and Base										
Mainline I-64										
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$	130.00	\$-				
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$-				
Outer- Roads										
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$-				
Highway Lighting										
	Highway Lighting	-	Mile	\$	350,000.00	\$-				
Interchanges										
	Lighting and Signing	-	EA	\$	600,000.00	\$-				
	Signalization	-	EA	\$	350,000.00					
Outer- Roads										
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$-				
Walls	· · · · ·					·				
	MSE Walls	-	SQFT	\$	85.00	\$-				
	Sound Walls	-	SQFT	\$	100.00	\$ -				
Utility Relocation										
· · ·	Corridor Utility Relocation	-	Mile	\$	500,000.00	\$-				
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	-	Mile	\$	450,000.00					
Miscellaneous Costs										
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Const.		15%	\$-				
	Removal of Improvements		% of Const.			\$ -				
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ -	% of Const.		2%	\$ -				
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.		6%	\$-				
	Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.		2%	\$-				
	Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.			\$-				
	Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.		6%	\$-				
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.			\$-				
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-				

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585 Estimated By: TF		Date:	11/29/2022		
Checked By: EW/KJ		Date:	1/20/2022		Semmerate t turnes summer som som turn
The unit costs shown in this estimate represent an opinion of probable costs prepa	red in good faith and with reasonable care. CD	I has no control over the costs of cc	nstruction labor, materi	als, or equipment, nor over	the competitive bidding or negotiation methods and
does not make any commitment or assume any duty to assure that bids or negotia	ted prices will not vary from this estimate of un	it costs.	-		
Contingency					
Contingency	\$ -	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost				\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs					
					To be provided by MoDOT
Right-of-Way					

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY IN TRANSPORTATION IN TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alternative 1 - Fre	eway Cost (Project	5)				
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Uı Doll	nit or % (2022 ars)		ded Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage								
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	2,972	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$	148,581.48	
	Erosion Control	0.63	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$	188,693.18	
Pavement and Base								
Vainline I-64								
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	4,457	SQYD	\$	130.00	\$	579,467.78	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$	-	
Outer- Roads								
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$	-	
Highway Lighting								
	Highway Lighting	0.63	Mile	\$	350,000.00	\$	220,142.05	
Interchanges								
	Lighting and Signing	1.00	EA	\$	600,000.00	\$	600,000.00	
	Signalization	1.00	EA	\$	350,000.00		350,000.00	
Outer- Roads	-							
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		SQYD	\$	65.00	\$	-	
Walls								
	MSE Walls	2,450	SQFT	Ś	85.00	Ś	208,250.00	I-64 On-Ramp from Boyle
	Sound Walls	7,520.00	SQFT	\$	100.00		752,000.00	
Utility Relocation		,						
•	Corridor Utility Relocation	0.63	Mile	\$	500,000.00	\$	314,488.64	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	-	Mile	\$	450,000.00	\$	-	
Miscellaneous Costs	·				· ·			
	Drainage	3,361,623	% of Roadway Const.		15%	\$	504,243	
	Removal of Improvements	3,361,623	% of Const.	1	10%	\$	336,162	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	3,361,623	% of Const.	1	2%	\$	67,232	
	MOT During Construction	3,361,623	% of Const.	1	6%	\$	201,697	
	Enhancements	3,361,623	% of Const.	1	2%	\$	67,232	
	Surveying	3,361,623	% of Const.	1	1%	\$	33,616	
	Mobilization	3,361,623	% of Const.	1	6%	\$	201,697	
	Engineering Design	3,361,623	% of Const.	1	10%	\$	336,162	
	Construction Management and Administration	3,361,623	% of Const.		10%	\$	336,162	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585 Estimated By: TF		Date:	11/29/2022		
Checked By: EW/KJ		Date:	1/20/2023		
The unit costs shown in this estimate represent an opinion of probable costs prep loes not make any commitment or assume any duty to assure that bids or negot.		•	nstruction labor, mater	ials, or equipment, nor over	the competitive bidding or negotiation methods and
Contingency					
	\$ 5,445,829.46	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 1,089,165.89	
Contingency			2070	Ŷ 1,000,100.00	
Contingency Fotal Engineering & Construction Cost			2070	\$ 6,534,995.35	
			2070		
Fotal Engineering & Construction Cost			2070		To be provided by MoDOT

	Engineer's Cost Estimate		
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alterna	tive 1 - Ram	ps Cost (Project 1)		
ltem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Ramps							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$	-	% of Roadway Const.	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$	-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$	-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$	-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Erosion Control	\$	-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$	-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency							
				% of Subtotal of			
	Contingency	\$	-	Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Co						\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alterna	<mark>tive 1 - Ram</mark>	ps Cost (Project 2)		
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Ramps							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$	-	% of Roadway Const.	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$	-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$	-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$	-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Erosion Control	\$	-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$	-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency							
				% of Subtotal of			
	Contingency	\$		Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alterna	tive 1 - Ram	ps Cost (Project 3)		
ltem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Ramps							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$	-	% of Roadway Const.	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$	-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$	-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$	-	% of Const.	1%	\$ -	
	Erosion Control	\$	-	% of Const.	1%	\$ -	
	Mobilization	\$	-	% of Const.	6%	\$ -	
	Engineering Design	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$ -	
Contingency							
				% of Subtotal of			
	Contingency	\$	-	Above	20%	\$ -	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alterna	tive 1 - Ram	ps Cost (Project 4)		
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ -	
Pavement and Base							
Ramps							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$	-	% of Roadway Const.	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$ -	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$	-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$	-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$	-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Erosion Control	\$	-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$	-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency							
				% of Subtotal of			
	Contingency	\$	-	Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY ** TRANSPORTATION ** TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alterna	tive 1 - Ram	ps Cost (Project 5)				
ltem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % Dollars)	6 (2022	Exter	nded Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage									
	Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		14,531	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$	726,555.56	
Pavement and Base									
Ramps									
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		4,359	SQYD	\$	130.00	\$	566,713.33	
Miscellaneous Costs									
	Drainage	\$	1,293,269	% of Roadway Const.		15%	\$	193,990	
	Removal of Improvements	\$	1,293,269	% of Const.		10%	\$	129,327	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	1,293,269	% of Const.		2%	\$	25,865	
	MOT During Construction	\$	1,293,269	% of Const.		6%	\$	77,596	
	Enhancements	\$	1,293,269	% of Const.		2%	\$	25,865	
	Surveying	\$	1,293,269	% of Const.		1%	\$	12,933	
	Erosion Control	\$	1,293,269	% of Const.		1%	\$	12,933	
	Mobilization	\$	1,293,269	% of Const.		6%	\$	77,596	
	Engineering Design	\$	1,293,269	% of Const.		10%	\$	129,327	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	1,293,269	% of Const.		10%	\$	129,327	
Contingency									
				% of Subtotal of					
	Contingency	\$	2,108,028.29	Above		20%	\$	421,605.66	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost						\$	2,529,633.95	
Right-of-Way Costs									
	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost							\$	2,529,633.95	

	Engineer's Cost Estimate		
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KIMBBHIONWAY TO JEEFERADON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY => TRANSPORTATION => TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative	e 1 - Local MoD	OT Road Cost (Pr	ojec	:t 1)		
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Uı Dolla	nit or % (2022 ars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization	-	EA	\$	350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Const	t	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ -	% of Const.		2%	\$ -	
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.		2%		
	Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.		1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.		10%	\$ -	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.		10%	\$ -	
Contingency							
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			ELITLIBE CA
Number: J6I3585			ruiuke 04
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY == TRANSPORTATION == TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative	e 1 - Local MoD	OT Road Cost (Pr	ojeo	ct 2)		
Item		Estimated Quantity		\$/U Doll	nit or % (2022 lars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization	-	EA	\$	350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Const	t	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.		1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			<u>ruiuke</u> /04
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative	e 1 - Local MoD(OT Road Cost (Pr	ojec	ct 3)		
Item		Estimated Quantity		\$/U Doll	nit or % (2022 ars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$ -	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization	-	EA	\$	350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$ -	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Const	t	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.		1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$ -	
Contingency							
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ -	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J613585			<u>FUIUKE</u> 04
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY == TRANSPORTATION == TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative	e 1 - Local MoD(OT Road Cost (Pr	ojec	ct 4)		
Item		Estimated Quantity		\$/U Doll	nit or % (2022 ars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization	-	EA	\$	350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Const	t	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.		1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ -	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J613585			FUTURE 04
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY == TRANSPORTATION == TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative	1 - Local M	oD0	T Road Cost (Pro	ojeo	ct 5)			
Item		Estimated Quantity			\$/U Doll	nit or % (2022 lars)	Exten	ded Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage									
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	989	(CUYD	\$	50.00	\$	49,451.85	
	Erosion Control	0.09	Ν	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$	27,727.27	
Pavement and Base									
Local Road									
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	1,484	S	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$	133,520.00	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	L	LF	\$	120.00	\$	-	
Local Road									
	Signalization	-	E	EA	\$	350,000	\$	-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	308	S	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$	19,998.33	
Miscellaneous Costs									
	Drainage	\$ 230,	,697 9	% of Roadway Const		15%	\$	34,605	
	Removal of Improvements	\$ 230,	,697 %	% of Const.		10%	\$	23,070	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 230,	,697 %	% of Const.		2%	\$	4,614	
	MOT During Construction	\$ 230,	,697 9	% of Const.		6%	\$	13,842	
	Enhancements	\$ 230,	,697 %	% of Const.		2%	\$	4,614	
	Surveying			% of Const.		1%	\$	2,307	
	Mobilization			% of Const.		6%	\$	13,842	
	Engineering Design	\$ 230,	,697 9	% of Const.		10%	\$	23,070	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 230,	,697 9	% of Const.		10%	\$	23,070	
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$ 373,729	9 88 6	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	74,745.98	
Total Engineering & Cor	struction Cost						\$	448,475.86	
Right-of-Way Costs									
	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost							\$	448,475.86	

	Engineer's Cost Estimate		
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternati	ve 1 - Local Ager	ncy Road Cost (Pro	ject 1)		
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Const.	15%	- ÷	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	5 \$ -	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	6 \$ -	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	6 \$ -	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	5 \$ -	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	5 \$ -	
	Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	5 \$ -	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	5 \$ -	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	5 \$ -	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	5 \$ -	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost				\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					Ś -	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			FUIUKE/64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY # TRANSPORTATION # TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternati	ve 1 - Local Ager	ncy Road Cost (Pro	ject 2)		
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ -	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Const.	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$ -	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$ -	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$ -	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$ -	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Con	istruction Cost				\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ -	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			ruiuke/04
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY "TRANSPORTATION "TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternativ	e 1 - Local Ager	ncy Road Cost (Pro	ject	3)			
Item		Estimated Quantity	lunit	\$/Uı Dolla	nit or % (2022 ars)	Exte	ended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage								
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$	-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$	-	
Pavement and Base								
Local Road								
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$	-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$	-	
Local Road								
	Signalization	2	EA	\$	350,000	\$	700,000	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$	-	
Miscellaneous Costs								
	Drainage	\$ 700,000	% of Roadway Const.		15%	\$	105,000	
	Removal of Improvements	\$ 700,000	% of Const.		10%	\$	70,000	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 700,000	% of Const.		2%	\$	14,000	
	MOT During Construction	\$ 700,000	% of Const.		6%	\$	42,000	
	Enhancements	\$ 700,000	% of Const.		2%	\$	14,000	
	Surveying		% of Const.		1%	\$	7,000	
	Mobilization		% of Const.		6%	\$	42,000	
	Engineering Design	\$ 700,000	% of Const.		10%	\$	70,000	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 700,000	% of Const.		10%	\$	70,000	
Contingency								
	Contingency	\$ 1,134,000.00	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	226,800.00	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost					\$	1,360,800.00	
Right-of-Way Costs								
	Right-of-Way							To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$	1,360,800.00	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternativ	e 1 - Local Age	ncy Road Cost (Pro	ject	4)			
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Uı Dolla	nit or % (2022 ars)	Extended	Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage								
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	11,612	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$ 580	,585.19	
	Erosion Control	0.55	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$ 165	,170.45	
Pavement and Base								
Local Road								
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	17,418	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$ 1,567	,580.00	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$	-	
Local Road								
	Signalization	-	EA	\$	350,000	\$	-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	2,214	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$ 143	,931.67	
Miscellaneous Costs								
	Drainage	\$ 2,457,267	% of Roadway Const.		15%	\$	368,590	
	Removal of Improvements	\$ 2,457,267	% of Const.		10%	\$	245,727	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 2,457,267	% of Const.		2%	\$	49,145	
	MOT During Construction	\$ 2,457,267	% of Const.		6%	\$	147,436	
	Enhancements	\$ 2,457,267	% of Const.		2%	\$	49,145	
	Surveying	\$ 2,457,267	% of Const.		1%	\$	24,573	
	Mobilization	\$ 2,457,267	% of Const.		6%	\$	147,436	
	Engineering Design	\$ 2,457,267	% of Const.		10%	\$	245,727	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 2,457,267	% of Const.		10%	\$	245,727	
Contingency								
	Contingency	\$ 3,980,773.04	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$ 796	,154.61	
Total Engineering & Cor	istruction Cost					\$ 4,776	6,927.64	
Right-of-Way Costs								
	Right-of-Way							To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ 4,776	,927.64	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			ruiuke/04
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternativ	ve 1 - Local Ager	ncy Road Cost (Pro	ject 5)			
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit o Dollars)	r % (2022	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 30	00,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization	-	EA	\$	350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Const.		15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.		1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Cor	astruction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						Ś -	

	Engineer's Cost Estimate		
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY = TRANSPORTATION = TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 1 - Bridge Cost (Project 1)									
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit		'Unit or % (2022 ollars)	Exte	ended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures									
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge		3,563	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$	979,825.00	
	Box Culverts		-	SQFT	\$	200.00	\$	-	
	Cross Road Bridges		-	SQFT	\$	160.00	\$	-	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges		-	SQFT	\$	350.00	\$	-	
	Bridge Removal		-	SQFT	\$	20.00	\$	-	
Miscellaneous Cost	S								
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	979,825	% of Const.		2%	\$	19,597	
	MOT During Construction	\$	979,825	% of Const.		6%	\$	58,790	
	Enhancements	\$	979,825	% of Const.		2%	\$	19,597	
	Surveying	\$	979,825	% of Const.		1%	\$	9,798	
	Mobilization	\$	979,825	% of Const.		6%	\$	58,790	
	Engineering Design	\$	979,825	% of Const.		10%	\$	97,983	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	979,825	% of Const.		10%	\$	97,983	
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$	1,342,360.25	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	268,472.05	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost							\$	1,610,832.30	
Right-of-Way Costs									
	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost							\$	1,610,832.30	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY ~ TRANSPORTATION ~ TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 1 - Bridge Cost (Project 2)						
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures						
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-	
	Bridge Widening	4,757	SQFT	\$ 300.00	\$ 1,427,100.00	
	Cross Road Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$-	Widening Increased cost
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 350.00	\$-	
	Bridge Removal	1,057	SQFT	\$ 20.00	\$ 21,140.00	
Miscellaneous Cos	sts					
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 1,448,240	% of Const.	2%	\$ 28,965	
	MOT During Construction	\$ 1,448,240	% of Const.	6%	\$ 86,894	
	Enhancements	\$ 1,448,240	% of Const.	2%	\$ 28,965	
	Surveying	\$ 1,448,240	% of Const.	1%	\$ 14,482	
	Mobilization	\$ 1,448,240	% of Const.	6%	\$ 86,894	
	Engineering Design	\$ 1,448,240	% of Const.	10%	\$ 144,824	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 1,448,240	% of Const.	10%	\$ 144,824	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$ 1,984,088.80	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 396,817.76	
Total Engineering	& Construction Cost				\$ 2,380,906.56	
Right-of-Way Cost	2S					
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ 2,380,906.56	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			FIITIBE 61
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 04
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY == TRANSPORTATION == TODE THER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 1 - Bridge Cost (Project 3)						
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures						
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-	
	Box Culverts	-	SQFT	\$ 200.00	\$-	
	Cross Road Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$-	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 350.00	\$-	
	Bridge Removal	-	SQFT	\$ 20.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Co	sts					
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$ -	
	Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$ -	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering	& Construction Cost				\$ -	
Right-of-Way Cos	ts					
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$-	
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST						
--------------------------	-------	------------	--			
Number: J6I3585			ruiuke 04			
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY # TRANSPORTATION # TOOFTHFR			
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023				

	Alternative 1 - Bridge Cost (Project 4)						
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks	
Structures							
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-		
	Box Culverts	-	SQFT	\$ 200.00	\$ -		
	Cross Road Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$ -		
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 350.00	\$-		
	Bridge Removal	-	SQFT	\$ 20.00	\$ -		
Miscellaneous Cos	sts						
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-		
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$ -		
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-		
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$ -		
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-		
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-		
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-		
Contingency							
	Contingency	\$ -	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-		
Total Engineering	& Construction Cost				\$ -		
Right-of-Way Cost	ts						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT	
Total Cost					\$-		

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY == TRANSPORTATION == TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 1 - Bridge Cost (Project 5)								
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit		nit or % (2022 lars)	Exte	ended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures									
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge		-	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$	-	
	Bridge Widening		17,257	SQFT	\$	300.00	\$	5,177,100.00	
	Cross Road Bridges			SQFT	\$	160.00	\$	-	Increased Cost Widening existing Bridges
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges		-	SQFT	\$	350.00	\$	-	
	Bridge Removal		5,184	SQFT	\$	20.00	\$	103,680.00	
Miscellaneous Cos	sts								
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	5,280,780	% of Const.		2%	\$	105,616	
	MOT During Construction	\$	5,280,780	% of Const.		6%	\$	316,847	
	Enhancements	\$	5,280,780	% of Const.		2%	\$	105,616	
	Surveying	\$	5,280,780	% of Const.		1%	\$	52,808	
	Mobilization	\$	5,280,780	% of Const.		6%	\$	316,847	
	Engineering Design	\$	5,280,780	% of Const.		10%	\$	528,078	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	5,280,780	% of Const.		10%	\$	528,078	
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$	7,234,668.60	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	1,446,933.72	
Total Engineering	& Construction Cost						\$	8,681,602.32	
Right-of-Way Cost	ts								
	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost							\$	8,681,602.32	

Engineer's Cost Estimate					
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST					
Number: J6I3585			FUIUKE/64		
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - TOBETHER		
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023			

*does not include ROW costs

Alternative 1 - Total Cost* (Project 1)				
		Subtotal		
Alternative 1 Freeway	\$		-	
Alternative 1 Ramps	\$		-	
Alternative 1 Local Roads	\$		-	
Alternative 1 Local Agency Roads	\$		-	
Alternative 1 Bridges	\$		1,610,832.30	
Alternative 1 (Project 1) Total Cost	\$		1,610,832.30	

Alternative 1 - Total Cost* (Project 2)				
	Subtotal			
Alternative 1 Freeway	\$ 390,055.68			
Alternative 1 Ramps	\$ -			
Alternative 1 Local Roads	\$ -			
Alternative 1 Local Agency Roads	\$ -			
Alternative 1 Bridges	\$ 2,380,906.56			
Alternative 1 (Project 2) Total Cost	\$ 2,770,962.24			

Alternative 1 - Total Cost* (Project 3)				
	Subtotal			
Alternative 1 Freeway	\$ 1,033,421.76			
Alternative 1 Ramps	\$ -			
Alternative 1 Local Roads	\$			
Alternative 1 Local Agency Roads	\$ 1,360,800.00			
Alternative 1 Bridges	\$ -			
Alternative 1 (Project 3) Total Cost	\$ 2,394,221.76			

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 1 - Total Cost* (Project 4)			
Alternative 1 Freeway	\$ -		
Alternative 1 Ramps	\$ -		
Alternative 1 Local Roads	\$ -		
Alternative 1 Local Agency Roads	\$ 4,776,927.64		
Alternative 1 Bridges	\$ -		
Alternative 1 (Project 4) Total Cost	\$ 4,776,927.64		

Alternative 1 - Total Cost* (Project 5)				
		Subtotal		
Alternative 1 Freeway	\$	6,534,995.35		
Alternative 1 Ramps	\$	2,529,633.95		
Alternative 1 Local Roads	\$	448,475.86		
Alternative 1 Local Agency Roads	\$	-		
Alternative 1 Bridges	\$	8,681,602.32		
Alternative 1 (Project 5) Total Cost	\$	18,194,707.48		

	Engineer's Cost Estimate		
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSMONWAY TO ITMERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY = TRANSPORTATION = TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alterr	native 2 - Fre	eway Cos	t (Project 1)					
Item		1	nd Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit Dollar	t or % (2022 s)	Extended Pric	e (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage									
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$	-	
	Erosion Control		-	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$	-	
Pavement and Base						· · ·			
Mainline I-64									
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	Ś	130.00	Ś	-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)			LF	Ś	120.00	Ś	-	
Outer- Roads									
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base		-	SQYD	Ś	90.00	Ś	-	
Highway Lighting								_	
	Highway Lighting		-	Mile	\$	350,000.00	\$	-	
Interchanges				-	Ŧ				
	Lighting and Signing			EA	Ś	600,000.00	\$	-	
	Signalization			EA		350,000.00		-	
Outer- Roads					Y	000,000.00	Υ		
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		-	SQYD	Ś	65.00	Ś	-	
Walls				50,15	Ŷ	05.00	Ŷ		
wans	MSE Walls	2	.,450	SQFT	Ś	85.00	\$ 208	250.00	I-64 On-Ramp from Boyle.
	Sound Walls	2		SQFT	Ś	100.00	\$ 200	,230.00	1-04 Off-Kamp from Boyle.
Utility Relocation			-	5011	Ŷ	100.00	Υ Υ		
	Corridor Utility Relocation	-		Mile	ć	500,000.00	ć		
	ITS Relocation and Improvments			Mile	ç	450,000.00	ې \$	-	
Miscellaneous Costs			-	IVIIIe	Ş	430,000.00	Ş	-	
WIISCEIIAIIEOUS COSIS	Duringen	ć	200.250	% of Roadway Const.		15%	ć	31,238	
	Drainage Removal of Improvements	\$ \$		% of Const.		15%		20,825	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$		% of Const.		2%	\$ \$	4,165	
	MOT During Construction	\$ \$		% of Const.		6%	•	4,105	
	Enhancements	\$		% of Const.		2%		4,165	
	Surveying	\$		% of Const.		2%	\$ \$	2,083	
	Mobilization	\$		% of Const.		1% 6%		2,083	
	Engineering Design	\$		% of Const.		10%		20,825	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$		% of Const.		10%		20,825	
Contingency		<i>γ</i>	200,230			1070	Ŷ	20,025	
contingency									
	Contingency	\$	337,365.00	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	ς ₆₇	,473.00	
Total Engineering & Cor						20%		,838.00	
Right-of-Way Costs							၃ 404	,858.00	
Right-OI-Way Costs	Dight of Mov								To be provided by MoDOT
	Right-of-Way						<u>é</u>	000.00	
Total Cost							\$ 404,	838.00	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64			
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - TOBETHER			
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023				
The will each show in this estimate reasonable and whether and faith and with reasonable and for a trade of an trade of a sector lange the sector descent and sector the sector and sector						

		<mark>/e 2 - Freeway Co</mark>		\$/Unit or % (2022		
m		Estimated Quantity	Unit		Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
ading and Drainage						
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	2,170	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 108,490.21	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
vement and Base						
ainline I-64						
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base 29292.3555	3,255	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$ 423,111.80	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
iter- Roads						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
ghway Lighting						
	Highway Lighting	-	Mile	\$ 350,000.00	\$-	
terchanges						
	Lighting and Signing	-	EA	\$ 600,000.00	\$-	
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000.00	\$ -	
uter- Roads	-					
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ -	
alls						
	MSE Walls	-	SQFT	\$ 85.00	Ś -	
	Sound Walls	_	SQFT	\$ 100.00	÷ \$-	
ility Relocation						
,	Corridor Utility Relocation	-	Mile	\$ 500,000.00	Ś -	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	-	Mile	\$ 450,000.00	÷ \$-	
iscellaneous Costs				+,	+	
	Drainage	\$ 531,602	% of Roadway Const.	15%	\$ 79,740	
	Removal of Improvements		% of Const.	10%		
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		% of Const.	2%		
	MOT During Construction	. ,	% of Const.	6%		
	Enhancements		% of Const.	2%		
	Surveying	. ,	% of Const.	1%		
	Mobilization		% of Const.	6%		
	Engineering Design		% of Const.	10%	\$ 53,160	
	Construction Management and Administration	. ,	% of Const.	10%	\$ 53,160	
ntingency						
	Contingency	\$ 861,195.25	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 172,239.05	
tal Engineering & Cor					\$ 1,033,434.30	
ght-of-Way Costs					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
tal Cost					\$ 1,033,434.30	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY = TRANSPORTATION = TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 2 - Freeway Cost (Project 3)						
				\$/Unit or % (2022			
em		Estimated Quantity	Unit	Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks	
rading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	1,360	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 68,016.49		
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-		
avement and Base							
ainline I-64							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base 18364.4511	2,040	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$ 265,264.29		
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-		
uter- Roads							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-		
ighway Lighting							
	Highway Lighting	-	Mile	\$ 350,000.00	\$-		
iterchanges	· · · · ·						
	Lighting and Signing		EA	\$ 600,000.00	\$ -		
	Signalization	2.00	EA	\$ 350,000.00	\$ 700,000.00		
uter- Roads	0.5.101201011	2.00		<i> </i>	<i> </i>		
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		SQYD	\$ 65.00	<u>ج</u> ج		
/alls		-	5010	Ş 05.00	Ϋ́		
70113	MSE Walls		SQFT	\$ 85.00	\$ -		
	Sound Walls	-	SQFT	\$ 100.00	-		
tility Relocation	Sourid Walls	-	JULL	Ş 100.00			
	Counidary Hillity, Delevation		N4:L-	ć 500.000.00	ć		
	Corridor Utility Relocation	-	Mile Mile	\$ 500,000.00 \$ 450,000.00	\$- \$-		
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	-	IVIIIe	\$ 450,000.00	Ş -		
liscellaneous Costs	<u> </u>						
	Drainage		% of Roadway Const.	15%	, ,		
	Removal of Improvements		% of Const.	10%			
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		% of Const.	2%	\$ 20,666		
	MOT During Construction	. , ,	% of Const.	6%	. ,		
	Enhancements		% of Const.	2%	1 -/		
	Surveying		% of Const.	1%	\$ 10,333		
	Mobilization		% of Const.	6%	. ,		
	Engineering Design		% of Const.	10%	, ,		
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 1,033,281	% of Const.	10%	\$ 103,328		
ontingency							
		\$ 1,673,914.86					
	Contingency	γ 1,073,914.80	% of Subtotal of Above	20%			
otal Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost				\$ 2,008,697.83		
ght-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT	
otal Cost					\$ 2,008,697.83		

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY == TRANSPORTATION == TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 2 - Freeway Cost (Project 4)						
tem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
irading and Drainage						
• •	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ -	
avement and Base						
1ainline I-64						
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$ -	
uter- Roads						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
lighway Lighting						
	Highway Lighting	-	Mile	\$ 350,000.00	Ś -	
nterchanges				+ 000,000.00	T	
	Lighting and Signing	-	EA	\$ 600,000.00	Ś -	
	Signalization		EA	\$ 350,000.00	\$ -	
Juter- Roads	6-B-10-12-01-01-1			¢ 550,000.00	Ŷ	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	Ś -	
Valls	Sidewarky bike than & curb hamps	-	3010	\$ 05.00	۔ د	
valis	MSE Walls		SQFT	\$ 85.00	\$-	
	Sound Walls	-	SQFT	\$ 100.00		
Itility Relocation		-	5011	Ş 100.00	- ب	
	Consider Hillity Delegation		Mile	ć 500.000.00	ć	
	Corridor Utility Relocation ITS Relocation and Improvments	-	Mile	\$ 500,000.00 \$ 450,000.00	\$- \$-	
A '	TTS Relocation and improviments		Mile	\$ 450,000.00	Ş -	
liscellaneous Costs	During	4		4.50/	<i>*</i>	
	Drainage		% of Roadway Const.	15%		
	Removal of Improvements		% of Const. % of Const.	10%		
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	-		2%	\$ -	
	MOT During Construction Enhancements	\$ - \$ -	% of Const.	6% 2%		
	Surveying		% of Const. % of Const.	2%	\$ - \$ -	
			% of Const. % of Const.	6%	Ŷ	
	Mobilization		% of Const.	10%		
	Engineering Design Construction Management and Administration		% of Const. % of Const.	10%		
ontingonau		ې -		10%	- ب	
ontingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
otal Engineering & Co	nstruction Cost				\$-	
ight-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
otal Cost					ć	· · · ·

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KIROSHIMWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alternativ	ve 2 - Freeway Cos	st (Project 5)			
					\$/Unit or % (2022		
tem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
irading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		2,968	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 148,377.26	
	Erosion Control	3321.2559	0.63	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 188,707.72	
avement and Base							
lainline I-64							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	40061.8601	4,451	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$ 578,671.31	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$ -	
uter- Roads							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base		-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$ -	
ighway Lighting							
	Highway Lighting	3321.2559	0.63	Mile	\$ 350,000.00	\$ 220,159.01	
iterchanges						,	
	Lighting and Signing			EA	\$ 600,000.00	Ś -	
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000.00	\$ -	
uter- Roads	0.6.10.1201011				¢ 000,000.00	Ŷ	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps			SQYD	\$ 65.00	<u>ج</u> ج	
/alls	Sidewalky bike than & curb hamps		-	5010	Ş 05.00	7	
Vall3	MSE Walls		2,450	SQFT	\$ 85.00	\$ 208,250.00	
	Sound Walls		7,520.00	SQFT	\$ 100.00	\$ 752,000.00	
tility Relocation			7,520.00	JULL	Ş 100.00	\$ 752,000.00	
	Consider Utility Delegation	2221 2550	0.62	N dila	¢ 500,000,00	ć 214 F12 07	
	Corridor Utility Relocation ITS Relocation and Improvments	3321.2559	0.63	Mile Mile	\$ 500,000.00 \$ 450,000.00	\$ 314,512.87 \$ -	
	TTS Relocation and improvments		•	wille	\$ 450,000.00	Ş -	
liscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage			% of Roadway Const.	15%		
	Removal of Improvements			% of Const.	10%	\$ 241,068	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking			% of Const.	2%	\$ 48,214	
	MOT During Construction			% of Const.	6%	, ,	
	Enhancements			% of Const.	2%	, ,	
	Surveying			% of Const.	1%	. ,	
	Mobilization			% of Const.	6%	. ,	
	Engineering Design			% of Const.	10%	\$ 241,068	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 2,410,678	% of Const.	10%	\$ 241,068	
ontingency							
	Contingency		\$ 3,905,298.64	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 781,059.73	
otal Engineering & Co	nstruction Cost					\$ 4,686,358.37	
ight-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
otal Cost						\$ 4,686,358.37	

Engineer's Cost Estimate						
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585 Estimated By: KJ Checked By: EW/KJ	Date: Date:	11/30/2022 1/20/2023	EUTURE 64			

	Alternative 2 - Ramps Cost	: (Project 1)			
Item	Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage					
Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base					
Ramps					
10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs					
Drainage	\$ -	% of Roadway Co	on: 15%	\$-	
Removal of Improvements	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$ -	
Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$ -	
Erosion Control	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$ -	
Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$ -	
Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$ -	
Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency					
		% of Subtotal of			
Contingency	\$ -	Above	20%	\$ -	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost				\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs					
Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost				\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			HINGSHIGHWAY 10 JEFFERSON
Number: J6l3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 2 - Ramps Co	ost (Project 2)			
Item	Estimate Quantit	d	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage					
Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ -	
Pavement and Base					
Ramps					
10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs					
Drainage	\$	- % of Roadway (Con: 15%	\$-	
Removal of Improvements	\$	- % of Const.	10%	\$-	
Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	- % of Const.	2%	\$-	
MOT During Construction	\$	- % of Const.	6%	\$-	
Enhancements	\$	- % of Const.	2%	\$-	
Surveying	\$	- % of Const.	1%	\$-	
Erosion Control	\$	- % of Const.	1%	\$-	
Mobilization	\$	- % of Const.	6%	\$-	
Engineering Design	\$	- % of Const.	10%	\$-	
Construction Management and Administration	\$	- % of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency					
		% of Subtotal o	f		
Contingency	\$	- Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost				\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs					
Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost				\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585			
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alteri	native 2 - F	Ramps Cost (I	Project 3)			
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainag	e						
	Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		4,190	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 209,480.65	
Pavement and Base							
Ramps							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base 1131	1.9553	1,257	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$ 163,394.91	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$	372,876	% of Roadway Cor	15%	\$ 55,931	
	Removal of Improvements	\$	372,876	% of Const.	10%	\$ 37,288	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	372,876	% of Const.	2%	\$ 7,458	
	MOT During Construction	\$	372,876	% of Const.	6%	\$ 22,373	
	Enhancements	\$	372,876	% of Const.	2%	\$ 7,458	
	Surveying	\$	372,876	% of Const.	1%	\$ 3,729	
	Erosion Control	\$	372,876	% of Const.	1%	\$ 3,729	
	Mobilization	\$	372,876	% of Const.	6%	\$ 22,373	
	Engineering Design	\$	372,876	% of Const.	10%	\$ 37,288	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	372,876	% of Const.	10%	\$ 37,288	
Contingency							
				% of Subtotal of			
	Contingency	\$	607,787.17	Above	20%	\$ 121,557.43	
Total Engineering & 0	Construction Cost					\$ 729,344.60	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ 729,344.60	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585			
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 2 - Ramps Cost	(Project 4)			
Item	Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage					
Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base					
Ramps					
10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs					
Drainage	\$ -	% of Roadway Co	on: 15%	\$-	
Removal of Improvements	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
Erosion Control	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency					
		% of Subtotal of			
Contingency	\$ -	Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost				\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs					
Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost				\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			HINGSHIGHWAY TO ISFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alternative 2 -	Ramps Cost (F	Proiect 5)			
Item			Estimated	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
Ramp E	Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		14,434	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 721,682.40	
Pavement and Base							
Ramps							
10.5- In	nch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	38970.8497	4,330	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$ 562,912.27	
Miscellaneous Costs							
Drainag	ge		\$ 1,284,595	% of Roadway Con	15%	\$ 192,689	
Remova	al of Improvements		\$ 1,284,595	% of Const.	10%	\$ 128,459	
Traffic	Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ 1,284,595	% of Const.	2%	\$ 25,692	
MOT D	uring Construction			% of Const.	6%	\$ 77,076	
Enhanc	cements		\$ 1,284,595	% of Const.	2%	\$ 25,692	
Surveyi	ing			% of Const.	1%	\$ 12,846	
Erosion	n Control		\$ 1,284,595	% of Const.	1%	\$ 12,846	
Mobiliz	zation		\$ 1,284,595	% of Const.	6%	\$ 77,076	
Enginee	ering Design		\$ 1,284,595	% of Const.	10%	\$ 128,459	
Constru	uction Management and Administration		\$ 1,284,595	% of Const.	10%	\$ 128,459	
Contingency							
				% of Subtotal of			
Conting	gency		\$ 2,093,889.32	Above	20%	\$ 418,777.86	
Total Engineering & Construction	n Cost					\$ 2,512,667.18	
Right-of-Way Costs							
Right-o	of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ 2,512,667.18	

Engineer's Cost Estimate						
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGGHIGHWAY TO JEFFARSON			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64			
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER			
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023				

	Alternative 2	2 - Local MoDOT Road (Cost (Project 1)			
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00		
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$ -	% of Roadway Con	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$ -	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost				\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Al	ternative 2 - Local	MoDOT Road C	ost (Project 2)			
ltem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ -	
	Erosion Control		-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$-	% of Roadway Con	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction		\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements		\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying		\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization		\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design		\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency		\$ -	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Cor	astruction Cost					\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs							
-	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			RINGSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY IN TRANSPORTATION IN TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alte	ernative 2 - Local I	MoDOT Road C	ost (Project 3)			
ltem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control		-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$-	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying		\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingonau			% of Subtotal of		ć	
	Contingency		\$-	Above	20%	ې -	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ -	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative	2 - Local MoDOT F	Road Co	ost (Project 4)			
ltem		Estima Quan		Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-		CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ -	
	Erosion Control	-		Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-		SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-		LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization	-		EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-		SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$	-	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$	-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$	-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$	-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$	-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency	\$	-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs							
0 1 1 1 1	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						Ś -	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Al	ternative 2 - Local	MoDOT Road C	ost (Project 5)			
ltem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		545	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 27,250.87	
	Erosion Control		-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	7357.7359	818	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$ 73,577.36	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	347.4658	39	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ 2,509.48	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$ 103,338	% of Roadway Con	s 15%	\$ 15,501	
	Removal of Improvements		\$ 103,338	% of Const.	10%	\$ 10,334	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ 103,338	% of Const.	2%	\$ 2,067	
	MOT During Construction		\$ 103,338	% of Const.	6%	\$ 6,200	
	Enhancements		\$ 103,338	% of Const.	2%	\$ 2,067	
	Surveying		\$ 103,338	% of Const.	1%	\$ 1,033	
	Mobilization		\$ 103,338	% of Const.	6%	\$ 6,200	
	Engineering Design		\$ 103,338	% of Const.	10%	\$ 10,334	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 103,338	% of Const.	10%	\$ 10,334	
Contingency							
	Contingency		\$ 167,407.09	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 33,481.42	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$ 200,888.50	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ 200,888.50	

Engineer's Cost Estimate								
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			MIROSHIONWAY TO JOSSON					
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64					
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER					
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023						

	Alternative 2 - Local	Agency Road C	ost (Project 1)			
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$ -	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost				\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINSSHIERMAN TO JEFFERSEN
Number: J613585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY ~ TRANSPORTATION ~ TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 2 - Local	Agency Road C	ost (Project 2)			
ltem		Estimated Quantity		\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Cor	istruction Cost				\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					<u>\$</u> -	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - TREETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	A	ternative 2 - Local	Agency Road C	ost (Project 3)			
ltem			Estimated Quantity		\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control		-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$-	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying		\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency		\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						Ś -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KIRSSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 2 - Loc	al Agency Road C	ost (Project 4)			
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	11,556	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 577,778.32	
	Erosion Control 2907.53	0.55	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 165,200.77	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base 156000.14	5 17,333	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$ 1,560,001.47	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	2	EA	\$ 350,000	\$ 700,000	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps 23623.8	2,625	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ 170,616.56	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$ 3,173,597	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$ 476,040	
	Removal of Improvements	\$ 3,173,597	% of Const.	10%	\$ 317,360	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 3,173,597	% of Const.	2%	\$ 63,472	
	MOT During Construction	\$ 3,173,597	% of Const.	6%	\$ 190,416	
	Enhancements	\$ 3,173,597	% of Const.	2%	\$ 63,472	
	Surveying	\$ 3,173,597	% of Const.	1%	\$ 31,736	
	Mobilization	\$ 3,173,597	% of Const.	6%	\$ 190,416	
	Engineering Design	\$ 3,173,597	% of Const.	10%	\$ 317,360	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 3,173,597	% of Const.	10%	\$ 317,360	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$ 5,141,227.33	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 1,028,245.47	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost				\$ 6,169,472.79	
Right-of-Way Costs					,,	
,	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ 6,169,472.79	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KLINZSHIGHWAY TO JEFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 2 - Local	Agency Road C	ost (Project <u>5)</u>			
Item		Estimated Quantity		\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	0	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	0.00	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	0	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	0	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	0	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ -	
Total Engineering & Cor	struction Cost				\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost	× '				Ś -	

Engineer's Cost Estimate							
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST							
Number: J6l3585			FUTURE/64				
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY ** TRANSPORTATION ** TOGETHER				
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023					

	Alternative 2 - Bridge Cost (Project 1)								
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit		it or % (2022 rs)	Exten	ded Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures									
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - MoDOT		-	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$	-	
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - Local Agency		-	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$	-	
	Box Culverts		-	SQFT	\$	200.00	\$	-	
	Cross Road Bridges		3,562	SQFT	\$	160.00	\$	569,920.00	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges		-	SQFT	\$	350.00	\$	-	
	Bridge Removal		-	SQFT	\$	20.00	\$	-	
Miscellaneous Cos	sts								
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	569,920	% of Const.		2%	\$	11,398	
	MOT During Construction	\$	569,920	% of Const.		6%	\$	34,195	
	Enhancements	\$	569,920	% of Const.		2%	\$	11,398	
	Surveying	\$	569,920	% of Const.		1%	\$	5,699	
	Mobilization	\$	569,920	% of Const.		6%	\$	34,195	
	Engineering Design	\$	569,920	% of Const.		10%	\$	56,992	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	569,920	% of Const.		10%	\$	56,992	
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$	780,790.40	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	156,158.08	
Total Engineering	& Construction Cost						\$	936,948.48	
Right-of-Way Cost	S								
	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost							\$	936,948.48	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO ISTEERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY " TRANSPORTATION " TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alternative 2 - Br	idge Cost (Proje	ct 2)		
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures						
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - MoDOT	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-	
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - Local Agency	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-	
	Box Culverts	-	SQFT	\$ 200.00	\$-	
	Cross Road Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$-	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 350.00	\$-	
	Bridge Removal	-	SQFT	\$ 20.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Cost	S					
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO ISTTERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 2 - Bridge Cost (Project 3)						
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks	
Structures							
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - MoDOT	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-		
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - Local Agency	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-		
	Box Culverts	-	SQFT	\$ 200.00	\$-		
	Cross Road Bridges	10,545	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$ 1,687,200.00		
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 350.00	\$-		
	Bridge Removal	8,957	SQFT	\$ 20.00	\$ 179,144.66		
Miscellaneous Cos	sts						
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 1,866,345	% of Const.	2%	\$ 37,327		
	MOT During Construction	\$ 1,866,345	% of Const.	6%	\$ 111,981		
	Enhancements	\$ 1,866,345	% of Const.	2%	\$ 37,327		
	Surveying	\$ 1,866,345	% of Const.	1%	\$ 18,663		
	Mobilization	\$ 1,866,345	% of Const.	6%	\$ 111,981		
	Engineering Design	\$ 1,866,345	% of Const.	10%	\$ 186,634		
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 1,866,345	% of Const.	10%	\$ 186,634		
Contingency							
	Contingency	\$ 2,556,892.18	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 511,378.44		
Total Engineering	& Construction Cost				\$ 3,068,270.62		
Right-of-Way Cost	ts						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT	
Total Cost					\$ 3,068,270.62		

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO ISTEERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY " TRANSPORTATION " TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alternative 2 - Br	idge Cost (Proje	ct 4)		
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures						
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - MoDOT	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-	
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - Local Agency	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-	
	Box Culverts	-	SQFT	\$ 200.00	\$-	
	Cross Road Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$-	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 350.00	\$-	
	Bridge Removal	-	SQFT	\$ 20.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Cos	ts					
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering	& Construction Cost				\$-	
Right-of-Way Cost	5					
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alternative 2 - Bri	dge Cost (Proje	ct 5)		
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures						
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - MoDOT	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-	
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - Local Agency	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-	
	Box Culverts	-	SQFT	\$ 200.00	\$-	
	Cross Road Bridges	17,257	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$ 2,761,120.00	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 350.00	\$-	
	Bridge Removal	5,185	SQFT	\$ 20.00	\$ 103,700.00	
Miscellaneous Co	osts					
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 2,864,820	% of Const.	2%	\$ 57,296	
	MOT During Construction	\$ 2,864,820	% of Const.	6%	\$ 171,889	
	Enhancements	\$ 2,864,820	% of Const.	2%	\$ 57,296	
	Surveying	\$ 2,864,820	% of Const.	1%	\$ 28,648	
	Mobilization	\$ 2,864,820	% of Const.	6%	\$ 171,889	
	Engineering Design	\$ 2,864,820	% of Const.	10%	\$ 286,482	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 2,864,820	% of Const.	10%	\$ 286,482	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$ 3,924,803.40	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 784,960.68	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost					\$ 4,709,764.08	
Right-of-Way Cos	sts					
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ 4,709,764.08	

Engined	er's Cost Estimate		
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

*does not include ROW costs

	Alternative 2 - Total (Cost* (Project 1)
		Subtotal
Alternative 2 Freeway	\$	404,838.00
Alternative 2 Ramps	\$	· · ·
Alternative 2 Local Roads	\$	-
Alternative 2 Local Agency Roads	\$	-
Alternative 2 Bridges	\$	936,948.48
Alternative 2 (Project 1) Total Cost	\$	1,341,786.48

Alternative 2 - Total Cost* (Project 2)							
	Subtotal						
Alternative 2 Freeway	\$ 1,033,434.30						
Alternative 2 Ramps	\$						
Alternative 2 Local Roads	\$						
Alternative 2 Local Agency Roads	\$ -						
Alternative 2 Bridges	\$ -						
Alternative 2 (Project 2) Total Cost	\$ 1,033,434.30						

Alter	rnative 2 - Total Cost* (Project 3)
	Subtotal
Alternative 2 Freeway	\$ 2,008,697.83
Alternative 2 Ramps	\$ 729,344.60
Alternative 2 Local Roads	\$ -
Alternative 2 Local Agency Roads	\$ -
Alternative 2 Bridges	\$ 3,068,270.62
Alternative 2 (Project 3) Total Cost	\$ 5,806,313.05

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585			FIITIIPE 61
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 2 - Total Cost* (Project 4)					
Alternative 2 Freeway	\$ -				
Alternative 2 Ramps	\$ -				
Alternative 2 Local Roads	\$ -				
Alternative 2 Local Agency Roads	\$ 6,169,472.79				
Alternative 2 Bridges	\$ -				
Alternative 2 (Project 4) Total Cost	\$ 6,169,472.79				

	Alternative 2 - Total (Cost* (Project 5)
		Subtotal
Alternative 2 Freeway	\$	4,686,358.37
Alternative 2 Ramps	\$	2,512,667.18
Alternative 2 Local Roads	\$	200,888.50
Alternative 2 Local Agency Roads	\$	-
Alternative 2 Bridges	\$	4,709,764.08
Alternative 2 (Project 5) Total Cost	\$	12,109,678.13

	Engineer's Cost Estimate		
Estimated By: JR Date: 11/30/2022		Project: I-64 PEL - WEST	NUMBENIONWAY TO HEFERSON
		Number: J6I3585	FUIURE/64
	Date:	Estimated By: JR	COMMUNITY TRAUSPORTATION TROSTHER
Checked By: EW/KJ Date: 1/20/2023	Date:	Checked By: EW/KJ	

Ltm Extinated Quantity unit Studied or yrs plants Studied Prote (S) plants Remarks Grading and Drainage Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embanisment) 1,072 CV 00 \$ 0,000 \$ 0,100					(Project 1)	- Freeway Cos	itive 3 -	Alternativ		
Grading and Draining Instruct Instruct<	rks	(\$) F			nit	imated Quantity	Esti			Item
Mainine Earthwork (scavation and Enbankment) 1.07 UVD \$ 50.000 \$ 53.598-30 Erosin Control 1437 0.27 Nile \$ 30.0000 \$ 81,647.73 Parement and Base 81,647.73 Bainine 154 81,647.73 Mainine 164 81,657.65 Date: Roads S 30,000.0 \$ Highway Lighting Sinch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base S 30,000.0 \$				·						Grading and Drainage
Ecosin Control 143 0.2 Nile 5. 30,000.00 5 81,647.73 Wainline 1-64 <		596.30	53 596 30	50.00	UYD	1 072			Mainline Farthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	
Payment and Base Namine 1-64						7-		1437		
Mainline 1-64 Image: Mainline						ULL /		1107		Pavement and Base
10.5-Inch Heavp Duty PCCP w/ Rock Bill Base 14471 1,608 SVD \$ 130.00 \$ 209,025.56 Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D) F \$ 100.00 \$ 100										
Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D) F \$ 12.00 \$ L F \$ 12.00 \$ Duter- Reads - S O S O S O S O S S O S O S O S O S O S O S O S S O S O S <t< td=""><td></td><td>125.56</td><td>\$ 209.025.56</td><td>130.00</td><td>OYD</td><td>1.608</td><td></td><td>14471</td><td>10 5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base</td><td></td></t<>		125.56	\$ 209.025.56	130.00	OYD	1.608		14471	10 5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	
Dute: Roads Image: Source of the second										
8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base - SQYD \$ 90.00 \$ - Highway Lighting - Mile \$ 5 350,0000 \$ - nterchanges - Mile \$ 5 600,000,00 \$ - Lighting and Signing - EA \$ 600,000,00 \$ - Signalization - EA \$ 600,000,00 \$ - Duter Roads - EA \$ 600,000,00 \$ - Malis - EA \$ 600,000,00 \$ - MSE Walls - SQFT \$ 65.00 \$ - Sound Walls - Mile \$ 500,000 \$ - Utilty Relocation - Mile \$ 50,000,00 \$ - Mile \$ 50,000,00 \$ - - - - Milty Relocation -										Outer- Roads
Highway Lighting - Mile i i i i i Highway Lighting - Mile \$ 350,000.00 \$. .		-) Ś -	90.00	OYD	-			8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	
Highway Lighting - Mile \$ 350,000.00 \$ - Interchanges - EA \$ 600,000.00 \$ - Signalization - EA \$ 600,000.00 \$ - Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps - EA \$ 65.00 \$ - Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps - SQYD \$ \$ - EA Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps - SQYD \$ \$ 0 Walls - SQTT \$ \$ \$ 0 Sound Walls - SQFT \$ \$ \$ 0 Corridor Utility Relocation - Mile \$ \$ 0 0 TS Relocation and Improvements - Mile \$ \$ 0 0 Misellaneous Costs - - 10% \$ 0 0 More Control Utility Relocation \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Mile \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Mile \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Mile \$ \$<			1	2 5100	-					Highway Lighting
Interchanges Image		· 1) Ś -	350.000.00	lile	-			Highway Lighting	0 -7 -00
Lighting and Signing Image: Construction of the second secon			l'		-					Interchanges
Signalization EA \$ 350,000.00 \$ - EA \$ 350,000.00 \$ - Outer-Roads - SQT \$ 65.00 \$ - SQT \$ 50.00 \$ - SQT \$		· •		600,000,00	Δ	-	—		Lighting and Signing	
Outer-Roads Image Image Image Image Image Image Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps - SQYD \$ 6.00 \$ - Walls - SQFT \$ 85.00 \$ - Sound Walls - SQFT \$ 85.00 \$ - Utility Relocation - SQFT \$ 300,000 \$ - If's Relocation and Improvements - Mile \$ 500,000,00 \$ - Miscellaneous Costs - Mile \$ 500,000,00 \$ - Drainage \$ 344,270 % of Roadway Const. 155% \$ 51,640 More Construction \$ 344,270 % of Const. 100% 344,270 More Construction \$ 344,270 % of Const. 20% \$ 6.885 More During Construction \$ 344,270 % of Const. 20% \$ 6.885 5.77 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>										
Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps . SQYD \$ 65.00 \$. . Walls . . SQPT \$ 65.00 \$. . MSE Walls . . SQFT \$ 100.00 \$. . Sound Walls . . SQFT \$ 100.00 \$. . Corridor Utility Relocation . . Mile \$ 500.000 \$. . TS Relocation and Improvements . . Mile \$ 500.0000 \$. . Miscellaneous Costs . . Mile \$ 500.0000 \$. . Miscellaneous Costs . . Mile \$ 500.0000 \$. . Miscellaneous Costs . . . Mile \$ 500.0000 \$. . Miscellaneous Costs .			÷		•					Outer- Roads
Walls SQFT \$ 85,00 \$ - Sound Walls - SQFT \$ 100.00 \$ - Utility Relocation - SQFT \$ 100.00 \$ - Corridor Utility Relocation - Mile \$ 500,000.00 \$ - ITS Relocation and Improvments - Mile \$ 450,000.00 \$ - Drainage \$ 344,270 % of Roadway Const. 15% \$ 51,640 Removal of Improvements \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 344,270 MOT During Construction \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 MOT During Construction \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Surveying \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Surveying \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Mobilization \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Construction Management and Administration \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 344,270 Contingency \$ 557,716.72		· ·	- s	65.00	מער				Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Bamps	
MSE Walls - SQFT \$ 85.00 \$ - Sound Walls - SQFT \$ 100.00 \$ - Utility Relocation - SQFT \$ 100.00 \$ - Corridor Utility Relocation - Mile \$ 500.00.00 \$ - ITS Relocation and Improvements - Mile \$ 500.00.00 \$ - Miscellaneous Costs - Mile \$ 500.00.00 \$ - Miscellaneous Costs - Mile \$ 450.000.00 \$ - More and Improvements \$ 344.270 % of Roadway Const. 15% \$ 344.271 Traffic Control - Signing and Pavement Marking \$ 344.270 % of Const. 10% \$ 344.271 MOT During Construction \$ 344.270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6.885 Enhancements \$ 344.270 % of Const. 11% \$ 3.44.271 Mobilization \$ 344.270 % of Const. 10% <td></td> <td></td> <td>, ,</td> <td>03.00</td> <td>410</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Malls</td>			, ,	03.00	410					Malls
Sound Walls - SQFT \$ 100.00 \$ - Utility Relocation - Mile \$ 500,000.00 \$ - ITS Relocation and Improvments - Mile \$ 500,000.00 \$ - Miscellaneous Costs - Mile \$ 500,000.00 \$ - Drainage \$ 344,270 % of Roadway Const. 115% \$ 51,640 Meroval of Improvements \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 6,885 MOT During Construction \$ 344,270 % of Const. 20% \$ 6,885 6,885 6,885 6,885 6,885 6,885 6,885 6,885 6,885 6,885 6,885 6,			Ś	85.00	- DET	-			MSE Walls	vvans
Utility Relocation - Mile \$ \$0,000,00 \$ - ITS Relocation and Improvments - Mile \$ \$ - Mile \$ - <td></td>										
Corridor Utility RelocationImageMile\$ 500,000.00\$-ITS Relocation and Improvements-Mile\$ 450,000.00\$-Miscellaneous CostsMile\$ 450,000.00\$-Drainage\$ 344,270% of Roadway Const.15%\$ 51,640-Removal of Improvements\$ 344,270% of Const.10%\$ 34,427Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking\$ 344,270% of Const.10%\$ 34,427MOT During Construction\$ 344,270% of Const.6%\$ 20,656Enhancements\$ 344,270% of Const.2%\$ 6,885Surveying\$ 344,270% of Const.11%\$ 3,443Mobilization\$ 344,270% of Const.11%\$ 3,443Construction Management and Administration\$ 344,270% of Const.10%\$ 34,427Contingency\$ 557,716.72% of Subtotal of Above20%\$ 111,543.34Total Engineering & Construction Cost<		_	·	100.00		-				Litility Pelocation
ITS Relocation and Improvements Image \$ 450,000.00 \$ - Mile \$ 450,000.00 \$ - Image Miscellaneous Costs Image \$ 344,270 % of Roadway Const. 15% \$ 51,640 Image Image \$ 344,270 % of Roadway Const. 10% \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Image \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Image \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Image \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Image Image \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Image Image \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Image Image Image \$ 344,270 % of Const. 1% \$ 3,443 Image Image Image \$ 6,885 Image Image <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>ć</td> <td>500.000.00</td> <td>lilo</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Corridor Utility Polocation</td> <td></td>		_	ć	500.000.00	lilo				Corridor Utility Polocation	
Miscellaneous Costs Image Image <td></td>										
Drainage \$ 344,270 % of Roadway Const. 15% \$ 51,640 Removal of Improvements \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 34,227 Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 MOT During Construction \$ 344,270 % of Const. 6% \$ 20,656 Enhancements \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Surveying \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Mobilization \$ 344,270 % of Const. 1% \$ 3,443 Construction Management and Administration \$ 344,270 % of Const. 1% \$ 34,427 Contingency Y \$ 344,270 % of Const. 1% \$ 3,443 Mobilization \$ 344,270 % of Const. 1% \$ 34,427 Construction Management and Administration \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 34,271 Contingency \$ 557,716.72 % of Subtotal of Above 2% \$ 669,260.06 Right-of-Way Costs Image \$ 669,260.06 Image Image Image		-	, , - , -	430,000.00	ine	-				Miscollanoous Costs
Removal of Improvements \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 34,427 Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 MOT During Construction \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Enhancements \$ 344,270 % of Const. 6% \$ 20,656 Surveying \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Mobilization \$ 344,270 % of Const. 1% \$ 3,443 Mobilization \$ 344,270 % of Const. 1% \$ 3,443 Construction Management and Administration \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 34,427 Contingency \$ 557,716.72 % of Subtotal of Above 20% \$ 111,543.34 Total Engineering & Construction Cost Image: Signing & Construction Cost \$ 669,260.06 \$ 669,260.06		1.640	ν ¢ Ε1.C4	150/	of Deadway Const	244.270			Desirence	viiscellaneous costs
Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 MOT During Construction \$ 344,270 % of Const. 6% \$ 20,656 Enhancements \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Surveying \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Mobilization \$ 344,270 % of Const. 1% \$ 3,443 Mobilization \$ 344,270 % of Const. 6% \$ 20,656 Engineering Design \$ 344,270 % of Const. 6% \$ 20,656 Construction Management and Administration \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 34,427 Contingency \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 34,427 Contingency \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 34,427 Contingency \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 34,427 Contingency \$ 557,716.72 % of Subtotal of Above 20% \$ 111,543.34 Total Engineering & Construction Cost \$ 669,260.06		,	, ,				Ŧ			
MOT During Construction \$ 344,270 % of Const. 6% \$ 20,656 Enhancements \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Surveying \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Mobilization \$ 344,270 % of Const. 1% \$ 3,443 Mobilization \$ 344,270 % of Const. 6% \$ 20,656 Engineering Design \$ 344,270 % of Const. 6% \$ 20,656 Construction Management and Administration \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 34,427 Contingency \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 34,427 Contingency \$ 557,716.72 % of Subtotal of Above 20% \$ 111,543.34 Total Engineering & Construction Cost Image: Signeering & Construction Cost \$ 669,260.06 Image: Signeering & Construction Cost Image: Signeering & Construction Cost \$ 669,260.06										
Enhancements \$ 344,270 % of Const. 2% \$ 6,885 Surveying \$ 344,270 % of Const. 1% \$ 3,443 Mobilization \$ 344,270 % of Const. 1% \$ 3,443 Engineering Design \$ 344,270 % of Const. 6% \$ 20,656 Construction Management and Administration \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 34,427 Contingency 34,427 % of Const. 10% \$ 34,427 Contingency \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 34,427 Contingency \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 34,427 Contingency \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 34,427 Contingency \$ 344,270 % of Subtotal of Above 20% \$ 111,543.34		,					Ŧ			
Surveying\$ 344,270% of Const.1%\$ 3,443Mobilization\$ 344,270% of Const.6%\$ 20,656Engineering Design\$ 344,270% of Const.10%\$ 34,427Construction Management and Administration\$ 344,270% of Const.10%\$ 34,427Contingency </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>									-	
Mobilization\$ 344,270% of Const.6%\$ 20,656Engineering Design\$ 344,270% of Const.10%\$ 34,427Construction Management and Administration\$ 344,270% of Const.10%\$ 34,427Contingency\$ 557,716.72% of Subtotal of Above20%\$ 111,543.34Fotal Engineering & Construction Cost\$ 669,260.06\$ 669,260.06\$ 669,260.06										
Engineering Design\$ 344,270% of Const.10%\$ 34,427Construction Management and Administration\$ 344,270% of Const.10%\$ 34,427Contingency </td <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>. ,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>Ŧ</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		,	. ,			,	Ŧ			
Construction Management and Administration \$ 344,270 % of Const. 10% \$ 34,427 Contingency Image: Contingency Image: Construction Cost Image: Const							·			
Contingency Image: Contingency </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>. ,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			. ,							
Contingency \$ 557,716.72 % of Subtotal of Above 20% \$ 111,543.34 Total Engineering & Construction Cost \$ 669,260.06 Right-of-Way Costs				1070		544,270	Ť			Contingency
Total Engineering & Construction Cost \$ 669,260.06 Right-of-Way Costs							_			contradiction and a second sec
Total Engineering & Construction Cost \$ 669,260.06 Right-of-Way Costs		543 34	\$ 111 5/3 3/	20%	of Subtotal of Above	557,716.72	\$		Contingency	
Right-of-Way Costs				2076						Total Engineering & Cor
			<i>y</i> 000,200.00							
	provided by MoDOT	—							Right-of-Way	ingine of way costs
Total Cost \$ 669,260.06			ć (CO 200 0)						Ment of Way	Total Cast

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J613585			
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	
The unit acets shown in this actionate concernent an animine of probable casts areas and in soud faith and with sou	conchine and CDI has no control over the costs of construction lab	as materials as an inment new question and the	bidding or popolistics matheds and doos not make any

	Alte	ernative 3	<mark>3 - Freeway Cos</mark>	t (Project 2)			
Item		E	stimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
• •	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control		-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ -	
Pavement and Base					. ,	•	
Mainline I-64							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	Ś -	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$ -	
Outer- Roads							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base		-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
Highway Lighting							
	Highway Lighting		-	Mile	\$ 350,000.00	\$-	
Interchanges							
0.4	Lighting and Signing		-	EA	\$ 600,000.00	\$ -	
	Signalization 2			EA	\$ 350,000.00	\$ 700,000.00	
Outer- Roads			-		1	, .,	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps 0		-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	Ś -	
Walls					+	Ť	
	MSE Walls		-	SQFT	\$ 85.00	Ś.,	
	Sound Walls			SQFT		\$ -	
Utility Relocation					+	Ť	
	Corridor Utility Relocation 2814	1	0.53	Mile	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 266,477.27	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments			Mile	\$ 450,000.00	\$ -	
Miscellaneous Costs					¢ 150,000100	÷	
	Drainage		\$ 966,477	% of Roadway Const.	15%	\$ 144,972	
	Removal of Improvements			% of Const.	10%	\$ 96,648	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		, ,	% of Const.	2%	\$ 19,330	
	MOT During Construction		,	% of Const.	6%	. ,	
	Enhancements			% of Const.	2%		
	Surveying			% of Const.	1%		
	Mobilization		, ,	% of Const.	6%		
	Engineering Design			% of Const.	10%		
	Construction Management and Administration		,	% of Const.	10%		
Contingency							
	Contingency	:	\$ 1,565,693.18	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 313,138.64	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$ 1,878,831.82	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost	- 1					\$ 1,878,831.82	· · · · ·

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			RINGSHIGHWAY TO AFFECTOR
Number: J6I3585			FUTUKE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TODE THER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alternativ	e 3 - Freeway Cos	t (Project 3)			
					\$/Unit or % (2022		T
em			Estimated Quantity	Unit	Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
rading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		3,007	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 150,366.67	
	Erosion Control	3639	0.69	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 206,761.36	
avement and Base							
lainline I-64							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	40599	4,511	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$ 586,430.00	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
uter- Roads							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base		-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
ighway Lighting							
-	Highway Lighting	3639	0.69	Mile	\$ 350,000.00	\$ 241,221.59	
iterchanges							
	Lighting and Signing		-	EA	\$ 600,000.00	\$ -	
	Signalization	1	1	EA	\$ 350,000.00	\$ 350,000.00	
uter- Roads	<u> </u>	-	-				
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	0	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	Ś -	
/alls		Ŭ		54.5	<i>ф</i> 00100	. Y	
i dillo	MSE Walls		2,450	SQFT	\$ 85.00	\$ 208.250.00	EB I-64 On Ramp Boyle Wall
	Sound Walls		,	SQFT		\$ 725,000.00	
tility Relocation	Sound Wans		7,230.00	5011	9 100.00	7 725,000.00	
	Corridor Utility Relocation	3639	0.69	Mile	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 344,602.27	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	3639		Mile	\$ 450,000.00	\$ 344,602.27 \$ 310,142.05	
iscellaneous Costs	his kelocation and improviments	3039	0.69	IVIIIe	\$ 430,000.00	5 510,142.05	
iscellaneous costs	Desirence		¢ 2422.774	0/ of Doodway Const	150/	ć 400.410	
	Drainage Removal of Improvements		. , ,	% of Roadway Const. % of Const.	15% 10%		
			. , ,			, ,	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ 3,122,774 \$ 3,122,774		2%	\$ 62,455	
	MOT During Construction				6%	\$ 187,366 \$ 62,455	
	Enhancements		\$ 3,122,774		2%	. ,	
	Surveying		\$ 3,122,774		1%	\$ 31,228	
	Mobilization		\$ 3,122,774		6%	\$ 187,366	
	Engineering Design		\$ 3,122,774 \$ 3.122,774		10%	\$ 312,277 \$ 312,277	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 3,122,774	% OF CONST.	10%	\$ 312,277	
ontingency							
	Contractor (\$ 5,058,893.78	% of Subtotal of Above		A	
	Contingency			% OF SUDIOIAL OF ADOVE	20%	. , ,	
otal Engineering & Co	nstruction Cost					\$ 6,070,672.54	
ght-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
otal Cost						\$ 6,070,672.54	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			RINGSHIGHWAR TO UTIESSON
Number: J6I3585			FUIUKE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TODETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - Freeway Cost (Project 4)						
					\$/Unit or % (2022		
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		12,208	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 610,407.41	
	Erosion Control	4516	0.86	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 256,590.91	
Pavement and Base							
Mainline I-64							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	164810	18,312	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$ 2,380,588.89	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Outer- Roads							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base		-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
Highway Lighting							
	Highway Lighting	4516	0.86	Mile	\$ 350,000.00	\$ 299,356.06	
Interchanges						· · ·	
	Lighting and Signing	1	1.00	EA	\$ 600,000.00	\$ 600,000.00	
	Signalization	1	1	EA	\$ 350,000.00	\$ 350,000.00	
Outer- Roads	· •					· · ·	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	0	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Walls		-				,	
			13,290				TB-Boyle EB I-64 Wall, TB PED Bridge Wall
	MSE Walls		10,200	SQFT	\$ 85.00	\$ 1.129.650.00	Vandeventer 64 EB On Ramp Wall
	Sound Walls		8,740.00	SQFT	\$ 100.00	\$ 874,000.00	
Utility Relocation			5,1.10100			, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	Corridor Utility Relocation	4516	0.86	Mile	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 427,651.52	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	4516	0.86	Mile	\$ 450,000.00	\$ 384,886.36	
Miscellaneous Costs		4310	0.00		+	+	
	Drainage		\$ 7,313,131	% of Roadway Const.	15%	\$ 1,096,970	
	Removal of Improvements			% of Const.	10%	\$ 731,313	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking			% of Const.	2%	\$ 146,263	
	MOT During Construction			% of Const.	6%	\$ 438,788	
	Enhancements			% of Const.	2%	\$ 146,263	
	Surveying			% of Const.	1%	\$ 73,131	
	Mobilization			% of Const.	6%	\$ 438,788	
	Engineering Design			% of Const.	10%	\$ 731,313	
	Construction Management and Administration		, , , , ,	% of Const.	10%	\$ 731,313	
Contingency			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
0							
	Contingency		\$ 11,847,272.45	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 2,369,454.49	
Total Engineering & Cor	- · ·				1	\$ 14,216,726.95	
Right-of-Way Costs						, , , ,	
<u> </u>	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
			_			\$ 14,216,726.95	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6l3585			FUTURE 64	
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER	
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023		
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			RINGSHIEHWAY TO LIFEESON	
--------------------------	-------	------------	-----------------------------------	
Number: J6I3585			FUIUKE/64	
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER	
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023		

	Alternativ	e 3 - Freeway Cos	t (Project 5)			
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Item Grading and Drainage			Onit	Donarsj		Remarks
Stauling and Drainage	A Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ -	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ - \$ -	
avement and Base			IVINE	\$ 300,000.00	γ -	
Avenient and Base						
Admine 1-04	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	ļ	SQYD	\$ 130.00	ć	
		-	LE	\$ 130.00 \$ 120.00	\$ -	
Outer- Roads	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	Ş -	
uler- Rodus	0 Jack Madium Duty DCCD (Dady Dage		COVD	\$ 90.00	ć	
Pala a distance	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base		SQYD	\$ 90.00	Ş -	
lighway Lighting			h ett.	¢ 250.000.00		
	Highway Lighting	•	Mile	\$ 350,000.00	\$-	
nterchanges					4	
	Lighting and Signing	-	EA	\$ 600,000.00		
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000.00	\$-	
outer- Roads						
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Valls						
	MSE Walls	-	SQFT	\$ 85.00	\$-	
	Sound Walls	-	SQFT	\$ 100.00	\$-	
Itility Relocation						
	Corridor Utility Relocation	-	Mile	\$ 500,000.00	\$-	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	-	Mile	\$ 450,000.00	\$-	
liscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Const.	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization		% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$ -	
ontingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
otal Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost				\$ -	
light-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
otal Cost					ć	

Engineer's Cost Estimate					
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64		
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNET Y IN TRANSPORTATION IN THEF FILM		
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023			

	Alter	native 3 - Ram	ips Cost (Project 1)			
ltem			timated uantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
Ramp Ea	rthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Ramps							
10.5- Inc	h Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
Drainage		\$	-	% of Roadway Cor	15%	\$-	
Remova	of Improvements	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Traffic C	ontrol- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
MOT Du	ing Construction	\$	-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
Enhance	ments	\$	-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
Surveyin	g	\$	-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
Erosion	Control	\$	-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
Mobiliza	tion	\$	-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
Engineer	ing Design	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Construc	tion Management and Administration	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency							
				% of Subtotal of			
Continge	ncy	\$	-	Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Construction	Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs							
Right-of-	Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - DESETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 3 - Ramps Cost (Project 2)							
Item	Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks		
Grading and Drainage							
Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-			
Pavement and Base							
Ramps							
10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-			
Miscellaneous Costs							
Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Cor	וי 15%	\$-			
Removal of Improvements	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-			
Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-			
MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-			
Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-			
Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-			
Erosion Control	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-			
Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-			
Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-			
Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-			
Contingency							
		% of Subtotal of					
Contingency	\$ -	Above	20%	\$-			
Total Engineering & Construction Cost				\$-			
Right-of-Way Costs							
Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT		
Total Cost				\$-			

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION IDGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 3 - Ramps Cost (Project 3)							
Item		Estimated		\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks	
Grading and Drainage							
Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		14,529	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 726,444.44		
Pavement and Base							
Ramps							
10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	39228	4,359	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$ 566,626.67		
Miscellaneous Costs							
Drainage	\$	1,293,071	% of Roadway Con	15%	\$ 193,961		
Removal of Improvements	\$	1,293,071	% of Const.	10%	\$ 129,307		
Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	1,293,071	% of Const.	2%	\$ 25,861		
MOT During Construction	\$	1,293,071	% of Const.	6%	\$ 77,584		
Enhancements	\$	1,293,071	% of Const.	2%	\$ 25,861		
Surveying	\$	1,293,071	% of Const.	1%	\$ 12,931		
Erosion Control	\$	1,293,071	% of Const.	1%	\$ 12,931		
Mobilization	\$	1,293,071	% of Const.	6%	\$ 77,584		
Engineering Design	\$	1,293,071	% of Const.	10%	\$ 129,307		
Construction Management and Administration	\$	1,293,071	% of Const.	10%	\$ 129,307		
Contingency							
			% of Subtotal of				
Contingency	\$	2,107,705.91	Above	20%	\$ 421,541.18		
Total Engineering & Construction Cost					\$ 2,529,247.09		
Right-of-Way Costs							
Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT	
Total Cost					\$ 2,529,247.09		

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY " TRANSPORTATION " IDEETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 3 - Ramps Cost (Project 4)							
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks	
Grading and Drainage							
Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		48,341	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 2,417,074.07		
Pavement and Base							
Ramps							
10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	130522	14,502	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$ 1,885,317.78		
Miscellaneous Costs							
Drainage		\$ 4,302,392	% of Roadway Con	15%	\$ 645,359		
Removal of Improvements			% of Const.	10%	\$ 430,239		
Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ 4,302,392	% of Const.	2%	\$ 86,048		
MOT During Construction		\$ 4,302,392	% of Const.	6%	\$ 258,144		
Enhancements		\$ 4,302,392	% of Const.	2%	\$ 86,048		
Surveying			% of Const.	1%	\$ 43,024		
Erosion Control		\$ 4,302,392	% of Const.	1%	\$ 43,024		
Mobilization		\$ 4,302,392	% of Const.	6%	\$ 258,144		
Engineering Design		\$ 4,302,392	% of Const.	10%	\$ 430,239		
Construction Management and Administration		\$ 4,302,392	% of Const.	10%	\$ 430,239		
Contingency							
			% of Subtotal of				
Contingency		\$ 7,012,898.72	Above	20%	\$ 1,402,579.74		
Total Engineering & Construction Cost					\$ 8,415,478.46		
Right-of-Way Costs							
Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT	
Total Cost					\$ 8,415,478.46		

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION IDGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 3 - Ramps Cost (Project 5)							
Item	Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks		
Grading and Drainage							
Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-			
Pavement and Base							
Ramps							
10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-			
Miscellaneous Costs							
Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Cor	וי 15%	\$-			
Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-			
Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-			
MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-			
Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-			
Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-			
Erosion Control	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-			
Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-			
Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-			
Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-			
Contingency							
		% of Subtotal of					
Contingency	\$ -	Above	20%	\$-			
Total Engineering & Construction Cost				\$-			
Right-of-Way Costs							
Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT		
Total Cost				\$ -			

Engineer's Cost Estimate						
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			RHESHIGUNAY TO ISSERSER			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64			
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER			
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023				

	Alternative 3 - Local	MoDOT Road C	ost (Project 1)			
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost				\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ -	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KHIGSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Al	ternative 3 - Local	MoDOT Road C	ost (Project 2)			
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		545	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 27,251.85	
	Erosion Control		-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	7358	818	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$ 73,580.00	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$ 100,832	% of Roadway Con	15%	\$ 15,125	
	Removal of Improvements		\$ 100,832	% of Const.	10%	\$ 10,083	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ 100,832	% of Const.	2%	\$ 2,017	
	MOT During Construction		\$ 100,832	% of Const.	6%	\$ 6,050	
	Enhancements		\$ 100,832	% of Const.	2%	\$ 2,017	
	Surveying		\$ 100,832	% of Const.	1%	\$ 1,008	
	Mobilization		\$ 100,832	% of Const.	6%	\$ 6,050	
	Engineering Design		\$ 100,832	% of Const.	10%	\$ 10,083	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 100,832	% of Const.	10%	\$ 10,083	
Contingency							
	Contingency		\$ 163,347.60	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 32,669.52	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost					\$ 196,017.12	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost	· · ·					\$ 196,017.12	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO ISTERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Al	ternative 3 - Local I	MoDOT Road C	ost (Project 3)			
ltem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control		-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ -	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$-	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements		\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$ -	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$ -	
	MOT During Construction		\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$ -	
	Enhancements		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying		\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency		\$ -	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost					\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost	<u>,</u>					Ś -	,

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY = TRANSPORTATION = TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Al	ternative 3 - Local	MoDOT Road C	ost (Project 4)			
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		1,768	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 88,392.59	
	Erosion Control	1010	0.19	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 57,386.36	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	23866	2,652	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$ 238,660.00	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$ -	
Local Road							
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$ 384,439	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$ 57,666	
	Removal of Improvements		\$ 384,439	% of Const.	10%	\$ 38,444	
	Traffic Control-Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ 384,439	% of Const.	2%	\$ 7,689	
	MOT During Construction		\$ 384,439	% of Const.	6%	\$ 23,066	
	Enhancements		\$ 384,439	% of Const.	2%	\$ 7,689	
	Surveying		\$ 384,439	% of Const.	1%	\$ 3,844	
	Mobilization		\$ 384,439	% of Const.	6%	\$ 23,066	
	Engineering Design		\$ 384,439	% of Const.	10%	\$ 38,444	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 384,439	% of Const.	10%	\$ 38,444	
Contingency							
	Contingency		\$ 622,791.11	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 124,558.22	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost					\$ 747,349.33	
Right-of-Way Costs							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ 747,349.33	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J613585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY == TRANSPORTATION == TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - Lo	cal MoDOT Road C	ost (Project 5)			
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$ -	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Con	15%	\$ -	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost				\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					Ś -	

Engineer's Cost Estimate						
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST						
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64			
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY "TRANSPORTATION "TOBETHER			
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023				

	Alternative 3 - Loca	Agency Road C	ost (Project 1)			
Item		Estimated Quantity		\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost				\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO JEFFIRSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - Local Agency Road Cost (Project 2)							
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks	
Grading and Drainage								
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		11,223	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 561,166.67		
	Erosion Control	2814	0.53	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 159,886.36		
Pavement and Base								
Local Road								
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	151515	16,835	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$ 1,515,150.00		
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-		
Local Road								
	Signalization		2	EA	\$ 350,000	\$ 700,000		
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	29733	3,304	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ 214,738.33		
Miscellaneous Costs								
	Drainage		\$ 3,150,941	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$ 472,641		
	Removal of Improvements		\$ 3,150,941	% of Const.	10%	\$ 315,094		
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ 3,150,941	% of Const.	2%	\$ 63,019		
	MOT During Construction		\$ 3,150,941	% of Const.	6%	\$ 189,056		
	Enhancements		\$ 3,150,941	% of Const.	2%	\$ 63,019		
	Surveying		\$ 3,150,941	% of Const.	1%	\$ 31,509		
	Mobilization		\$ 3,150,941	% of Const.	6%	\$ 189,056		
	Engineering Design		\$ 3,150,941	% of Const.	10%	\$ 315,094		
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 3,150,941	% of Const.	10%	\$ 315,094		
Contingency								
	Contingency		\$ 5,104,525.01	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 1,020,905.00		
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost					\$ 6,125,430.01		
Right-of-Way Costs								
,	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT	
Total Cost	· · ·					\$ 6,125,430.01		

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO HEFTERSON
Number: J613585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY "TRAKSPORTATION "TDEETKER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - Local	Agency Road C	ost (Project 3)			
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ -	
Total Engineering & Cor	istruction Cost				\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ -	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO JETTERSON
Number: J6l3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - Loca	Agency Road C	ost (Project 4)			
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Con	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost				\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					Ś -	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - Local	Agency Road C	ost (Project 5)			
Item		Estimated Quantity		\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Con	istruction Cost				\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ -	

Engineer's Cost Estimate					
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO INTERSOR		
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64		
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER		
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023			

	Alternative 3 - Bridge Cost (Project 1)							
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Uni [.] Dollar	t or % (2022 rs)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks	
Structures								
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge	-	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$-		
	Box Culverts	-	SQFT	\$	200.00	\$-		
	Cross Road Bridges	-	SQFT	\$	160.00	\$-		
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$	350.00	\$-		
	Bridge Removal	-	SQFT	\$	20.00	\$-		
Miscellaneous Co	osts							
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-		
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.		6%	\$-		
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-		
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.		1%	\$-		
	Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.		6%	\$-		
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.		10%	\$-		
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.		10%	\$-		
Contingency								
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$-		
Total Engineering	g & Construction Cost					\$-		
Right-of-Way Cos	sts							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT	
Total Cost						\$-		

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSNIGHWAY TO IEFFERSON
Number: J6l3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY ** TRANSPORTATION ** TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alternative 3 - Br	idge Cost (Proje	ct 2)		
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures						
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-	
	Box Culverts	-	SQFT	\$ 200.00	\$-	
	Cross Road Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$-	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 350.00	\$-	
	Bridge Removal	-	SQFT	\$ 20.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Co	osts					
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$ -	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering	g & Construction Cost				\$-	
Right-of-Way Cos	sts					
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ -	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J613585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY ** TRANSPORTATION ** TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - Bridge Cost (Project 3)								
ltem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/U Doll	nit or % (2022 ars)	Ext	ended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures									
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge		-	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$	-	
	Box Culverts		-	SQFT	\$	200.00	\$	-	
	Cross Road Bridges		12,030	SQFT	\$	300.00	\$	3,609,000.00	Widening Existing
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges		-	SQFT	\$	350.00	\$	-	
	Bridge Removal		2,675	SQFT	\$	20.00	\$	53,500.00	
Miscellaneous Co	sts								
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	3,662,500	% of Const.		2%	\$	73,250	
	MOT During Construction	\$	3,662,500	% of Const.		6%	\$	219,750	
	Enhancements	\$	3,662,500	% of Const.		2%	\$	73,250	
	Surveying	\$	3,662,500	% of Const.		1%	\$	36,625	
	Mobilization	\$	3,662,500	% of Const.		6%	\$	219,750	
	Engineering Design	\$	3,662,500	% of Const.		10%	\$	366,250	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	3,662,500	% of Const.		10%	\$	366,250	
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$	5,017,625.00	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	1,003,525.00	
Total Engineering	s & Construction Cost						\$	6,021,150.00	
Right-of-Way Cos	ts								
	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost							\$	6,021,150.00	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			
Number: J613585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - Bridge Cost (Project 4)						
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (20 Dollars)	22 E	xtended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures							
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge	1,624	SQFT	\$ 275.	00	\$ 446,600.00	
	Widening Existing Structure	33,074	SQFT	\$ 300.	00	\$ 9,922,200.00	
	Cross Road Bridges	17,044	SQFT	\$ 160.	00	\$ 2,727,040.00	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 350.	00	\$ -	
	Bridge Removal	13,620	SQFT	\$ 20.	00	\$ 272,400.00	
Miscellaneous Co	ists						
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 13,368,24	0 % of Const.		2%	\$ 267,365	
	MOT During Construction	\$ 13,368,24	0 % of Const.		6%	\$ 802,094	
	Enhancements	\$ 13,368,2	0 % of Const.		2%	\$ 267,365	
	Surveying	\$ 13,368,24	0 % of Const.		1%	\$ 133,682	
	Mobilization	\$ 13,368,24	0 % of Const.		6%	\$ 802,094	
	Engineering Design	\$ 13,368,24	0 % of Const.	1	0%	\$ 1,336,824	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 13,368,24	0 % of Const.	1	0%	\$ 1,336,824	
Contingency							
	Contingency	\$ 18,314,488.	30 % of Subtotal of Above	2	0%	\$ 3,662,897.76	
Total Engineering	s & Construction Cost					\$ 21,977,386.56	
Right-of-Way Cos	ts						
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ 21,977,386.56	

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO ISTERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY ** TRANSPORTATION ** TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - Bridge Cost (Project 5)						
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Uni Dollaı	t or % (2022 rs)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures							
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge	-	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$-	
	Box Culverts	-	SQFT	\$	200.00	\$-	
	Cross Road Bridges	-	SQFT	\$	160.00	\$-	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$	350.00	\$-	
	Bridge Removal	-	SQFT	\$	20.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Co	osts						
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.		1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$-	
Total Engineering	g & Construction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Cos	sts						
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$-	

	Engineer's Cost Estimate		
Project: I-64 PEL - WEST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO IEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

*does not include ROW costs

Alternative 3 - Total Cost* (Project 1)				
		Subtotal		
Alternative 3 Freeway	\$	669,260.06		
Alternative 3 Ramps	\$	-		
Alternative 3 Local Roads	\$	-		
Alternative 3 Local Agency Roads	\$	-		
Alternative 3 Bridges	\$	-		
Alternative 3 (Project 1) Total Cost	\$	669,260.06		

Alternative 3 - Total Cost* (Project 2)				
		Subtotal		
Alternative 3 Freeway	\$	1,878,831.82		
Alternative 3 Ramps	\$	-		
Alternative 3 Local Roads	\$	196,017.12		
Alternative 3 Local Agency Roads	\$	6,125,430.01		
Alternative 3 Bridges	\$	-		
Alternative 3 (Project 2) Total Cost	\$	8,200,278.95		

Alternative 3 - Total Cost* (Project 3)				
	Subtotal			
Alternative 3 Freeway	\$ 6,070,672.54			
Alternative 3 Ramps	\$ 2,529,247.09			
Alternative 3 Local Roads	\$ -			
Alternative 3 Local Agency Roads	\$ -			
Alternative 3 Bridges	\$ 6,021,150.00			
Alternative 3 (Project 3) Total Cost	\$ 14,621,069.63			

Project: I-64 PEL - WEST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 3 - Total Cost* (Project 4)				
Alternative 3 Freeway	\$ 14,216,726.95			
Alternative 3 Ramps	\$ 8,415,478.46			
Alternative 3 Local Roads	\$ 747,349.33			
Alternative 3 Local Agency Roads	\$ -			
Alternative 3 Bridges	\$ 21,977,386.56			
Alternative 3 (Project 4) Total Cost	\$ 45,356,941.30			

Alter	mative 3 - Total Cost* (Project 5)
	Subtotal
Alternative 3 Freeway	\$
Alternative 3 Ramps	\$ -
Alternative 3 Local Roads	\$ -
Alternative 3 Local Agency Roads	\$ -
Alternative 3 Bridges	\$ -
Alternative 3 (Project 5) Total Cost	\$ -

	Engineer's Cost Estimate		
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alternative 1 - Fre	eway Cost (Project	1)			
				\$/Uı	nit or % (2022		
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	Doll	ars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	2,802	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$ 140,114.81	
	Erosion Control	0.43	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$ 130,056.82	
Pavement and Base							
Mainline I-64							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	4,203	SQYD	\$	130.00	\$ 546,447.78	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$-	
Outer- Roads							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$-	
Highway Lighting							
	Highway Lighting	-	Mile	\$	350,000.00	\$-	
Interchanges							
	Lighting and Signing	-	EA	\$	600,000.00	\$-	
	Signalization	-	EA	\$	350,000.00	\$ -	
Outer- Roads	-						
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$-	
Walls							
	MSE Walls	-	SQFT	\$	85.00	\$ -	
	Sound Walls	-	SQFT	\$	100.00	\$ -	
Utility Relocation							
	Corridor Utility Relocation	0.43	Mile	\$	500,000.00	\$ 216,761.36	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	-	Mile	\$	450,000.00		
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$ 1,033,381	% of Roadway Const.		15%	\$ 155,007	
	Removal of Improvements		% of Const.		10%		
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		% of Const.		2%	\$ 20,668	
	MOT During Construction		% of Const.		6%	\$ 62,003	
	Enhancements		% of Const.		2%		
	Surveying		% of Const.		1%	\$ 10,334	
	Mobilization		% of Const.		6%	\$ 62,003	
	Engineering Design		% of Const.		10%	\$ 103,338	
	Construction Management and Administration		% of Const.		10%		

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J613585		Deter	11/20/2022		FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF		Date:	11/29/2022		COMMUNITY ** TRANSPORTATION >> TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ		Date:	1/20/2023		
The unit costs shown in this estimate represent an opinion of probable costs prepared in good faith does not make any commitment or assume any duty to assure that bids or negotiated prices will no		•	onstruction labor, mater	ials, or equipment, nor over	the competitive bidding or negotiation methods and
Contingency					
Contingency	\$ 1,674,076.85	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 334,815.37	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost				\$ 2,008,892.23	
Right-of-Way Costs					
Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost				\$ 2,008,892.23	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6I3585			FIITIIRE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY ITAMSPORTATION IN TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 1 - Freeway Cost (Project 2)								
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	Doll	ars)	Extende	d Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage								
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$	-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$	-	
Pavement and Base								
Vainline I-64								
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$	130.00	\$	-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$	-	
Duter- Roads								
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$	-	
Highway Lighting								
	Highway Lighting	-	Mile	\$	350,000.00	\$	-	
Interchanges								
	Lighting and Signing	1	EA	\$	600,000.00	\$	600,000.00	
	Signalization	2	EA	\$	350,000.00	\$	700,000.00	
Outer- Roads								
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$	-	
Walls								
	MSE Walls	-	SQFT	\$	85.00	\$	-	
	Sound Walls	-	SQFT	\$	100.00	\$	-	
Jtility Relocation								
	Corridor Utility Relocation	-	Mile	\$	500,000.00	\$	-	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	-	Mile	\$	450,000.00	\$	-	
Viscellaneous Costs								
	Drainage	\$ 1,033,381	% of Roadway Const.		15%	\$	155,007	
	Removal of Improvements		% of Const.		10%		103,338	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		% of Const.		2%		20,668	
	MOT During Construction	\$ 1,033,381	% of Const.		6%	\$	62,003	
	Enhancements		% of Const.		2%	\$	20,668	
	Surveying	\$ 1,033,381	% of Const.		1%	\$	10,334	
	Mobilization		% of Const.		6%	\$	62,003	
	Engineering Design	\$ 1,033,381	% of Const.		10%	\$	103,338	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 1,033,381	% of Const.		10%	\$	103,338	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6I3585					FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF		Date:	11/29/2022		COMMUNITY ** TRANSPORTATION >> TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ		Date:	1/20/2023		
The unit costs shown in this estimate represent an opinion of probable costs prepared in good faith an does not make any commitment or assume any duty to assure that bids or negotiated prices will not		•	onstruction labor, materi	ials, or equipment, nor over	the competitive bidding or negotiation methods and
Contingency					
Contingency	\$ 1,940,696.08	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 388,139.22	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost				\$ 2,328,835.30	
Right-of-Way Costs					
Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost				\$ 2,328,835.30	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6l3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY + TRANSPORTATION + TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alternative 1 - Fre	eway Cost (Project	3)				
				\$/U	nit or % (2022			
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	Doll	ars)	Exter	nded Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage								
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	22,656	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$	1,132,800.00	
	Erosion Control	0.78	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$	235,113.64	
Pavement and Base								
Vainline I-64								
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	4,531	SQYD	\$	130.00	\$	589,030.00	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$	-	
Outer- Roads								
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$	-	
Highway Lighting								
	Highway Lighting	0.78	Mile	\$	350,000.00	\$	274,299.24	
Interchanges								
	Lighting and Signing	-	EA	\$	600,000.00	\$	-	
	Signalization	-	EA	\$	350,000.00	\$	-	
Outer- Roads								
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		SQYD	\$	65.00	\$	-	
Walls								
	MSE Walls	6,900	SQFT	\$	85.00	\$	586,500.00	WB I-64 Grand Off Ramp
	Sound Walls	-	SQFT	\$	100.00	\$	-	
Utility Relocation								
	Corridor Utility Relocation	0.78	Mile	\$	500,000.00	\$	391,856.06	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	-	Mile	\$	450,000.00	\$	-	
Miscellaneous Costs								
	Drainage	\$ 1,033,381	% of Roadway Const.		15%	\$	155,007	
	Removal of Improvements		% of Const.	1	10%		103,338	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		% of Const.	1	2%		20,668	
	MOT During Construction		% of Const.		6%	\$	62,003	
	Enhancements		% of Const.		2%	\$	20,668	
	Surveying	\$ 1,033,381	% of Const.		1%	\$	10,334	
	Mobilization	\$ 1,033,381	% of Const.		6%	\$	62,003	
	Engineering Design	\$ 1,033,381	% of Const.		10%	\$	103,338	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 1,033,381	% of Const.		10%	\$	103,338	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6I3585		_			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF		Date:	11/29/2022		COMMUNITY ** TRANSPORTATION ** TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ		Date:	1/20/2023		
The unit costs shown in this estimate represent an opinion of probable costs prepared in good faith and wi does not make any commitment or assume any duty to assure that bids or negotiated prices will not vary j		•	onstruction labor, mater	ials, or equipment, nor over	the competitive bidding or negotiation methods and
Contingency					
Contingency	\$ 3,850,295.02	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 770,059.00	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost				\$ 4,620,354.02	
Right-of-Way Costs					
Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost				\$ 4,620,354.02	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY ** TRANSPORTATION ** TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alternative 1 - Fre	eway Cost (Project	4)				
				\$/U	nit or % (2022			
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	Doll	ars)	Exten	ded Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage								
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	3,387	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$	169,325.93	
	Erosion Control	0.53	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$	159,147.73	
Pavement and Base								
Mainline I-64								
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	5,080	SQYD	\$	130.00	\$	660,371.11	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$	-	
Outer- Roads								
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$	-	
Highway Lighting								
	Highway Lighting	0.53	Mile	\$	350,000.00	\$	185,672.35	
Interchanges								
	Lighting and Signing	-	EA	\$	600,000.00	\$	-	
	Signalization	-	EA	\$	350,000.00		-	
Outer- Roads								
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$	-	
Walls								
	MSE Walls	19,140	SQFT	\$	85.00	\$	1,626,900.00	Spruce Wall and Bernard St. Wall
	Sound Walls	-	SQFT	\$	100.00	\$	-	-
Utility Relocation				-				
	Corridor Utility Relocation	0.53	Mile	Ś	500,000.00	Ś	265,246.21	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	-	Mile	\$		\$	-	
Miscellaneous Costs	•				·			
	Drainage	\$ 3,066,663	% of Roadway Const.		15%	\$	459,999	
	Removal of Improvements	\$ -	% of Const.		10%		-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ -	% of Const.		2%		-	
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	1	6%	\$	-	
	Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.	1	2%	\$	-	
	Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.	1	1%		-	
	Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	1	6%	\$	-	
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.		10%	\$	-	
	Construction Management and Administration	Ś -	% of Const.	1	10%	\$	-	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6I3585		5.1			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF		Date:	11/29/2022		COMMUNITY ** TRANSPORTATION >> TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ		Date:	1/20/2023		
The unit costs shown in this estimate represent an opinion of probable costs prepared in good faith and we does not make any commitment or assume any duty to assure that bids or negotiated prices will not vary		•	onstruction labor, mater	ials, or equipment, nor over	the competitive bidding or negotiation methods and
Contingency					
Contingency	\$ 3,526,662.82	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 705,332.56	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost				\$ 4,231,995.39	
Right-of-Way Costs					
Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost				\$ 4,231,995.39	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6I3585			FIITIBE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY "TRANSPORTATION " TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 1 - Freeway Cost (Project 5)									
				\$/U	nit or % (2022				
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	Doll	ars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks		
Grading and Drainage									
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$-			
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$-			
Pavement and Base									
Mainline I-64									
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$	130.00	\$-			
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$-			
Outer- Roads									
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$-			
Highway Lighting									
	Highway Lighting	-	Mile	\$	350,000.00	\$-			
Interchanges									
	Lighting and Signing	-	EA	\$	600,000.00	\$ -			
	Signalization	1	EA	\$		\$ 350,000.00			
Outer- Roads									
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$ -			
Walls									
	MSE Walls	-	SQFT	\$	85.00	Ś -			
	Sound Walls	-	SQFT	\$	100.00	\$ -			
Utility Relocation									
•	Corridor Utility Relocation	-	Mile	Ś	500,000.00	Ś -			
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	-	Mile	\$		\$ -			
Miscellaneous Costs	·								
	Drainage	\$ 350,000	% of Roadway Const.		15%	\$ 52,500			
	Removal of Improvements		% of Const.		10%				
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		% of Const.		2%				
	MOT During Construction	. ,	% of Const.	1	6%	\$ 21,000			
	Enhancements	\$ 350,000	% of Const.	1	2%	\$ 7,000			
	Surveying		% of Const.	1	1%				
	Mobilization	\$ 350,000	% of Const.	1	6%	\$ 21,000			
	Engineering Design	\$ 350,000	% of Const.		10%	\$ 35,000			
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 350,000	% of Const.		10%	\$ 35,000			

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6I3585					FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF		Date:	11/29/2022		COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ		Date:	1/20/2023		
The unit costs shown in this estimate represent an opinion of probable costs prepared in good faith and w does not make any commitment or assume any duty to assure that bids or negotiated prices will not vary		•	onstruction labor, mater	ials, or equipment, nor over	the competitive bidding or negotiation methods and
Contingency					
Contingency	\$ 567,000.00	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 113,400.00	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost				\$ 680,400.00	
Right-of-Way Costs					
Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost				\$ 680,400.00	

	Engineer's Cost Estimate		
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6l3585			
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 1 - Ramps Cost (Project 1)									
Item	tem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks			
Grading and Drainage										
	Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-				
Pavement and Base										
Ramps										
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-				
Miscellaneous Costs										
	Drainage	\$	-	% of Roadway Con	15%	\$-				
	Removal of Improvements	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-				
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	-	% of Const.	2%	\$ -				
	MOT During Construction	\$	-	% of Const.	6%	\$-				
	Enhancements	\$	-	% of Const.	2%	\$ -				
	Surveying	\$	-	% of Const.	1%	\$-				
	Erosion Control	\$	-	% of Const.	1%	\$-				
	Mobilization	\$	-	% of Const.	6%	\$-				
	Engineering Design	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$ -				
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	-	% of Const.	10%	\$-				
Contingency										
				% of Subtotal of						
	Contingency	\$	-	Above	20%	\$ -				
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$-				
Right-of-Way Costs										
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT			
Total Cost						\$-				

	Alternative 1 - Ramps Cost (Project 2)										
Item	em		ted ity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)		Extended Price (\$)	Remarks			
Grading and Drainage											
	Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-		CUYD	\$	50.00	\$ -				
Pavement and Base											
Ramps											
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-		SQYD	\$	130.00	\$ -				
Miscellaneous Costs											
	Drainage	\$	-	% of Roadway Con		15%	\$-				
	Removal of Improvements	\$	-	% of Const.		10%	\$-				
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	-	% of Const.		2%	\$-				
	MOT During Construction	\$	-	% of Const.		6%	\$-				
	Enhancements	\$	-	% of Const.		2%	\$-				
	Surveying	\$	-	% of Const.		1%	\$-				
	Erosion Control	\$	-	% of Const.		1%	\$-				
	Mobilization	\$	-	% of Const.		6%	\$-				
	Engineering Design	\$	-	% of Const.		10%	\$-				
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	-	% of Const.		10%	\$-				
Contingency											
				% of Subtotal of							
	Contingency	\$	-	Above		20%	\$ -				
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost						\$ -				
Right-of-Way Costs											
	Right-of-Way							To be provided by MoDOT			
Total Cost							\$-				

	Alternative 1 - Ramps Cost (Project 3)									
Item	m		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)		Extended Price (\$)		Remarks	
Grading and Drainage										
	Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		4,736	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$	236,814.81		
Pavement and Base										
Ramps										
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		1,421	SQYD	\$	130.00	\$	184,715.56		
Miscellaneous Costs										
	Drainage	\$	421,530	% of Roadway Con		15%	\$	63,230		
	Removal of Improvements	\$		% of Const.		10%	\$	42,153		
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	421,530	% of Const.		2%	\$	8,431		
	MOT During Construction	\$		% of Const.		6%	\$	25,292		
	Enhancements	\$	421,530	% of Const.		2%	\$	8,431		
	Surveying	\$	421,530	% of Const.		1%	\$	4,215		
	Erosion Control	\$		% of Const.		1%	\$	4,215		
	Mobilization	\$	421,530	% of Const.		6%	\$	25,292		
	Engineering Design	\$	421,530	% of Const.		10%	\$	42,153		
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	421,530	% of Const.		10%	\$	42,153		
Contingency										
				% of Subtotal of						
	Contingency	\$	687,094.50	Above		20%	\$	137,418.90		
Total Engineering & Cor	struction Cost						\$	824,513.40		
Right-of-Way Costs										
	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT	
Total Cost							\$	824,513.40		
	Alte	erna	tive 1 - Ramp	s Cost (Project 4	4)					
-------------------------	---	------	-----------------------	-------------------	------	-----------------------------	-----	------------------	-------------------------	
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/l	Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Ext	ended Price (\$)	Remarks	
Grading and Drainage										
	Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		23,287	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$	1,164,351.85		
Pavement and Base										
Ramps										
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		6,986	SQYD	\$	130.00	\$	908,194.44		
Miscellaneous Costs										
	Drainage	\$		% of Roadway Con		15%	\$	310,882		
	Removal of Improvements	\$		% of Const.		10%	\$	207,255		
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$		% of Const.		2%	\$	41,451		
	MOT During Construction	\$		% of Const.		6%	\$	124,353		
	Enhancements	\$		% of Const.		2%	\$	41,451		
	Surveying	\$		% of Const.		1%	\$	20,725		
	Erosion Control	\$		% of Const.		1%	\$	20,725		
	Mobilization	\$	2,072,546	% of Const.		6%	\$	124,353		
	Engineering Design	\$	2,072,546	% of Const.		10%	\$	207,255		
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	2,072,546	% of Const.		10%	\$	207,255		
Contingency										
				% of Subtotal of						
	Contingency	\$	3,378,250.46	Above		20%	\$	675,650.09		
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost						\$	4,053,900.56		
Right-of-Way Costs										
	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT	
Total Cost							\$	4,053,900.56		

	Alter	native 1 - F	lamp	s Cost (Project 5	5)				
Item		Estimate Quantit		Unit		t or % (2022 Dollars)	Exte	ended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage									
	Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	6,371		CUYD	\$	50.00	\$	318,537.04	
Pavement and Base									
Ramps									
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	1,911		SQYD	\$	130.00	\$	248,458.89	
Miscellaneous Costs									
	Drainage	\$ 56	6,996	% of Roadway Con		15%	\$	85,049	
	Removal of Improvements	\$ 56	6,996	% of Const.		10%	\$	56,700	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 56	6,996	% of Const.		2%	\$	11,340	
	MOT During Construction	\$ 56	6,996	% of Const.		6%	\$	34,020	
	Enhancements	\$ 56	6,996	% of Const.		2%	\$	11,340	
	Surveying	\$ 56	6,996	% of Const.		1%	\$	5,670	
	Erosion Control	\$ 56	6,996	% of Const.		1%	\$	5,670	
	Mobilization	\$ 56	6,996	% of Const.		6%	\$	34,020	
	Engineering Design			% of Const.		10%	\$	56,700	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 56	6,996	% of Const.		10%	\$	56,700	
Contingency									
				% of Subtotal of					
	Contingency	\$ 924,2	03.36	Above		20%	\$	184,840.67	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost						\$	1,109,044.03	
Right-of-Way Costs									
	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost							\$	1,109,044.03	

	Engineer's Cost Estimate		
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO INFERSOR
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY = TRANSPORTATION = TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative	1 - Local MoDO	T Road Cost (Pr	rojec	t 1)		
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Un Dolla	it or % (2022 rs)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization	-	EA	\$	350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Con	1:	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.		1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.		10%		
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			RIMESHIONWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative	<mark>1 - Local MoDC</mark>	T Road Cost (Pr	roje	ect 2)			
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit		Init or % (2022 Iars)	Exte	ended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage								
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	2,631	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$	131,537.04	
	Erosion Control	0.15	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$	46,250.00	
Pavement and Base								
Local Road								
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	3,946	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$	355,150.00	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	1,000	LF	\$	120.00	\$	120,000.00	
Local Road								
	Signalization	-	EA	\$	350,000	\$	-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	613	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$	39,816.11	
Miscellaneous Costs								
	Drainage	\$ 692,753	% of Roadway Con		15%	\$	103,913	
	Removal of Improvements	\$ 692,753	% of Const.		10%	\$	69,275	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 692,753	% of Const.		2%	\$	13,855	
	MOT During Construction	\$ 692,753	% of Const.		6%	\$	41,565	
	Enhancements	\$ 692,753	% of Const.		2%	\$	13,855	
	Surveying	\$ 692,753	% of Const.		1%	\$	6,928	
	Mobilization	\$ 692,753	% of Const.		6%	\$	41,565	
	Engineering Design		% of Const.		10%	\$	69,275	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 692,753	% of Const.		10%	\$	69,275	
Contingency								
	Contingency	\$ 1,122,260.10	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	224,452.02	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost					\$	1,346,712.12	
Right-of-Way Costs								
	Right-of-Way							To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$	1,346,712.12	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J613585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 1 - Local MoDOT Road Cost (Project 3)								
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/U Doll	nit or % (2022 ars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks		
Grading and Drainage									
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$-			
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$-			
Pavement and Base									
Local Road									
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$-			
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$-			
Local Road									
	Signalization	-	EA	\$	350,000	\$-			
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$-			
Miscellaneous Costs									
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Con	1	15%	\$-			
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-			
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-			
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-			
	Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.		2%	\$-			
	Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.		1%	\$-			
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-			
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.		10%	\$-			
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-			
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$-			
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost					\$-			
Right-of-Way Costs									
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT		
Total Cost						\$-			

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			RIMESHIONWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 1 - Local MoDOT Road Cost (Project 4)								
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit		Init or % (2022 Iars)	Exter	nded Price (\$)	Remarks	
Grading and Drainage									
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$	-		
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$	-		
Pavement and Base									
Local Road									
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$	-		
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$	-		
Local Road									
	Signalization	-	EA	\$	350,000	\$	-		
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	825	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$	53,603.33		
Miscellaneous Costs									
	Drainage	\$ 53,603	% of Roadway Con		15%	\$	8,041		
	Removal of Improvements	\$ 53,603	% of Const.		10%	\$	5,360		
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 53,603	% of Const.		2%	\$	1,072		
	MOT During Construction	\$ 53,603	% of Const.		6%	\$	3,216		
	Enhancements	\$ 53,603	% of Const.		2%	\$	1,072		
	Surveying	\$ 53,603	% of Const.		1%	\$	536		
	Mobilization	\$ 53,603	% of Const.		6%	\$	3,216		
	Engineering Design	\$ 53,603	% of Const.		10%	\$	5,360		
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 53,603	% of Const.		10%	\$	5,360		
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$ 86,837.40	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	17,367.48		
Total Engineering & Cor	astruction Cost					\$	104,204.88		
Right-of-Way Costs									
	Right-of-Way							To be provided by MoDOT	
Total Cost						\$	104,204.88	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative	1 - Local MoDO	T Road Cost (Pr	roje	ct 5)			
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/U Doll	nit or % (2022 lars)	Exte	ended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage								
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	9314	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$	465,692.59	
	Erosion Control	0.55	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$	163,522.73	
Pavement and Base								
Local Road								
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	13971	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$	1,257,370.00	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		LF	\$	120.00	\$	-	
Local Road								
	Signalization	-	EA	\$	350,000	\$	-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	2980	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$	193,671.11	
Miscellaneous Costs								
	Drainage	\$ 2,080,256	% of Roadway Con		15%	\$	312,038	
	Removal of Improvements	\$ 2,080,256	% of Const.		10%	\$	208,026	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 2,080,256	% of Const.		2%	\$	41,605	
	MOT During Construction	\$ 2,080,256	% of Const.		6%	\$	124,815	
	Enhancements	\$ 2,080,256	% of Const.		2%	\$	41,605	
	Surveying	\$ 2,080,256	% of Const.		1%	\$	20,803	
	Mobilization	\$ 2,080,256	% of Const.		6%	\$	124,815	
	Engineering Design		% of Const.		10%	\$	208,026	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 2,080,256	% of Const.		10%	\$	208,026	
Contingency								
	Contingency	\$ 3,370,015.42	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	674,003.08	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost					\$	4,044,018.50	
Right-of-Way Costs								
	Right-of-Way							To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$	4,044,018.50	

		Engineer's Cost Estimate		
Project:	I-64 PEL - EAST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO INTERSOR
Number:	J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By:	TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY " TRANSPORTATION » TOBETHER
Checked By:	EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alterna	ative 1 - Local Ag	gency Road Cost	(Project 1))		
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % Dollars)	(2022	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,0	00.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 1	120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 35	50,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Con		15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.		1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency	\$ -	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Co	nstruction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$-	

Project:	I-64 PEL - EAST			KINOSHIGHWAY 19 JEFFERSON
Number:	J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By:	TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - TOLETHER
Checked By:	EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	
			-	

	Alterna	tive 1 - Local Age	ncy Road Cost	<mark>(Proj</mark> e	ect 2)			
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Uni Dolla	it or % (2022 rs)	Exte	ended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage								
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	34,367	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$	1,718,333.33	
	Erosion Control	0.43	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$	129,886.36	
Pavement and Base								
Local Road								
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	20,717	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$	1,864,500.00	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	4,000	LF	\$	120.00	\$	480,000.00	
Local Road								
	Signalization	1	EA	\$	350,000	\$	350,000	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	1,681	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$	109,250.56	
Miscellaneous Costs								
	Drainage	\$ 4,651,970	% of Roadway Con	1:	15%	\$	697,796	
	Removal of Improvements		% of Const.		10%	\$	565,197	Added 100K to account for Grand Bridge Demo
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 4,651,970	% of Const.		2%	\$	93,039	
	MOT During Construction	\$ 4,651,970	% of Const.		6%	\$	279,118	
	Enhancements	\$ 4,651,970	% of Const.		2%	\$	93,039	
	Surveying	\$ 4,651,970	% of Const.		1%	\$	46,520	
	Mobilization	\$ 4,651,970	% of Const.		6%	\$	279,118	
	Engineering Design	\$ 4,651,970	% of Const.		10%	\$	465,197	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 4,651,970	% of Const.		10%	\$	465,197	
Contingency								
	Contingency	\$ 7,636,191.81	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	1,527,238.36	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$	9,163,430.17	
Right-of-Way Costs								
· ·	Right-of-Way							To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$	9,163,430.17	

Project:	I-64 PEL - EAST			RINGSHIENWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number:	J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By:	TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY "TRANSPORTATION "TOGETHER
Checked By:	EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alterna	tive 1 - Local Age	ency Road Cost ((Project 3)			
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2 Dollars)	022	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50	0.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000	0.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90	0.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120	0.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,	000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65	5.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Con		15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.		1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ -	

Project:	I-64 PEL - EAST			RINGSHIENWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number:	J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By:	TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY "TRANSPORTATION "TOGETHER
Checked By:	EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternat	ive 1 - Local Age	ency Road Cost ((Project 4)		
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Con	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost				\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost		<u></u>			\$ -	

Project: Number:	I-64 PEL - EAST J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By:	TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By:	EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternat	ive 1 - Local Age	ency Road Cost ((Proj	ect 5)		
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Un Dolla	it or % (2022 irs)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	1,233	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$ 61,651.85	
	Erosion Control	0.06	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$ 16,534.09	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	1,850	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$ 166,460.00	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$	120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization	1	EA	\$	350,000	\$ 350,000	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	3,444	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$ 223,888.89	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$ 818,535	% of Roadway Con		15%	\$ 122,780	
	Removal of Improvements		% of Const.		10%	\$ 81,853	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 818,535	% of Const.		2%	\$ 16,371	
	MOT During Construction	\$ 818,535	% of Const.		6%	\$ 49,112	
	Enhancements	\$ 818,535	% of Const.		2%	\$ 16,371	
	Surveying	\$ 818,535	% of Const.		1%	\$ 8,185	
	Mobilization	\$ 818,535	% of Const.		6%	\$ 49,112	
	Engineering Design	\$ 818,535	% of Const.		10%	\$ 81,853	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 818,535	% of Const.		10%	\$ 81,853	
Contingency							
	Contingency	\$ 1,326,026.43	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$ 265,205.29	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$ 1,591,231.71	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ 1,591,231.71	

	Engineer's Cost Estimate		
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY ** TRANSPORTATION ** TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alternative 1 - Br	idge Cost (Proje	ect 1)			
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit Dollars	or % (2022)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures							
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge	-	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$-	
	Box Culverts	-	SQFT	\$	200.00	\$-	
	Cross Road Bridges	-	SQFT	\$	160.00	\$-	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$	350.00	\$-	
	Bridge Removal	-	SQFT	\$	20.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Co	osts						
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.		2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.		1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.		6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.		10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$-	
Total Engineering	g & Construction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Cos	sts						
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 1 - Bridge Cost (Project 2)								
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Uni Dollaı	it or % (2022 rs)	Exte	ended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures									
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge		-	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$	-	
	Box Culverts		-	SQFT	\$	200.00	\$	-	
	Cross Road Bridges		11,040	SQFT	\$	160.00	\$	1,766,400.00	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges		-	SQFT	\$	350.00	\$	-	
	Bridge Removal		23,538	SQFT	\$	20.00	\$	470,760.00	
Miscellaneous Co	osts								
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	2,237,160	% of Const.		2%	\$	44,743	
	MOT During Construction	\$	2,237,160	% of Const.		6%	\$	134,230	
	Enhancements	\$	2,237,160	% of Const.		2%	\$	44,743	
	Surveying	\$	2,237,160	% of Const.		1%	\$	22,372	
	Mobilization	\$	2,237,160	% of Const.		6%	\$	134,230	
	Engineering Design	\$	2,237,160	% of Const.		10%	\$	223,716	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	2,237,160	% of Const.		10%	\$	223,716	
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$	3,064,909.20	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	612,981.84	
Total Engineering	g & Construction Cost						\$	3,677,891.04	
Right-of-Way Cos	sts								
	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost							\$	3,677,891.04	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			RINGSHIGH WAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 1 - Bridge Cost (Project 3)						
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (ä Dollars)	2022	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures							
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge	-	SQFT	\$ 27	/5.00	\$-	
	Widening Existing Bridge	29,377	SQFT	\$ 30	00.00	\$ 8,813,100.00	
	Cross Road Bridges	39,357	SQFT	\$ 16	60.00	\$ 6,297,120.00	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 35	0.00	\$-	
	Bridge Removal	57,437	SQFT	\$ 2	20.00	\$ 1,148,740.00	
Miscellaneous Co	sts						
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 16,258,960	% of Const.		2%	\$ 325,179	
	MOT During Construction	\$ 16,258,960	% of Const.		6%	\$ 975,538	
	Enhancements	\$ 16,258,960	% of Const.		2%	\$ 325,179	
	Surveying	\$ 16,258,960	% of Const.		1%	\$ 162,590	
	Mobilization	\$ 16,258,960	% of Const.		6%	\$ 975,538	
	Engineering Design	\$ 16,258,960	% of Const.		10%	\$ 1,625,896	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 16,258,960	% of Const.		10%	\$ 1,625,896	
Contingency							
	Contingency	\$ 22,274,775.20	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$ 4,454,955.04	
Total Engineering	s & Construction Cost					\$ 26,729,730.24	
Right-of-Way Cos	ts						
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ 26,729,730.24	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY ··· TRANSPORTATION ··· TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 1 - Bridge Cost (Project 4)							
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Uni Dollar	t or % (2022 [.] s)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures								
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge		-	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$-	
	Box Culverts		-	SQFT	\$	200.00	\$-	
	Cross Road Bridges		7,277	SQFT	\$	160.00	\$ 1,164,320.00	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges		-	SQFT	\$	350.00	\$-	
	Bridge Removal			SQFT	\$	20.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Co	osts							
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	1,164,320	% of Const.		2%	\$ 23,286	
	MOT During Construction	\$	1,164,320	% of Const.		6%	\$ 69,859	
	Enhancements	\$	1,164,320	% of Const.		2%	\$ 23,286	
	Surveying	\$	1,164,320	% of Const.		1%	\$ 11,643	
	Mobilization	\$	1,164,320	% of Const.		6%	\$ 69,859	
	Engineering Design	\$	1,164,320	% of Const.		10%	\$ 116,432	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	1,164,320	% of Const.		10%	\$ 116,432	
Contingency								
	Contingency	\$	1,595,118.40	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$ 319,023.68	
Total Engineering	g & Construction Cost						\$ 1,914,142.08	
Right-of-Way Cos	ts							
	Right-of-Way							To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost							\$ 1,914,142.08	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			RINGSHIGH WAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 1 - Bridge Cost (Project 5)								
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Uni Dollar	it or % (2022 rs)	Extend	ded Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures									
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge		-	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$	-	
	Box Culverts		-	SQFT	\$	200.00	\$	-	
	Cross Road Bridges		-	SQFT	\$	160.00	\$	-	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges		-	SQFT	\$	350.00	\$	-	
	Bridge Removal		12,608	SQFT	\$	20.00	\$	252,160.00	
Miscellaneous Co	sts								
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	252,160	% of Const.		2%	\$	5,043	
	MOT During Construction	\$	252,160	% of Const.		6%	\$	15,130	
	Enhancements	\$	252,160	% of Const.		2%	\$	5,043	
	Surveying	\$	252,160	% of Const.		1%	\$	2,522	
	Mobilization	\$	252,160	% of Const.		6%	\$	15,130	
	Engineering Design	\$	252,160	% of Const.		10%	\$	25,216	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	252,160	% of Const.		10%	\$	25,216	
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$	345,459.20	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	69,091.84	
Total Engineering	s & Construction Cost						\$	414,551.04	
Right-of-Way Cos	ts								
	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost							\$	414,551.04	

	Engineer's Cost Estimate		
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	12/1/2022	

*does not include ROW costs

Alternative 1 - Total Cost* (Project 1)				
		Subtotal		
Alternative 1 Freeway	\$	2,008,892.23		
Alternative 1 Ramps	\$			
Alternative 1 Local Roads	\$			
Alternative 1 Local Agency Roads	\$			
Alternative 1 Bridges	\$			
Alternative 1 (Project 1) Total Cost	\$	2,008,892.23		

Alternative 1 - Total Cost* (Project 2)				
	Subtotal			
Alternative 1 Freeway	\$ 2,328,835.30			
Alternative 1 Ramps	\$ -			
Alternative 1 Local Roads	\$ 1,346,712.12			
Alternative 1 Local Agency Roads	\$ 9,163,430.17			
Alternative 1 Bridges	\$ 3,677,891.04			
Alternative 1 (Project 2) Total Cost	\$ 16,516,868.63			

Alternative 1 - Total Cost* (Project 3)				
	Subtotal			
Alternative 1 Freeway	\$ 4,620,354.02			
Alternative 1 Ramps	\$ 824,513.40			
Alternative 1 Local Roads	\$			
Alternative 1 Local Agency Roads	\$			
Alternative 1 Bridges	\$ 26,729,730.24			
Alternative 1 (Project 3) Total Cost	\$ 32,174,597.67			

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: TF	Date:	11/29/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	12/1/2022	

Alternative 1 - Total Cost* (Project 4)						
Alternative 1 Freeway	\$ 4,231,995.39					
Alternative 1 Ramps	\$ 4,053,900.56					
Alternative 1 Local Roads	\$ 104,204.88					
Alternative 1 Local Agency Roads	\$					
Alternative 1 Bridges	\$ 1,914,142.08					
Alternative 1 (Project 4) Total Cost	\$ 10,304,242.90					

Alternative 1 - Total Cost* (Project 5)					
	Subtotal				
Alternative 1 Freeway	\$ 680,400.00				
Alternative 1 Ramps	\$ 1,109,044.03				
Alternative 1 Local Roads	\$ 4,044,018.50				
Alternative 1 Local Agency Roads	\$ 1,591,231.71				
Alternative 1 Bridges	\$ 414,551.04				
Alternative 1 (Project 5) Total Cost	\$ 7,839,245.29				

Engineer's Cost Estimate					
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST					
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64		
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY = TRANSPORTATION = TOBETHER		
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023			

	Alternativ	e 2 - Freeway Cos	t (Project 1)			
				\$/Unit or % (2022		
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ -	
	Erosion Control	_	Mile	\$ 300,000.00		
Pavement and Base				¢ 000,000,000	÷	
Mainline I-64						
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	Ś -	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$	
Outer- Roads			Li	Ş 120.00	Ŷ	
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base		SQYD	\$ 90.00	Ś -	
Highway Lighting		-		÷ 55.00	Ť	
inginary lighting	Highway Lighting		Mile	\$ 350,000.00	¢	
Interchanges	ווקוושמא בקונווק 			÷ 550,000.00	- -	
interchanges	Lighting and Signing		EA	\$ 600,000.00	\$ -	
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 800,000.00		
Outer Deede	31811a112a11011			ş 550,000.00	ې -	
Outer- Roads	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		COVD	ć (5.00	ć	
	Sidewaik/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	Ş -	
Walls						
	MSE Walls	-	SQFT	\$ 85.00	\$-	
	Sound Walls	•	SQFT	\$ 100.00	\$-	
Utility Relocation					·	
	Corridor Utility Relocation	-	Mile	\$ 500,000.00		
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	-	Mile	\$ 450,000.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$ -	% of Roadway Const.	15%		
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%		
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$ -	
	Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.	2%		
	Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.	1%		
	Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$ -	
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.	10%		
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%		
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost				\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ -	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J613585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY = TRANSPORTATION = TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternat	ive 2 -	Freeway Cos	st (Project 2)					
		Ectiv	mated Quantity		\$/Ur	nit or % (2022			
tem		ESUI	nated Quantity	Unit	Dolla	ars)	Exten	ded Price (\$)	Remarks
irading and Drainage									
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		17,544	CUYD	\$	50.00		877,212.96	
	Erosion Control		-	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$	-	
avement and Base									
lainline I-64									
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base 22340		2,482	SQYD	\$	130.00	\$	322,688.89	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$	120.00	\$	-	
uter- Roads									
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base		-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$	-	
ighway Lighting									
	Highway Lighting		-	Mile	\$	350,000.00	\$	-	
terchanges									
•	Lighting and Signing		-	EA	\$	600,000.00	\$	-	
	Signalization		-	EA	\$	350,000.00	\$	-	
uter- Roads					·				
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		-	SQYD	Ś	65.00	Ś	-	
/alls							· ·		
	MSE Walls			SQFT	Ś	85.00	\$	-	
	Sound Walls			SQFT	Ś	100.00	•	-	
tility Relocation					Ŧ		Ŧ		
	Corridor Utility Relocation		-	Mile	Ś	500,000.00	Ś		
	ITS Relocation and Improvments			Mile	Ś	450,000.00		-	
liscellaneous Costs					Ŷ	130,000100	÷		
	Drainage	\$	1 199 902	% of Roadway Const.		15%	Ś	179,985	
	Removal of Improvements	\$		% of Const.		10%		119,990	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$		% of Const.		2%		23,998	
	MOT During Construction	\$, ,	% of Const.		6%	<u> </u>	71,994	
	Enhancements	\$, ,	% of Const.		2%		23,998	
	Surveying	\$		% of Const.		1%		11,999	
	Mobilization	\$		% of Const.	1	6%		71,994	
	Engineering Design	\$		% of Const.	1	10%	· ·	119,990	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	1,199,902		1	10%		119,990	
ontingency		Ý	1,155,502			20/0	Ŷ	110,000	
Sincingency									
	Contingency	\$	1,943,841,00	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	Ś	388,768.20	
otal Engineering & Cor			1,343,041.00			2070	Ş Ş	2,332,609.20	
ight-of-Way Costs							Ŷ	2,332,003.20	
Birt OF Way COStS	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT
	ngiit-ui-way	_					ć		
Total Cost							\$	2,332,609.20	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KHIGSHICHWAY TO JEMERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY = TRANSPORTATION = TRRETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Altern	ative 2 - Freeway Co	ost (Project 3)			
		Estimated Quantit		\$/Unit or % (2022		
em		Estimated Quantit	. ^y Unit	Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
rading and Drainage						
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
avement and Base						
lainline I-64						
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
uter- Roads						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
ighway Lighting						
	Highway Lighting		Mile	\$ 350,000.00	\$-	
terchanges						
	Lighting and Signing		EA	\$ 600,000.00	Ś -	
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000.00		
uter- Roads	- U · · · ·			,,	· ·	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		SQYD	\$ 65.00	Ś -	
alls			54.5	÷	۴	
	MSE Walls		SQFT	\$ 85.00	<u>ج</u> ج	
	Sound Walls		SQFT	\$ 100.00		
tility Relocation		-	5011	Ş 100.00	7	
	Corridor Utility Relocation		Mile	\$ 500,000.00	Ś -	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments		Mile	\$ 450,000.00		
iscellaneous Costs			IVIIIe	\$ 430,000.00		
Iscellaneous costs	Decision	ć	0/ of Doodwood Const	150/	ć	
	Drainage	\$ -	% of Roadway Const.	15%		
	Removal of Improvements Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ - \$ -	% of Const. % of Const.	10%		1
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const. % of Const.	6%		
	Enhancements		% of Const.	2%		
	Surveying	<u></u>	% of Const. % of Const.	2%		
	Mobilization	\$ - \$ -	% of Const.	6%	1	
	Engineering Design	\$ - \$ -	% of Const.	10%		
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ - \$ -	% of Const.	10%		
ontingency		Ş -	70 OF COTISE.	10/0		
Jungency						
	Contingoncy	\$ -	% of Subtotal of Above	200/	ć	
	Contingency	\$ -	70 OF SUBLOLAL OF ADOVE	20%		
otal Engineering & Co	Instruction Cost				\$ -	
ght-of-Way Costs						Talas and Hadh Mapot
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
otal Cost					Ş -	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KHIGSHIGHWAY TO APPERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPONTATION - TRUETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alternativ	ve 2 - Freeway Co	st (Project 4)			
			Estimated Quantity	11-34	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Demesive
em rading and Drainage				Unit	Donarsj	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
aunig and Dramage	I Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		7,811	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 390,568.52	
	Erosion Control	5678.7086	1.08	Mile	\$ 300,000.00		
avement and Base		5078.7080	1.08	IVIIIe	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 522,055.90	
ainline I-64							
amme 1-04	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	105452 5	11 717		ć 120.00	\$ 1,523,217.22	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	105453.5	- 11,717	SQYD LF	\$ 130.00 \$ 120.00	\$ 1,523,217.22 \$ -	
uter- Roads	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	Ş -	
uler- Rodus	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base			SQYD	\$ 90.00	ć	
sk i Dikovi	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP W/ Rock Base		· ·	SQYD	\$ 90.00	Ş -	
ighway Lighting	ush a rahra			5 4'L	¢ 250,000,00	A 276 400 55	
	Highway Lighting	5678.7086	1.08	Mile	\$ 350,000.00	\$ 376,429.55	
terchanges						4	
	Lighting and Signing		-	EA	\$ 600,000.00		
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000.00	\$-	
uter- Roads							
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
alls							
	MSE Walls		18,300	SQFT	\$ 85.00		
	Sound Walls		-	SQFT	\$ 100.00	\$ -	
tility Relocation							
	Corridor Utility Relocation	5678.7086	1.08	Mile	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 537,756.50	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments		-	Mile	\$ 450,000.00	\$-	
iscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$ 4,706,126	% of Roadway Const.	15%	\$ 705,919	
	Removal of Improvements		\$ 4,706,126	% of Const.	10%	\$ 470,613	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ 4,706,126	% of Const.	2%	\$ 94,123	
	MOT During Construction			% of Const.	6%	, ,	
	Enhancements			% of Const.	2%	. ,	
	Surveying			% of Const.	1%	. ,	
	Mobilization			% of Const.	6%	, ,	
	Engineering Design			% of Const.	10%		
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 4,706,126	% of Const.	10%	\$ 470,613	
ntingency							
	Contingency		\$ 7,623,923.60	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 1,524,784.72	
otal Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$ 9,148,708.33	
ght-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
otal Cost						\$ 9,148,708.33	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO APPERSON
Number: J6l3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY . TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 2 - Freeway Cost (Project 5)						
		Estimated Quanti		\$/Unit or % (2022			
em		Estimated Quanti	.y Unit	Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks	
rading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00			
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-		
avement and Base							
ainline I-64							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-		
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-		
uter- Roads							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-		
ighway Lighting							
	Highway Lighting	-	Mile	\$ 350,000.00	\$ -		
terchanges							
- U	Lighting and Signing	-	EA	\$ 600,000.00	\$-		
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000.00			
uter- Roads	·			, ,			
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-		
/alls					· ·		
	MSE Walls	12,950	SQFT	\$ 85.00	\$ 1,100,750.00	Theresa wrap around Walls	
	Sound Walls	-	SQFT	\$ 100.00			
tility Relocation			5411	y 100.00	Ŷ		
	Corridor Utility Relocation	-	Mile	\$ 500,000.00	Ś -		
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	-	Mile	\$ 450,000.00			
iscellaneous Costs		-	Wille	\$ 450,000.00	Ş -		
Iscellaneous costs	Drainage	ć 1 100 75	0 % of Roadway Const.	150	د د ۱۵۶ ۱۱۵		
	Drainage Removal of Improvements		0 % of Const.	15% 10%			
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		0 % of Const.	2%			
	MOT During Construction	. , ,	0 % of Const.	6%	. ,		
	Enhancements		0 % of Const.	2%	, ,		
			0 % of Const.		\$ 22,013 6 \$ 11,008		
	Surveying Mobilization		0 % of Const. 0 % of Const.	6%			
	Mobilization		0 % of Const.	10%			
	Engineering Design Construction Management and Administration		0 % of Const. 0 % of Const.	10%			
ntingongy		ş 1,100,75		107	110,075 د ^م		
ontingency							
	Castiana	\$ 1,783,215.0	⁰ % of Subtotal of Above	200	¢ 256 642 00		
	Contingency		% of Subtotal of Above	20%	, ,		
otal Engineering & Co	nstruction Cost				\$ 2,139,858.00		
ght-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT	
otal Cost					\$ 2,139,858.00		

Engineer's Cost Estimate						
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6l3585			FUTURE 64			
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER			
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023				

		Alternative 2	- Ramps Cost (Project 1)			
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Ramps							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	0	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$-	% of Roadway Cor		\$-	
	Removal of Improvements		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying		\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$ -	
	Erosion Control		\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$ -	
	Engineering Design		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$ -	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency							
				% of Subtotal of			
	Contingency		\$-	Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Co	onstruction Cost					\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TODETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternati	ve 2 - Ramps Cost (Project 2)			
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Ramps						
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Co	n: 15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Erosion Control	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
			% of Subtotal of			
	Contingency	\$-	Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost				\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			RINGSHIGHWAY TO JEFTERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TODETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alter	native 2 - Ramps Cost (Project 3)			
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Ramps						
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Cor	n: 15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Erosion Control	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$ -	
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
			% of Subtotal of			
	Contingency	\$ -	Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Co	nstruction Cost				\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ -	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY "TRANSPORTATION "TOBETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternat	ive 2 - F	Ramps Cost (I	Project 4)			
ltem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		32,817	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 1,640,870.37	
Pavement and Base							
Ramps							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base 88607		9,845	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$ 1,279,878.89	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage	\$	2,920,749	% of Roadway Cor	15%	\$ 438,112	
	Removal of Improvements	\$	2,920,749	% of Const.	10%	\$ 292,075	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	2,920,749	% of Const.	2%	\$ 58,415	
	MOT During Construction	\$		% of Const.	6%	\$ 175,245	
	Enhancements	\$	2,920,749	% of Const.	2%	\$ 58,415	
	Surveying	\$		% of Const.	1%	\$ 29,207	
	Erosion Control	\$	2,920,749	% of Const.	1%	\$ 29,207	
	Mobilization	\$	2,920,749	% of Const.	6%	\$ 175,245	
	Engineering Design	\$	2,920,749	% of Const.	10%	\$ 292,075	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	2,920,749	% of Const.	10%	\$ 292,075	
Contingency							
				% of Subtotal of			
	Contingency	\$	4,760,821.29	Above	20%	\$ 952,164.26	
Total Engineering & C						\$ 5,712,985.55	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost		İ				\$ 5,712,985.55	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			NINGGHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TODETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 2	- Ramps Cost (Project 5)			
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embank	ment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Ramps						
10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Ba	ase	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
Drainage		\$-	% of Roadway Con	15%	\$-	
Removal of Improvements		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Ma	rking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
MOT During Construction		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
Enhancements		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
Surveying		\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
Erosion Control		\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
Mobilization		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$ -	
Engineering Design		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Construction Management and Administra	ation	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
			% of Subtotal of			
Contingency		\$ -	Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost					\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs						
Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$-	

Engineer's Cost Estimate						
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64			
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY " TRANSPORTATION " TOGETHER			
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023				

	Alternative 2 - Local MoDOT Road Cost (Project 1)								
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks			
Grading and Drainage									
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-				
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-				
Pavement and Base									
Local Road									
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-				
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-				
Local Road									
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-				
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-				
Miscellaneous Costs									
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-				
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-				
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-				
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-				
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-				
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-				
	Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-				
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-				
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-				
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-				
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost				\$ -				
Right-of-Way Costs									
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT			
Total Cost					\$ -				

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY " TRANSPORTATION " TOBETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Al	ternative 2 - Local	MoDOT Road C	ost (Project 2)			
ltem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ -	
	Erosion Control		-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$-	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction		\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements		\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying		\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization		\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design		\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency		\$ -	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs							
-	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						<u>\$</u> -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO ISTSERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY IN TRANSPORTATION IN TOBETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 2 - Local MoDOT Road Cost (Project 3)								
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks		
Grading and Drainage									
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		2,750	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 137,477.78			
	Erosion Control	676.3222	0.13	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 38,427.40			
Pavement and Base									
Local Road									
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	37119	4,124	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$ 371,190.00			
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-			
Local Road									
	Signalization		1	EA	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000			
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	15200	1,689	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ 109,777.78			
Miscellaneous Costs									
	Drainage		\$ 1,006,873	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$ 151,031			
	Removal of Improvements		\$ 1,006,873	% of Const.	10%	\$ 100,687			
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ 1,006,873	% of Const.	2%	\$ 20,137			
	MOT During Construction		\$ 1,006,873	% of Const.	6%	\$ 60,412			
	Enhancements		\$ 1,006,873	% of Const.	2%	\$ 20,137			
	Surveying		\$ 1,006,873	% of Const.	1%	\$ 10,069			
	Mobilization		\$ 1,006,873	% of Const.	6%	\$ 60,412			
	Engineering Design		\$ 1,006,873	% of Const.	10%	\$ 100,687			
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 1,006,873	% of Const.	10%	\$ 100,687			
Contingency									
	Contingency		\$ 1,631,134.18	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 326,226.84			
Total Engineering & Con	Total Engineering & Construction Cost					\$ 1,957,361.02			
Right-of-Way Costs									
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT		
Total Cost						\$ 1,957,361.02			

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO ISTSERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY IN TRANSPORTATION IN TOBETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 2 - Local MoDOT Road Cost (Project 4)								
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks		
Grading and Drainage									
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		10,755	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 537,733.33			
	Erosion Control	3258.3545	0.62	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 185,133.78			
Pavement and Base									
Local Road									
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	145191	16,132	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$ 1,451,880.00			
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-			
Local Road									
	Signalization		2	EA	\$ 350,000	\$ 700,000			
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	57106	6,345	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ 412,432.22			
Miscellaneous Costs									
	Drainage		\$ 3,287,179	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$ 493,077			
	Removal of Improvements		\$ 3,287,179	% of Const.	10%	\$ 328,718			
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ 3,287,179	% of Const.	2%	\$ 65,744			
	MOT During Construction		\$ 3,287,179	% of Const.	6%	\$ 197,231			
	Enhancements		\$ 3,287,179	% of Const.	2%	\$ 65,744			
	Surveying		\$ 3,287,179	% of Const.	1%	\$ 32,872			
	Mobilization		\$ 3,287,179	% of Const.	6%	\$ 197,231			
	Engineering Design		\$ 3,287,179	% of Const.	10%	\$ 328,718			
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 3,287,179	% of Const.	10%	\$ 328,718			
Contingency									
	Contingency		\$ 5,325,230.52	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 1,065,046.10			
Total Engineering & Construction Cost					\$ 6,390,276.63				
Right-of-Way Costs									
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT		
Total Cost	5 ,					\$ 6,390,276.63			

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO JESSERSEN
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY ** TRANSPORTATION ** TOBETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

	A	ternative 2 - Local	MoDOT Road C	ost (Project 5)			
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control		-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	0	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	0	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$-	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying		\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency		\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost					\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost			-			\$ -	

	Engineer's Cost Estimate								
Project:	I-64 PEL - EAST			RINGSHIGNWAT IG MITSHOON					
Number:	J6I3585			FUTURE/64					
Estimated By:	KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY IN TRANSPORTATION IN TORETHER					
Checked By:	EW	Date:	1/20/2023						
The unit costs shown in	a this astimate represent an opinion of probable costs o	reported in good faith and with representable care. CDI has no control over the casts of construction labor, m	atorials or aquinment nor over the con	protitive hidding or percentiation methods and does not make					

	Alternative 2 - Local Agency Road Cost (Project 1)								
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks			
Grading and Drainage									
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ -				
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-				
Pavement and Base									
Local Road									
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-				
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-				
Local Road									
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-				
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-				
Miscellaneous Costs									
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-				
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-				
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-				
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-				
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-				
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-				
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-				
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-				
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-				
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$ -	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-				
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost				\$-				
Right-of-Way Costs									
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT			
Total Cost					\$-				

Project:	I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number:	J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By:	KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOBETHER
Checked By:	EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 2 - Local Agency Road Cost (Project 2)							
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control		-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$ -	
Local Road							
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ -	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$ -	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements		\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$ -	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$ -	
	MOT During Construction		\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$ -	
	Enhancements		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying		\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency		\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ -	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost						\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs							
Right-of-Way							To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost	<u> </u>					\$ -	
Project:	I-64 PEL - EAST			KIRGSHIRNWAT TO MITTINSON			
---------------	-----------------	-------	-----------	---			
Number:	J6I3585			FUTURE 64			
Estimated By:	KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY IN TRANSPORTATION IN TORETHER			
Checked By:	EW	Date:	1/20/2023				

	Alternative 2 - Loca	Agency Road C	ost (Project 3)			
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	38,170	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 1,908,518.52	
	Erosion Control 3644.426	8 0.69	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 207,069.70	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base 23780	26,422	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$ 2,378,000.00	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	1	EA	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps 2624	7 2,916	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ 189,561.67	
	Enhancements to Existing bus Stations on Grand	2	EA	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 600,000.00	
Structures						
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - Local Agency	32,140	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$ 8,838,500.00	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$ 14,471,650	% of Roadway Con	s 15%	\$ 2,170,747	
	Removal of Improvements	\$ 14,471,650	% of Const.	10%	\$ 1,547,165	Added 100K to account for Grand Bridge D
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 14,471,650	% of Const.	2%	\$ 289,433	
	MOT During Construction	\$ 14,471,650	% of Const.	6%	\$ 868,299	
	Enhancements	\$ 14,471,650	% of Const.	2%	\$ 289,433	
	Surveying	\$ 14,471,650		1%	\$ 144,716	
	Mobilization	\$ 14,471,650	% of Const.	6%	\$ 868,299	
	Engineering Design	\$ 14,471,650		10%	\$ 1,447,165	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 14,471,650	% of Const.	10%	\$ 1,447,165	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$ 23,544,072.82	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 4,708,814.56	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost				\$ 28,252,887.39	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ 28,252,887.39	

Project:	I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number:	J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By:	KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY " TRANSPORTATION " TOLETHER
Checked By:	EW	Date:	1/20/2023	
and the second second				

	Alternative 2 - Local	Agency Road C	ost (Project 4)			
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Con	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control-Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$ -	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ -	
Total Engineering & Cor	struction Cost				\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ -	

Project:	I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number:	J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By:	KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY IN TRANSPORTATION IN TOBETHER
Checked By:	EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alternative 2 - Local	Agency Road Co	ost (Project 5)			
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)			CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 47,967.52	
	Erosion Control	539.7471	0.10	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 30,667.45	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	12951.2296	1,439	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$ 129,512.30	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	4641.514	516	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ 33,522.05	
Structures							
	Cross Road Bridges - Local Agency		16,940	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$ 2,710,400.00	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$ 2,952,069	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$ 442,810	
	Removal of Improvements		\$ 2,952,069	% of Const.	10%	\$ 295,207	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ 2,952,069	% of Const.	2%	\$ 59,041	
	MOT During Construction		\$ 2,952,069	% of Const.	6%	\$ 177,124	
	Enhancements		\$ 2,952,069	% of Const.	2%	\$ 59,041	
	Surveying		\$ 2,952,069	% of Const.	1%	\$ 29,521	
	Mobilization		\$ 2,952,069	% of Const.	6%	\$ 177,124	
	Engineering Design		\$ 2,952,069	% of Const.	10%	\$ 295,207	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 2,952,069	% of Const.	10%	\$ 295,207	
Contingency							
	Contingency		\$ 4,782,352.28	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 956,470.46	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost					\$ 5,738,822.73	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ 5,738,822.73	

	Engineer's Cost Estimate		
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 2 - Bridge Cost (Project 1)								
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)		Extended Price (\$)		Remarks
Structures									
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - MoDOT		-	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$	-	
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - Local Agency		-	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$	-	
	Bridge Widening		21,951	SQFT	\$	300.00	\$	6,585,300.00	
	Cross Road Bridges - MoDOT		24,642	SQFT	\$	160.00	\$	3,942,720.00	
	Cross Road Bridges - Local Agency		-	SQFT	\$	160.00	\$	-	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges		-	SQFT	\$	350.00	\$	-	
	Bridge Removal		19,118	SQFT	\$	20.00	\$	382,360.00	
Miscellaneous Cos	ts								
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	10,910,380	% of Const.		2%	\$	218,208	
	MOT During Construction	\$	10,910,380	% of Const.		6%	\$	654,623	
	Enhancements	\$	10,910,380	% of Const.		2%	\$	218,208	
	Surveying	\$	10,910,380	% of Const.		1%	\$	109,104	
	Mobilization	\$	10,910,380	% of Const.		6%	\$	654,623	
	Engineering Design	\$	10,910,380	% of Const.		10%	\$	1,091,038	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	10,910,380	% of Const.		10%	\$	1,091,038	
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$ 2	.4,947,220.60	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	2,989,444.12	
Total Engineering	& Construction Cost						\$	17,936,664.72	
Right-of-Way Cost									
	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost							\$	17,936,664.72	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO INFERSOR
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY "TRANSPORTATION "TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alterna	<mark>tive 2 - Bri</mark>	dge Cost (Proje	ct 2)				
Item			stimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)		Extended Price (\$)		Remarks
Structures									
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - MoDOT		-	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$	-	
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - Local Agency		-	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$	-	
	Box Culverts		-	SQFT	\$	200.00	\$	-	
	Cross Road Bridges - MoDOT		-	SQFT	\$	160.00	\$	-	
	Cross Road Bridges - Local Agency		-	SQFT	\$	160.00	\$	-	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges		-	SQFT	\$	350.00	\$	-	
	Bridge Removal		28,170	SQFT	\$	20.00	\$	563,400.00	
Miscellaneous Co	sts								
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	563,400	% of Const.		2%	\$	11,268	
	MOT During Construction	\$	563,400	% of Const.		6%	\$	33,804	
	Enhancements	\$	563,400	% of Const.		2%	\$	11,268	
	Surveying	\$	563,400	% of Const.		1%	\$	5,634	
	Mobilization	\$	563,400	% of Const.		6%	\$	33,804	
	Engineering Design	\$	563,400	% of Const.		10%	\$	56,340	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	563,400	% of Const.		10%	\$	56,340	
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$	771,858.00	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	154,371.60	
Total Engineering	& Construction Cost						\$	926,229.60	
Right-of-Way Cost	ts								
	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost							\$	926,229.60	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			RINGSHIGHWAY TO INFERSOR
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alternative 2 - Bri	Alternative 2 - Bridge Cost (Project 3)								
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks					
Structures											
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - MoDOT	16,191	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$ 4,452,525.00						
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - Local Agency	29,955	SQFT	\$ 275.00		See LAG Road Cost Tab for Cost					
	Box Culverts	-	SQFT	\$ 200.00							
	Cross Road Bridges - MoDOT	11,040	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$ 1,766,400.00						
	Cross Road Bridges - Local Agency	-	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$-						
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 350.00	\$-						
	Bridge Removal	23,538	SQFT	\$ 20.00	\$ 470,760.00						
Miscellaneous Co	osts										
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 6,689,685	% of Const.	2%	\$ 133,794						
	MOT During Construction	\$ 6,689,685	% of Const.	6%	\$ 401,381						
	Enhancements	\$ 6,689,685	% of Const.	2%	\$ 133,794						
	Surveying	\$ 6,689,685	% of Const.	1%	\$ 66,897						
	Mobilization	\$ 6,689,685	% of Const.	6%	\$ 401,381						
	Engineering Design	\$ 6,689,685	% of Const.	10%	\$ 668,969						
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 6,689,685	% of Const.	10%	\$ 668,969						
Contingency											
	Contingency	\$ 9,164,868.45	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 1,832,973.69						
Total Engineering	g & Construction Cost				\$ 10,997,842.14						
Right-of-Way Cos											
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT					
Total Cost					\$ 10,997,842.14						

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO INFERSOR
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY "TRANSPORTATION "TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 2 - Bridge Cost (Project 4)								
ltem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Uni Dollar	t or % (2022 s)	Exte	ended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures									
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - MoDOT		-	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$	-	
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - Local Agency		-	SQFT	\$	275.00	\$	-	
	Box Culverts		-	SQFT	\$	200.00	\$	-	
	Cross Road Bridges - MoDOT		6,577	SQFT	\$	160.00	\$	1,052,320.00	
	Cross Road Bridges - Local Agency		-	SQFT	\$	160.00	\$	-	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges		-	SQFT	\$	350.00	\$	-	
	Bridge Removal		58,000	SQFT	\$	20.00	\$	1,160,000.00	
Miscellaneous Co	osts								
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	2,212,320	% of Const.		2%	\$	44,246	
	MOT During Construction	\$	2,212,320	% of Const.		6%	\$	132,739	
	Enhancements	\$		% of Const.		2%	\$	44,246	
	Surveying	\$	2,212,320	% of Const.		1%	\$	22,123	
	Mobilization	\$	2,212,320	% of Const.		6%	\$	132,739	
	Engineering Design	\$		% of Const.		10%	\$	221,232	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	2,212,320	% of Const.		10%	\$	221,232	
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$	3,030,878.40	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	606,175.68	
Total Engineering	g & Construction Cost						\$	3,637,054.08	
Right-of-Way Cos	sts								
	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost							\$	3,637,054.08	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			RINGSHIONWAY TO INTERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY ** TRANSPORTATION ** TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 2 - Bridge Cost (Project 5)							
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks		
Structures								
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - MoDOT	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-			
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge - Local Agency	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-			
	Box Culverts	-	SQFT	\$ 200.00	\$-			
	Cross Road Bridges - MoDOT	-	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$-			
	Cross Road Bridges - Local Agency	16,940	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$-	See LAG Road Cost Tab for Cost		
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 350.00	\$-			
	Bridge Removal	-	SQFT	\$ 20.00	\$-			
Miscellaneous Co	osts							
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-			
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-			
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-			
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-			
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-			
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-			
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-			
Contingency								
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-			
Total Engineering	g & Construction Cost				\$-			
Right-of-Way Cos								
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT		
Total Cost					Ś -			

Engineer's Cost Estimate					
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KINGSNIGHWAY TO JEFFERSON		
Number: J613585			FUTURE/64		
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER		
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023			

*does not include ROW costs

Alternative 2 - Total Cost* (Project 1)				
		Subtotal		
Alternative 2 Freeway	\$		-	
Alternative 2 Ramps	\$		-	
Alternative 2 Local Roads	\$		-	
Alternative 2 Local Agency Roads	\$		-	
Alternative 2 Bridges	\$		17,936,664.72	
Alternative 2 (Project 1) Total Cost	\$		17,936,664.72	

Alternative 2 - Total Cost* (Project 2)				
		Subtotal		
Alternative 2 Freeway	\$	2,332,609.20		
Alternative 2 Ramps	\$	-		
Alternative 2 Local Roads	\$	-		
Alternative 2 Local Agency Roads	\$	-		
Alternative 2 Bridges	\$	926,229.60		
Alternative 2 (Project 2) Total Cost	\$	3,258,838.80		

Alternative 2 - Total Cost* (Project 3)				
	Subtotal			
Alternative 2 Freeway	\$ -			
Alternative 2 Ramps	\$ -			
Alternative 2 Local Roads	\$ 1,957,361.02			
Alternative 2 Local Agency Roads	\$ 28,252,887.39			
Alternative 2 Bridges	\$ 10,997,842.14			
Alternative 2 (Project 3) Total Cost	\$ 41,208,090.55			

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: KJ	Date:	12/1/2022	COMMUNITY " TRANSPORTATION " TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 2 - Total Cost* (Project 4)				
Alternative 2 Freeway	\$ 9,148,708.33			
Alternative 2 Ramps	\$ 5,712,985.55			
Alternative 2 Local Roads	\$ 6,390,276.63			
Alternative 2 Local Agency Roads	\$			
Alternative 2 Bridges	\$ 3,637,054.08			
Alternative 2 (Project 4) Total Cost	\$ 24,889,024.58			

Alternative 2 - Total Cost* (Project 5)				
	Subtotal			
Alternative 2 Freeway	\$ 2,139,858.00			
Alternative 2 Ramps	\$ -			
Alternative 2 Local Roads	\$ -			
Alternative 2 Local Agency Roads	\$ 5,738,822.73			
Alternative 2 Bridges	\$ -			
Alternative 2 (Project 5) Total Cost	\$ 7,878,680.73			

Engineer's Cost Estimate					
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST					
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64		
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - TOGETHER		
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023			

		Alternativ	ve 3 - Freeway Cos	t (Project 1)			
			Estimated Quantity		\$/Unit or % (2022		
ltem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		2,222	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 111,122.22	
	Erosion Control	1710	0.32	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 97,159.09	
Pavement and Base							
Mainline I-64							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	30003	3,334	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$ 433,376.67	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$ -	
Outer- Roads							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base		-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
Highway Lighting							
	Highway Lighting	1710	0.32	Mile	\$ 350,000.00	\$ 113,352.27	
Interchanges							
	Lighting and Signing		· ·	EA	\$ 600,000.00	Ś -	
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000.00		
Outer- Roads					¢ 556,666,66	Ŷ	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	0		SQYD	\$ 65.00	¢ -	
Walls		0		5015	÷ 05.00	Ŷ	
wans	MSE Walls			SQFT	\$ 85.00	\$	
	Sound Walls		-	SQFT	\$ 100.00	\$ -	
Utility Relocation	Sound Walls			5011	Ş 100.00	Ŷ	
	Corridor Utility Relocation	1710	0.32	Mile	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 161,931.82	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	1710	0.32	Mile	\$ 450,000.00	\$ 145,738.64	
Viscellaneous Costs	The Relocation and improviments	1/10	0.32	IVITE	\$ 430,000.00	\$ 145,758.04	
viiscellaneous costs	Drainage		¢ 1.002.001	% of Roadway Const.	15%	\$ 159,402	
	Drainage Removal of Improvements			% of Const.	15%		
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ 1,062,681 \$ 1,062,681		2%	\$ 106,268 \$ 21,254	
	MOT During Construction		. , ,	% of Const.	6%	\$ 21,254 \$ 63,761	
	Enhancements		. ,	% of Const.	2%		
	Surveying		\$ 1,062,681		1%		
	Mobilization			% of Const.	6%		
	Engineering Design			% of Const.	10%		
	Construction Management and Administration			% of Const.	10%	\$ 106,268 \$ 106,268	
Contingency	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 1,002,081	78 OF COHSt.	1076	Ş 100,208	
Contingency							
	Contingency		\$ 1.721.542.75	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 344,308.55	
Fotal Engineering 9 Car			¢ 1,721,542.75		20%	\$ 344,308.55 \$ 2,065,851.29	
Total Engineering & Cou						\$ 2,065,851.29	
Right-of-Way Costs	Diebt of Mou						
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Fotal Cost						\$ 2,065,851.29	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J6I3585			FUIURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY = TRANSPORTATION = TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - Freeway Cost (Project 2)						
			Estimated Quantity		\$/Unit or % (2022		
em			Estimated quantity	Unit	Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
rading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00		
	Erosion Control	3020	0.57	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 171,590.91	
avement and Base							
ainline I-64							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
uter- Roads							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base		-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
ghway Lighting							
	Highway Lighting		-	Mile	\$ 350,000.00	\$-	
terchanges							
•	Lighting and Signing	1	1	EA	\$ 600,000.00	\$ 600,000.00	
	Signalization	2	2	EA	\$ 350,000.00		
uter- Roads		_	_				
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	0		SQYD	\$ 65.00	Ś -	
/alls		0			+	Ŧ	
	MSE Walls			SQFT	\$ 85.00	Ś -	
	Sound Walls			SQFT	\$ 100.00		
tility Relocation	Sound Waits			50(1	Ç 100.00	Ý	
	Corridor Utility Relocation	3020	0.57	Mile	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 285,984.85	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	3020	0.57	Mile	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 257,386.36	
lianallan anna Canta		3020	0.57	IVITE	\$ 450,000.00	\$ 257,580.50	
iscellaneous Costs	Deriver.		é 2.014.052		4.50/	ć 202.244	
	Drainage			% of Roadway Const.	15%	,	
	Removal of Improvements			% of Const.	10%		
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking			% of Const.	2%	,	
	MOT During Construction			% of Const.	6%		
	Enhancements			% of Const.	2%	,	
	Surveying			% of Const.	1%	,	
	Mobilization			% of Const.	6%	. ,	
	Engineering Design			% of Const.	10%	\$ 201,496	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 2,014,962	% of Const.	10%	\$ 201,496	
ontingency							
	Contingency		\$ 3,264,238.64	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 652,847.73	
otal Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$ 3,917,086.36	
ght-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
otal Cost						\$ 3,917,086.36	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KURESAIRMANY TE (EFFERSON
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

		Alternativ	ve 3 - Freeway Cos	t (Project 3)			
			Estimated Quantity		\$/Unit or % (2022		
tem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
irading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		8,389	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 419,444.44	
	Erosion Control	4135	0.78	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 234,943.18	
avement and Base							
1ainline I-64							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	15100	1,678	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$ 218,111.11	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
uter- Roads							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base		-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
ighway Lighting							
	Highway Lighting	4135	0.78	Mile	\$ 350,000.00	\$ 274,100.38	
iterchanges						· ·	·
	Lighting and Signing		· ·	EA	\$ 600,000.00	\$-	
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000.00	\$ -	
uter- Roads						•	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	0	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	Ś -	
/alls		0			· · · · · · · ·	*	
	MSE Walls		6,900	SQFT	\$ 85.00	\$ 586,500,00	I-64 WB off Ramp to Grand
	Sound Walls		-	SQFT	\$ 100.00		
tility Relocation					·	Ŧ	
	Corridor Utility Relocation	4135	0.78	Mile	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 391,571.97	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	4135	0.78	Mile	\$ 450,000.00	\$ 352,414.77	
liscellaneous Costs		4155	0.70		Ş 450,000.00	Ş 332,414.77	
	Drainage		\$ 2,477,086	% of Roadway Const.	15%	\$ 371,563	
	Removal of Improvements		\$ 2,477,086		10%		
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ 2,477,086		2%		
	MOT During Construction		\$ 2,477,086		6%		
	Enhancements		\$ 2,477,086		2%		
	Surveying		\$ 2,477,086		1%		
	Mobilization		\$ 2,477,086		6%		
	Engineering Design		\$ 2,477,086		10%	\$ 247,709	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 2.477.086		10%		
ontingency			<i>Ş 2,411,000</i>		20/0	¢ 217,705	
Jungency							
	Contingency		\$ 4,012,879.09	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 802,575.82	
otal Engineering & Co					2078	\$ 4,815,454.91	
ight-of-Way Costs						ə 4,013,434.91	
ignt-of-way costs	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
	rigiit-oi-way					6 A 045 45 104	
otal Cost						\$ 4,815,454.91	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSFORTATION - TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - Freeway Cost (Project 4)						
					\$/Unit or % (2022		
em			Estimated Quantity	Unit	Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
rading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		9,960	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 497,985.19	
	Erosion Control	4599	0.87	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 261,306.82	
avement and Base							
ainline I-64							
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	134456	14,940	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$ 1,942,142.22	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$ -	
uter- Roads						'	
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base		-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	Ś -	
ighway Lighting	,			-			
5 7 6 . 0	Highway Lighting	4516	0.86	Mile	\$ 350,000.00	\$ 299,356.06	
nterchanges			0.00				
	Lighting and Signing		-	EA	\$ 600,000.00	Ś -	
	Signalization	2	2	EA	\$ 350,000.00	\$ 700,000.00	
uter- Roads		L			¢ 000,000,000	<i> </i>	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	0		SQYD	\$ 65.00	Ś -	
/alls	Sidewarky blice than a carb hamps	0		5015	Ŷ 05.00	Ŷ	
10113	MSE Walls		9,540	SQFT	\$ 85.00	\$ 810,900.00	Spruco
	Sound Walls		5,340	SQFT	\$ 100.00		Spruce
tility Relocation	Souliu Walls		•	JULLI	\$ 100.00	э -	
	Corridor Utility Relocation	4500	0.07	Mile	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 435,511.36	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	4599 4599	0.87	Mile	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 435,511.36 \$ 391,960.23	
inceller a cure Consta	TTS Relocation and improviments	4599	0.87	IVIIIe	\$ 450,000.00	\$ 591,900.25	
iscellaneous Costs	- ·		A 5 000 4 60		150	A 000.074	
	Drainage			% of Roadway Const.	15%	, ,	
	Removal of Improvements			% of Const.	10%		
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking			% of Const.	2%		
	MOT During Construction		, , ,	% of Const.	6% 2%	, ,	
	Enhancements		\$ 5,339,162			. ,	
	Surveying			% of Const.	1%	, ,	
	Mobilization		\$ 5,339,162		6%	1	
	Engineering Design		\$ 5,339,162		10%	, ,	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 5,339,162	% of const.	10%	ə 533,916	
ontingency							
	Cantingan		\$ 8,649,442.24	% of Subtotal of Above	2004	ć 1,700,000,45	
	Contingency				20%	. , ,	
otal Engineering & Co	Instruction Cost		_			\$ 10,379,330.69	
ght-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
otal Cost						\$ 10,379,330.69	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 3 - Freeway Cost (Project 5)						
		Estimated Quantity		\$/Unit or % (2022		
em		Estimated Quantity	Unit	Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
rading and Drainage						
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
avement and Base						
ainline I-64						
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
uter- Roads						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
ghway Lighting						
	Highway Lighting	-	Mile	\$ 350,000.00	\$ -	
terchanges						<u> </u>
	Lighting and Signing	-	EA	\$ 600,000.00	\$-	
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000.00	\$ -	
uter- Roads	·					
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ -	
alls				+	. *	
	MSE Walls		SQFT	\$ 85.00	Ś -	
	Sound Walls		SQFT	\$ 100.00		
tility Relocation		-	5011	Ş 100.00	7	
	Corridor Utility Relocation		Mile	\$ 500,000.00	Ś -	
	ITS Relocation and Improvments	-	Mile	\$ 450,000.00	- ج -	
iscellaneous Costs			WINE	\$ 430,000.00	- ب	
Iscellaneous costs	Drainage	ć	% of Roadway Const.	15%	ć	
	Removal of Improvements	\$- \$-		15%		
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ - \$ -	% of Const. % of Const.	2%		
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	6%		
	Enhancements	\$ - \$ -	% of Const. % of Const.	2%	•	+
	Surveying	\$ - \$ -	% of Const. % of Const.	2%	Ŧ	+
	Mobilization	\$ - \$ -	% of Const. % of Const.	6%	•	+
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$ - \$ -	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.	10%		
ontingency		ې -	so of const.	10/6		
Jungency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	ć	
otal Engineering & Co				20%	\$ - \$ -	
		_			ې -	
ght-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT

Engineer's Cost Estimate							
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6l3585			FUTURE 64				
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY IN TRANSPORTATION IN THEFT THEN				
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023					

	Alternati	ve 3 - Ramps Cost (Project 1)			
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Ramps						
	10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Con	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Erosion Control	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
			% of Subtotal of			
	Contingency	\$-	Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Co	nstruction Cost				\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ -	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3	- Ramps Cost (Project 2)			
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Ramps						
10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs						
Drainage		\$-	% of Roadway Cor	15%	\$-	
Removal of Improvements		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
MOT During Construction		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
Enhancements		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
Surveying		\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
Erosion Control		\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
Mobilization		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
Engineering Design		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Construction Management and Administration		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
			% of Subtotal of			
Contingency		\$ -	Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs						
Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			EINGONIONWAY TO INFERDAM
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alte	rnative 3 - Ra	amps Cost (I	Project 3)			
Item			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
Ramp Earth	work (Excavation and Embankment)		4,736	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 236,814.81	
Pavement and Base							
Ramps							
10.5- Inch H	eavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		1,421	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$ 184,715.56	
Miscellaneous Costs							
Drainage		\$	421,530	% of Roadway Cor	15%	\$ 63,230	
Removal of	Improvements	\$	421,530	% of Const.	10%	\$ 42,153	
Traffic Cont	rol- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	421,530	% of Const.	2%	\$ 8,431	
MOT During	g Construction	\$	421,530	% of Const.	6%	\$ 25,292	
Enhanceme	nts	\$	421,530	% of Const.	2%	\$ 8,431	
Surveying		\$		% of Const.	1%	\$ 4,215	
Erosion Cor	trol	\$	421,530	% of Const.	1%	\$ 4,215	
Mobilizatio	n	\$	421,530	% of Const.	6%	\$ 25,292	
Engineering	Design	\$		% of Const.	10%	\$ 42,153	
Constructio	n Management and Administration	\$	421,530	% of Const.	10%	\$ 42,153	
Contingency							
				% of Subtotal of			
Contingenc	/	\$	687,094.50	Above	20%	\$ 137,418.90	
Total Engineering & Construction Cos	t					\$ 824,513.40	
Right-of-Way Costs							
Right-of-Wa	ау						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ 824,513.40	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J613585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3	3 - Ramps Cost	Project 4)			
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Emba	nkment)	6,824	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 341,222.22	
Pavement and Base						
Ramps						
10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill	Base 18426	2,047	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$ 266,153.33	
Miscellaneous Costs						
Drainage		\$ 607,376	% of Roadway Cor	וי 15%	\$ 91,106	
Removal of Improvements		\$ 607,376	% of Const.	10%	\$ 60,738	
Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement N	/larking	\$ 607,376	% of Const.	2%	\$ 12,148	
MOT During Construction		\$ 607,376	% of Const.	6%	\$ 36,443	
Enhancements		\$ 607,376	% of Const.	2%	\$ 12,148	
Surveying		\$ 607,376	% of Const.	1%	\$ 6,074	
Erosion Control		\$ 607,376	% of Const.	1%	\$ 6,074	
Mobilization		\$ 607,376	% of Const.	6%	\$ 36,443	
Engineering Design		\$ 607,376	% of Const.	10%	\$ 60,738	
Construction Management and Adminis	stration	\$ 607,376	% of Const.	10%	\$ 60,738	
Contingency						
			% of Subtotal of			
Contingency		\$ 990,022.16	Above	20%	\$ 198,004.43	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost					\$ 1,188,026.59	
Right-of-Way Costs						
Right-of-Way		1				To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ 1,188,026.59	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - Ramps Cost (Project 5)							
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks		
Grading and Drainage								
Ramp Earthwork (Excavation and Em	bankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-			
Pavement and Base								
Ramps								
10.5- Inch Heavy Duty PCCP w/ Rock	Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 130.00	\$-			
Miscellaneous Costs								
Drainage		\$-	% of Roadway Cor		\$-			
Removal of Improvements		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-			
Traffic Control- Signing and Pavemen	t Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-			
MOT During Construction		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-			
Enhancements		\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-			
Surveying		\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$ -			
Erosion Control		\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-			
Mobilization		\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-			
Engineering Design		\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-			
Construction Management and Admi	nistration	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-			
Contingency								
			% of Subtotal of					
Contingency		\$ -	Above	20%	\$-			
Total Engineering & Construction Cost					\$ -			
Right-of-Way Costs								
Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT		
Total Cost					\$ -			

Engineer's Cost Estimate									
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			RIRGONIONWAT TO INFINION						
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64						
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY "TRANSPORTATION " TOGETHER						
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023							

Alternative 3 - Local MoDOT Road Cost (Project 1)									
Item			stimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Uni Dollaı	it or % (2022 rs)	Exte	nded Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage									
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$	50.00	\$	-	
	Erosion Control		-	Mile	\$	300,000.00	\$	-	
Pavement and Base									
Local Road									
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$	90.00	\$	-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		1,000	LF	\$	120.00	\$	120,000.00	
Local Road									
	Signalization		-	EA	\$	350,000	\$	-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		-	SQYD	\$	65.00	\$	-	
Miscellaneous Costs		1							
	Drainage	\$	120,000	% of Roadway Cons	s	15%	\$	18,000	
	Removal of Improvements	\$	120,000	% of Const.		10%	\$	12,000	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	120,000	% of Const.		2%	\$	2,400	
	MOT During Construction	\$	120,000	% of Const.		6%	\$	7,200	
	Enhancements	\$	120,000	% of Const.		2%	\$	2,400	
	Surveying	\$	120,000	% of Const.		1%	\$	1,200	
	Mobilization	\$	120,000	% of Const.		6%	\$	7,200	
	Engineering Design	\$	120,000	% of Const.		10%	\$	12,000	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	120,000	% of Const.		10%	\$	12,000	
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$	194,400.00	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	38,880.00	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost						\$	233,280.00	
Right-of-Way Costs									
	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost							\$	233,280.00	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY "TRANSPORTATION "TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alt	ternative 3 - Local	MoDOT Road Co	ost (Project 2)			
ltem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		3,143	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 157,162.96	
	Erosion Control	743	0.14	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 42,215.91	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	42434	4,715	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$ 424,340.00	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization		2	EA	\$ 350,000	\$ 700,000	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	5307	590	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ 38,328.33	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$ 1,362,047	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$ 204,307	
	Removal of Improvements		\$ 1,362,047	% of Const.	10%	\$ 136,205	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ 1,362,047	% of Const.	2%	\$ 27,241	
	MOT During Construction		\$ 1,362,047	% of Const.	6%	\$ 81,723	
	Enhancements		\$ 1,362,047	% of Const.	2%	\$ 27,241	
	Surveying		\$ 1,362,047	% of Const.	1%	\$ 13,620	
	Mobilization		\$ 1,362,047	% of Const.	6%	\$ 81,723	
	Engineering Design		\$ 1,362,047	% of Const.	10%	\$ 136,205	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 1,362,047	% of Const.	10%	\$ 136,205	
Contingency							
	Contingency		\$ 2,206,516.47	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 441,303.29	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost					\$ 2,647,819.77	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost			<u>.</u>			\$ 2,647,819.77	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KIROSHIENWAT IE IAIISHBOR
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY "TRANSPORTATION "TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Al	ternative 3 - Local	MoDOT Road C	ost (Project 3)			
ltem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ -	
	Erosion Control		-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$-	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction		\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying		\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization		\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design		\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency		\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ -	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			RIMBONIONWAT ID IGIFENBOR
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY # TRANSPORTATION # TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Al	ternative 3 - Local	MoDOT Road Co	ost (Project 4)			
ltem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		8,738	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 436,885.19	
	Erosion Control	2969	0.56	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 168,693.18	
Pavement and Base							
Local Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	117959	13,107	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$ 1,179,590.00	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road							
	Signalization		2	EA	\$ 350,000	\$ 700,000	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	22467	2,496	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ 162,261.67	
Miscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$ 2,647,430	% of Roadway Cons	15%	\$ 397,115	
	Removal of Improvements		\$ 2,647,430	% of Const.	10%	\$ 264,743	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ 2,647,430	% of Const.	2%	\$ 52,949	
	MOT During Construction		\$ 2,647,430	% of Const.	6%	\$ 158,846	
	Enhancements		\$ 2,647,430	% of Const.	2%	\$ 52,949	
	Surveying		\$ 2,647,430	% of Const.	1%	\$ 26,474	
	Mobilization		\$ 2,647,430	% of Const.	6%	\$ 158,846	
	Engineering Design		\$ 2,647,430	% of Const.	10%	\$ 264,743	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 2,647,430	% of Const.	10%	\$ 264,743	
Contingency							
	Contingency		\$ 4,288,836.65	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 857,767.33	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost					\$ 5,146,603.99	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost						\$ 5,146,603.99	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KINGGHIGNWAT IG IGHISNBOR
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY "TRANSPORTATION "TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - Loca	I MoDOT Road C	cost (Project 5)			
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Mainline Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ -	
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Con	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$ -	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$ -	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$ -	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$ -	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost				\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					Ś -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Engineer's Cost Estimate							
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			RINGSHIGHWAY TO INTERSE				
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64				
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY IN TRANSPORTATION IN TOGETMEN				
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023					
	with an analytic state of the s	an atomiala concerning and a second the					

	Alternative 3 - Local Agency Road Cost (Project 1)							
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks		
Grading and Drainage								
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-			
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-			
Pavement and Base								
Local Road								
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-			
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-			
Local Road								
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-			
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ -			
Miscellaneous Costs								
	Drainage	\$-	% of Roadway Con	. 15%	\$-			
	Removal of Improvements	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-			
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-			
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-			
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-			
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-			
	Mobilization	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-			
	Engineering Design	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-			
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$-			
Contingency								
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-			
Total Engineering & Con	struction Cost				\$-			
Right-of-Way Costs								
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT		
Total Cost					\$ -			

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			RIMBBHIRNWAT ID IAFFENDER
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - Local Agency Road Cost (Project 2)							
ltem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks	
Grading and Drainage								
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		42,220	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 2,110,988.89		
	Erosion Control	3932	1	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 223,409.09		
Pavement and Base								
Local Road								
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	292467	32,496	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$ 2,924,670.00		
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		4,000	LF	\$ 120.00	\$ 480,000.00		
Local Road								
	Signalization		2	EA	\$ 350,000	\$ 700,000		
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	13789	1,532	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ 99,587.22		
Miscellaneous Costs								
	Drainage		\$ 6,538,655	% of Roadway Con	15%	\$ 980,798		
	Removal of Improvements		\$ 6,538,655	% of Const.	10%	\$ 653,866		
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$ 6,538,655	% of Const.	2%	\$ 130,773		
	MOT During Construction		\$ 6,538,655	% of Const.	6%	\$ 392,319		
	Enhancements		\$ 6,538,655	% of Const.	2%	\$ 130,773		
	Surveying		\$ 6,538,655	% of Const.	1%	\$ 65,387		
	Mobilization		\$ 6,538,655	% of Const.	6%	\$ 392,319		
	Engineering Design		\$ 6,538,655	% of Const.	10%	\$ 653,866		
	Construction Management and Administration		\$ 6,538,655	% of Const.	10%	\$ 653,866		
Contingency								
	Contingency		\$ 10,592,621.43	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 2,118,524.29		
Total Engineering & Cor	astruction Cost					\$ 12,711,145.71		
Right-of-Way Costs								
<u> </u>	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT	
Total Cost	<u> </u>					\$ 12,711,145.71		

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Al	Iternative 3 - Local	Agency Road	Cost (Project 3)			
tem			Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage							
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)		-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control		-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base							
ocal Road							
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base		-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
ocal Road							
	Signalization		-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps		-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ -	
Aiscellaneous Costs							
	Drainage		\$-	% of Roadway Con	. 15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements		\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying		\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization		\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration		\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency							
	Contingency		\$-	% of Subtotal of	20%	\$-	
				Above		4	
otal Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost					\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs							
	Right-of-Way						To be provided by MoDOT
otal Cost						\$-	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			nimbhnikhwar fé isffénsen
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	COMMUNITY "TRANSPORTATION "TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - Loca	l Agency Road (ost (Project 4)			
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	1,233	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$ 61,651.85	
	Erosion Control 29	2 0.055	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 16,590.91	
Pavement and Base						
Local Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base 1664	5 1,850	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$ 166,460.00	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)	-	LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
Local Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$ -	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps 5297	4 5,886	SQYD	\$ 65.00		
Miscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$ 627,293	% of Roadway Con	. 15%	\$ 94,094	
	Removal of Improvements	\$ 627,293	% of Const.	10%	\$ 162,729	Added 100K to account for Grand Bridge
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 627,293	% of Const.	2%	\$ 12,546	
	MOT During Construction	\$ 627,293	% of Const.	6%	\$ 37,638	
	Enhancements	\$ 627,293	% of Const.	2%	\$ 12,546	
	Surveying	\$ 627,293	% of Const.	1%	\$ 6,273	
	Mobilization	\$ 627,293	% of Const.	6%	\$ 37,638	
	Engineering Design	\$ 627,293	% of Const.	10%	\$ 62,729	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 627,293	% of Const.	10%	\$ 62,729	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$ 1,116,214.27	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 223,242.85	
Total Engineering & Cor	istruction Cost				\$ 1,339,457.13	
Right-of-Way Costs						
0	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ 1,339,457.13	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	11/30/2022	OGMAUNTY W TRANSPORTATION W TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - I	Local Agency Road	Cost (Project 5)			
tem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Grading and Drainage						
	Local Road Earthwork (Excavation and Embankment)	-	CUYD	\$ 50.00	\$-	
	Erosion Control	-	Mile	\$ 300,000.00	\$-	
Pavement and Base						
ocal Road						
	8- Inch Medium Duty PCCP w/ Rock Fill Base	-	SQYD	\$ 90.00	\$-	
	Permanent Concrete Barrier (B/C/D)		LF	\$ 120.00	\$-	
.ocal Road						
	Signalization	-	EA	\$ 350,000	\$-	
	Sidewalk/ Bike Trail & Curb Ramps	-	SQYD	\$ 65.00	\$ -	
Aiscellaneous Costs						
	Drainage	\$ -	% of Roadway Con	15%	\$-	
	Removal of Improvements	\$ -	% of Const.	10%	\$ -	
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$ -	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$ -	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	Ś.	% of Subtotal of	20%	Ś -	
			Above	2070		
otal Engineering & Cor	nstruction Cost				\$ -	
Right-of-Way Costs						
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Fotal Cost					\$-	

Engineer's Cost Estimate								
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			KINGSHIGHWAY TO ISSTERSON					
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64					
Estimated By: JR	Date:	12/5/2022	COMMUNITY ** TRANSPORTATION ** TOBETHER					
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023						

		Alternative 3 - Br	ridge Cost (Proje	ct 1)		
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures						
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-	
	Box Culverts	-	SQFT	\$ 200.00	\$-	
	Cross Road Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$-	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 350.00	\$-	
	Bridge Removal	-	SQFT	\$ 20.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous C	Costs					
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineerin	g & Construction Cost				\$-	
Right-of-Way Co	osts					
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$ -	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J6I3585			FUIUKE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	12/5/2022	COMMUNITY ** TRANSPORTATION ** TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 3 - Bridge Cost (Project 2)									
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks			
Structures									
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-				
	Box Culverts	-	SQFT	\$ 200.00	\$-				
	Cross Road Bridges	11,040	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$ 1,766,400.00				
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 350.00	\$-				
	Bridge Removal	23,538	SQFT	\$ 20.00	\$ 470,760.00				
Miscellaneous Co	sts								
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 2,237,160	% of Const.	2%	\$ 44,743				
	MOT During Construction		% of Const.	6%	\$ 134,230				
	Enhancements		% of Const.	2%	\$ 44,743				
	Surveying	\$ 2,237,160	% of Const.	1%	\$ 22,372				
	Mobilization		% of Const.	6%	\$ 134,230				
	Engineering Design	\$ 2,237,160	% of Const.	10%	\$ 223,716				
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 2,237,160	% of Const.	10%	\$ 223,716				
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$ 3,064,909.20	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 612,981.84				
Total Engineering	& Construction Cost				\$ 3,677,891.04				
Right-of-Way Cost	ts								
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT			
Total Cost					\$ 3,677,891.04				

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J6I3585			FUIURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	12/5/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

	Alternative 3 - Bridge Cost (Project 3)									
Item		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks				
Structures										
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-					
	Widening Existing Structure	29,377	SQFT	\$ 300.00	\$ 8,813,100.00					
	Cross Road Bridges	39,357	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$ 6,297,120.00					
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 350.00	\$-					
	Bridge Removal	29,269	SQFT	\$ 20.00	\$ 585,380.00					
Miscellaneous Co	ists									
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$ 15,695,600	% of Const.	2%	\$ 313,912					
	MOT During Construction	\$ 15,695,600		6%	\$ 941,736					
	Enhancements	\$ 15,695,600		2%	\$ 313,912					
	Surveying	\$ 15,695,600	% of Const.	1%	\$ 156,956					
	Mobilization	\$ 15,695,600	% of Const.	6%	\$ 941,736					
	Engineering Design	\$ 15,695,600	% of Const.	10%	\$ 1,569,560					
	Construction Management and Administration	\$ 15,695,600	% of Const.	10%	\$ 1,569,560					
Contingency										
	Contingency	\$ 21,502,972.00	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$ 4,300,594.40					
Total Engineering	s & Construction Cost				\$ 25,803,566.40					
Right-of-Way Cos	ts									
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT				
Total Cost					\$ 25,803,566.40					

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J6I3585			FUIUKE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	12/5/2022	COMMUNITY ** TRANSPORTATION ** TOBETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 3 - Bridge Cost (Project 4)									
ltem			mated antity	Unit	\$/Unit Dollar	t or % (2022 [.] s)	Exte	ended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures									
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge			SQFT	\$	275.00	\$	-	
	Box Culverts		-	SQFT	\$	200.00	\$	-	
	Cross Road Bridges	22	L,290	SQFT	\$	160.00	\$	3,406,400.00	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges		-	SQFT	\$	350.00	\$	-	
	Bridge Removal	43	3,654	SQFT	\$	20.00	\$	873,080.00	
Miscellaneous Co	osts								
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$	4,279,480	% of Const.		2%	\$	85,590	
	MOT During Construction	\$	4,279,480	% of Const.		6%	\$	256,769	
	Enhancements			% of Const.		2%	\$	85,590	
	Surveying	\$	4,279,480	% of Const.		1%	\$	42,795	
	Mobilization	\$	4,279,480	% of Const.		6%	\$	256,769	
	Engineering Design	\$	4,279,480	% of Const.		10%	\$	427,948	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$	4,279,480	% of Const.		10%	\$	427,948	
Contingency									
	Contingency	\$ 5,8	62,887.60	% of Subtotal of Above		20%	\$	1,172,577.52	
Total Engineering	g & Construction Cost				_		\$	7,035,465.12	
Right-of-Way Cos	sts								
	Right-of-Way								To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost							\$	7,035,465.12	

Project: I-64 PEL - EAST			
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE/64
Estimated By: JR	Date:	12/5/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW/KJ	Date:	1/20/2023	

Alternative 3 - Bridge Cost (Project 5)						
ltem		Estimated Quantity	Unit	\$/Unit or % (2022 Dollars)	Extended Price (\$)	Remarks
Structures						
	Pedestrian/Bike Bridge	-	SQFT	\$ 275.00	\$-	
	Box Culverts	-	SQFT	\$ 200.00	\$-	
	Cross Road Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 160.00	\$-	
	Flyover - Curved Steel Bridges	-	SQFT	\$ 350.00	\$-	
	Bridge Removal	-	SQFT	\$ 20.00	\$-	
Miscellaneous Co	osts					
	Traffic Control- Signing and Pavement Marking	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	MOT During Construction	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Enhancements	\$-	% of Const.	2%	\$-	
	Surveying	\$-	% of Const.	1%	\$-	
	Mobilization	\$-	% of Const.	6%	\$-	
	Engineering Design	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
	Construction Management and Administration	\$-	% of Const.	10%	\$-	
Contingency						
	Contingency	\$-	% of Subtotal of Above	20%	\$-	
Total Engineering & Construction Cost					\$-	
Right-of-Way Cos	sts					
	Right-of-Way					To be provided by MoDOT
Total Cost					\$-	

Engineer's Cost Estimate					
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST					
Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64		
Estimated By: JR	Date:	12/5/2022	COMMUNITY == TRANSPORTATION == TODETHER		
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023			

*does not include ROW costs

Alternative 3 - Total Cost* (Project 1)		
		Subtotal
Alternative 3 Freeway	\$	2,065,851.29
Alternative 3 Ramps	\$	-
Alternative 3 Local Roads	\$	233,280.00
Alternative 3 Local Agency Roads	\$	-
Alternative 3 Bridges	\$	-
Alternative 3 (Project 1) Total Cost	\$	2,299,131.29

Alternative 3 - Total Cost* (Project 2)				
		Subtotal		
Alternative 3 Freeway	\$	3,917,086.36		
Alternative 3 Ramps	\$	-		
Alternative 3 Local Roads	\$	2,647,819.77		
Alternative 3 Local Agency Roads	\$	12,711,145.71		
Alternative 3 Bridges	\$	3,677,891.04		
Alternative 3 (Project 2) Total Cost	\$	22,953,942.88		

Alternative 3 - Total Cost* (Project 3)			
	Subtotal		
Alternative 3 Freeway	\$ 4,815,454.91		
Alternative 3 Ramps	\$ 824,513.40		
Alternative 3 Local Roads	\$ -		
Alternative 3 Local Agency Roads	\$		
Alternative 3 Bridges	\$ 25,803,566.40		
Alternative 3 (Project 3) Total Cost	\$ 31,443,534.71		
Project: I-64 PEL - EAST Number: J6I3585			FUTURE 64
---	-------	-----------	-----------------------------------
Estimated By: JR	Date:	12/5/2022	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER
Checked By: EW	Date:	1/20/2023	

The unit costs shown in this estimate represent an opinion of probable costs prepared in good faith and with reasonable care. CDI has no control over the costs of construction labor, materials, or equipment, nor over the competitive bidding or negotiation methods and does not make any commitment or assume any duty to assure that bids or negotiated prices will not vary from this estimate of unit costs.

Alternative 3 - Total Cost* (Project 4)		
Alternative 3 Freeway	\$	10,379,330.69
Alternative 3 Ramps	\$	1,188,026.59
Alternative 3 Local Roads	\$	5,146,603.99
Alternative 3 Local Agency Roads	\$	1,339,457.13
Alternative 3 Bridges	\$	7,035,465.12
Alternative 3 (Project 4) Total Cost	\$	25,088,883.51

Alternative 3 - Total Cost* (Project 5)	
	Subtotal
Alternative 3 Freeway	\$ -
Alternative 3 Ramps	\$ -
Alternative 3 Local Roads	\$ -
Alternative 3 Local Agency Roads	\$ -
Alternative 3 Bridges	\$ -
Alternative 3 (Project 5) Total Cost	\$ -



Appendix D.6. Technical Advisory Group Meeting #3 Summary (December 14, 2022)



Future64 Study Technical Advisory Group (TAG) Meeting #3 Wednesday, December 14, 2022 In person at Great Rivers Greenway Prepared by Gabriela Bloom, Vector Communications

Overview

On December 14, 2022, the Missouri Department of Transportation hosted the third of three Technical Advisory Group meetings for the Future64 Study.

Communication

An email was sent on October 13, 2022, to inform participants about the meeting. That primary email was followed up by a calendar invitation and three additional reminder emails via MailChimp. The committee received phone calls the week of the meeting to confirm attendance. Reminder emails were sent to meeting attendees with the pre-meeting documents.

After the meeting, on December 20, 2022, the committee received a follow-up thank you email with meeting documents.

See all email correspondences to invite, remind, and follow up with TAG members in Appendix A. Please find pre-meeting documents in Appendix B. The meeting presentation can be found in Appendix C.

Meeting Attendees

Name	Organization
Amy Parker	Metro ParaTransit
Brooks Goedeker	Midtown Redevelopment Group
Bryan Rogers	Metro
Christopher Peoples	Great Rivers Greenway
Collen Autry	Cortex
Donna Ware	вјС
Gerry Kaiser	SSM Health
Jamie Wilson	City of St. Louis
John Kohler	City of St. Louis
Kim Bakker	SSM Health
Lance Peterson	Metro
Paul Hubmann	East West Gateway Council of Governments
Scott Oglive	City of St. Louis
Steve Sobo	Washington University
Taylor March	Trailnet
Todd Antoine	Great Rivers Greenway

CONSULTANTS	
Name	Organization
Justin Carney	Development Strategies
Jessica Hochlan	HDR Inc.
Lou Kuelker	HDR Inc.
Jason Longsdorf	HDR Inc.

Andy Potthast	HDR Inc.
Kevin Neill	Lochmueller Group
Julie Nolfo	Lochmueller Group
Tom Blair	MoDOT
Aaron Groff	MoDOT
Shaun Tooley	MoDOT
Cindy Simmons	MoDOT
Jen Wade	MoDOT
Gabriela Bloom	Vector Communications
Chandra Taylor	Vector Communications

INVITED STAKEHOLDERS		
Name	Organization	
Aimee Wehmeier	Paraquad	
Amy Parker	Metro ParaTransit	
Betherny Williams	City of St. Louis	
Brian Phillips	Washington University Medical Campus	
Brooks Goedeker	Midtown Redevelopment Group	
Bryan Rogers	Metro	
Catherine Werner	St. Louis City Sustainability Office	
Chris Poehler	Bi-State Development	
Christopher Peoples	Senior Project Manager	
Collen Autry	Cortex	
Donna Ware	BJC	
Gerry Kaiser	SSM Health	
Jamie Wilson	City of St. Louis	
Jeff Buttler	Metro ParaTransit	
Jessica Gershman	Bi-State Development	
John Kohler	Planning and Programming Manager	

John Langa	Bi-State Development
Kim Bakker	SSM Health
Kim Cella	Citizens for Modern Transit
Lance Peterson	Metro
Michael Lucido	Saint Louis University Campus Operations
Michael Richards	SSM
Mike Foley	Citizens for Modern Transit
Paul Hubmann	East West Gateway Council of Governments
Rob Orr	St. Louis Development Corporation
Scott Oglive	City of St. Louis
Steve Sobo	Washington University
Taylor March	Trailnet
Todd Antoine	Great Rivers Greenway
Travis Wood	Citizens for Modern Transit
Trenise Winters	MetroBus
Х	Metro ParaTransit

Minutes

The meeting started at 11:30 a.m. Jen Wade of MoDOT opened the meeting and thanked members for attending. Shaun Tooley of MoDOT shared some welcoming remarks. Chandra Taylor of Vector Communications shared group introductions. Then, Andy Potthast of HDR provided PEL Study updates and the project timeline and study area, and an overview of what the project team has been doing since the July 2022 Technical Advisory Group (TAG) meeting.

Next, Lou Kuleker of HDR shared an overview of the three alternatives. Lou emphasized that though the three alternatives are being shared separately from one another, it is possible to incorporate aspects of all three to the final preferred alternative. TAG members had printed versions of the alternatives in front of them and could review the information more closely. TAG members had an opportunity to ask questions about all three alternatives.





PROFORME DELACES RECEIVES PROFORME DETLANSE	TRANSF CRUL TRANSF CRUL DRAFT - SUBJECT TO CHANCE
WEST INTERCHANGE	EAST INTERCHANGE
le rener de la rener de	<complex-block></complex-block>

FOR PLANNING USE ONLY: The alternatives presented are conceptual in nature and are subject to change based on additional data collection, further analysis, and future phases of design. The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168. F)S 🕬

I-64 PEL ALTERNATIVE 3







FOR PLANNING USE ONLY: The alternatives presented are conceptual in nature and are subject to change based on additional data collection, further analysis, and future phases of design The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168.

Questions and comments shared about the three alternatives can be found in Appendix D.

Next, Jason Longsdorf with HDR introduced the initial screening results of each alternative. This included assessing the impact of each alternative along the same metrics and comparing these impacts with a "no build" option. Julie Nolfo and Kevin Neill of Lochmueller Group continued this discussion and went through each Need metric. Justin Carney of Development Strategies then went through the Goal metrics and compared each alternative. *Questions and comments shared about the initial screening results can be found in Appendix E.*

The TAG members broke off into three small groups to complete a small group exercise to discuss the three alternatives in detail. After breaking into groups, a project team member reported out on the group conversation. *Notes from the break-out groups can be found in Appendix F.*

After the break-out groups reported out, TAG members had the opportunity to ask additional questions and share additional comments with the project team. *Questions and comments can be found in Appendix G.*

Andy closed the meeting by thanking TAG members for being a part of the Future64 project. Andy shared that the PowerPoint would be distributed to TAG members after the meeting and questions and comments could be submitted via email to Chandra Taylor of Vector Communications at ctaylor@vectorstl.com.

Jen thanked everyone for their participation and time.

Andy adjourned the meeting at 1:30 p.m.

Following the Technical Advisory Group meeting, three comments were received from TAG members East West Gateway Council of Governments, Metro Transit, and Trailnet. *These comments can be found in Appendices H, I, and J.*

Appendix A: Email correspondences with TAG

12/21/22, 1:58 PM

Vector Communications Mail - Fwd: Future64 TAG Meeting #3



Gabriela Bloom <gbloom@vectorstl.com>

Fwd: Future64 TAG Meeting #3

1 message

Chandra Taylor <ctaylor@vectorstl.com> To: Gabriela Bloom <gbloom@vectorstl.com> Wed, Dec 21, 2022 at 12:58 PM

------- Forwarded message ------From: **Chandra Taylor** <ctaylor@vectorstl.com> Date: Thu, Oct 13, 2022 at 3:34 PM Subject: Future64 TAG Meeting #3

To: Kohler, John <KohlerJ@stiouis-mo.gov>, <williamsbeth@stiouis-mo.gov>, <williamsbeth@stiouis-mo.gov>, <williamsbeth@stiouis-mo.gov>, <williamsbeth@stiouis-mo.gov>, <williamsbeth@stiouis-mo.gov>, <williamsbeth@stiouis-mo.gov>, <williamsbeth@stiouis-mo.gov>, <williamsbeth@stiouis.org>, <engineering@metrostiouis.org>, Langa, John R. <irliamsbeth@stiouis.org>, <metrobus@metrostiouis.org>, <paratransit@metrostiouis.org>, <adacoordinator@metrostiouis.org>, <adacoordinator@metrostiouis.org>, <adacoordinator@metrostiouis.org>, <adacoordinator@metrostiouis.org>, <adacoordinator@metrostiouis.org>, <adacoordinator@metrostiouis.org>, <adacoordinator@metrostiouis.org>, <adacoordinator@metrostiouis.org>, <adacoordinator@metrostiouis.org>, Kim Cella <kcella@cmt-stl.org>, <adacoordinator@metrostiouis.org>, <awehmeier@paraquad.org>, Paul Hubbman <paul.hubbman@ewgateway.org>, <cautry@cortexstl.org>, <philipb@wustl.edu>, <sobos@wustl.edu>, <</p>

Cc: Hochlan, Jessica <Jessica.Hochlan@hdrinc.com>, Potthast, Andrew <Andrew.Potthast@hdrinc.com>, Longsdorf, Jason <Jason Longsdorf@hdrinc.com>, Julie Nolfo <JNolfo@lochgroup.com>, Kevin Neill <KNeill@lochgroup.com>, Kuelker, Lou <Lou Kuelker@hdrinc.com>, Rojan Thomas Joseph <rjoseph@development-strategies.com>, Padgett, Ylana <Ylana.Padgetdwhdrinc.com>, <jonathan.deves@hdrinc.com>, Aaron J Groff <Aaron.Groff@modot.mo.gov>, Jennifer A. Wade <Jennifer.Wade@modot.mo.gov>, Kyle E. Grayson <Kyle.Grayson@modot.mo.gov>, THOMAS K BLAIR <Thomas.Blair@modot.mo.gov>, EDDIE WATKINS JR <Eddie.Watkins@modot.mo.gov>, THOMAS J EVERS <Thomas.Evers@modot.mo.gov>, <Tyler.Lehde@modot.mo.gov>, <Cynthia.simmons@modot.mo.gov>

SAVE THE DATE

Please join the Future64 Study team for the last Technical Advisory Group meeting to discuss the selected alternatives and to provide feedback that'll help streamline the outcomes.

Who: Technical Advisory Group

When: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 at 12pm-2pm

Where: Great Rivers Greenway Mississippi Room 3745 Foundry Way, Suite 253 St. Louis, Missouri 63110

What: This is an in person only event. More details to follow.

If you have questions, contact Chandra Taylor at ctaylor@vectorstl.com.

Thank you, and we look forward to seeing you again.

Chandra Z. Taylor Consultant Vector Communications The Power House at Union Station 401 South 18th St. Suite 325 St. Louis, MO 63103 (w) 314.621.5566 x102

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=c08bd6bbe3&view=pt&search=all&permthid=thread-f%3A1752847361854549113&simpl=msg-f%3A1752847361854549113 1/2



Benefits & Impacts of Alternatives TAG #3



Hello Technical Advisory Group:

The Missouri Department of Transportation thanks you for participating in the third and final Technical Advisory Group meeting for the Future64 PEL Study!

The project intentionally included community and technical experts that would provide insight into the study area. By volunteering your time, your help supported MoDOT through the early stages of enhancing the I-64 transportation system in the central corridor.

This email serves as a reminder that we'll meet again at a **NEW TIME**:

December 14 11:30am - 1:30pm at Great Rivers Greenway Mississippi Room 3745 Foundry Way Suite 253 St. Louis, MO 63110 Light meal provided. This is an in-person meeting only.

During this time, you'll review three alternatives that were developed based on months-long analysis. The project team will ask for your feedback one more time before the alternatives are presented at the next public meeting in early 2023.

Again, thank you for continuing to share your insights and advice; we appreciate your support of the I-64 PEL study.

See you next month!

The Future64 Team





Technical Advisory Group:

Please join us for the final advisory group presentation on December 14th from 11:30-1:30pm at Great Rivers Greenway. Since a light meal will be included reservations are needed by December 13th. The event is in-person only as there will be hands-on and interactive activities with the three alternatives.

TAG RSVP

During this meeting the project team will present three corridor improvement alternatives and how they were evaluated using the study's Purpose and Need. We value the feedback that you have provided which supported the development of these alternatives. Your input during this final advisory group meeting will shape the outcomes of the Future64 Study.

Please download and review the Purpose and Need document (attached). This provides the framework for the study and was developed based on analysis of the existing conditions and feedback from the stakeholder and public engagement efforts.

Purpose and Need Flyer

The second document to review is the list of evaluation criteria that is linked below. These questions were used to determine how well each alternative met the established needs and goals of the study. Please identify your top 3 questions by **December 12** if you would like to discuss during the meeting in the survey linked below.

Evaluation Criteria Needs and Goals Survey

We appreciate the time and energy that you have invested in the Future64 Corridor and look forward to seeing you next week!



The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168





Technical Advisory Group:

This is a reminder that we are holding the final Future64 TAG meeting December 14 11:30am-1:30pm at Great Rivers Greenway. Please RSVP for the meeting if you have not already responded.

TAG RSVP

We'll spend the meeting discussing the benefits and weaknesses of the three PEL corridor alternatives. You will have the opportunity to preview maps and become acquainted with the proposed enhancements, then give your input to the planning team. MoDOT is seeking feedback that will be used to evaluate and guide the final recommendations for the Future64 study. Please review and familiarize yourself with the alternatives to help facilitate discussion during the meeting.

Alternative 1 Alternative 2 Alternative 3

The study team has performed an initial evaluation of these alternatives based on the criteria that was established. We will be reviewing select criteria in more detail during the meeting to discuss the process that was used to formulate the initial rating. Attached is the initial results for your review ahead of the meeting.

Level 2 Screening Evaluation

We value the time and effort you put into the Future64 study and are eager to see you in person December 14!

Future64 Team



The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168





Technical Advisory Group:

The Future64 team appreciates your participation in the I-64 PEL process, Your input helps ensure that the central corridor alternatives bring the most benefit to the community. Thank you all for bringing your expertise and experience to the table and engaging in such beneficial meaningful, and significant conversation during our meetings. We spent the most recent advisory group meeting discussing the benefits and weaknesses of the three PEL corridor alternatives and outlining the proposed enhancements, Your comments provided at the meeting will help shape the recommendations for the Alternatives in the PEL Document.

Please send any additional ideas you'd like the design team to consider to <u>ctaylor@vectorstl.com</u>. You have until December 23rd at the end of the day to leave a comment on the alternatives.

For your reference, the TAG meeting 3 presentation is provided. Review screening results and alternatives to help you make additional recommendations.

TAG Presentation 3

Alternative 1 Alternative 2 Alternative 3 Strip Map 1 Strip Map 2 Strip Map 3

Level 2 Screening Evaluation

Again, thank you from the Future64 team.



The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168



Future64 TAG Meeting #3 RSVP

* First Name

* Last Name

* Confirm your attendance

◯ Accept

🔿 Decline

Done





PROJECT PURPOSE

The purpose of the reasonable transportation improvements on I-64 between Kingshighway Blvd and Jefferson Ave is to renew and modify the transportation system to have safe and reliable facilities for all users that improve access to destinations and support community vitality for the long term.



The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168.

FUTURE64.COM



Evaluation Criteria Related to Identified Study Needs

Need	Increased safety for all users
Sub Need	Regional Vehicular Movements
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the concept improve safety on the I-64 mainline, ramps and/or ramp terminals? Does the concept improve safety within the local road network and within the study area? Does the improvement address identified crash hot spots?
Sub Need	Bike/Ped
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the concept improve safety for people walking and biking and/or transit users across I-64 and throughout the study area?

Need	Improve transportation system with intuitive navigation to, from, and across I-64
Sub Need	I-64 Access
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the concept maintain access or provide access to current and known future destinations?
Sub Need	Interstate / Local Network interface
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the concept provide logical access to the perpendicular street grid and provide for all traffic movements (on and off in both directions)?

The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168 Page 1 of 5



Evaluation Criteria Related to Identified Study Needs

Need	Reduce the barrier effect of I-64 for bicycle, pedestrian, and transit users
Sub Need	Support other entities bike/ped plans
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the concept facilitate connectivity for transit users and people walking and biking across I-64 and within the study area?
Sub Need	Transit Access/Effectiveness
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the concept facilitate transit access and connectivity to other non- motorized modes and/or operations?

Need	Optimize bridge maintenance by improving structural conditions to maintain a good state of repair
Sub Need	Structure Repair
Question(s) to ask:	 After extending all MoDOT bridges to meet a life span of 2050 what is the total number of structures that would require replacement for their next major repair?
Sub Need	Reduce Structures
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the alternative reduce the total number of MoDOT Maintained structures, including bridges and walls?

The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168 Page 2 of 5



Evaluation Criteria Related to Identified Study Needs

Need	Maintain Interstate function, operations, and capacity for the future
Sub Need	Capacity
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the concept maintain capacity on I-64 mainline, ramps and/or ramp terminals?
Sub Need	Freight
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the alternative have the potential to facilitate freight movements and improve maneuverability along, to, and from I-64?

Need	Environmental Resource Protection
Sub Need	Environmental Resources
Question(s) to ask:	Does the alternative impact environmental resources?
Sub Need	Social and Built Environment
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the alternative impact social and built resources?

The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168 Page 3 of 5



Evaluation Criteria Related to Identified Study Goals

Goal	Right-size I-64, to reduce the highway footprint and reuse the space to benefit the community.	
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the alternative reduce the acreage of footprint of I-64 ROW, interchanges, and ramps? How much released land is viable for redevelopment (acres)? 	
Goal	Support improved land use near transit stations and trails.	
Question(s) to ask:	Does the alternative support transit and trail-oriented development?	
Goal	Improve equitable outcomes: Protect community assets.	
Question(s) to ask:	Does this alternative impact any community assets?	
Goal	Improve equitable outcomes: Improve quality of life.	
Question(s) to ask:	• Does this alternative contribute to an improved quality of life for local residents and workers?	
Goal	Improve equitable outcomes: Improved access to underserved communities.	
Question(s) to ask:	Does the alternative improve access to underserved communities?	
Goal	Coordinate with regional partners to enhance the connectivity, safety, and comfort of the local transportation network.	
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the alternative create opportunities to allow for coordinated enhancements in connectivity, safety, and comfort of travel by regional transportation and service delivery partners? 	
Goal	Integrate bicycle and pedestrian facility design best practices into project designs.	
Question(s) to ask:	Are the proposed bicycle and pedestrian facilities designs considered best practices?	
Goal	Consolidate access points from interstate to local system.	
Question(s) to ask:	Does the alternative consolidate access points from I-64 to the local system?	
Goal	Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit improvements.	
Question(s) to ask:	Does the alternative have a good cost benefit?	

The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168 Page 4 of 5



Evaluation Criteria Related to Identified Study Goals

Question(s) to ask:	 Does the alternative provide opportunities for green infrastructure, native plantings, and stormwater management?
Goal	Integrate improved aesthetics and visual environment into project designs.
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the alternative provide opportunities to improve beautification, placemaking, and inviting infrastructure?

The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168 Page 5 of 5

Future64 Technical Advisory Group Survey

The project team is evaluating the alternatives against all of these needs and goals. We will not have time to address all of these in detail but want to do our best to address the ones of most interest to you. Please select the three you are most interested in hearing about:

1. Needs

≡ ♦	Safety for vehicles
≣	Safety for pedestrians and bikes
≣	Intuitive navigation for I-64 users
≣	Intuitive navigation for the local roadway network
≣	Reduced barrier effect for bikes, pedestrians, and transit user
≣	Bridge and structure maintenance
≣	Interstate capacity
≡ ♦	Freight movements
≣	Environmental resource protection
	Community resource protection
2. Goals	
	Reduce highway footprint
2. Goals	
2. Goals	Reduce highway footprint
2. Goals	Reduce highway footprint Improve land use near transit and trails
2. Goals	Reduce highway footprint Improve land use near transit and trails Improve access to schools, hospitals and other community resources
2. Goals	 Reduce highway footprint Improve land use near transit and trails Improve access to schools, hospitals and other community resources Improve access to underserved communities
2. Goals	Reduce highway footprint Improve land use near transit and trails Improve access to schools, hospitals and other community resources Improve access to underserved communities Improve opportunities for partner agencies to enhance local travel safety and comfort
2. Goals	Reduce highway footprint Improve land use near transit and trails Improve access to schools, hospitals and other community resources Improve access to underserved communities Improve opportunities for partner agencies to enhance local travel safety and comfort Allows for comfortable bicycle and pedestrian facilities





PROFORD MICRARY WORKING PROFORD BITLARS PROFORD BITLARS	RODOLES STRUCTURE PRODUCES PRESME FACULTES RECEPTION RODOLES STRUCTURE RECEPTION RODOLES STRUCTURE RECEPTION RODOLES STRUCTURE RECEPTION RODOLES STRUCTURE RECEPTION RODOLES STRUCTURE RECEPTION RODOLES STRUCTURE RECEPTION RECEPT	DRAFT - SUBJECT TO CHANGE		MMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - TOGETHER
WEST IN	NTERCHANGE		EAST INTERCHANGE	
Antiene of second lane in the intervention of antiene of second lane of the of the of second lane of the of second lane of the of the		provide to Gran	Action of the construction	Reitignment off Simmelly accessed to simmelly accessed ac

FOR PLANNING USE ONLY: The alternatives presented are conceptual in nature and are subject to change based on additional data collection, further analysis, and future phases of design. The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168. F)S 🕬





FOR PLANNING USE ONLY: The alternatives presented are conceptual in nature and are subject to change based on additional data collection, further analysis, and future phases of design The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168. F)



PROFORD DIFUCLER WORKING PROFORD DIFUGLER WORKING PROFORD DIFUGLER PROFORD DIFUGLER PROFORD DIFUGLER PROFORD DIFUGLER PROFORD DIFUGLER PROFORD DIFUGLER PROFORD DIFUGLER	PROPOSED STRUCTURE PROPOSED SPECIAL FACILITIES TAU PC RESPASE REPORT RESPACE R	DARDIT THATE SOUL	INCE
WEST II	NTERCHANGE		EAST INTERCHANGE
be acco	In Bernier Ber	area	All All All All All All All All

FOR PLANNING USE ONLY: The alternatives presented are conceptual in nature and are subject to change based on additional data collection, further analysis, and future phases of design. The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168. F)S 🕬

Need	Increase safety for all users		
Sub Need	Regional Vehicular-Movements	Bike/Ped	
Question(s) to ask	Does the concept improve safety on the I-64 mainline, ramps and/or ramp terminals? Does the concept improve safety within the local road network and within the study area?	Does the concept improve safety for people walking and biking and/or transit users across I-64 and throughout the	
		study area?	
	Does the improvement address identified crash hot spots?		
No Build Rating	Least/Low	Moderate	
Alternative 1 Rating	Moderate	Moderate	
Alternative 2 Rating	Moderate	Least/Low	
Alternative 3 Rating	High/Best	High/Best	
	A		
Need	Improve transportation system while incuitive navigation		
Sub Need	I-64 Access	Interstate / Local Network interface	
Question(s) to ask	Does the concept maintain access or provide access to current and known future destinations?	Does the concept provide logical access to the perpendicula street grid and provide for all traffic movements (on and of in both directions)?	
	A Company and the second se		
No Build Rating	Least/Low	Least/Low	
Alternative 1 Rating	Moderate	High/Best	
Alternative 2 Rating	Modecate	Moderate	
Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate	High/Best	
	c JV		
Need	In prove transportation system with intuitive navigation		
Sub Need	I-64 Access	Interstate / Local Network interface	
Question(s) to ask	Does the concept maintain access or provide access to current and known future destinations?	Does the concept provide logical access to the perpendicul street grid and provide for all traffic movements (on and o in both directions)?	
No Build Rating	Least/Low	Least/Low	
Alternative 1 Rating	Moderate	High/Best	
Alternative 2 Rating	Moderate	Moderate	
Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate	High/Best	

DRAFT: SUBJECT TO CHANGE The Missoiri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into Aduer ModOT NEPA studies, per Tate 23 of the US Code, Part 168.

Need	Reduce the barrier effect of I-64 for bicycle, pedest	
Sub Need	Support other entities bike/ped plans	Transit Access/Effectiveness
Question(s) to ask	Does the concept facilitate connectivity for transit users and people walking and biking across I	-64 Does the concept facilitate transit access and connectivity
Question(s) to ask	and within the study area?	other non motorized modes and/or operations?
No Build Rating	Least/Low	
Alternative 1 Rating	Moderate	Least/Low
Alternative 2 Rating	High/Best	High/Best
Alternative 3 Rating	High/Best	Least/Low
	1.	
Need	Optimize bridge maintenance by improving structural condition	s to maintain a good state of renair
Sub Need	Structure Repair	Reduce Structures
	After extending all MoDOT bridges to meet a life span of 2050 what is the total number of	Does the alternative reduce the total number of MoDOT
Question(s) to ask	structures that would require replacement for their next major repair?	Maintained structures, including bridges and walls?
		internet structures, including bridges and waist
No Build Rating	Least/Low	Least/Low
Alternative 1 Rating	Moderate	Least/Low
Alternative 2 Rating	Moderate	Least/Low
Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate	Least/Low
Need	Maintain Interstate function, operations, and ca	
Sub Need	Capacity	Freight
	00	Does the alternative have the potential to facilitate freig
Question(s) to ask	Does the concept maintain capacity on I-64 mainline, ramps and/or ramp terminals?	movements and improve maneuverability along, to, and
		from I-64?
	2	
No Build Rating	Least/Low	Least/Low
Alternative 1 Rating	Least/Low	Moderate
Alternative 2 Rating	High/Best	Moderate
Alternative 3 Rating	High/Best	Moderate
	\circ	
Need	Environmental Resource Protect	
Sub Need	Environmental Resources	Social and Built Environment
Question(s) to ask	Does the alternative impact environmental resources?	Does the alternative impact social and built resources?
		La successione
No Build Rating	Least/Low	Moderate
Alternative 1 Rating	Moderate	Moderate
	Moderate	Moderate
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate	Moderate

DRAFT: SUBJECT TO CHANGE The Makauri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into Marke MODIT NEPA studies, per Tale 23 of the US Code, Part 184.

Project Goals	Future64 Level 2 Alternative Screening - Project Goals	
110/000	Right-size I-64, to reduce the highway footprint and reuse the space to benefit the	
	community.	
	Does the alternative reduce the acreage of footprint of I-64 ROW, interchanges, and	
Question(s) to Ask	ramps?	
	How much released land is viable for redevelopment (acres)?	
	now inder released land is viable for redevelopment (acres):	
No Build Rating	Least/Low	
Alternative 1 Rating	Moderate	
Alternative 2 Rating	Moderate	
Alternative 3 Rating	High/Best	
Project Goals	Support improved land use near transit stations and trails.	
Question(s) to Ask	Does the alternative support transit and trail oriented development?	
No Dulld Dation		
No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating	Noderate	
Alternative 2 Rating		
Alternative 3 Rating	High/Best	
Participation of the	1	
Project Goals Ouestion(s) to Ask	Improve equitable outcomes: Protect community assets Does this alternative impact any community assets?	
No Build Rating	Least/Low	
Alternative 1 Rating	Moderate	
Alternative 2 Rating	Least/Low	
Alternative 3 Rating	nigh/ best	
Project Goals	Improve equitable outcomes: Improve quality of life	
Question(s) to Ask	Does this alternative contribute to an improved quality of life for local residents and	
	workers?	
No Build Rating	Least/Low	1.
Alternative 1 Rating	Moderate	
Alternative 2 Rating	Least/Low	CAN
Alternative 3 Rating	High/Best	
		1
Project Goals	Improve equitable outcomes: Improved access to underserved communities	D
	Does the alternative improve access to underserved communities?	X
		-V.
No Build Rating	Least/Low	()
Alternative 1 Rating	Moderate	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating	Lessy Low	.0
Alternative 3 Kating	Hign/Best	
Project Goals	Coordinate with regional partners to enhance the connectivity, safety, and comfort	TOCHANGE
	of the local transportation network	N .
Question(s) to Ask	Does the alternative create opportunities to allow for coordinated enhancements in connectivity, safety, and comfort of travel by regional transportation and service	
Question(s) to Ask	delivery partners?	
No Build Rating	Least/Low	
Alternative 1 Rating		
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating	High/Best	
Alternative 5 Kating	Woderate	
Project Goals	Integrate bicycle and pedestrian facility design best practices into project designs	
Question(s) to Ask	Are the proposed bicycle and pedestrian facilities designs considered best practices?	
No Build Rating	Moderate	
	Mederate	
Alternative 2 Rating		
Alternative 2 Rating		
Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating		
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals	Moderate High/Best Consolidate access points from interstate to local system	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals	Moderate High/Best	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask	Moderate High/Best Consolidate access points from interstate to local system	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating	Moderate High/Best Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from 1-64 to the local system?	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating	Moderate High/Best Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Instit/Dee Moderate Moderate Moderate	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating	Moderate High/Best Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Instit/Dee Moderate Moderate Moderate	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating	Moderate High/Best Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Instit/Dee Moderate Moderate Moderate	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating	Moderate High/Best Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from I-64 to the local system? Issert/Isser Moderate Moderate High/Best	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals	Moderate High/Best Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Dees the alternative consolidate access points from I-64 to the local system? Exercision Moderate Moderate High/Best Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit improvements	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask	Moderate High/Best Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from I-64 to the local system? Issert/Isser Moderate Moderate High/Best	
Alternative 3 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating	Moderate High/Beat Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from I-64 to the local system? Interst high/Beat Interst high/Beat Interst high/Beat Does the alternative have a good cost benefit? Execution	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating	Moderate High/Rest Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Consolidat	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating	Moderate High/Beat Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Does the alternative consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit improvements Toes the alternative have a good cost benefit? Cost to a Moderate Moderate Moderate	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating	Moderate High/Beat Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Does the alternative consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit improvements Toes the alternative have a good cost benefit? Cost to a Moderate Moderate Moderate	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating	Moderate High/Best Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Consolidate access points from	
Alternative 2 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating	Moderate High/Beat Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Does the alternative consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit improvements Does the alternative have a good cost benefit? Coast_tow Moderate High/Beat Integrate ecology best practices into project designs and right-of-way use.	
Alternative 2 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate High/Beat Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from 1-64 to the local system? Advantage Moderate High/Beat Integrate ecology best practices into project designs and right-of-way use. Does the alternative provide opportunities for green infrastructure, native plantings	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals	Moderate High/Beat Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Does the alternative consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit improvements Does the alternative have a good cost benefit? Coast_tow Moderate High/Beat Integrate ecology best practices into project designs and right-of-way use.	
Alternative 3 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 2 Rating, Alternative 2 Rating, Alternative 3 Rating Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate High/Beat Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from 1-64 to the local system? Advantage Moderate High/Beat Integrate ecology best practices into project designs and right-of-way use. Does the alternative provide opportunities for green infrastructure, native plantings	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 1 Rating No Build Rating	Moderate High/Beat Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from 1-64 to the local system? Istanziose Moderate Moderate High/Dest Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit? Istanziose Moderate High/Beat Integrate ecology best practices into project designs and right-of-way use. Does the alternative provide opportunities for green infrastructure, native plantings and stortwaver management? Istanziose	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 3 Rating No Build Rating Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate High/Beat Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Interstate Moderate High/Beat Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit? Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit? Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit? Invest in project a alternative have a good cost benefit? Invest in project a the atternative provide opportunities for green infrastructure, native plantings and stormwater management? Cost/Low High/Beat	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate High/Beat Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Interstate Moderate High/Beat Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit? Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit? Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit? Invest in project a alternative have a good cost benefit? Invest in project a the atternative provide opportunities for green infrastructure, native plantings and stormwater management? Cost/Low High/Beat	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate High/Beat Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Interstate Moderate High/Beat Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit? Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit? Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit? Invest in project a alternative have a good cost benefit? Invest in project a the atternative provide opportunities for green infrastructure, native plantings and stormwater management? Cost/Low High/Beat	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 3 Rating No Build Rating Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate High/Beat Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Consolidate access points from	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 2 Rating, Alternative 2 Rating, Alternative 3 Rating Usestion(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Alternative 3 Rating No Build Rating No Build Rating Alternative 3 Rating No Build Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals	Moderate High/Beat Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Moderate Moderate Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit improvements Does the alternative have a good cost benefit? Cost/Loow Moderate High/Beat Integrate ecology best practices into project designs and right-of-way use. Does the alternative provide opportunities for green infrastructure, native plantings and stormwater management? Cost/Loow High/Beat Integrate ecology best practices into project designs and right-of-way use. Does the alternative provide opportunities for green infrastructure, native plantings and stormwater management? Cost/Loow High/Beat Integrate improved aesthetics and visual environment into project designs. Does the alternative provide opportunities to improve beautification, placemaking.	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating, Alternative 3 Rating Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 3 Rating No Build Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate High/Beat Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Consolidate access points from	DRAFT: SUBJECT TO
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 3 Rating Alternati	Moderate High/Beat Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Moderate Moderate Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit improvements Does the alternative have a good cost benefit? Cost/Loow Moderate High/Beat Integrate ecology best practices into project designs and right-of-way use. Does the alternative provide opportunities for green infrastructure, native plantings and stormwater management? Cost/Loow High/Beat Integrate ecology best practices into project designs and right-of-way use. Does the alternative provide opportunities for green infrastructure, native plantings and stormwater management? Cost/Loow High/Beat Integrate improved aesthetics and visual environment into project designs. Does the alternative provide opportunities to improve beautification, placemaking.	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Usuestion(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Mernative 3 Rating Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate High/Best Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Consolidate access access access access from access acc	DRAFT: SUBJECT TO
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating Project Goals Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating Question(s) to Ask No Build Rating Alternative 3 Rating Alternati	Moderate High/Beat Consolidate access points from interstate to local system Does the alternative consolidate access points from i-64 to the local system? Standytow Moderate	DRAFT: SUBJECT TO CHANGE

The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future MoDOT NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168.



Appendix C: TAG Meeting Presentation



December 14, 2022

The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168





Introductions Project Recap Overview of Alternatives Initial Screening Results Small Group Exercise – Benefits & Impacts of Alternatives Round Robin Discussion Where do we go from here?





Introductions





Study Recap - What's happened so far?










Consolidate access points from interstate to local

Integrate ecology best practices into project designs and right-of-way use. Integrate improved aesthetics and visual environment into

Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit

system.

improvements

project designs.

• Analysis performed: traffic, safety, multimodal, and community benefits

Overview of Alternatives







Map and Legend Overview

Х

#>

PROPOSED SHOULDER WIDENING

- PROPOSED BRT LANES
 - PROPOSED LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (MoDOT)
- PROPOSED LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (LOCAL AGENCY)
 - PED/BIKE PLANNED BY PARTNER AGENCIES
 - PROPOSED MoDOT IMPROVEMENTS

PROPOSED STRUCTURE PROPOSED PED/BIKE FACILITIES UNDERPASS REMOVED

NUMBER OF LANES







Alternative 1



FOR PLANNING USE ONLY: The alternatives presented are conceptual in nature and are subject to change based on additional data collection, further analysis, and future phases of design The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168.

Alternative 2



FOR PLANNING USE ONLY: The alternatives presented are conceptual in nature and are subject to change based on additional data collection, further analysis, and future phases of design The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code. Part 168.

F)S

Alternative 3



FOR PLANNING USE ONLY: The alternatives presented are conceptual in nature and are subject to change based on additional data collection, further analysis, and future phases of design. The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168. F)S

Estimated Costs

Bridge Repairs/Replacements to Extend Life Past 2050 = \$100M

	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3
Investment on MoDOT System	\$80M	\$96M	\$130M
Investment on Local System	\$16M	\$28M	\$19M
Bridge Repairs	\$90M	\$90M	\$90M

Current Funding FY22-FY26 = \$16M

MoDOT Unfunded Needs List

- Tier 1 \$86M
- Tier 2 \$24M







MoDOT Future64 TAG Meeting #3

Need – Safety for All Users

	NB	Alt1	Alt2	Alt 3
Performance Rating	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High
Congestion Reduction		V	√+	√+
Improved interchange spacing				√+
Reduction Access points		V	√ +	√+
Removes Left Hand Entrance Ramps		V	٧	√+
Improved Interchange Ramps		V	٧	٧
Improved Shoulders		V	V	٧

Need – Safety for All Users: Bike/Ped

	NB	Alt1	Alt2	Alt 3
Performance Rating	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	High
Planned Low Stress Improvements from GRG and City of STL	٧	٧	V	٧
New Intersection at Forest Park and Grand		٧-	v -	v -
New Separated Facilities Along Grand, Theresa and Forest Park		٧	V	٧
Clayton Ave Improvements			√ +	
Grade Separation at Tower Grove and Conversion to Ped Only				√ +

Need – Intuitive I-64 Access

	NB	Alt1	Alt2	Alt 3
Performance Rating	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Removal of Market Street On and Off Ramp		V	٧	V
Consolidated Access at Boyle		٧	٧	V
Consolidated Access at Grand Blvd		٧	٧	v
No Significant Travel Time Increases		√+	√+	V

Need – Reduced Barrier Effect

	NB	Alt1	Alt2	Alt 3
Performance Rating	Low	Moderate	High	High
Increase of more than 1 mile of new facilities			٧	
Increased crossings of I-64		٧	V	V
Increased grade separated crossings of RR			٧	
Improved connectivity to Grand MetroLink Station		٧	٧	٧



Need – Maintain Interstate Function, Operations and Capacity for Future

Goal – Right-size I-64 to Reduce Highway Footprint

	NB	Alt1	Alt2	Alt 3
Performance Rating	N/A	Moderate	Moderate	High
Potential Released Acreage		6.5	7.1	10.7
Potential Redevelopment Acreage		14.7	14.8	30.8
Potential Residential Units		700	600	1800
Potential Commercial SQFT		25,000	12,000	58,000
Potential Developments with Transit Access		3	4	5
Potential Developments with Trail Access		3	4	6

Goal – Community Benefits of Alternatives



Goal – Improve Equitable Outcomes: Protect Community Assets



Goal – Improve Equitable Outcomes: Protect Community Assets

た 10 MIN WALK RADIUS – OVERALL AVERAGES				
Destination Category		Alternative 1		Alternative 3
Health Clinics		Low	Marginal	High
Higher Education		Medium	Marginal	Medium
Hospitals		Marginal	Low	Low
Community Services		Low	Marginal	Low
Schools		Marginal	Marginal	Marginal
Other Community Assets		High	Medium	High
	OVERALL SCORE	Medium	Low	Medium
5 0	10 MIN BIKE RADIUS – OVERA	LL AVERAGES		
Destination Category		Alternative 1		Alternative 3
Health Clinics		Low	Low	Low
Higher Education		Low	Low	Low
Hospitals		Low	Low	Low
Community Services		Low	Low	Low
Schools		Marginal	Low	Low
Other Community Assets		Medium	Medium	Marginal
	OVERALL SCORE	Low	Low	Low

Goal – Improve Equitable Outcomes – Improve Quality of Life



Goal – Improve Equitable Outcomes – Improve Quality of Life

大 10 MIN WALK RADIUS – OVERALL AVERAGES				
Destination Category		Alternative 1		Alternative 3
Major Employers		Medium	Low	High
Commercial and Entertainment De	istinations	Medium	Marginal	Medium
Groceries		High	Low	High
Parks		Marginal	Marginal	Low
	OVERALL SCORE	Medium	Low	High
s 0	10 MIN BIKE RADIUS – OVERAL	L AVERAGES		
Destination Category		Alternative 1		Alternative 3
Major Employers		Low	Low	Low
Commercial and Entertainment D	stinations	Low	Low	Low
Groceries		Medium	Medium	Medium
Parks		Marginal	Marginal	Marginal
	OVERALL SCORE	Low	Low	Low

Goal – Improve Equitable Outcomes – Improved Access to Underserved Communities

ALTERNATIVES RANKED FOR ACREAGE OF 10 MIN WALKSHED SERVING VULNERABLE AREAS					
Community Assets	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3		
Health Clinics	Alternative 3	Alternative 1			
Higher Education	Alternative 3	Alternative 1			
Hospitals					
Community Services	Alternative 3	Alternative 1			
Schools		Marginal Variation			
Other Community Services	Alternative 1	Alternative 3	Alternative 2		
Quality Of Life	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3		
Major Employers	Alternative 3	Alternative 1			
Commercial and Entertainment Locations	Alternative 3	Alternative 1			
Parks	Alternative 3	Alternative 2	Alternative 1		
Grocery Stores	Alternative 3	Alternative 1			
Transit Stops	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3		
	Alternative 1	Alternative 3			

Small Group Exercise

Benefits and Impacts of Alternatives





Benefits & Impacts of Each Alternative: Report Out

Round Robin Discussion

- Share your thoughts
 - What excites you most about the alternatives?
 - What should MoDOT focus on as the Future64 Study moves into the next phases of planning and project development?
 - Is there anything you can tell us to improve future PEL studies?

Where do we go from here?

• What happens with the feedback from these meetings?

- Meet with elected officials
- Public meeting January 18, 2023

• PEL Report with Recommendations for Next Steps of Planning - April 2023



Thank You!

For more information, visit www.future64.com or Email: Chandra Taylor ctaylor@vectorstl.com





Appendix D: Questions & Comments about Alternatives

General

- How much time has your team spent watching the bikes?
 - The project team has done site visits and documented bikes crossing over on the bike/ped bridges throughout the course of the project.
- Have you done counts? There is anecdotal information but not quantitative data. Is the team guessing? Where are the commuters going? Where are the boots on the ground to count people walking and biking?
 - The project team worked closely with East West Gateway to extract data and account for 2050 shifts. All this is documented.
 - A lot of information about this is available on the website, including quantitative data and the technical review process.
 - The information presented tonight is a holistic picture of the alternatives.
- Can you walk us through that data? We need to spend time understanding the data before looking at alternatives.
 - \circ $\,$ This is not going to be covered today. We can work with MoDOT to go through it with you.
- For a project this big, you would have spent several hours in a workshop before talking about alternatives at all.
 - We are calling these "alternatives". We have drawn up 15-16 ideas, ruled out ideas, assembled 3 "alternatives". We wanted to look at a diversity of ideas. The "alternatives" are arranged to see as many elements as possible.
 - The project team is still in the planning process. TAG members are involved in the planning process, and no decisions have been made so far.

Questions and comments about Alternative 1

No comments/questions about Alternative 1

Questions and comments about Alternative 2

- Would Spruce be one-way going east?
 - Yes, Spruce would be one-way from Theresa to Compton.

Questions and comments about Alternative 3

- No comments/questions about Alternative 3

Appendix E: Questions & Comments about Initial Screening Results

Safety Bike/Ped

- Forest Park Ave./Grand Blvd. received check minuses across the board. What is it currently?
 - These are negative because of increased exposure and distance of crossings if Forest Park and Grand are at-grade. This intersection would be much bigger than it is today.
 - This doesn't mean that an at-grade intersection doesn't work. We can do things that are more bike/ped friendly to improve this.
- Right now, is Forest Park Ave./Grand Blvd. lower or higher than check minus? Is doing nothing (no build) better than doing something?
 - The check minus assumes that the intersection is built for 2050 projected vehicle capacity.
 - Car capacity was put as the top priority because of the potential volume of traffic in 2050.
- Why do anything, then?
 - When we look at these alternatives, we would see more emphasis for low-stress crossings. Having an at-grade intersection would be an improvement over what's there now. It's still an improvement but with inherent safety issues.
- Even though Forest Park Ave./Grand Blvd. is not part of the MoDOT system, that intersection impacts the situation on I-64.

Maintain Interstate Function, Operations and Capacity for Future

- Is the Forest Park Ave./Grand Blvd. footprint the same for at-grade?
 - There are some differences in turn lanes that adjust the footprint slightly but in all three alternatives the footprint is big.
- St. Louis City, in partnership with Saint Louis University, is planning on updating the intersection at Forest Park Ave./Grand Blvd. However, this is updated by the City (atgrade or grade separated), it needs to be incorporated into the Future64 planning.
- How much growth is predicted for 2050?
 - It varies depending on where you are. There are 30-40 known or likely hospital developments. Projections are based on East West Gateway's models.
- Forest Park Parkway volumes decline after the Forest Park/Grand intersection. When you look at overall vehicular growth and consider the at-grade intersection, local traffic will be diverted elsewhere to avoid this intersection.
 - Yes, traffic volume dropped 20-30%. This information is available in the technical report.

Appendix F: Small Group Report-out Notes

Group 1

- Alt 3: Group has concerns if out-of-direction traffic is required at Grand. This could impact EMS access.
- Alt 3 at Boyle/Tower Grove Ave: Preference to keep Tower Grove Ave. as a facility for vehicles as well. Having both North-South connections helps draw traffic of Boyle.
- Because of change to access on Compton/Market, feedback about the Theresa connection is vital, especially for events at Chaifetz Arena. Coming in/exiting events at the same time could be a big challenge
- It would be good to have Theresa go all the way to Chouteau, especially as more development comes to Grand.
- There is a desire to keep Forest Park Ave./Grand Blvd. grade separated but look for other ways to make it easier for bike/ped to move across the intersection safely

Group 2

- Compton over I-64 needs separated bike/ped
- Compton/Forest Park is high stress bike/ped area
- Boyle Ave "joy" (north of I-64)
 - Safety/pedestrians
 - Reduces volume?
- Highlight bus rapid transit on Grand
- Alt 2: One-way operations at Spruce impacts Metro's operation facilities. If it's a oneway, this reduces options.
- Alt 1: No easy access for vehicles to get to Metrolink station
- Keeping Forest Park and Grand separated
 - High disabled population
 - Bike/ped facilities
- Curiosity about keeping Forest Park Ave./Grand Blvd. grade separated. Why is that still an option?
 - There is concern about all six lanes of traffic up to grade. Students live there and walk there. Right now, they cross two lanes of traffic, on ramp, and exit ramp.
 When you bring another four lanes up, people in cars don't stop.
 - Students often disobey turn signals, impacting traffic.
- Forest Park Ave./Grand Blvd: Needs to be a consideration on how to make an at-grade intersection safer, more lanes across, more pedestrian refuges.
- Forest Park Ave./Grand Blvd: Another issue is people trapped in the intersection. There
 is still merit to analyze this as existing configuration, more welcoming and safe. Not a
 traffic benefit to add all the traffic lanes in there.
- Forest Park Ave./Grand Blvd: Not yet convinced at at-grade is the answer, specifically when you consider pedestrian safety. Need to think about the amount of foot traffic.
- Spring St. is a major Brickline north-south connection, a lot of bike/ped connections there. Not just connection along Grand. Spring will be another bike/ped area. Concern that if nothing there to slow people down off I-64, this could be an issue.

MoDOT Future64 TAG Meeting #3

- \circ $\,$ We still have some data about separated interchange. It's reflected in the northbound data.
- Forest Park Ave./Grand Blvd: City has approved having mid-block crossing between Element Hotel and here. Having another light at Forest Park Ave. will help send a signal to slow down, prioritize pedestrians crossing safely.

Group 3

- Labels: Revise labels from BRT to bus lanes on alternatives and graphics for Jan. 18th public meeting / Jan. 13th Mayor / Cabinet meeting
- Labels: Revise labels to make it easier to see Theresa crossing on Alternative 2 for Jan. 18th public meeting / Jan. 13th Mayor / Cabinet meeting
- Concern about access to land uses along Forest Park Avenue
- Concern with access to property containing Anheuser Busch sign at southeast corner of Grand and I-64
 - Only one of the three alternatives has land-use access issues
- Impact to land use along Forest Park Ave. was an issue. Is there a way to do a gut check on the impact on existing land uses or off-system roads? Identifying the issues that may come up or beneficial outcomes?
- Theresa crossing needs to be more visible.
- Coordination is needed to make improvements work at Clayton/Boyle. Further analysis is needed.
- Have railroads been at the table at all?
 - **No.**
- Supportive of Theresa crossing railroad tracks but needs further analysis after this study
- Desire for ramp alternative to stairs and elevator at Grand MetroLink station area because elevator is out of commission so often and people with disabilities would like a spiraling ramp option < further analysis but not MoDOT
- City wants to have additional coordination on how to study and invest together on how local/MoDOT roadways work together such as Boyle/64 interchange

Appendix G: Additional Questions and Comments

- The City is going to be resurfacing Grand. There needs to be a greater conversation about what restriping the lanes will be like, especially by Chouteau. The City is working with WSP to figure out what the new lines are going to look like. This effort needs to be incorporated into the Future64 conversations.
- In addition to many students walking/biking along Forest Park Ave./Grand Blvd.
 intersection, there is also a high concentration of people with disabilities who need a usable pedestrian crossing.
- Build bike facilities so they don't collect debris, causing bikes to swerve into traffic.
- In light of what's been discussed at Forest Park Ave./Grand Blvd., will this effort open a reanalysis about keeping the underpass? Or is it a strong recommendation that this become an at-grade intersection?
 - A lot of the discussion today covered the benefits and shortcomings of Forest Park Ave./Grand Blvd. becoming an at-grade intersection. There needs to be further analysis is future studies and phases.
 - The Future64 team is not refining the alternatives after this process. We are collecting feedback to make the final recommendations for what the next study starts from.
- Is there another study after this? Everyone's input will go into potential suggestions that go forward to another study?
 - The purpose of PEL Study is to help develop recommendations and implementation plan. This does not provide MoDOT clearance to build the project. It narrows down alternatives. Elements from Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 will continue to be analyzed. Pieces of each alternative may move forward.
 - All this information moves forward into some type of NEPA approval process/environmental approval.
 - For elements that are in the City's right of way, there's more flexibility. Alternatives in this plan are not determinative of what happens in the City infrastructure. We can make informed but independent decisions about City infrastructure. The environmental process is far more significant for highway elements than for City infrastructure, depending on how it is funded.
 - The City and SLU have some things to discuss about the Forest Park Ave./Grand Blvd. intersection. These discussions can happen in parallel. This intersection is not MoDOT's jurisdiction. MoDOT can function with what we think will be coming. The City has to decide what they're doing with that intersection. The City and MoDOT are engaged in this process together.
 - The NEPA process isn't the only point of input. The City can do stakeholder and community engagement independent of MoDOT's work.
- Will we have the chance to see final designs before final decisions are made? I want another opportunity to see this before it's released.
 - Through this process you know rationale of why some things were ruled out and pushed forward.
 - Some of the things discussed today will happen in the next contract/project phase.

Appendix H: Comments submitted from East West Gateway Council of Governments

From: Paul Hubbman sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2022 3:04 PM
To: ctaylor <<u>ctaylor@vectorstl.com</u>>
Cc: Marcie Meystrik <<u>Marcie.Meystrik@ewgateway.org</u>>; Potthast, Andrew
<<u>Andrew.Potthast@hdrinc.com</u>>; Shaun E. Tooley <<u>Shaun.Tooley@modot.mo.gov</u>>
Subject: I-64 PEL - some additional thoughts

Team,

Discussing internally and thinking a bit more about the project options, i'd like to submit the following additional thoughts:

- On all three alternatives, the parking lot bordered by Tower Grove, Clayton, Boyle, and I-64 needs an enhanced, strong, greenway-type connection to whichever property it is serving (verify, but perhaps the building across Boyle????). It is a large lot presumably driving many pedestrian crossings at peak hours, and every leg of adjacent roadway is slated for additional vehicular lanes / longer pedestrian crossings.
- 2. In light of the concerns articulated by SLU staff about the at-grade proposal for the Grand / Forest Park intersection, we recommend that this intersection be evaluated in a way that includes not only vehicular movements, volume, and level of service, but also pedestrian volumes, level of service, and level of stress. The university generates significant pedestrian traffic at this location, and the intersection's capacity to safely and efficiently facilitate significant pedestrian traffic needs to be considered equally to moving vehicular traffic. Should grade separated options be considered, regardless of mode, pedestrian facilities need to be both practical and attractive. Pedestrian tunnels, for instance, are often dark and seem unsafe. Additionally, lengthy ramps for tunnels or bridges often discourage use because they force an indirect route, encouraging people to cross the road in an unsafe manner.
- 3. In light of the barrier effect goal of facilitating transit access, strategies to better connect to the potential Norths Side / South Side Jefferson alignment (at the east end of the study area) need to be thought through and included, particularly within the context of the bike / ped facility network in the area.
- 4. Also, and just to reiterate, consideration of the impacts of the off-system roadway modifications on existing land uses needs to be included in the end result. We do a lot of work to repair or mitigate negative consequences of roadway expansions or other modifications on existing uses and communities, usually well after the fact and at great cost. Identifying likely impacts (positive or negative) on existing properties and uses (as well as possible solutions) should be included in the discussion of the development impact of the various options.

Thanks again for all of your hard work and for facilitating such a productive conversation. Enjoy your holidays. Paul Hubbman **Appendix I: Comments submitted from Metro Transit**

Metro Transit review of three Alternatives for I-64 project

	Alt	ernati	ves
	1	2	3
Keeps operational access from I-64 EB to Grand MetroLink Station	No	Yes	Yes
Keeps operational access from I-64 EB to Grand Ave.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Improves operational access from I-64 to Forest Park Ave	Yes	Yes	No
Improves operational access from Forest Park Ave to I-64	No	Yes	No
Improves operational access from Main Shop to I-64	No	Yes	No
Keeps easy operational access from I-64 EB to Main shop	No	Yes	No
Keeps easy operational access from I-64 WB to Main shop	No	Yes	Yes
Buses able to directly access Grand MetroLink Station and Grand Blvd. via Bernard	No	Yes	No
Keeps operational access Main shop to MetroLink Station	Yes	No	Yes

Below are Metro's comments:

These comments concern plans between Grand and Compton

Concern for the number of ramp and road connections intersecting Grand Blvd. which would impact transit rider safety and movement accessing Grand MetroLink station and associated bus stops on Grand Blvd.

Alternative 2 has most Yes but the concern with this plan is access between Main Shop and Grand MetroLink Station due to one way road on Spruce.

Metro uses acess to Grand MetroLink from Main Shop for Security personal

If alternative 2 were selected Metro would like to see if an acess road could be built between the Main Shop to just west of beginning one way road. This would be similer to one way reverse acess between North County Transit Center and STL at FVCC and W. Florissant

Change the wording of "Proposed BRT" to "Dedicated Bus Lanes"

These alternatives enhance MetroLink station access for transit users.

However, these alternatives do not carry forward an at-grade midblock crossings recommended by the TAP published in 2021 for pedestrian and transit user safety.

Appendix J: Comments submitted from Trailnet

It's refreshing to see MoDOT undergo a process like this Planning and Environmental Linkages Study that recognizes and emphasizes more realistically the roles of other forms of mobility outside of cars. The Alternatives presented reflected a refreshing amount of consideration to the barrier that a major highway like I-64 can be to the communities it travels through. That being said, Trailnet has a few overall comments that we feel could benefit the project.

- 1. To only see dedicated bus lanes in one of the concepts was a disappointment, and it is our opinion that dedicated bus lanes should be considered regardless of which alternative concept is ultimately selected. The #70 Grand bus currently carries almost a fifth of the people traveling along Grand, and up until recent declines in ridership due to COVID and driver shortages, it carried as much as 10,000 people a day (close to half the people traffic on the corridor per MoDOT's 2021 AADT counts of 22,000 cars per day). Given the City of St. Louis's nonattainment status for air quality, East West Gateway Council of Governments focus on Transportation Equity and choice, and the ability of public transportation to address multiple converging challenges the city is facing (air quality issues, disparities in commute times outlined by the City of St. Louis's Equity Indicators report, increasing traffic fatalities among people walking and biking, dangerous driving, an overall need for better bus service, and the positively disproportionate role that investing in transit facilities can have on lower income people) we strongly encourage MoDOT to integrate and recommend both dedicated lanes as well as transit signal priority on all the alternatives moving forward.
- 2. Of the alternatives presented we thought that the West Interchange of Alternative 1 appears to be the best for people walking and biking while still considering overall project costs and the desires of automobile users, while the East Interchange of 2 was a clear champion for people who are getting across the I-64 corridor by foot, bus, or bike.
- 3. We felt that the benefit and utility of a dedicated bike/pedestrian only bridge at Tower Grove in the West Interchange of Alternative 3 was a bit over emphasized by the Screening Document and ratings. By the time any projects from this PEL would be initiated, there will be a high quality low stress crossing of the corridor just two blocks East on Sarah Street, and the natural flow of people walking and biking will be clearly signed to show that as a preferred low stress route (this is shown on the concepts, but may not have been given significant enough weight as likely the preferred crossing for people on bikes) It's our opinion that a new shared use path bridge crossing (as shown in West Interchange 1 & 2) will be a significant addition and alternative, and by our assessment the one removed conflict point at Papin with Alternative 3 would likely be outweighed by the significantly wider crossing and potentially increased sound stress created from a much geographically larger Boyle configuration.

4. Regardless of whether Grand at Forest Park Parkway is Grade separated, or at grade, there is a need to make sure this interchange is flawlessly designed for bus service and people on foot. Also a key element is to mitigate the speed and kinetic energy of automobiles as they exit I-64 westbound here. Something the current configuration does poorly, and why crossing Forest Park Parkway at Spring feels so stressful and dangerous on foot. When these interchanges are updated, special consideration and emphasis should be placed on design and visual cues that show people driving that they are no longer on a highway.

We are encouraged by the conversations, and consideration shown in this process, and we look forward to additional opportunities to engage with MoDOT and others as projects and ideas move forward from this study. Thank you for including us in your review.

If you have any questions about the above comments, please don't hesitate to reach out to me or our team for clarification. I can also be reached by phone at 859-967-9260.



Taylor March (He/Him) Director of Programs 317 North 11th Street, Suite 302 St. Louis, MO 63101 Phone: (314) 762-1759 Website: www.trailnet.org



Appendix D.7. Community Advisory Group Meeting #3 Summary (December 14, 2022)



Future64 Study Community Advisory Group (CAG) Meeting #3 Wednesday, December 14, 2022

In person at Great Rivers Greenway Prepared by Gabriela Bloom, Vector Communications

Overview

On December 14, 2022, the Missouri Department of Transportation hosted the third of three Community Advisory Group meetings for the Future64 Study.

Communication

An email was sent on October 13, 2022, to inform participants about the meeting. That primary email was followed up by a calendar invitation and three additional reminder emails via MailChimp. The committee received phone calls the week of the meeting to confirm attendance. Reminder emails were sent to meeting attendees with the pre-meeting documents.

After the meeting, on December 20, 2022, the committee received a follow-up thank you email with meeting documents.

See all email correspondences to invite, remind, and follow up with CAG members in Appendix A. Please find pre-meeting documents in Appendix B. The meeting presentation can be found in Appendix C.

Meeting Attendees

Name	Organization
Audrey Ellermann	Covenant Blu Grand Center Neighborhood Association
Dan Doelling	Forest Park Southeast Neighborhood Assoc
Imran Hanafi	Cathedral Square Special Business District
James Harris III	St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department, Fourth District
Rachel Witt	South Grand Community Improvement District

CONSULTANTS			
Name	Organization		
Justin Carney	Development Strategies		
Lou Kuelker	HDR Inc.		
Andy Potthast	HDR Inc.		
Kevin Neill	Lochmueller Group		
Julie Nolfo	Lochmueller Group		
Tom Blair	MoDOT		
Aaron Groff	MoDOT		
Shaun Tooley	MoDOT		
Jen Wade	MoDOT		
Gabriela Bloom	Vector Communications		
Chandra Taylor	Vector Communications		

INVITED STAKEHOLDERS

Name	Organization
Abdul-Kaba Abdullah	Park Central CDC
Audrey Ellermann	Covenant Blu Grand Center Neighborhood Association
Becky Reinhart	DeSales Community Housing Corporation
Bob Hilgemann	Botanical Heights Neighborhood Association
Brandon Robnett	Shaw Neighborhood Improvement Association
Bryan Rogers	Bi-State/Metro Transit
Dan Doelling	Forest Park Southeast Neighborhood Assoc
Darius Chapman	100 Black Men
David Nehrt-Flores	Deaconess Foundation
Debra Bagby	Barnes Jewish Hospital
Deidre Brown	GirlTrek: St. Louis
Dr. Pat Adegboyega	Gate District West Association
Elizabeth Goodwin	Rosati-Kain High School
Imran Hanafi	Cathedral Square Special Business District
James Harris	St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department, Fourth District
Jesse Arevelo	Barnes Jewish Hospital
Joel Oliver	Green Street St. Louis
Karen Meirink	Explore St. Louis / Visitors and Convention Bureau
Kate Haher	CWE North CID
Kate Walter	Central West End Association
L. Criss	City of Saint Louis
Lance Knuckles	St. Louis Development Corporation
Linda Ngyuen	Tiffany Community Association
Matt Bauer	Green Street St. Louis
Mecca Baker	Gate District West Association
Michael Hamberg	Pier Properties Group
Miguel & Carla Alexander	JeffVanderLou Neighborhood Association
Monique Williams-Moore	Urban League of Metropolitan St. Louis

Opal Jones	Doorways	
Patti Hill	Central West End Association	
Rachel Witt	South Grand Community Improvement District	
Sal Martinez	Employment Connection for St. Louis	
Steve Smith	Lawrence Group	
Sundy Whiteside	St. Louis Association of Community Organizations	

Minutes

The meeting started at 4:30 p.m. Jen Wade of MoDOT opened the meeting and thanked members for attending. Shaun Tooley of MoDOT shared some welcoming remarks. Chandra Taylor of Vector Communications shared group introductions. Then, Andy Potthast of HDR provided PEL Study updates and the project timeline and study area, and an overview of what the project team has been doing since the July 2022 Community Advisory Group (CAG) meeting.

Next, Lou Kuleker of HDR shared an overview of the three alternatives. Lou emphasized that though the three alternatives are being shared separately from one another, it is possible to incorporate aspects of all three to the final preferred alternative. CAG members had printed versions of the alternatives in front of them and could review the information more closely. CAG members had an opportunity to ask questions about all three alternatives.



I-64 PEL ALTERNATIVE 2





FOR PLANNING USE ONLY: The alternatives presented are conceptual in nature and are subject to change based on additional data collection, further analysis, and future phases of design The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168. F)?

I-64 PEL ALTERNATIVE 3



PROFOSED BIOLICER WORMING PROFOSED BIOLICER WORMING PROFOSED DICAL RADS BIRPROTEINTS (MOOT) PROFOSED ICAL RADS BIRPROTEINTS	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION TOGETHER DRAFT - SUBJECT TO CHANGE	
WEST INTERCHANGE	EAST INTERCHANCE	
Renders Render	Provide registered Provide reground registered	
FOR PLANNING USE ONLY: The alternatives presented are conceptual in nature and are subject to change based on additional data scolection, further analysis, and future phases of design. The Massouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 588.		

Questions and comments about the three alternatives can be found in Appendix D.

Next, Jason Longsdorf with HDR introduced the initial screening results of each alternative. This included assessing the impact of each alternative along the same metrics, and comparing these impacts with a "no build" option. Julie Nolfo and Kevin Neill of Lochmueller Group continued this discussion and went through each Need metric. Justin Carney of Development Strategies then went through the Goal metrics and compared each alternative.

The CAG members broke off into small groups to complete a small group exercise to discuss the three alternatives in detail. After breaking into groups, a project team member reported out on the group conversation. *Notes from the break-out groups can be found in Appendix E.*

After the break-out groups reported out, CAG members had the opportunity to ask additional questions and share additional comments with the project team. *Questions and comments can be found in Appendix F.*

Andy closed the meeting by thanking CAG members for being a part of the Future64 project. Andy shared that the PowerPoint would be distributed to CAG members after the meeting and *MoDOT Future64 CAG Meeting #3* questions and comments could be submitted via email to Chandra Taylor of Vector Communications at ctaylor@vectorstl.com.

Jen thanked everyone for their participation and time.

Andy adjourned the meeting at 6:30 p.m.

After the Community Advisory Group concluded, three (3) additional comments were received from Matt Bauer of Green Street, Will Smith of New + Found Company, and Michael Hamburg of Pier Properties. These comments can be found in Appendices G, H, and I, respectively.

Appendix A: Email correspondences with CAG

12/21/22, 1:57 PM

Vector Communications Mail - Fwd: Future64 CAG Meeting #3



Gabriela Bloom <gbloom@vectorstl.com>

Fwd: Future64 CAG Meeting #3

1 message

Chandra Taylor <ctaylor@vectorstl.com> To: Gabriela Bloom <gbloom@vectorstl.com> Wed, Dec 21, 2022 at 12:57 PM

- Forwarded message -----From: Chandra Taylor <ctaylor@vectorstl.com> Date: Thu, Oct 13, 2022 at 3:52 PM Subject: Future64 CAG Meeting #3 To: <Kate.Haher@cwenorthcid.com>, Mecca Baker <meccawov@gmail.com>, <cwea@thecwea.org>, <rfeder@cid.edu>, Sal <martinezs@employmentstl.org>, Forest Park Southeast <forestparksoutheast@gmail.com>

 <knucklesl@stlouis-mo.gov>, <jaharris@slmpd.org>, Audrey Ellermann <nurseauby@gmail.com>, <info@doorwayshousing.org>, <egoodwin@rosati-kain.org>, cachumbley@cortexstl.com, <m williams@urbanleague-stl.org>, MiguelCarla Alexander <tilliescorner@gmail.com, <Joel@greenstreetstl.com, <k meirink@explorestlouis.com, <michael@pierpropertygroup.com>, <jesse.arevalo@bjc.org>, David Nehrt-Flores (davidn@deaconess.org) <davidn@deaconess.org>, Imran Hanafi <ihanafi@yahoo.com>, Will Strang <will@grandcenter.org>, Smith, Will <Will.smith@newandfound.com>, Smith, Steve <Steve.smith@thelawrencegroup.com>, Abdul-Kaba <Abdul@pcdstl.org>, brodei225 <brodei225@aol.com>, <info@100blackmenstl.com>, Patti Hill <pdh@pattidhill.com>,

 <tiffanycommassoc@gmail.com> Cc: Hochlan, Jessica <Jessica.Hochlan@hdrinc.com>, Potthast, Andrew.Potthast@hdrinc.com>, Longsdorf, Jason <Jason.Longsdorf@hdrinc.com>, Julie Nolfo <JNolfo@lochgroup.com>, Kevin Neill <KNeill@lochgroup.com>, Kuelker, Lou <Lou.Kuelker@hdrinc.com>, Rojan Thomas Joseph <rjoseph@development-strategies.com>, <jonathan.deves@hdrinc.com>, Padgett, Ylana <Ylana.Padgett@hdrinc.com>, Aaron J Groff <Aaron.Groff@modot.mo.gov>, Jennifer A. Wade <Jennifer.Wade@modot.mo.gov>, Kyle E. Grayson <Kyle.Grayson@modot.mo.gov>, THOMAS J EVERS <Thomas.Evers@modot.mo.gov>, THOMAS K BLAIR <Thomas.Blair@modot.mo.gov>, EDDIE WATKINS JR <Eddie.Watkins@modot.mo.gov>, Melissa Scheperle <Melissa.Scheperle@modot.mo.gov>, <Cynthia.simmons@modot.mo.gov>, Shaun E. Tooley

<shaun.tooley@modot.mo.gov>, <Tyler.Lehde@modot.mo.gov>

SAVE THE DATE

Please join the Future64 Study team for the last Community Advisory Group meeting to discuss the selected alternatives and to provide feedback that'll help streamline the outcomes.

Who: Community Advisory Group

When: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 at 4:30pm-6:30pm

Where: Great Rivers Greenway Mississippi Room 3745 Foundry Way, Suite 253 St. Louis, Missouri 63110

What: This is an in person only event. More details to follow.

If you have questions, contact Chandra Taylor at ctaylor@vectorstl.com.

Thank you, and we look forward to seeing you again.

Chandra Z. Taylor

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=c08bd6bbe3&view=pt&search=all&permthid=thread-f%3A1752847332172933040&simpl=msg-f%3A1752847332172933040

Vector Communications Mail - Fwd: Future64 CAG Meeting #3

12/21/22, 1:57 PM Consultant Vector Communications The Power House at Union Station 401 South 18th St. Suite 325 St. Louis, MO 63103 (w) 314.621.5566 x102 (fax) 314.621.5599 ctaylor@vectorstl.com http://www.vectorstl.com

Chandra Z. Taylor

Consultant Vector Communications The Power House at Union Station 401 South 18th St. Suite 325 St. Louis, MO 63103 (w) 314.621.5566 x102 (fax) 314.621.5599 ctaylor@vectorstl.com http://www.vectorstl.com

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=c08bd6bbe3&view=pt&search=all&permthid=thread-f%3A1752847332172933040&simpl=msg-f%3A1752847332172933040&2/2



Benefits & Impacts of Alternatives CAG #3



Hello Community Advisory Group:

The Missouri Department of Transportation thanks you for participating in the third and final Community Advisory Group meeting for the Future64 PEL Study!

The project intentionally included community and technical experts that would provide insight into the study area. By volunteering your time, your help supported MoDOT through the early stages of enhancing the I-64 transportation system in the central corridor.

This email serves as a reminder that we'll meet again:

December 14 4:30-6:30pm at Great Rivers Greenway Mississippi Room 3745 Foundry Way Suite 253 St. Louis, MO 63110 Light meal provided. This is an in-person meeting only.

During this time, you'll review three alternatives that were developed based on months-long analysis. The project team will ask for your feedback one more time before the alternatives are presented at the next public meeting in early 2023.

Again, thank you for continuing to share your insights and advice; we appreciate your support of the I-64 PEL study.

See you next month!

The Future64 Team




Community Advisory Group:

Please join us for the final advisory group presentation on December 14th from 4:30-6:30pm at Great Rivers Greenway. Since a light meal will be included reservations are needed by December 13th. The event is in-person only as there will be hands-on and interactive activities with the three alternatives.

CAG RSVP

During this meeting the project team will present three corridor improvement alternatives and how they were evaluated using the study's Purpose and Need. We value the feedback that you have provided which supported the development of these alternatives. Your input during this final advisory group meeting will shape the outcomes of the Future64 Study.

Please download and review the Purpose and Need document (attached). This provides the framework for the study and was developed based on analysis of the existing conditions and feedback from the stakeholder and public engagement efforts.

Purpose and Need Flyer

The second document to review is the list of evaluation criteria that is linked below. These questions were used to determine how well each alternative met the established needs and goals of the study. Please identify your top 3 questions by *December 12* if you would like to discuss during the meeting in the survey linked below.

Evaluation Criteria Needs and Goals Survey

We appreciate the time and energy that you have invested in the Future64 Corridor and look forward to seeing you next week!



MoDOT Future64 CAG Meeting #3

recommendation		of the PEL st	nticipates incorporati udy into future NEPA	0
	Madoot	Greal Elvers Groeting	EAST-WEST GATE BARY	🚺 metro
	•		0	



Community Advisory Group:

This is a reminder that we are holding the final Future64 CAG meeting December 14 4:30-6:30pm at Great Rivers Greenway. Please RSVP for the meeting if you have not already responded.

CAG RSVP

We'll spend the meeting discussing the benefits and weaknesses of the three PEL corridor alternatives. You will have the opportunity to preview maps and become acquainted with the proposed enhancements, then give your input to the planning team. MoDOT is seeking feedback that will be used to evaluate and guide the final recommendations for the Future64 study. Please review and familiarize yourself with the alternatives to help facilitate discussion during the meeting.

Alternative 1 Alternative 2 Alternative 3

The study team has performed an initial evaluation of these alternatives based on the criteria that was established. We will be reviewing select criteria in more detail during the meeting to discuss the process that was used to formulate the initial rating. Attached is the initial results for your review ahead of the meeting.

Level 2 Screening Evaluation

We value the time and effort you put into the Future64 study and are eager to see you in person December 14!

Future64 Team



The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168



MoDOT Future64 CAG Meeting #3



Community Advisory Group:

The Future64 team appreciates your participation in the I-64 PEL process, Your input helps ensure that the central corridor alternatives bring the most benefit to the community. Thank you all for bringing your expertise and experience to the table and engaging in such beneficial meaningful, and significant conversation during our meetings. We spent the most recent advisory group meeting discussing the benefits and weaknesses of the three PEL corridor alternatives and outlining the proposed enhancements, Your comments provided at the meeting will help shape the recommendations for the Alternatives in the PEL Document.

Please send any additional ideas you'd like the design team to consider to <u>ctaylor@vectorstl.com</u>. You have until December 23rd at the end of the day to leave a comment on the alternatives.

For your reference, the CAG meeting 3 presentation is provided. Review screening results and alternatives to help you make additional recommendations.

CAG Presentation 3

Alternative 1 Alternative 2 Alternative 3

Strip Map 1 Strip Map 2 Strip Map 3

Level 2 Screening Evaluation

Again, thank you from the Future64 team.



The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168



Appendix B: Pre-meeting materials

Future64 CAG Meeting #3 RSVP
* First Name
* Last Name
* Confirm your attendance
◯ Accept
○ Decline
Done
Powered by
See how easy it is to create a survey.





PROJECT PURPOSE

The purpose of the reasonable transportation improvements on I-64 between Kingshighway Blvd and Jefferson Ave is to renew and modify the transportation system to have safe and reliable facilities for all users that improve access to destinations and support community vitality for the long term.

PROJECT NEEDS

The needs are the key problems and the causes of those problems that MoDOT is seeking to address with transportation improvements on I-64 between Kingshighway Blvd and Jefferson Ave.

Increase safety for all users

- Vehicles
- Bicycles
- Pedestrians

Improve transportation system with intuitive navigation to, from, and across I-64

Reduce the barrier effect of I-64 for bicycle, pedestrian, and transit users



Maintain Interstate function, operations, and capacity for the future

PROJECT GOALS

Project outcomes beyond the identified transportation needs are included as goals. The goals help balance environmental, transportation and other community values.



Right-size I-64 to reuse available space to benefit the community.



Support improved land use near transit stations and trails.



1ì÷

Improve equitable outcomes for disadvantaged communities.



Coordinate with regional partners to enhance the local transportation network.



Consolidate access points from interstate to local

system.

Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit improvements.

Integrate ecology best practices into project designs and right-of-way use.

Integrate improved aesthetics and visual environment into project designs.

FUTURE64.COM

The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168.



Evaluation Criteria Related to Identified Study Needs

Need	Increased safety for all users		
Sub Need	Regional Vehicular Movements		
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the concept improve safety on the I-64 mainline, ramps and/or ramp terminals? Does the concept improve safety within the local read network and within the 		
	 Does the concept improve safety within the local road network and within the study area? Does the improvement address identified creat bet energy? 		
	Does the improvement address identified crash hot spots?		
Sub Need	Bike/Ped		
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the concept improve safety for people walking and biking and/or transit users across I-64 and throughout the study area? 		

Need	Improve transportation system with intuitive navigation to, from, and across I-64
Sub Need	I-64 Access
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the concept maintain access or provide access to current and known future destinations?
Sub Need	Interstate / Local Network interface
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the concept provide logical access to the perpendicular street grid and provide for all traffic movements (on and off in both directions)?

The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168 Page 1 of 5



Evaluation Criteria Related to Identified Study Needs

Need	Reduce the barrier effect of I-64 for bicycle, pedestrian, and transit users
Sub Need	Support other entities bike/ped plans
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the concept facilitate connectivity for transit users and people walking and biking across I-64 and within the study area?
Sub Need	Transit Access/Effectiveness
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the concept facilitate transit access and connectivity to other non- motorized modes and/or operations?

Need	Optimize bridge maintenance by improving structural conditions to maintain a good state of repair		
Sub Need	Structure Repair		
Question(s) to ask:	 After extending all MoDOT bridges to meet a life span of 2050 what is the total number of structures that would require replacement for their next major repair? 		
Sub Need	Reduce Structures		
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the alternative reduce the total number of MoDOT Maintained structures, including bridges and walls? 		

The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168 Page 2 of 5



Evaluation Criteria Related to Identified Study Goals

Goal	Right-size I-64, to reduce the highway footprint and reuse the space to benefit the community.		
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the alternative reduce the acreage of footprint of I-64 ROW, interchanges, and ramps? How much released land is viable for redevelopment (acres)? 		
Goal	Support improved land use near transit stations and trails.		
Question(s) to ask:	Does the alternative support transit and trail-oriented development?		
Goal	Improve equitable outcomes: Protect community assets.		
Question(s) to ask:	Does this alternative impact any community assets?		
Goal	Improve equitable outcomes: Improve quality of life.		
Question(s) to ask:	• Does this alternative contribute to an improved quality of life for local residents and workers?		
Goal	Improve equitable outcomes: Improved access to underserved communities.		
Question(s) to ask:	Does the alternative improve access to underserved communities?		
Goal	Coordinate with regional partners to enhance the connectivity, safety, and comfort of the local transportation network.		
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the alternative create opportunities to allow for coordinated enhancements in connectivity, safety, and comfort of travel by regional transportation and service delivery partners? 		
Goal	Integrate bicycle and pedestrian facility design best practices into project designs.		
Question(s) to ask:	Are the proposed bicycle and pedestrian facilities designs considered best practices?		
Goal	Consolidate access points from interstate to local system.		
Question(s) to ask:	Does the alternative consolidate access points from I-64 to the local system?		
Goal	Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit improvements.		
Question(s) to ask:	Does the alternative have a good cost benefit?		

The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168 Page 4 of 5



Evaluation Criteria Related to Identified Study Goals

Question(s) to ask:	 Does the alternative provide opportunities for green infrastructure, native plantings, and stormwater management? 	
Goal	Integrate improved aesthetics and visual environment into project designs.	
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the alternative provide opportunities to improve beautification, placemaking, and inviting infrastructure? 	

The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168 Page 5 of 5



Evaluation Criteria Related to Identified Study Needs

Need	Maintain Interstate function, operations, and capacity for the future
Sub Need	Capacity
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the concept maintain capacity on I-64 mainline, ramps and/or ramp terminals?
Sub Need	Freight
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the alternative have the potential to facilitate freight movements and improve maneuverability along, to, and from I-64?

Need	Environmental Resource Protection
Sub Need	Environmental Resources
Question(s) to ask:	Does the alternative impact environmental resources?
Sub Need	Social and Built Environment
Question(s) to ask:	 Does the alternative impact social and built resources?

The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168 Page 3 of 5



Future64 Community Advisory Group Survey

The project team is evaluating the alternatives against all of these needs and goals. We will not have time to address all of these in detail but want to do our best to address the ones of most interest to you. Please select the three you are most interested in hearing about:



Provide opportunities for green infrastructure and native plantings

 \equiv



I-64 PEL ALTERNATIVE 2





FOR PLANNING USE ONLY: The alternatives presented are conceptual in nature and are subject to change based on additional data collection, further analysis, and future phases of design The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168. F)

I-64 PEL ALTERNATIVE 3



PROPOSED ERT LANES PROPOSED LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (MODOT) PROPOSED LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (LOCAL AGENCY) REMO	OSED STRUCTURE OSED PEODINE VALUES RANSS WID SUBMALESST.) ROMONDOUT THAT SUBMALESST.) RE OF LANES	COMMUNITY - TRANSPORTATION - TOGETHER
WEST INTERCH	ANGE	EAST INTERCHANGE
Current existing of the second	Aurore De la constantion de la constantistitation de la constantion de la constantion de la constantis	And a

FOR PLANNING USE ONLY: The alternatives presented are conceptual in nature and are subject to change based on additional data collection, further analysis, and future phases of design. The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168. F)S 🕬

Need	Increase safety for all users		
Sub Need	Regional Vehicular-Movements	Bike/Ped	
Question(s) to ask	Does the concept improve safety on the I-64 mainline, ramps and/or ramp terminals? Does the concept improve safety within the local road network and within the study area? Does the improvement address identified crash hot spots?	Does the concept improve safety for people walking and biking and/or transit users across I-64 and throughout the study area?	
No Build Rating	Least/Low	Moderate	
	Moderate		
Alternative 1 Rating		Moderate	
Alternative 2 Rating	Moderate	Least/Low	
Alternative 3 Rating	High/Best	High/Best	
Need	Improve transportation system www.intuitive navigation	to from and across L64	
Sub Need	I-64 Access	Interstate / Local Network interface	
Question(s) to ask	Does the concept maintain access or provide access to current and known future destinations?	Does the concept provide logical access to the perpendicular street grid and provide for all traffic movements (on and off in both directions)?	
No Build Rating	Least/Low	Least/Low	
Alternative 1 Rating	Moderate	High/Best	
Alternative 2 Rating	Modeare	Moderate	
Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate	High/Best	
	CUL		
Need	Internation system with intuitive navigation	to, from, and across I-64	
Sub Need	I-64 Access	Interstate / Local Network interface	
Question(s) to ask	Does the concept maintain access or provide access to current and known future destinations?	Does the concept provide logical access to the perpendicula street grid and provide for all traffic movements (on and off in both directions)?	
No Build Rating	Least/Low		
Alternative 1 Rating	Moderate	High/Best	
Alternative 2 Rating	Moderate	Moderate	
Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate	High/Best	

DRAFT: SUBJECT TO CHANGE

The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future MoDOT NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168.



	Future64 Level 2 Alternative Screening - Project Goals	
Project Goals	Right-size I-64, to reduce the highway footprint and reuse the space to benefit the	
Project Goals	community.	
	Does the alternative reduce the acreage of footprint of I-64 ROW, interchanges, and	
Question(s) to Ask	ramps?	
	How much released land is viable for redevelopment (acres)?	
No Build Rating	Least/Low	
Alternative 1 Rating	Moderate	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating		
Alternative 5 Rating	DiBulacer	
Project Goals Question(s) to Ask	Support improved land use near transit stations and trails. Does the alternative support transit and trail oriented development?	
Question(s) to Ask	boes the alternative support transit and train oriented developments	
No Build Rating	Least/Low	
Alternative 1 Rating	Moderate	
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate	
Alternative 5 Kating	High/ Best	
Project Goals	Improve equitable outcomes: Protect community assets Does this alternative impact any community assets?	
Question(s) to Ask	oves this alternative impact any community assets:	
No Build Rating	Least/Low	
Alternative 1 Rating		
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating		
rater a nating	In Dia wasa	
Project Goals	Improve equitable outcomes: Improve quality of life Does this alternative contribute to an improved quality of life for local residents and	
Question(s) to Ask	Does this alternative contribute to an improved quality of life for local residents and workers?	
No Build Rating	Least/Low -	4
Alternative 1 Rating		
Alternative 2 Rating Alternative 3 Rating		<u>.</u> (2 [*]
e e manife	No Markovice	2
Project Cools	Improve equitable subcomer: Improved according to the subcomercial sector	TOCHANGE
Project Goals Question(s) to Ask	Improve equitable outcomes: Improved access to underserved communities Does the alternative improve access to underserved communities?	1Y
No Build Rating	Least/Low	()
Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating	Moderate	
Alternative 3 Rating		.0
	La Britania	\sim
	Coordinate with regional partners to enhance the connectivity, safety, and comfort	
Project Goals	of the local transportation network	
C.F.C. 14 V/678 COS188	Does the alternative create opportunities to allow for coordinated enhancements in	
Question(s) to Ask	connectivity, safety, and comfort of travel by regional transportation and service	
	delivery partners?	
No Build Rating	Least/Low	
Alternative 1 Rating	Moderate	
Alternative 2 Rating		
Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate	
	Integrate bicycle and pedestrian facility design best practices into project designs	
Question(s) to Ask	Are the proposed bicycle and pedestrian facilities designs considered best practices?	
	Moderate	
Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating		
Alternative 3 Rating	High/Best	
	$\langle \rangle$	
Project Goals	Consolidate access points from interstate to local system	
	Does the alternative consolidate access points from I-64 to the local system?	
No Build Rating	Least/Low	
Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating		
Alternative 3 Rating	High/Best	
Project Goals	Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit improvements	
	Does the alternative have a good cost benefit?	
No Build Rating	Least/Low	
Alternative 1 Rating Alternative 2 Rating		
Alternative 3 Rating		
Project Goals	Integrate ecology best practices into project designs and right-of-way use.	
	Does the alternative provide opportunities for green infrastructure, native plantings	
Question(s) to Ask	and stormwater management?	
No Build Posts		
No Build Rating Alternative 1 Rating	High/Best	
Alternative 2 Rating	Moderate	
Alternative 3 Rating		
Project Goals	Integrate improved aesthetics and visual environment into project designs.	
Question(s) to Ask	Does the alternative provide opportunities to improve beautification, placemaking,	DDAET, QUID IEOT TO
,.,	and inviting infrastructure?	DRAFT: SUBJECT TO
No Build Rating	Least/Low	
Alternative 1 Rating		CHANGE
Alternative 2 Rating	High/Best	OTIMOL
Alternative 3 Rating	Moderate	

The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future MoDOT NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168.







Appendix C: CAG Meeting Presentation



December 14, 2022

The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code, Part 168





Introductions
Project Recap
Overview of Alternatives
Initial Screening Results
Small Group Exercise – Benefits & Impacts of Alternatives
Round Robin Discussion
Where do we go from here?





Introductions





Study Recap - What's happened so far?





- Advisory Group Meeting #1 May 2022
 - Existing Conditions
- Existing conditions:
- Growth of Corridor
- Crash rates above statewide average
- Existing access to/from I-64 is challenging
- Bridges in need of repair/investment
- Transportation investments needed to serve vulnerable population
- I-64 creates a barrier effect for community and N-S connections
- Lack of high-quality bicycle and pedestrian facilities







Coordinate with regional partners to enhance the

Integrate bicycle and pedestrian facility design best

Consolidate access points from interstate to local

Integrate ecology best practices into project designs and

Integrate improved aesthetics and visual environment into

Invest in projects that provide good cost benefit

local transportation network.

practices into project designs.

ě

540

Consoli system

improvemen

right-of-way use.

project designs.

- Developed level two screening criteria
- Development of level two corridor alternatives
- Analysis performed: traffic, safety, multimodal, and community benefits

Overview of Alternatives







Map and Legend Overview

Х

#>

PROPOSED SHOULDER WIDENING

- PROPOSED BRT LANES
- PROPOSED LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (MoDOT)
- PROPOSED LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (LOCAL AGENCY)
 - PED/BIKE PLANNED BY PARTNER AGENCIES
 - PROPOSED MoDOT IMPROVEMENTS

- PROPOSED STRUCTURE PROPOSED PED/BIKE FACILITIES UNDERPASS REMOVED
 - NUMBER OF LANES
- TRAFFIC SIGNAL(EXST.) ROUNDABOUT TRAFFIC SIGNAL



Alternative 1



Alternative 2



FOR PLANNING USE ONLY: The alternatives presented are conceptual in nature and are subject to change based on additional data collection, further analysis, and future phases of design The Missouri Department of Transportation anticipates incorporating recommendations made as part of the PEL study into future NEPA studies, per Title 23 of the US Code. Part 168.

F)S

Alternative 3



Estimated Costs

Bridge Repairs/Replacements to Extend Life Past 2050 = \$100M

	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3
Investment on MoDOT System	\$80M	\$96M	\$130M
Investment on Local System	\$16M	\$28M	\$19M
Bridge Repairs	\$90M	\$90M	\$90M

Current Funding FY22-FY26 = \$16M

MoDOT Unfunded Needs List

- Tier 1 \$86M
- Tier 2 \$24M







MoDOT Future64 CAG Meeting #3

Need – Safety for All Users

	NB	Alt1	Alt2	Alt 3
Performance Rating		Moderate	Moderate	High
Congestion Reduction		V	√+	√+
Improved interchange spacing				√+
Reduction Access points		V	√ +	√+
Removes Left Hand Entrance Ramps		V	٧	√+
Improved Interchange Ramps		V	٧	٧
Improved Shoulders		V	V	٧

Need – Safety for All Users: Bike/Ped

	NB	Alt1	Alt2	Alt 3
Performance Rating	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	High
Planned Low Stress Improvements from GRG and City of STL	٧	٧	٧	٧
New Intersection at Forest Park and Grand		٧-	√-	v -
New Separated Facilities Along Grand, Theresa and Forest Park		v	v	V
Clayton Ave Improvements			√ +	
Grade Separation at Tower Grove and Conversion to Ped Only				√+

Need – Intuitive I-64 Access

	NB	Alt1	Alt2	Alt 3
Performance Rating	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Removal of Market Street On and Off Ramp		V	٧	V
Consolidated Access at Boyle		٧	٧	V
Consolidated Access at Grand Blvd		٧	٧	V
No Significant Travel Time Increases		√+	√+	V

Need – Reduced Barrier Effect

	NB	Alt1	Alt2	Alt 3
Performance Rating	Low	Moderate	High	High
Increase of more than 1 mile of new facilities			٧	
Increased crossings of I-64		٧	٧	V
Increased grade separated crossings of RR			٧	
Improved connectivity to Grand MetroLink Station		V	٧	٧



Need – Maintain Interstate Function, Operations and Capacity for Future

Goal – Right-size I-64 to Reduce Highway Footprint

	NB	Alt1	Alt2	Alt 3
Performance Rating	N/A	Moderate	Moderate	High
Potential Released Acreage		6.5	7.1	10.7
Potential Redevelopment Acreage		14.7	14.8	30.8
Potential Residential Units		700	600	1800
Potential Commercial SQFT		25,000	12,000	58,000
Potential Developments with Transit Access		3	4	5
Potential Developments with Trail Access		3	4	6

Goal – Community Benefits of Alternatives



Goal – Improve Equitable Outcomes: Protect Community Assets



Goal – Improve Equitable Outcomes: Protect Community Assets

10 MIN WALK RADIUS – OVERALL AVERAGES					
Destination Category		Alternative 1		Alternative 3	
Health Clinics		Low	Marginal	High	
Higher Education		Medium	Marginal	Medium	
Hospitals		Marginal	Low	Low	
Community Services		Low	Marginal	Low	
Schools		Marginal	Marginal	Marginal	
Other Community Assets		High	Medium	High	
	OVERALL SCORE	Medium	Low	Medium	
\$ 0	10 MIN BIKE RADIUS – OVERA	LL AVERAGES			
Destination Category		Alternative 1		Alternative 3	
Health Clinics		Low	Low	Low	
Higher Education		Low	Low	Low	
Hospitals		Low	Low	Low	
Community Services		Low	Low	Low	
Schools		Marginal	Low	Low	
Other Community Assets		Medium	Medium	Marginal	
	OVERALL SCORE	Low	Low	Low	

Goal – Improve Equitable Outcomes – Improve Quality of Life



Goal – Improve Equitable Outcomes – Improve Quality of Life

10 MIN WALK RADIUS – OVERALL AVERAGES						
Destination Category		Alternative 1		Alternative 3		
Major Employers		Medium	Low	High		
Commercial and Entertainment Desti	nations	Medium	Marginal	Medium		
Groceries		High	Low	High		
Parks		Marginal	Marginal	Low		
	OVERALL SCORE	Medium	Low	High		
\$ 0	10 MIN BIKE RADIUS – OVERAL	L AVERAGES				
Destination Category		Alternative 1		Alternative 3		
Major Employers		Low	Low	Low		
Commercial and Entertainment Desti	nations	Low	Low	Low		
Groceries		Medium	Medium	Medium		
Parks		Marginal	Marginal	Marginal		
	OVERALL SCORE	Low	Low	Low		

Goal – Improve Equitable Outcomes – Improved Access to Underserved Communities

ALTERNATIVES RANKED FOR ACREAGE OF 10 MIN WALKSHED SERVING VULNERABLE AREAS						
Community Assets	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3			
Health Clinics	Alternative 3	Alternative 1	Alternative 2			
Higher Education	Alternative 3	Alternative 1	Alternative 2			
Hospitals						
Community Services	Alternative 3	Alternative 1	Alternative 2			
Schools						
Other Community Services	Alternative 1 Alternative 3 Al					
Quality Of Life	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3			
Major Employers	Alternative 3	Alternative 1				
Commercial and Entertainment Locations	Alternative 3	Alternative 1				
Parks	Alternative 3		Alternative 1			
Grocery Stores	Alternative 3	Alternative 1	Alternative 2			
Transit Stops	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3			
Transit Stops	Alternative 1	Alternative 3	Alternative 2			

Small Group Exercise

Benefits and Impacts of Alternatives





Benefits & Impacts of Each Alternative: Report Out

Round Robin Discussion

- Share your thoughts
 - What excites you most about the alternatives?
 - What should MoDOT focus on as the Future64 Study moves into the next phases of planning and project development?
 - Is there anything you can tell us to improve future PEL studies?

Where do we go from here?

• What happens with the feedback from these meetings?

- Meet with elected officials
- Public meeting January 18, 2023

• PEL Report with Recommendations for Next Steps of Planning - April 2023



Thank You!

For more information, visit www.future64.com or Email: Chandra Taylor ctaylor@vectorstl.com





Appendix D: Questions & Comments about Alternatives

Questions and comments about Alternative 1

- Can you clarify what the at-grade intersection at Forest Park Ave. and Grand Ave. would be like?
 - An at-grade intersection at Forest Park Ave. and Grand Ave. would be similar to the Forest Park Parkway and Kingshighway Blvd. intersection.

Questions and comments about Alternative 2

- The new Ronald McDonald house will be built close to this footprint. Will it be affected in any way by this alternative?
 - No, this alternative should not impact the new Ronald McDonald house.
- Where are the Metrolink stations?
 - MoDOT team gestured on the map

Questions and comments about Alternative 3

• No comments/questions

Appendix E: Small Group Report-out Notes

- Vandeventer to E64 is good from north side
- Boyle is not used as much by neighbors/residents
- More congestion is coming in all models
- Forest Park/Grand
 - Options make it more pedestrian friendly
 - Keep focus on pedestrian experience
- Forest Park Southeast
 - West side seeing downsides of putting more lanes on Clayton/Boyle
 - Concerns for speeding
 - Consider low-flow times, use whatever psychological tricks you can
- Ease of interstate access public safety concerns, crime specifically
- Value in having mixed-use paths connected to roadway for less isolation, crime deterrent
- Westbound I-64 is congested afternoon
- Need to *fix* Clayton/Skinker exit (WB 64) in order for this segment to function best.
- Climate change we should be doing everything we can now (Trees, raingardens, detention)
- Desire link to cross Grand @ Metrolink
- EB on-ramp from Vandeventer cause congestion?
 - o Julie: No
- Paths for bike/ped near road helps slow down traffic
- Alternative 2
 - Theresa connection is helpful.
 - Like simplicity and uniqueness
 - Like dedicated bus lane
- WB on-ramps at Vandeventer/Boyle and off ramp at Kingshighway
 - Concern for weaving safety
- Alternative 3
 - SOR idea encourages spreading?
 - o Suburban idea
- Ped facilities into WUMC encourages parking in nearby neighborhoods parked up
- When Fox or Symphony is letting out, traffic is terrible. Other ways out of Grand Center is good.
 - How does this impact *residents*?

Appendix F: Additional Questions and Comments

- Which alternative is the best to account for future growth?
 - All three alternatives account for future growth.

Appendix G: Comments submitted from Green Street

From: Matt Bauer <<u>mbauer@greenstreetstl.com</u>>
Sent: Friday, December 23, 2022 10:01 AM
To: Shaun E. Tooley <<u>Shaun.Tooley@modot.mo.gov</u>>
Cc: Joel Oliver <<u>joel@greenstreetstl.com</u>>; Amanda Auer <<u>aauer@greenstreetstl.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Future64 PEL - Reminder due date for comments

I spoke with Amanda Auer here at Green Street who is the project developer for the Armory district has provided some specific feedback on the alternatives.

Overall, we have a strong preference for Alternative 2 which keeps the building/property at the southeast corner of the intersection of Grand and I-64 as an active and developable property. Development of that property along with our future plans for the southwest corner of that intersection (previously proposed 40 Grand project), would create a high-density transit oriented development cluster at this major intersection and entrance to SLU and Grand Center.

Thanks,

Matt Bauer

Development Manager | Green Street Real Estate Ventures

O: 314-390-9301 | C: 314-390-9301

Appendix H: Comments submitted from New + Found Company

Shaun,

Thank you for reaching out. A few comments below pertaining to the East Interchange:

- We have seen a dramatic increase in land value around City Foundry, which we believe will drive significant increased density
 - Our greater Foundry vision is a dense, walkable spine along I-64 all the way to Compton
 - Creating as much developable land along that spine will allow for efficient, cost effective, and subsequently affordable (and equitable) opportunities for development
- Expanding developable land immediately accessible to the Foundry campus would support greater walkable and bikeable density
 - Alternative 1 and 3 realignment of WB onramp to I-64 allow for increased developable land
- All three options do well to eliminate the immediately west of Compton ramps, however I would push Forrest Park Parkway Compton access further North to create even more developable space
 - Prefer option 3 that eliminates I64 WB offramp to Forrest Park Parkway not needed if EB off ramp to Grand established
 - The land immediately to the North and South of Forest Park Parkway at Compton will be hard to develop and create density in all three current alternatives
 - Potential reuse portion of the northern ramp Alternative 3: swap demoed off ramp for new Forest Park Parkway route
- Grand currently is a very tough pedestrian experience
 - Support alternatives 2 around Bernard St preservation. Would push roundabout further north to create better developable land between highway and Bernard
 - Does option 2 have a road creating access to Steelcoat?
- Support option 2 of Therasa creates better grid and slows traffic with lights

Happy to discuss further with anyone. This will be catalytic to the area.

Thank you for the work you are doing bringing this much needed change to the area.

Will Smith M: 314.809.4501

Appendix I: Comment submitted from Pier Properties

From: Michael Hamburg <<u>michael@pierpropertygroup.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, January 12, 2023 9:31 AM
To: Shaun E. Tooley <<u>Shaun.Tooley@modot.mo.gov</u>>
Subject: Re: Future64 PEL - Please submit comments

Shaun,

Sorry again for the delay. If it is not too late to voice some opinions, I am in strong support of Alternative 2 East Interchange's plan. We are partway through adding over 400 apartments, a Target and TopGolf near Theresa/Gratiot/Grand. Theresa used to be a great North/South connector and reestablishing this connection for bike, pedestrian, and vehicular traffic will be instrumental in stitching SLU's undergraduate and medical campuses as well as numerous new and future entertainment establishments. My only question on this option was where westbound traffic would exit 64 at Grand with the existing being removed.

Thanks, Michael

MICHAEL HAMBURG I PIER PROPERTY GROUP p. 314.363.7447 I e. <u>michael@pierpropertygroup.com</u> www.pierpropertygroup.com