

May 14, 2021

ADDENDUM #2

**RFQ 21-075 Prof. Svcs. Bryan Rd. and Wentzville Pkwy Signal Performance Measures
Module CMAQ – 7302 (688)**

Addendum #2 is being issued to provide answers to questions received concerning this RFQ.

Bidders shall sign this Addendum as acknowledgment and return it with the bid.

RFQ ADDENDUM

Addendum #2

Dated _____

We the undersigned, acknowledge the receipt of the above addendum, as dated.

By: _____

Title: _____

Company: _____

Date: _____

Q: The RFQ indicates that “One [1] signed original, two [2] signed copies, and one [1] digitized copy” are to be included in the response package. In addition to the original, signed (and notarized) forms, does the signature on the cover letter require a “wet ink” signature for the original version?

A: All copies submitted must be signed by an authorized officer or representative of the company/ person in blue ink. A wet ink signature is not required.

Q: Are covers (front and back) included in the page count?

A: Covers (front and back) are not included in the page count.

Q: RFQ, page 11, *Section II: Qualifications for the Project, Item 8*, the E-Verify Memorandum of Understanding (15 CSR 60-15.020): Should we include the required E-Verify MOU signature pages directly after page 5 (E-Verify section) of the RFQ, or the signature pages/complete signed E-Verify MOU in a separate Section 8 of our response?

A: Please include E-Verify MOU signature pages at end of the of the submittal/ response packet.

Q: Can the CMAQ application be shared?

A: The CMAQ application is provided in Addendum #1.

Q: For the ATSPM, ATC, and detection portions of the RFQ, is the County looking for consultants/teams who bring specific vendors of an ATSPM platform, detection systems, and ATC equipment as part of the proposal, or is the desire for a consultant/team who doesn't bring specific affiliation with a product, so that the selected consultant/team can work with the County to choose those vendors?

A: The County seeks for the selected consultant/ team to work with us to define requirements and identify vendors which provide the best value ATSPM module/ system, traffic controller, and detection systems to meet the needs and goals of the overall project.

Q: For the signal timing portion of the RFQ, would the County value recent signal timing experience on the specific routes listed in the RFQ, or would there be a desire by the County to select a consultant/team without specific experience on the routes included in the RFQ, in order to bring a fresh perspective to the corridor?

A: For signal timing work: it is a plus to have direct, relevant experience related to signal timing and the subject area(s) of the County. However, selection will be made based on all evaluation criteria listed in the RFQ.

Q: Does the County have a preference for signal controller manufacturer.

A: Approved traffic signal controllers are Econolite Cobalt 32.65.10 or newer, McCain Omni EX 1.11 or newer, and Intelight X3 with MaxTime 2.1.1 or newer. The County plans to release a separate RFP for the ATSPM module/ system based on the selected consultant's/ team's design, with signal controllers and detection systems being a part of the construction.