## MO-Strasburg—Co. Rd. 1971 Crossing Closure

OMB Number: 4040-0004
Expiration Date: 03/31/2012


| Application for Federal Assistance SF-424 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 9. Type of Applicant 1: Select Applicant Type: |  |
| State Government |  |
| Type of Applicant 2: Select Applicant Type: |  |
| Type of Applicant 3: Select Applicant Type: |  |
| * Other (specify): |  |
| * 10. Name of Federal Agency: |  |
| FHWA |  |
| 11. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number: |  |
| 20.205 |  |
| CFDA Title: |  |
| * 12. Funding Opportunity Number: |  |
| *Title: |  |
| 13. Competition Identification Number: |  |
| Title: |  |
| 14. Areas Affected by Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.): |  |
| County Road 1971 near Strasburg, MO in Johnson County, Missouri |  |
| * 15. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project: |  |
| MO-Strasburg-Co. Rd. 1971 Crossing Closure |  |

Attach supporting documents as specified in agency instructions.


## Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

* Applicant Federal Debt Delinquency Explanation

The following field should contain an explanation if the Applicant organization is delinquent on any Federal Debt. Maximum number of characters that can be entered is 4,000 . Try and avoid extra spaces and carriage returns to maximize the availability of space.



әqes

# MO-Strasburg—Co. Rd. 1971 Crossing Closure 

## Project Narrative Statement for Rail Crossing Discretionary Funds Application

- Background - Briefly describe the events that led to the development of this project and the issue the project will address. Also provide a description of the role of high-speed rail as a component of the State's transportation system, including:
a. The State's high-speed rail service objectives for the Federally-designed HSR Corridor on which the proposed project is located;
b. The type of service currently in operation and that which is envisioned (e.g. number of daily round trips, speed, trip times, locations served, etc); and
c. The State's long-range investment program for current and future high-speed rail infrastructure, including a list of rail capital projects expected to be undertaken or supported by the State to develop the HSR Corridor.
- See attached Federal HSIPR map.
- See attached most recent map of all projects planned on the corridor for HSIPR.
- See most recent summary of all crossings awarded under HSIPR program.
- See attached map of the Missouri crossing project candidates applied for 2011 Discretionary Funds under the Railway-Highway Crossing Hazard Elimination in High Speed Rail Corridors Program.
- See Show Me Improved Rail Service high speed rail projects overview—June 2011.

This package of crossing project improvements is located on the Union Pacific Railroad in Missouri along the Missouri River Runner route, which is the Amtrak-state supported service and has been designated as a High Speed Rail Corridor.(see attached map) Any of these projects in combination or singly will improve service and safety along the route. There are 10 Amtrak stations along the route that include St. Louis, Kirkwood, Washington, Hermann, Jefferson City, Sedalia, Warrensburg, Lee's Summit, Independence and Kansas City. There is no commuter rail service on this line. The only freight use is by Union Pacific freight trains, which will also benefit from the project. There will be no donated land from the railroad in order to construct the project.

As illustrated in the attached information, this project will improve on-time performance along the entire Union Pacific corridor in Missouri between St. Louis and Kansas City. It will also enhance the future provision of 90 - to $110-\mathrm{mph}$ service. Many of these crossings have a history of train-vehicle accidents, most of them involving the higher-speed Amtrak trains. These crossings were identified through a process that reviewed the busiest five rail corridors in Missouri. Since this is the busiest Amtrak corridor and one of the top 10 busiest freight corridors in Missouri, these crossings all need improvements for overall traffic and train safety. These projects will improve up to 9 highway/rail at-grade crossings between Jefferson City and Kansas City. It is important to note that there are already 13 crossings that will receive lights and gates, and two crossings that will be closed on this corridor as a result of federal award of ARRA HSIPR money in 2010 that is currently in the construction agreement stages and on which work will begin soon. When these projects are completed, nearly the entire 283-mile
corridor will be completely "sealed" in the sense that public crossings will be either gradeseparated or will have a full set of lights and gates.

This project is part of an already existing agreement between MoDOT and the Union Pacific Railroad in which the costs are split 80-20 between the two parties. UP's share remains the same at 20 percent. MODOT intends to use both state funds and federal 23 USC Section 130 funds for its share of 20 percent. MoDOT is proposing federal funds for the other 60 percent.

All the crossings identified in an attached sheet are in, Pettis, Johnson, Morgan, Jackson and Cole counties on the UP Sedalia Subdivision. Crossing improvements will all be full lights and gates installations with constant warning time circuitry and LED lighting. Closed crossings will be closed with type IV object markers and surfaces obliterated. The overall purpose of this project is to promote crossing safety and reduce the amount of accidents between Amtrak trains and vehicles, thereby, increasing safety for both the general public and for train crews and passengers.

- Project Location - Specify the location of the proposed project, including:
a. The county, city, cross streets, Federally-designated HSR Corridor, railroad right-of-way owner, railroad milepost number, DOT crossing inventory number, and longitude and latitude coordinates;
b. The characteristics of the crossing, including the number of railroad tracks, number of roadway traffic lanes, existing traffic control devices, average annual daily traffic, volume of truck traffic, and the history of train-vehicle accidents at the crossing; and
c. Any nearby areas of significant concern, such as schools, hospitals, first responders, or other emergency services providers.
a. This project is located at DOT crossing no. 442 005E on the Union Pacific Railroad Sedalia Subdivision at railroad milepost 240.55, longitude 94.118815, latitude 38.7580672. This crossing is located on the St. Louis-Kansas City spoke of the Chicago Hub Network Federally-designated HSR corridor.

The crossing is 2.34 miles east of the city of Strasburg, MO in Johnson County. The Railroad operates a single main track through the crossing and timetable direction is east/west.
b. Crossing no. 442 005E is a public at grade crossing where CR 1971, a 2-lane county maintained gravel roadway traversing north/south intersects at right angle with the Railroad's track. At the crossing location CR 1971 has a Functional Classification of Rural Local. Approximately 75 feet south of the crossing CR 1971 intersects at right angle with MO Route 58, a 2-lane asphalt highway with a Function Classification at this location of Rural Minor Arterial.

At the crossing, the Railroad's track is located on a steep fill with a resulting 11.2\% grade on the south roadway approach and a $9.2 \%$ grade on the north roadway
approach. The south roadway approach grade prevents the driver of a northbound vehicle from seeing a vehicle approaching from the other side of the crossing.

Approximately 22 freight and 4 passenger trains operate daily thru this crossing; maximum timetable authorized speed at this location is 70 mph passenger and 55 mph freight.

The warning devices consist of R15-1 crossbuck signs located on the right hand side of each roadway approach and one W10-1 advance warning sign located on the right hand side of the north roadway approach. In addition, there are W10-3 advance warning signs along Route 58 in both directions of approach to the CR 1971 intersection.

The estimated AADT is 25 which consists of $20 \%$ trucks.
There have been two train/vehicle collisions at this crossing resulting in no deaths or injuries.
c. Due to the close proximity of Route 58 and the Railroad, there is limited storage space between Route 58 and the crossing for traffic entering or exiting CR 1971.

- Scope of Activities - Clearly describe the scope of work to be accomplished and identify the general objectives of the proposed project, including:
a. General Objective - Provide a general description of the work to be accomplished through this grant, including project work effort and other parties involved. Describe the end-state of the project, how it will address the need identified in Background (above), and the outcomes that will be achieved as a result of the project; and
b. Description of Work - Provide a detailed description of the work to be accomplished through this grant by task (e.g., discreet design and construction elements) including a description of the geographical and physical boundaries of the project. Address the work in a logical sequence that would lead to the anticipated outcomes and the end state of the activities.
a. General Objective - The objective of this project is to permanently close the CR 1971 crossing by providing Johnson County a crossing closure incentive payment of $\$ 200,000$. As a condition of permanently closing the crossing, the County has stated it will need to make certain roadway improvements to CR 1971 north of the crossing. This incentive payment will facilitate these improvements.

The $\$ 120,000$ grant amount requested for this project represents $60 \%$ of the $\$ 200,000$ crossing closure incentive payment. In addition to the requested grant, MoDOT and Union Pacific will each contribute $20 \%$ of the total incentive payment, or $\$ 40,000$ each.

Description of Work - The CR 1971 crossing will be permanently closed, crossing surface removed, barricade with Type IV object markers and Type A or D guardrail installed on north side of crossing and roadway obliterated between the crossing and barricade. On the south side of crossing the roadway will be obliterated between the crossing and Route 58; MoDOT will remove the culvert and restore the ditch line on the north side of Route 58. All of this work will be performed and funded by Union Pacific.

- Response to Evaluation Criteria - Describe how the proposed project addresses the evaluation criteria listed below:


## Evaluation Criteria

Applications submitted for consideration will first be screened for completeness and eligibility. Eligible and complete applications will then be evaluated against the following criteria:

- Improves safety at a crossing that has recent activity or high potential for accidents between pedestrian and/or vehicle traffic and HSR or intercity passenger rail operations;
- The permanent closure of this crossing will dramatically improve safety as there can be no train/vehicle collisions at a closed crossing.
- The current situation at this crossing presents a high potential for accidents. The present roadway approach from the south side of the crossing is so steep that it is impossible for a driver to look for train traffic until the vehicle is literally on top of the crossing. The steep approach grades on both sides of the crossing also contribute to vehicles frequently dragging across or even getting stuck on the crossing as evidenced by numerous gouges and dragging marks on the crossing surface.
- Combined with a maximum timetable authorized speed at this location of 70 mph passenger and 55 mph freight, as well as the limited storage space on the south side of the crossing between the railroad and Route 58 it is very fortunate that there have only been two train/vehicle collisions at this crossing.
- Upgrades a crossing or a series of crossings to create a "sealed Corridor" segment utilizing advanced warning technology, four-quadrant gates, or median separators - with preference to crossing closures;
- After this project (and related crossing upgrades or closures that are also included in this grant application) are completed, nearly the entire St. Louis-Kansas City HSR corridor will be sealed because every public
crossing save one will be either grade-separated or equipped with flashing light signals and gates.
- Supports a HSR Corridor Service Development Plan
- This project supports the Chicago Hub Network Corridor Service Development plan of which the St. Louis-Kansas City line is a spoke.
- Is included on a Corridor with active HSR or intercity passenger rail service that has programmed capital funding for an increase in service frequency or speeds of 90 mph or greater - with preference toward the development of Corridors with speeds of 110 mph or greater;
- This project is included on a Federally-designated HSR Corridor with active intercity passenger rail service.
- Will generate improvements to existing HSR or intercity passenger rail service, as reflected by estimated increases in ridership, increases in operational reliability, increases in average and/or top operating speeds, reductions in trip times, additional service frequencies, and other related factors;
- This project will increase the operational reliability of existing intercity passenger rail service because the high potential of a train/vehicle collision at this crossing will be eliminated.
- Demonstrates support from key project partners, including the infrastructure owning railroad, local governments, and other relevant stakeholders;
- Both Union Pacific Railroad and Johnson County support the closure of this crossing.
and
- Conforms to the FRA's "High-Speed Passenger Rail Safety Strategy" guidance published November 2009, which is posted on the FRA website at the following link - http://www.fra.dot.gov/downloads/safety/HSRSafetyStrategy110609.pdf.
- This project conforms to the above referenced FRA guidance as it seeks to fund the elimination of a redundant or unnecessary at-grade crossing

Missouri is currently embarking on a project to develop a PRIIA compliant Missouri State Rail Plan. This project is anticipated to complete by May 2012.


William Gabel
Presiding Commissioner
Scott Sader
Commissioner, Eastern District
Destry Hough
Commissioner, Western District
Diane Thompson
County Clerk
Johnson County Courthouse, 300 N Holden, Warrensburg, MO 64093
(660) 747-6161 - Fax 747-9332 counivclerkfiojociocnumouse com
wew iococourthouse.com
May 31, 2011

## Jack Wright

Multi-Modual Operations
MoDOT
P.O. Box 270

Jefferson City, MO 65102

Dear Mr. Wright,
The Johnson County Commission supports the concept of closing the railroad crossing at SW 1971 and upgrading SW 1601.

Sincerely:


William Gabel, Presiding Commissioner


Scott Sader, Eastern Commissioner


Destry Hough, Western Commissioner

## ASSURANCES - CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0042), Washington, DC 20503.

## PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. SEND IT TO THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY.

NOTE: Certain of these assurances may not be applicable to your project or program. If you have questions, please contact the Awarding Agency. Further, certain Federal assistance awarding agencies may require applicants to certify to additional assurances. If such is the case, you will be notified.
As the duly authorized representative of the applicant, I certify that the applicant:

1. Has the legal authority to apply for Federal assistance, and the institutional, managerial and financial capability (including funds sufficient to pay the non-Federal share of project costs) to ensure proper planning, management and completion of the project described in this application.
2. Will give the awarding agency, the Comptroller General of the United States and, if appropriate, the State, through any authorized representative, access to and the right to examine all records, books, papers, or documents related to the assistance; and will establish a proper accounting system in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards or agency directives.
3. Will not dispose of, modify the use of, or change the terms of the real property title, or other interest in the site and facilities without permission and instructions from the awarding agency. Will record the Federal interest in the title of real property in accordance with awarding agency directives and will include a covenant in the title of real property aquired in whole or in part with Federal assistance funds to assure nondiscrimination during the useful life of the project.
4. Will comply with the requirements of the assistance awarding agency with regard to the drafting, review and approval of construction plans and specifications.
5. Will provide and maintain competent and adequate engineering supervision at the construction site to ensure that the complete work conforms with the approved plans and specifications and will furnish progress reports and such other information as may be required by the assistance awarding agency or State.
6. Will initiate and complete the work within the applicable time frame after receipt of approval of the awarding agency.
7. Will establish safeguards to prohibit employees from using their positions for a purpose that constitutes or presents the appearance of personal or organizational conflict of interest, or personal gain.
8. Will comply with the Intergovernmental Personnel Act of 1970 ( 42 U.S.C. $\S \S 4728-4763$ ) relating to prescribed standards for merit systems for programs funded under one of the 19 statutes or regulations specified in Appendix A of OPM's Standards for a Merit System of Personnel Administration (5 C.F.R. 900, Subpart F).
9. Will comply with the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (42 U.S.C. $\S \S 4801$ et seq.) which prohibits the use of lead-based paint in construction or rehabilitation of residence structures.
10. Will comply with all Federal statutes relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to: (a) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin; (b) Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (20 U.S.C. $\S \S 1681$ 1683, and 1685-1686), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; (c) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. §794), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps; (d) the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended (42 U.S.C. §§6101-6107), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age; (e) the Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972 (P.L. 92-255), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of drug abuse; (f) the Comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Prevention, Treatment and Rehabilitation Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-616), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of alcohol abuse or alcoholism; (g) $\S \S 523$ and 527 of the Public Health Service Act of 1912 (42 U.S.C. §§290 dd-3 and 290 ee 3 ), as amended, relating to confidentiality of alcohol and drug abuse patient records; ( $h$ ) Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. $\S \S 3601$ et seq.), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination in the sale, rental or financing of housing; (i) any other nondiscrimination provisions in the specific statute(s) under which application for Federal assistance is being made; and, ( $j$ ) the requirements of any other nondiscrimination statute(s) which may apply to the application.

## MO-Strasburg—Co. Rd. 1971 Crossing Closure

11. Will comply, or has already complied, with the requirements of Titles II and III of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-646) which provide for fair and equitable treatment of persons displaced or whose property is acquired as a result of Federal and federally-assisted programs. These requirements apply to all interests in real property acquired for project purposes regardless of Federal participation in purchases.
12. Will comply with the provisions of the Hatch Act (5 U.S.C. §§1501-1508 and 7324-7328) which limit the political activities of employees whose principal employment activities are funded in whole or in part with Federal funds.
13. Will comply, as applicable, with the provisions of the DavisBacon Act (40 U.S.C. §§276a to 276a-7), the Copeland Act (40 U.S.C. §276c and 18 U.S.C. §874), and the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 U.S.C. §§327333) regarding labor standards for federally-assisted construction subagreements.
14. Will comply with flood insurance purchase requirements of Section 102(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) which requires recipients in a special flood hazard area to participate in the program and to purchase flood insurance if the total cost of insurable construction and acquisition is $\$ 10,000$ or more.
15. Will comply with environmental standards which may be prescribed pursuant to the following: (a) institution of environmental quality control measures under the

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91190) and Executive Order (EO) 11514; (b) notification of violating facilities pursuant to EO 11738; (c) protection of wetlands pursuant to EO 11990; (d) evaluation of flood hazards in floodplains in accordance with EO 11988; (e) assurance of project consistency with the approved State management program developed under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. §§1451 et seq.); (f) conformity of Federal actions to State (Clean Air) Implementation Plans under Section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act of 1955 , as amended (42 U.S.C. §§7401 et seq.); (g) protection of underground sources of drinking water under the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended (P.L. 93-523); and, (h) protection of endangered species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (P.L. 93-205).
16. Will comply with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C. $\S \S 1271$ et seq.) related to protecting components or potential components of the national wild and scenic rivers system.
17. Will assist the awarding agency in assuring compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. §470), EO 11593 (identification and protection of historic properties), and the Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. §§469a-1 et seq.).
18. Will cause to be performed the required financial and compliance audits in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 and OMB Circular No. A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations."
19. Will comply with all applicable requirements of all other Federal laws, executive orders, regulations, and policies governing this program.


APPLICANT ORGANIZATION
Missouri Department of Transportation

## TITLE

Administrator of Railroads

DATE SUBMITTED

May 31, 2011

Complete this form to disclose lobbying activities pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1352
(See reverse for public burden disclosure.)


#  (lII) 

May 27, 2011

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is to demonstrate Union Pacific Railroad's (UP's) support for the Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT) to obtain additional funding through the 2011 Discretionary Grant Program for Railway-Highway Crossing Hazard Elimination in High Speed Rail Corridors jointly administered by the Federal Railroad Administration and the Federal Highway Administration. UP has worked collaboratively with MoDOT to advance projects like this in the past. It is understood that MoDOT has not yet received funding for these projects along the KC to STL Corridor:
1.Centertown-Close Oak St crossing by making engineering improvements near Rt NN crossing 2.Syracuse-MFA crossing-Close crossing by providing incentive payment to MFA
3.LaMonte- Nifo Road-Upgrade to flashing lights \& gates
4.LaMonte- Walnut St-Upgrade to flashing lights \& gates
5. Knob Noster-Upgrade to flashing lights \& gates
6.Kingsville-Upgrade to flashing lights \& gates
7.Strasburg-Co Rd. 1971 Close crossing by providing incentive payment to Johnson County
8. Strasburg-Rogers Rd-Upgrade to flashing lights \& gates
9.Greenwood-Ranson Rd-Grade separation

Sincerely,


Manager Special Projects - Industry and Public

Union Pacific Railroad Company

May 26, 2011

Mr. Rodney Massman
Administrator of Railroads
Missouri Department of Transportation
830 MODOT Drive
Jefferson City, MO 65109

Dear Mr. Massman:
The National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak) would like to take this opportunity to express its support for Missouri's 2021 Discretionary Grant Program application for Railway-Highway Crossing Hazard Elimination in High Speed Rail Corridors jointly administered by the Federal Railroad Administration and the Federal Highway Administration.

Amtrak's support relates to the following infrastructure improvement projects on the St. Louis-Kansas City federally designated high speed rail corridor:

1. Centertown-Close Oak St crossing by making engineering improvements near Rt NN crossing
2. Syracuse-AFA trossing-Close crossing by providing incentive payment to MFA
3. La Monte- Nifo Road-Upgrade to flashing lights a gates
4. La Monte Walnut St-Upgrade to flashing lights \& gates
5. Knob Noster-Upgrade to flashing lights \& gates
6. Kingsville-Upgrade to flashing lights \& gates
7. Strasburg-Co Rd. 1971 Close crossing by providing incentive payment to Johnson County
8. Strasturg-Rogers Rd-Upgrade to flashing lights \& gates
9. Greenwood-Ranson Rd-Grade separation

These projects, upon completion, will improve intercity passenger tall service and benefit the Amtrak system. Based on the information made available to us regarding the project's' eligibility, and subject to the development of such project-specific agreements as FRA and FHWA may require, we support their selection for grant funding and ultimate implementation.

The advancement of projects like these will help develop high-speed and quality intercity passenger rall service in the United States. We appreciate your leadership in filing this application and look forward to a favorable decision.

## Sincerely,

Wuikallustinne
Michael W. France
Assistant Vice President, Policy and Development (Central Region)

## Show Me <br> MPROMED June 2011



High-speed rail has long been part of the vision for Missouri's passenger rail service. In an effort to help bring efficient passenger rail services to the Midwest, studies have been completed, partnerships have been solidified and plans have been formulated. Now, through the High-Speed Intercity Passenger Rail Program - an opportunity is ahead.

In partnership with the Union Pacific Railroad, Amtrak and the terminal railroads, the Missouri Department of Transportation is submitting to the Federal Railroad Administration project applications that address capital improvements for track sections between St. Louis and Kansas City. Missouri has had a state-supported Amtrak route on this line, which is owned by Union Pacific, for more than 30 years. A goal of this cooperative effort, through a focus on service reliability, is to remove rail system bottlenecks in order to increase ridership. The type of high-speed rail projects Missouri plans to develop is one that would make the train service on the existing line faster over time. These applications will allow the state to take the next step toward faster service.

By enhancing the capacity of the current system, these new rail projects, which will complement several recent and ongoing improvements, will create and support jobs. These jobs deliver both economic and transportation benefits by bringing about more reliable service and eventually producing faster travel times.


The rail projects will profoundly impact on-time performance, and in the future, the ability of trains to move at 90- to 110-miles per hour. If fully implemented, the analysis of the St. Louis-to-Kansas City corridor improvement plan shows a 47.6 percent reduction in passenger train delays while maintaining a critical freight rail delivery system.


There are even more benefits! To further enhance this opportunity to improve transportation choices, the long-term plan along this corridor is to add additional frequencies and purchase new equipment for the train service when reliable ontime performance is achieved. Another benefit is the enhancement of Missouri's role in passenger rail by providing a section of the Midwest Regional Rail Initiative, which is a planning effort of MoDOT and eight other state transportation departments launched in 1996. An additional benefit is the establishment of a key transfer point in St. Louis, through the new gateway Multimodal Station, to the high-speed Chicago-to-St. Louis rail line.

To demonstrate full support, MoDOT, Union Pacific Railroad and the terminal railroads are committing significant financial contributions to completing the improvement projects represented in this round of applications. Because the passenger rail needs far outweigh the limited resources of the federal program, Missouri's projects are listed in a priority order to help address the most critical issues first.

Throughout the past 30 years, the poor on-time performance of passenger rail has adversely affected its use. Missourians are speaking loudly and clearly: passenger rail service must be reliable. Together - MoDOT, Union Pacific, Amtrak and the terminal railroads - are making steady progress in improving this rail corridor in order to give customers a dependable service. These grants will provide the down payment Missouri needs to further enhance both passenger and freight movement, and thus move significantly closer to clearly realizing the vision of a national


|  |  | Cost | FRA/Host/MoDOT Contribution (\%) | FRA <br> Contribution | Host <br> Contribution | MoDOT Contribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MO-Centertown-Oak St. Crossing Closure | \$100,000 | 60/20/20 | \$60,000 | \$20,000 | \$20,000 |
| 2 | MO-Syracuse-MFA Crossing Closure | \$200,000 | 75/25/0 | \$150,000 | \$50,000 | \$0 |
| 3 | MO-La Monte-Nfo Rd. Crossing Upgrade | \$250,000 | 60/20/20 | \$150,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |
| 4 | MO-La Monte-Walnut St. Crossing Upgrade | \$250,000 | 60/20/20 | \$150,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |
| 5 | MO-Knob Noster-Adams Crossing Upgrade | \$250,000 | 60/20/20 | \$150,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |
| 6 | MO-Kingsville-Co. Rd. 1601 Crossing Upgrade | \$250,000 | 60/20/20 | \$150,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |
| 7 | MO-Strasburg-Co. Rd. 1971 Crossing Closure | \$200,000 | 60/20/20 | \$120,000 | \$40,000 | \$40,000 |
| 8 | MO-Strasburg-Rogers Rd. Crossing Upgrade | \$250,000 | 60/20/20 | \$150,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |
| 9 | MO-Greenwood-Ranson Rd. Grade Separation | \$4,000,000 | 80/5/15 | \$3,200,000 | \$200,000 | \$600,000 |
|  | GRAND TOTAL | \$5,750,000 |  | \$4,280,000 | \$560,000 | \$910,000 |

## Project Candidates Union Pacific RR HSR Corridor Jefferson City and Sedalia Subdivisions



|  | Application Name | DOT | MP | City | County | Roadway | Device | Estimated cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MO-Centertown-Oak St. Crossing Closure | 442 249N | 140.15 | Centertown | Cole | Oak St | XB | 100,000 |
| 2 | MO-Syracuse-MFA Crossing Closure | 442 184X | 166.25 | Near Syracuse | Morgan | MFA | Pvt/Stop | 200,000 |
| 3 | MO-La Monte-Nfo Rd. Crossing Upgrade | 442 092K | 198.67 | Near La Monte | Pettis | Nfo Rd | XB | 250,000 |
| 4 | MO-La Monte-Walnut St. Crossing Upgrade | 442 089C | 200.95 | La Monte | Pettis | Walnut St | FL | 250,000 |
| 5 | MO-Knob Noster-Adams Crossing Upgrade | 442 072Y | 208.1 | Knob Noster | Johnson | Adams St | FL | 250,000 |
| 6 | MO-Kingsville-Co. Rd. 1601 Crossing Upgrade | 442 009G | 236.47 | Near Kingsville | Johnson | Co Rd 1601 | XB | 250,000 |
| 7 | MO-Strasburg-Co. Rd. 1971 Crossing Closure | 442 005E | 240.53 | Near Strasburg | Johnson | Co Rd 1971 | XB | 200,000 |
| 8 | MO-Strasburg-Rogers Rd. Crossing Upgrade | 441 994L | 245.72 | Near Strasburg | Johnson | Rogers Rd | XB | 250,000 |
| 9 | MO-Greenwood-Ranson Rd. Grade Separation | 441 975G | 255.52 | Greenwood | Jackson | Ranson Rd | FLG | 4,000,000 |

## Kansas City to St. Louis

MoDOT's Proposed UP/Amtrak Partnership
HSIPR Funding Improvements as of April, 2011


Improvement Plan

## Current/Completed

.Gasconade River Bridge
2. California/Shell Siding
3. Webster Crossover
. Osage Bridge and Double Track
5. Crossing Upgrades

Current/Completed total
FD / Construction 6. Knob Noster Siding $\$ 9.9$ M Projects: 7. Terminal RR

STL Terminal - Grand St. to Gratiot St.
STL Terminal - Merchants Bridge
KC Terminal - Independence St
9. Hermann Crossover
10. JC 3rd Mainline and Station
11. Three Train Sets
13. Lee's Summit to Pleasant Hill for 90 MPH
14. Strasburg Grade Separation

FD / Construction Projects total
4. Osage Bridge
and Double Track
(Current)
(Current)
$\$ 34.1$ N
8.1 M $\$ 4.4 \mathrm{M}$ 28.3 M $\$ 3.2 \mathrm{M}$ -
PE/NEPA Projects 15. Pleasant Hill to Jeff City for 90 MPH $\$ 10.0 \mathrm{M}$

In Progress: 16. New High Speed Dedicated Corrido between KC and STL PE/NEPA and Land Acquisition
$\$ 600.0 \mathrm{M}$

| in Progress. | 16. New High Speed Dedicated Corridor between KC and STL PE/NEPA and Land Acquisition | \$600.0 M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PE/NEPA Projects total | \$610.0 M |
| Future Construction Projects: | 17. Passenger Communication System | \$3.0 M |
|  | Future Construction Projects total | \$3.0 M |
|  | Grand Total | 058.4 M |


| 7. KC Terminal RR |
| :---: |
| Independence St. |
| $\$ 19.1 \mathrm{M}$ |

Kansas \$19.1 M
8. Bonnot's Mill
Crossov
13. Double Track Lee's Summit to Pleasant Hill $\$ 48.4 \mathrm{M}$

## 15. Double Track

 Pleasant Hill toJefferson City
for 90 mph operations \$10 M

## 14. Strasburg

Grade Separation $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}$

16. New High Speed Dedicated
Corridor between KC and STL
PE/NEPA and Land Acquisition 600.0 M


Passenger Rail Coalition


Secretary-Treasurer - Karen Rae, New York DOTMember states (31) $\square$ Other high-speed rail corridor states $\qquad$ Federally designated high-speed rail corridors

## Proposed Grade-Crossing Upgrades

NOTE - Any roadway improvements required in conjunction with any of the following projects will be financed with non-ARRA funds.

1. Berger, Missouri: Install new lights and gates with constant warning time circuitry at crossing \# 442 781E (Zero Rd) on the Jefferson City Subdivision. It currently has flashers only with phase motion detector circuitry and has had 1 grade-crossing accident since 1976.

Estimated Cost: \$211,100.00
2. Osage City, Missouri: Install new lights and gates with constant warning time circuitry at crossing \# 442 827R (Fourth St) on the Jefferson City Subdivision. It currently has flashers only with phase motion detector circuitry and has had 1 grade-crossing accident since 1976.

Estimated Cost: \$268,200.00
3. Near Tipton, Missouri: Install new lights and gates with constant warning time circuitry at crossing \# 442 194D (Howard Rd) on the Sedalia Subdivision. It currently has only crossbucks and has had 2 grade-crossing accidents, including 1 with Amtrak, since 1976.

Estimated Cost: \$212,700.00
4. Near Syracuse, Missouri: Install new lights and gates with constant warning time circuitry at crossing \# 442 172D (Otter Dr) on the Sedalia subdivision. It currently has only crossbucks.

Estimated Cost: \$243,800.00
5. Near Smithton, Missouri: Install new lights and gates with constant warning time circuitry at crossing \# 442 160J (Florence Rd) on the Sedalia subdivision. It currently has only crossbucks and has had 1 grade-crossing accident, involving Amtrak, since 1976.

Estimated Cost: \$217,300.00
6. Near La Monte, Missouri: Install new lights and gates with constant warning time circuitry at crossing \# 442 087N (Carlin-Mar Rd) on the Sedalia Subdivision. It currently has only crossbucks.

Estimated Cost: \$260,100.00
7. Near Montserrat, Missouri: Install new lights and gates with constant warning time circuitry at crossing \# 442 064G (Co Rd 115) on the Sedalia Subdivision. It currently has only crossbucks and has had 7 grade-crossing accidents, including 3 with Amtrak (1 fatality), since 1976.

Estimated Cost: \$179,400.00
8. Near Centerview, Missouri: Install new lights and gates with constant warning time circuitry at crossing \# 442 040T (Co Rd 301) on the Sedalia Subdivision. It currently has only crossbucks and has had 2 grade-crossing accidents since 1975.

Estimated Cost: \$249,300.00
9. Near Centerview, Missouri: Install new lights and gates with constant warning time circuitry at crossing \# 442 037K (Co Rd 401) on the Sedalia Subdivision. It currently has only crossbucks.

Estimated Cost: \$267,800.00
10. Near Holden, Missouri Project Description: Install new lights and gates with constant warning time circuitry at crossing \# 442 012P (Co Rd 1451) on the Sedalia Subdivision. It currently has only crossbucks and has had 4 grade-crossing accidents since 1975.

Estimated Cost: \$266,400.00
11. Near Strasburg, Missouri: Install new lights and gates with constant warning time circuitry at crossing \# 442 005E (Co Rd 1971) on the Sedalia Subdivision. It currently has only crossbucks.

Estimated Cost: \$343,400.00
12. Near Strasburg, Missouri: Install new lights and gates with constant warning time circuitry at crossing \# 441 995T (Beattie Rd) on the Sedalia Subdivision. It currently has only crossbucks.

Estimated Cost: \$194,300.00
13. Near Pleasant Hill, Missouri: Install new lights and gates with constant warning time circuitry at crossing \# 441 993E (Francy Rd) on the Sedalia Subdivision. It currently has only crossbucks and has had 1 grade-crossing accident since 1975.

Estimated Cost: \$230,300.00

## Proposed Grade-Crossing Closures and Roadway Improvements

NOTE - the following projects will be financed with non-ARRA funds.
La Monte, Missouri: Close at grade crossing at crossing \# 442 089C (Walnut St) on the Sedalia Subdivision. It currently has flashers only with phase motion detector circuitry and is located approximately 500 feet west of crossing \# 442090 W which is equipped with lights and gates and 1 mile east of crossing \# 442087 N , a crossbuck crossing that is recommended for upgrade to lights and gates.

Near Montserrat, Missouri: Build turn lanes on eastbound lane of US 50 near in conjunction with installation of new lights and gates with constant warning time circuitry at crossing \# 442 064G (Co Rd 115) on the Sedalia Subdivision.

Near Centerview, Missouri: Close at grade crossing at crossing \# 442 038S (Co Rd 100) on the Sedalia Subdivision. It currently has only crossbucks only \& lies .85 miles west of crossing \# 442 040T (Co Rd 301) \& . 30 miles east of crossing \# 442 037K (Co Rd 401), both of which are crossbuck crossings \& recommended to be upgraded to flashing lights \& gates. Build connecting road between Co Rd 100 \& Co Rd 401 (approximately . 3 mile)

Near Strasburg, Missouri: Improve entrance to county road from State Route 58 in conjunction with installation of new lights and gates with constant warning time circuitry at crossing \# 442 005E (Co Rd 1971) on the Sedalia Subdivision.


| MISSOURI STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM FY 2011-2015 PASSENGER RAIL AND HIGHWAY/RAIL CROSSING SAFETY PROGRAM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOCATION | $\begin{gathered} \text { MoDOT } \\ \text { DISTRICT } \end{gathered}$ | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 2010- \\ & 6 / 2011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 / 2011- \\ & 6 / 2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 2012- \\ & 6 / 2013 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 / 2013- \\ 6 / 2014 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 2014- \\ & 6 / 2015 \end{aligned}$ |
| St. Louis to Kansas City <br> State Supported Passenger Rail Service <br> Amtrak (National Railroad Passenger Corporation) | 4,5,6 | Continue operation and provide equipment capital share cost of service between St. Louis-Kansas City (Missouri River Runner) trains | 8,500,000 | 8,600,000 | 8,800,000 | 9,000,000 | 9,200,000 |
| St. Louis to Kansas City <br> State Supported Passenger Rail Service <br> Amtrak (National Railroad Passenger Corporation) | All | Advertising/promotional costs for the St. Louis - Kansas City corridor | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 |
| Missouri Amtrak passenger stations <br> (Kansas City-Independence-Lee's Summit-Warrensburg Sedalia-Jefferson City-Hermann-Washington-Kirkwood <br> St. Louis-La Plata-Poplar Bluff) | 2,4,5,6,10 | Station repairs/improvements to Missouri Amtrak passenger stations | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| St. Louis to Kansas City Planning funds for high speed rail corridor | 4,5,6 | Rail/highway crossing hazard elimination; feasibility study; environmental \& preliminary engineering study; infrastructure improvements; equipment acquisition for St. Louis to Kansas City route | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| Cole/Osage Co - UP | 5 | Construct second bridge over Osage River- ARRA HSR Project | 28,300,000 |  |  |  |  |
| In Kirkwood, St Louis Co - UP | 6 | Construct Webster/Kirkwood universal crossover- ARRA HSR Project | 4,400,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Near Knob Noster, Johnson Co - UP | 4 | Construct Knob Noster siding extension- ARRA HSR Project |  | 8,500,000 |  |  |  |
| In Hermann, Gasconade Co - UP | 5 | Construct Hermann universal crossoverARRA HSR Project |  | 5,200,000 |  |  |  |
| In Jefferson City, Cole Co - UP | 5 | Construct $3^{\text {rd }}$ mainline track- ARRA HSR Project |  | 9,700,000 |  |  |  |
| Near Bonnots Mill, Osage Co - UP | 5 | Construct Bonnots Mill universal crossover- ARRA HSR Project |  | 5,800,000 |  |  |  |
| Missouri River Runner Amtrak Stations | 4, 5, 6 | Passenger communication system |  | 3,000,000 |  |  |  |
| In Kingsville, Johnson Co - UP | 4 | Construct Kingsville siding |  | 11,500,000 |  |  |  |
| In Lee's Summit/Pleasant Hill, Jackson/Cass Co - UP | 4 | Construct second main track / upgrade to 90 mph |  | 56,600,000 |  |  |  |
| Karg Rd - near Pleasant Hill, Cass Co - UP DOT\# 441 991R | 4 | Install active warning devices ARRA HSR Project | 250,000 |  |  |  |  |


| MISSOURI STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM FY 2011-2015 PASSENGER RAIL AND HIGHWAY/RAIL CROSSING SAFETY PROGRAM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOCATION | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { MoDOT } \\ \text { DISTRICT } \end{array}$ | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7 / 2010- \\ 6 / 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 / 2011- \\ & 6 / 2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 / 2012- \\ & 6 / 2013 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 / 2013- \\ & 6 / 2014 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7 / 2014- \\ 6 / 2015 \end{gathered}$ |
| Francy Rd - near Pleasant Hill, Cass Co - UP DOT\# 441 993E | 4 | Install active warning devices ARRA HSR Project | 250,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Beattie Rd - near Strasburg, Cass Co - UP DOT\# 441 995T | 4 | Install active warning devices ARRA HSR Project | 200,000 |  |  |  |  |
| CR 1451 - near Holden, Johnson Co - UP DOT\# 442 012P | 4 | Install active warning devices ARRA HSR Project | 275,000 |  |  |  |  |
| CR 301 - near Warrensburg, Johnson Co - UP DOT\# 442 040T | 4 | Install active warning devices ARRA HSR Project | 275,000 |  |  |  |  |
| CR 401 - near Centerview, Johnson Co - UP DOT\# 442 037K | 4 | Install active warning devices ARRA HSR Project | 275,000 |  |  |  |  |
| CR 115 - near Montserrat, Johnson Co - UP DOT\# 442 064G | 4 | Install active warning devices ARRA HSR Project | 180,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Florence Rd - near Smithton, Pettis Co - UP DOT\# 442 160J | 5 | Install active warning devices ARRA HSR Project | 225,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Carlin-Mar Rd - near La Monte, Pettis Co - UP DOT\# 442 087N | 5 | Install active warning devices ARRA HSR Project | 275,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Otter Dr - near Syracuse, Cooper, Co - UP DOT \# 442 172D | 5 | Install active warning devices ARRA HSR Project | 250,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Howard Rd - near Tipton, Moniteau, Co - UP DOT \# 442 194D | 5 | Install active warning devices ARRA HSR Project | 250,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Fourth St - in Osage City, Cole Co - UP DOT \# 442 827R | 5 | Upgrade active warning devices ARRA HSR Project | 270,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Zero Rd - near Berger, Franklin Co - UP DOT \# 442 781E | 6 | Upgrade active warning devices ARRA HSR Project | 220,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Various | 4, 5, 6 | Purchase passenger train equipment for Missouri service ARRA HSR Project |  | 50,000,000 |  |  |  |
| $60^{\text {th }} \mathrm{Ln}$ - in Halls, Buchanan Co - BNSF DOT\# 095 227F | 1 | Install active warning devices |  |  |  | 200,000 |  |
| Bethel Rd - near Halls, Buchanan Co - BNSF DOT\# 095 228M | 1 | Install active warning devices |  |  |  | 200,000 |  |
| Cotton Wood Rd- near French, Buchanan Co- BNSF DOT\# 095 230N | 1 | Install active warning devices |  |  |  | 200,000 |  |
| Pettit St - near French, Buchanan Co - BNSF DOT\# 095 232C | 1 | Install active warning devices |  |  |  | 200,000 |  |


| MISSOURI STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM FY 2011-2015 PASSENGER RAIL AND HIGHWAY/RAIL CROSSING SAFETY PROGRAM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOCATION | $\begin{gathered} \text { MoDOT } \\ \text { DISTRICT } \end{gathered}$ | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 2010- \\ & 6 / 2011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7 / 2011- \\ 6 / 2012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 / 2012- \\ 6 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 2013- \\ & 6 / 2014 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 2014- \\ & 6 / 2015 \end{aligned}$ |
| Prairie Rd - near Forbes, Holt Co - BNSF DOT\# 070 160E | 1 | Install active warning devices, close one crossing | 325,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Old Hwy 59 - near St Joseph, Buchanan Co - BNSF (DOT\# 095 211J) | 1 | Upgrade active warning devices |  | 700,000 |  |  |  |
| US 59, Buchanan Co- BNSF Various crossings | 1 | Various improvements |  | 450,000 |  |  |  |
| Craig, Holt Co - BNSF <br> DOT \# $074451 \mathrm{H}-3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{St}$ - upgrade to gates <br> DOT\# 074 450B $-4^{\text {th }}$ St - close <br> DOT\# 074 438U - Rt 111 - LED upgrade | 1 | Close 1 crossing <br> Upgrade active warning devices at 2 crossings | 450,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Rt FF - near Bevier, Macon, Co - BNSF DOT\# 844 037W | 2 | Install active warning devices |  | 160,000 |  |  |  |
| Rt BB - near Bevier, Macon, Co - BNSF DOT\# 844 040E | 2 | Install active warning devices |  | 160,000 |  |  |  |
| Rt C - near Bevier, Macon, Co - BNSF DOT\# 844 039K | 2 | Upgrade active warning devices |  | 50,000 |  |  |  |
| Banner Ln - near Gibbs, Adair Co - BNSF DOT\# 005 081U | 2 | Install active warning devices |  |  | 200,000 |  |  |
| Lantern St - in La Plata, Adair Co - BNSF DOT\# 005 086D | 2 | Upgrade active warning devices |  |  | 50,000 |  |  |
| Owensby St -in La Plata, Macon Co - BNSF DOT\# 005 089Y | 2 | Upgrade active warning devices |  |  | 50,000 |  |  |
| MO 156 - near La Plata, Macon Co - BNSF DOT\# 005 091A | 2 | Upgrade active warning devices |  |  | 50,000 |  |  |
| Indiana Pl - near La Plata, Macon Co - BNSF DOT\# 005 092G | 2 | Install active warning devices |  |  | 200,000 |  |  |
| Iceberg Pl - near La Plata, Macon Co - BNSF DOT\# 005 094V | 2 | Upgrade active warning devices |  |  | 50,000 |  |  |
| Hilton Ave - in La Plata, Macon Co - BNSF DOT\# 005 095C | 2 | Upgrade active warning devices |  |  | 50,000 |  |  |
| Helium St - in La Plata, Macon Co - BNSF DOT\# 005 097R | 2 | Install active warning devices | 250,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Hauser St - in Marceline, Chariton Co - BNSF DOT\# 005 142H | 2 | Upgrade active warning devices/grade separation feasibility |  |  | 200,000 |  |  |
| Crocker St - in Marceline, Chariton Co - BNSF DOT\# 005 143P | 2 | Upgrade active warning devices/grade separation feasibility |  |  | 200,000 |  |  |

