

Date: March 9, 2021

Dear Consultant:

The City of Washington is requesting the services of a consulting engineering firm to perform the described professional services for the project included on the attached list. If your firm would like to be considered for these consulting services, you may express your interest by responding to the appropriate office, which is indicated on the attachments. Limit your letter of interest to no more than _ 5__ pages. This letter should include any information which might help us in the selection process, such as the persons or team you would assign to each project, the backgrounds of those individuals and other projects your company has recently completed or are now active. It is required that your firm's Statement of Qualifications (RSMo 8.285 through 8.291) be submitted with your firm's Letter of Interest, or be on file with the City of Washington. The statement of qualification is not included in the total page count limit.

DBE firms must be listed in the MRCC DBE Directory located on MoDOT's website at www.modot.gov in order to be counted as participation towards an established DBE Goal. We encourage DBE firms to submit letters of interest as prime consultants for any project they feel can be managed by their firm.

It is required that your firm be prequalified with MoDOT and listed in MoDOT's Approved Consultant Prequalification List, or your firm will be considered non-responsive.

We request all letters be received by 12:00 p.m., CDT on Friday, April 9, 2021 at the office of:

## Engineering Department

City of Washington
405 Jefferson Street
Washington, MO 63090

The RFQ shall be delivered in a sealed envelope with "RFQ Jefferson Street - Roadway and ADA Compliance Project" clearly marked on outside.

Public Works Director

| City of Washington, Project: Jefferson Street - Roadway and ADA Compliance Project |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Federal Aid No.: | Project No. STP-6406(607) |
| Location: | Jefferson Street from Fifth Street to Hwy 100 |
| Proposed Improvement: | A two-inch asphalt resurfacing with full width <br> cold mill, removal and replacement of all <br> sidewalks and curb and gutter to meet ADA <br> regulations from Fifth Street to Wainwright and <br> new sidewalk from Wainwright to Highway 100 <br> with retaining wall where necessary. Intersection <br> and signal improvement considerations at <br> Jefferson and Fifth Street intersection. |
| Length: | 1.0 miles |
| Approximate Construction Cost: | \$1,470,000.00 |
| DBE Goal Determination: | $14 \%$ |
| Consultant Services Required: | The engineering responsibilities may include but are <br> not limited to the following: <br> The preparation of Conceptual plans, Preliminary |
| Plans, Contract plans and Right of Way Plans. Design <br> services may include, right of way plans, surveying, <br> geotechnical investigations, ADA compliance survey, <br> subsurface utility exploration, public involvement, <br> environmental and historic preservation <br> services/permits, contract documents, assisting with <br> the bidding process, construction support/construction <br> inspection, utility coordination/permits and traffic <br> controls including the preparation of PS\&E and final <br> documents. |  |
| Deadline: | Submit 4 copies of RFQ |
| Contact: | John Nilges, PE <br> 405 Jefferson Street <br> Washington, MO 63090 <br> $636-390-1015$ <br> jnilges @ washmo.gov |
|  | $12: 00$ p.m., CDT on Friday, April 9, 2021 |$|$| Comments: |
| :--- |

Pursuant to the Brooks Act for Consultant Selection-the following criteria will be the basis for selection.

Experience and Technical Competence-
Capacity and Capability-
Availability of staff assigned to project to attend
Project meeting and meet for on-site consultation-

Past Record of Performance-

Outline consultants QA/QC plan-

Max Points 30
Max Points 25
Max Points 10

Max Points 25
Max Points 10

# Surface Transportation Block Grant Program 

2019 Call for Projects

For the St. Louis Region

## Road Project Type

Sponsoring Agency: City of Washington
Project Title: Jefferson Street - Roadway and ADA Compliance
Federal Amount Requested: \$1,175,940.00

Applications Due: February 14, 2019 by 4:00 pm

## Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STP-S) Road - Project Application Form

Please refer to the STP-S Project Development Workbook and the STP-S Scoring Criteria Guide for more information on the program requirements, available funding, and scoring criteria. The STP-S Project Development Workbook, STP-S Scoring Criteria Guide, and supplement materials are available on the East-West Gateway Council of Governments (EWG) STP-S Call for Projects web page.

## PLEASE NOTE:

This project application form is for the road project type. There are separate project application forms for the other project types, including: bridge, traffic flow, safety, active transportation, transit, and freight/economic development. If your agency is interested in applying for those project types, please obtain the application form from the EWG STP-S Call for Projects web page, or contact EWG staff for more information.

The call for projects begins November 29, 2018 and ends on February 14, 2019 at 4:00 pm. Applications received after the deadline will not be accepted. Submit the completed application and necessary attachments electronically to EWG at stps@ewgateway.org. Save the electronic copy as a PDF file using the following format: 2019STPS_[Sponsor]_[Project Name].pdf. Please submit one application per email. Electronic copies can also be delivered on a CD or USB drive. You will receive an email confirmation within one business day of submittal. If you do not receive confirmation or have questions about the application, contact EWG staff.

Project sponsors must also submit one (1) hard copy (including attachments) to:
East-West Gateway Council of Governments
Attention: Transportation Planning Department - STP-S
Gateway Tower
One Memorial Drive, Suite 1600
St. Louis, MO 63102-2451

The hard copy must be delivered to EWG or postmarked by the deadline. The information provided in this application is public record.

Project sponsors wanting feedback on applications may submit a preliminary copy by January 17, 2019 to EWG at stps@ewgateway.org. EWG staff will review the applications submitted and will return comments by email by January 31, 2019. If a preliminary application is submitted for feedback, a final application must still be submitted by February 14, 2019.

```
CONTACT INFORMATION
    Jason Lange, TIP Coordinator
    East-West Gateway Council of Governments
    One Memorial Drive, Suite 1600
    St. Louis, MO 63102-2451
    Phone MO: (314) 421-4220
    Phone IL: (618) 274-2750
    E-mail: stps@ewgateway.org
```

STP-S Call for Projects web page: http://www.ewgateway.org/transportation-planning/transportation-improvement-program/competitive-transportation-programs/call-for-projects-stp-s/

## Project Checklist and Submittal Requirements

The evaluation and scoring of all projects will be based on the answers provided in the application and the attachments submitted.

The materials should be submitted in the following order.

## Project Application:



Project application fee $-1 / 2$ of one percent of federal funds requested. Make checks payable to "East-West Gateway Council of Governments" or "EWGCOG."

## Completed STP-S application

$\square$ Required signatures - Notification of Title VI \& Nondiscrimination Requirements, Financial Certification of Matching Funds, Person of Responsible Charge Certification, Right-of-Way Acquisition Certification Statement (Missouri only), Policy on Reasonable Progress Certification (Missouri only).

## Attachment A:

1 Project location map - depict the location of the project on a base map such as a town road map, GIS map, aerial photo, or another base map suitable to clearly show the project's overall location. Provide on an $81 / 2 \times 11$ page. Project location is used by EWG to determine:

- geographic scale project categorization (i.e., 'within community' or 'outside community')
- score for Environmental Justice
- score for employment density
- score for intermodal connections

1 Detailed cost estimate - use Estimate of Project Costs excel file provided by EWG.Letter of permission from facility owner - provide if sponsor does not own roadway. Letter of support from match source - provide if individual, business, other local public agency, or other third-party is providing matching funds.Coordination letter(s) - provide if sponsor requires coordination with other agencies to implement the project (e.g., Great Rivers Greenway, Bi-State Development).

## Attachment B:

| $\square$ | Photographs - attach photo(s) of the current roadway. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | Detailed map - if applicable, provide a map showing: |

- locations of all proposed safety countermeasures along project limits (i.e., if chevrons are being added to a curve, mark the curve where the chevrons will be added)
- transit routes along project limits
- activity centers along project limits (e.g., a business district, retail center, medical facility, community center, park)
- schools (grades K-12 and college/university) located within $1 / 2$ mile of project limits
- freight facilities along project limits (e.g., intermodal freight facility, major freight generator, logistic center, manufacturing or warehouse industrial land, port facility)
$\checkmark$ Typical section - show details of before and after roadway improvements.
$\checkmark$ Road condition - use Road Condition Evaluation Form provided by EWG.


## Attachment C:

Crash reports - attach full crash reports for all fatal and serious injury crashes and up to 10 minor injury and/or property damage only crashes that coincide with the safety countermeasure within the project limits from 2012-2016. Crash reports are not required if the project has no safety countermeasures.

## Attachment D: (optional)

$\square$ Documentation of an approved or adopted plan, ordinance, and/or policy that supports the project - do not attach entire plan documents, only include the necessary pages.
(1) Letters of support - endorsements or petitions from associations, boards, school districts, citizens, businesses, etc. Only attach letters of support that pertain to specific project.
$\square$ Documentation of public involvement process - public meeting minutes, newspaper clippings, press announcements, etc.

## Attachment E:

( Operations and maintenance - use Operations and Maintenance Form provided by EWG. Only submit one per sponsor.ITS architecture consistency - submit ITS Architecture Project Consistency Statement Form provided by EWG if project includes ITS elements or modifies existing ITS.

## SUBMITTAL TYPE (CHECK ONE):

$\square \quad$ Preliminary application (for comments) - Due January 17, 2019
Final application - Due February 14, 2019

## SPONSOR INFORMATION

| Sponsoring agency: ${ }^{\text {City }}$ of Washington |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Secondary sponsor agency (if applicable): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chief Elected Official/Chief Executive Director: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Name: ${ }^{\text {S }}$ Sandy L | Sandy Lucy |  |  | Title: | Mayor |  |  |
| Street address: 405 Jefferson Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vashington |  | State: | MO | County: | Franklin | ZIP code: | 63090 |
| Project contact: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| John Nilges |  |  |  | Title: Director of Public Services $^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |
| City of Washington |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Street address: 405 Jefferson Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington |  | State: | MO | County: | : $\quad$ Franklin | ZIP code: | 63090 |
| Phone Number: | 636-390-1010 |  |  | E-mail address: ${ }^{\text {jnilges@washmo.gov }}$ |  |  |  |
| Application contact: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| John Nilges |  |  |  |  | Phone Number: | 636-390 |  |
| E-mail address: | jnilges@washmo.gov |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PROJECT INFORMATION

Project title:
Project status:
$\square$ New project
Is this application request for a piece of a larger project

Continuation of STP-S/CMAQ/TAP project
Add to existing non-federally funded project
(phase) or the entire length of project?
Phase
Full project

If project is a continuation of another project that was previously programmed in the TIP, provide TIP ID \# of existing project and also explain this relationship:

If this project is a phase of a full project, how many phases are left to complete the project? Briefly explain each phase (i.e., project limits and general improvements):

| Has your agency received federal funds for this specific road segment within the last 10 years? |
| :--- |
| $\square$ Yes $\square$ No |
| If yes, when? |
| Does this project touch MoDOT or IDOT right-of-way or involve a MoDOT or IDOT roadway? |
| $\square$ Yes $\square$ No |
| Does the sponsoring agency own and maintain this facility? |
| $\square$ Yes $\square$ No |
| If no, $a$ letter of support for this project is required from the facility owner. |
| If no, who owns the facility? |
| Estimated completion (construction) month/year: |
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## LAND ACQUISITION INFORMATION

Status of right-of-way acquisition:All acquired or none needed
In process
Not started
If applicable, list the number of parcels to be acquired (all properties, permanent and/or temporary easements, TSCL, and other rights-of-way):
30

If any residential or commercial displacements are anticipated, give details on how many and if they are residential and/or commercial:
None

| Right-of-way acquisition by: | Local Agency |
| :--- | :--- |
| Right-of-way condemnation by: | Local Agency |

Will the project traverse any public property, such as a public park that has used federal funds (e.g., Land and Water Conservation Funds) in the past?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ Unknown

## UTILITY COORDINATION

Note: project sponsor must coordinate with utilities prior to construction.
Will the project require the relocation of any utilities?
Yes $\square$ No
If yes, check the appropriate box to select the type of utility. Then give the names of the utility companies.

| $\square$ | Electric | Ameren Missouri |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square \boxed{ }$ | Phone | AT\&T, Charter Communications |
| $\square$ | Gas | Spire |
| $\square$ | Water | City of Washington |
| $\square$ | Cable TV | AT\&T, Charter Communications |
| $\square$ | Storm sewer | City of Washington |
| $\square$ | Sanitary sewer | City of Washington |
| $\square$ |  |  |
| $\square$ |  |  |

Give details concerning potential utility conflicts, problems, or issues:

Storm sewer \& curb inlets - elevation modifications. Water service curb stops - elevation modification. Resurfacing portion will require milling of approximately two inches of road asphalt. Sidewalk construction will require excavation up to 12 inches. Storm sewer excavations may be up to 10 feet.

| Utility coordination completed by: | Local Agency |
| :--- | :--- |
| Designed by: | Local Agency |
| Inspected by: | Local Agency |

## RALLROAD COORDINATION

Does the project traverse any property owned by a railroad?


Is there a railroad within $500^{\prime}$ of project limits?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No

| Name of railroad: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of crossings impacted: |  |
| Are the crossings active? | $\square$ Yes $\square$ No |
| Width of crossing: |  |
| What is the crossing type? |  |
| $\square$ Timber |  |
| $\square$ Rubberized |  |
| $\square$ Asphalt |  |
| $\square$ Concrete |  |
| $\square$ Other |  |

Describe other:

## PROIECT MANTENANCE

List any regular maintenance tasks anticipated over the next 25 years:
Snow / ice removal
Crack sealing
Weed control

Estimated annual cost to maintain facility and funding source:
$\$ 15,000$. Local funds.

## AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

Under the 1990 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Title II requires public entities with more than 50 employees to complete a self-evaluation and create an effective ADA transition plan ${ }^{4}$.
Does your local public agency have more than 50 employees?
$\square$ Yes No
If yes, does your agency have an adopted ADA transition plan?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No

| If your agency has an ADA transition plan, when was it adopted? | June 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |
| If ADA transition plan is not adopted, when is it expected to be adopted? |  |
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## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Define the scope and specific elements of the project. Describe current conditions / problems / issues that the project will address. Be as specific as possible.
The resurfacing portion of this project will provide a two inch asphalt overlay to an existing asphalt pavement. This will include a full width cold mill to preserve / improve street cross slope. Localized base failure will require full depth base repair.

Per the City's Comprehensive Plan, Jefferson Street is classified as a major street. The pavement is experiencing block cracking, unconfined joint raveling, and localized base failure. Without this maintenance completed, the road surface would likely deteriorate to the point where a full road construction would be necessary. The maintenance item is a cost effective means to prolonging the life of this vital road system.

Currently, the existing sidewalks from Eighth Street to Fifth Street are not ADA compliant. Sidewalk panels have differential settlement, curb and gutter holds water, and ADA requirements are not achieved.

The construction of a sidewalk from Highway 100 to Eighth Street will provide a pedestrian connection from a MoDOT highway to Fourteenth Street which connects to the Washington School Districts campus and to downtown pedestrian facilities.

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE
Note: many stages can occur concurrently.

| Activity Description | Start Date <br> (MM/YYY) | Finish Date <br> (MM/YYY) | Time Frame <br> (Months) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receive notification letter | $10 / 2019$ | $10 / 2019$ | 1 |
| Execute agrement (project sponsor and DOT) | $02 / 2020$ | $04 / 2020$ | 2 |
| Engineering services contract submitted and approved* | $06 / 2020$ | $10 / 2020$ | 3 |
| Obtain environmental clearances (106, CE2, T\&E, etc.) | $10 / 2020$ | $05 / 2021$ | 7 |
| Public meeting/hearing | $01 / 2021$ | $01 / 2021$ | 1 |
| Develop and submit preliminary plans | $11 / 2020$ | $03 / 2021$ | 4 |
| Preliminary plans approved | $03 / 2021$ | $06 / 2021$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Develop and submit right-of-way plans | $03 / 2021$ | $07 / 2021$ | 4 |
| Review and approval of right-of-way plans | $07 / 2021$ | $09 / 2021$ | 2 |
| Submit and receive approval for notice to proceed for <br> right-of-way acquisition (A-Date)* | $11 / 2021$ | $01 / 2022$ | 2 |
| Right-of-way acquisition | $01 / 2022$ | $08 / 2022$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Utility coordination | $05 / 2021$ | $07 / 2022$ | 7 |
| Develop and submit PS\&E | $05 / 2022$ | $08 / 2022$ | 14 |
| District approval of PS\&E/advertise for bids* | $08 / 2022$ | $10 / 2022$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Submit and receive bids for review and approval | $10 / 2022$ | $01 / 2023$ | 1 |
| Project implementation/construction | $03 / 2023$ | $07 / 2023$ | 3 |
|  |  | 4 |  |

* Finish date must match fiscal year for each milestone shown in bold text.


## FINANCIAL PLAN

Note: fiscal years are federal fiscal years (October 1 through September 30) ${ }^{5}$. Federal participation for a phase of work must not exceed $80 \%$ in Missouri and $75 \%$ in Illinois.

| Activity | Starting Federal Fiscal Year | Total Phase Cost | STP-S Funds Requested | Sponsor Share | Sponsor Share Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PE / Planning / Environmental Studies | FY 2021 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 80,000 | \$ 20,000 | 20.00\% |
| Right-of-Way | FY 2022 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 20,000 | \$ 5,000 | 20.00\% |
| Construction Engineering | FY 2023 | \$ 80,000 | \$ 64,000 | \$ 16,000 | 20.00\% |
| Construction / Implementation | FY 2023 | \$ 1,264,925 | \$ 1,011,940 | \$ 252,985 | 20.00\% |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST |  | \$ 1,469,925 | \$ 1,175,940 | \$ 293,985 | 20.00\% |

Identify the source(s) of local matching funds (e.g., state DOT, city, county, county road board, county motor fuel tax, private entity), and the amount for each source:
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## SAFETY

Were there any crashes along project limits from 2012-2016? Note: a project can still potentially receive partial points if it does not have crashes, but includes a preventive safety countermeasure.
$\square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$
Total number of crashes by severity type along project limits:

| Fatal (K on the KABCO scale): |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Serious injury (A on the KABCO scale): |  |
| Minor injury (B and C on the KABCO scale): |  |
| Property damage only (O on the KABCO scale): |  |
| Total number of crashes from 2012-2016 along project limits: |  |

Does the project include safety countermeasure(s)?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
If yes, identify the safety countermeasure(s) proposed, its Crash Modification Factor (CMF), and the CMF ID below (e.g., installation of safety edge treatment - CMF: 0.92 - CMF ID: 4303):

| Countermeasure | CMF | CMF ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Note: a list of safety countermeasures and their CMFs is provided in Appendix B of the STP-S Scoring Criteria Guide. In addition, the FHWA Crash Modification Factors Clearinghouse provides a searchable database of safety countermeasures: http://www.cmfclearinqhouse.ora/.
Describe how the proposed safety countermeasure(s) will address the crashes occurring along project limits:

Are there any undocumented safety issues?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
If yes, describe the undocumented safety issue(s) and explain how the preventive safety countermeasure(s) will address the issue:

## MULTIMODAL

Does the proposed project incorporate any of the following bicycle-related improvements?Separated bike lane/cycle track/protected bike lane
$\square$ Shared-use path/trail
$\square$ Arterial sidepath
$\square$ Buffered bike lane
Standard bike lane (not buffered)
Marked shared roadway (shared-lane markings, "sharrow")
Paved shoulder
Wayfinding, bicycle racks or parking, or other end of trip facilities
Other
None
Describe the bicycle-related improvements (including 'other') in detail:

Does the project incorporate any innovative bicycle treatments (e.g., pavement colorings, bike boxes, bike detection)?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
If yes, describe the innovative bicycle treatments:

Does the proposed project incorporate any of the following pedestrian-related improvements?
New sidewalks (where none currently exist)
Sidewalk spot slab improvements
( Sidewalk reconstruction
$\square$ Construction of new curb ramps (where none currently exist)
$\checkmark$ Curb ramp reconstruction
Sidewalk/roadway separation - - width of separation (feet):
Pedestrian signals/push buttons
Rectangular rapid flashing beacon/pedestrian hybrid beacon
$\square$ Marked crosswalks (standard parallel crosswalk markings)
High-visibility crosswalks (e.g., ladder, zebra, or continental crosswalk markings)
$\square$ Midblock crossings
Wayfinding, furniture, or other end of trip facilities
Pedestrian-scale lighting (e.g., glare shielded, lower height ( $12^{\prime}$ to $16^{\prime}$ ), in-pavement)
Other
None
Describe the pedestrian-related improvements (including 'other') in detail:

| If the project incorporates any safety, traffic calming, or design improvements, describe the improvements (e.g., <br> improvements at a rail-grade crossing, intersection improvements, road diets, bulb-outs, raised median barriers, <br> center islands, roadway markings, improved signage and signals): <br>  <br>  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Does the project improve access to transit stops, stations, park-and-ride lots, or other major transit facilities? <br> $\square$ <br> Yes $\square$ |

## SYSTIEM RELIABILITY

Does the project include management and operations strategies that optimize the performance of the road (e.g., ITS technologies, traffic operational improvements)?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
If yes, explain the strategy and how it improves the reliability of the transportation system:

## INTERMODAL CONNECTIONS

Is the project located within an industrial site area (per St. Louis Regional Freight Study)?Yes $\square$ No
If yes, what is the name of the industrial site area (e.g., Broadway-Arsenal, Earth City, GM Plant)?

Is the project adjacent to or does it directly impact an intermodal freight facility, major freight generator, logistic
center, manufacturing and warehouse industrial facility, or port facility?Yes $\square$ No
If yes, identify the facility or major freight generator:

Identify any commercial vehicle countermeasures proposed, and explain how the project provides improvement to the movement of freight to and from the industrial site area, facility, or major freight generator:

## ENVRONMENT

Does the project incorporate any of the following green infrastructure improvements?Bioswales
Rain gardens
Pervious pavements
Green bulb-outs
Solar powered fixtures
Other
None
Describe the green infrastructure improvements (including 'other') in detail:

## NOTIFICATION OF TITLE VI \& NONDISCRIMINATION REQUIREMENTS

## Title VI

A recipient of any federal funds from the U.S. Department of Transportation ("DOT") must comply with federal statutes, regulations, executive orders, and other pertinent directives that govern nondiscrimination in federally assisted programs. Below is a list of the statutes and regulations that may apply to a recipient's program; however, other federal requirements regarding nondiscrimination may be imposed by DOT.
A. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. $\S \S$ 2000d et seq.
B. All requirements imposed by or pursuant to the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 49: Transportation, Subtitle A: Office of the Secretary of Transportation, Part 21: Nondiscrimination in Federally-Assisted Programs of the Department of Transportation-Effectuation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

As part of federal requirements, a recipient of funds from DOT must ensure that it has written policies and procedures in place to ensure nondiscrimination in its programs, up to and including, developing a Title VI Plan.

## Nondiscrimination

A recipient of any federal funds from the U.S. Department of Transportation ("DOT") must comply with federal statutes, regulations, executive orders, and other pertinent directives that govern nondiscrimination in federally assisted programs. Below is a list of the statutes and regulations that may apply to a recipient's program; however, other federal requirements regarding nondiscrimination may be imposed by DOT.
A. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. $\S 2000 \mathrm{~d}$, and implementing regulations at 49 CFR Part 21 - Nondiscrimination in Federally Assisted Programs of the Department of TransportationEffectuation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act.
B. The equal employment opportunity provisions of 49 U.S.C. § 5332 and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. $\S \$ 2000$ e et seq., and implementing regulations, including;

1. 41 CFR Part 60 - Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, Equal Employment Opportunity, Department of Labor.
C. Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended, 20 U.S.C. $\$ \S 1681$ et seq., and implementing regulations at 49 CFR Part 25 - Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Sex in Education Programs or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance.
D. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 794, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended, 42 U.S.C. $\S \S 12101$ et seq., and implementing regulations, including:
2. 49 CFR Part 37—Transportation Services for Individuals with Disabilities (ADA).
3. 49 CFR Part 27-Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Handicap in Programs and Activities Receiving or Benefiting from Federal Financial Assistance.
4. 36 CFR Part 1192 and 49 CFR Part 38-Americans with Disabilities (ADA) Accessibility Specifications for Transportation Vehicles.
5. 28 CFR Part 35-Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability in State and Local Government Services.
6. 28 CFR Part 36-Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability by Public Accommodations and in Commercial Facilities.
7. 41 CFR Subpart 101-119-Accommodations for the Physically Handicapped.
8. 29 CFR Part 1630-Regulations to Implement the Equal Employment Provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act.
9. 47 CFR Part 64, Subpart F-Telecommunications Relay Services and Related Customer Premises Equipment for the Hearing and Speech Disabled.
10. 36 CFR Part 1194-Electronic and Information Technology Accessibility Standards.
11. 49 CFR Part 609—Transportation for Elderly and Handicapped Persons.
12. Federal civil rights and nondiscrimination directives implementing those federal laws and regulations, unless the federal government determines otherwise in writing.
E. The Age Discrimination Act of 1975 , as amended, 42 U.S.C. $\S \S 6101$ et seq., and implementing regulations at 49 CFR Part 90 - Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Age in Programs or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance.
F. The Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C. $\S \S 621$ through 634, and implement regulations of the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission 29 CFR Part 1625-Age Discrimination in Employment Act.
G. The Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972, as amended, 21 U.S.C. §§ 1101 et seq., the Comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Prevention, Treatment and Rehabilitation Act of 1970, as amended, 42 U.S.C. $\S \S 4541$ et seq., and the Public Health Service Act of 1912, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 290dd through 290dd-2.
H. Executive Order 12898—Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations, 42 U.S.C. § 4321 note, and DOT Order 5620.3 at Federal Register Vol. 62 No. 18377—Department of Transportation Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations.
I. Executive Order 13166 - Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d -1 note, and implementing policy guidance at Federal Register Vol. 70 No. 74087—DOT Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Person.

By submitting its application as part of the TIP process and signing below, the Project Sponsor certifies that it has reviewed the federal requirements regarding nondiscrimination in federally assisted programs and believes that the Project Sponsor complies with the required policies and procedures.
Also, the Project Sponsor acknowledges its understanding that if the Project Sponsor does not have the required policies and procedures in place prior to federal funds being obligated, then the Project Sponsor's project may become ineligible for federal funding.

John Nilges
Name (print)
Director of Public Services


Date

## FINANCIAL CERTIFICATION OF MATCHING FUNDS

This is to ensure sufficient funds are available to pay the non-federal share of project expenditures for the following project to be funded under the provisions of the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act.

| Project Title: | Westlink Drive - Overlay |
| :--- | :--- |
| Local Match Amount: | $\$ 109,550$ |
| Sponsoring Agency: | City of Washington |

Chief Elected Official (or Chief Executive Officer):


## Chief Financial Officer:



## PERSON OF RESPONSIBLE CHARGE CERTIFICATION

The key regulatory provision, 23 CFR 635.105 - Supervising Agency, provides that the State Transportation Agency (STA) is responsible for construction of federal-aid projects, whether it or a local public agency (LPA) performs the work. The regulation provides that the STA and LPA must provide its full-time employee to be in "responsible charge" of the project.

The undersigned employee(s) of the Project Sponsor will act as person of responsible charge. If at any point the employee leaves the LPA, the LPA is responsible for finding a suitable replacement and notifying EWG. If the person of responsible charge is found to not be a full-time employee of the LPA, it will result in the loss of federal funds for this project. One employee can act as person of responsible charge for all three phases. All three phases must be signed.

## Person of Responsible Charge - Design Phase



Person of Responsible Charge - Right-of-Way Acquisition Phase


## RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION CERTIFICATION STATEMENT - MISSOURI SPONSORS ONLY

The State Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) have the right and responsibility to review and monitor the acquisition procedures of any federally funded transportation project for adherence to The Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970. Those projects found in non-compliance may jeopardize all or part of their federal funding.
A. The Project Sponsor hereby certifies that any right-of-way, and/or permanent or temporary easements necessary for this project, obtained prior to this application, were acquired in accordance with The Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970.
B. The Project Sponsor also certifies that any additional right-of-way, and/or permanent or temporary easements, subsequently required to complete the project, will be acquired according to The Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970.

John Nilges
Name (print)
Director of Public Services


Date

## POLICY ON REASONABLE PROGRESS CERTIFICATION - MISSOURI SPONSORS ONLY

Following on the next page is a copy of the policy on reasonable progress adopted by the East-West Gateway Council of Governments Board of Directors.

The undersigned representative of the Project Sponsor hereby certifies that s/he has read this policy and understands its requirements. The representative acknowledges that failure to meet all of the reasonable progress requirements could result in federal funds being revoked and returned to the regional funding pool, as dictated by the policy.

John Nilges
Name (print)
Director of Public Services


## POLICY ON REASONABLE PROGRESS - MISSOURI SPONSORS ONLY

## Reasonable Progress

For projects or programs included in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), "reasonable progress" will have been made if the project has advanced to the point of obligating all federal funds programmed for that project in the current fiscal year, regardless of the phase of work (i.e., preliminary engineering, right-of-way acquisition, or plans, specificotions, and estimates). If a project fails to obligate the programmed federal funds by September 30 of the current year, the funding will be forfeited and returned to the regional funding pot. Actual progress toward implementation is measured against the schedule submitted by the Project Sponsor in the project application.

## Policy Procedures and Enforcement

Projects that do not obligate all federal funds by the Board-approved suspense date will be removed from the TIP and the federal funds associated with those projects will be returned to the regional funding pool for redistribution. The removal of projects from the TIP will require no further Board action and the sponsor will have to repay any federal funds already spent if the funding is forfeited.

If a project is realizing delays that will put the federal funding at risk of forfeiture (i.e., not meet a September 30 deadline), the Project Sponsor will have the opportunity to ask for consideration of a "one-time extension" in their project schedule. The one-time extension can only be requested for the implementation/construction phase of the project. The extension request will only be considered once a year, and has to be made before June 1 of the current fiscal year of the TIP.

To be considered for this extension the Project Sponsor has to demonstrate on all counts: a) the delay is beyond their control and the sponsor has done due diligence in progressing the project; b) federal funds have already been obligated on the project or in cases that no federal funds are used for PE and/or ROW acquisition, there has been significant progress toward final plan preparation; and c) there is a realistic strategy in place to obligate all funds.

One-time extensions of up to three (3) months may be granted by EWG staff and one-time extensions greater than three (3) months, but not more than nine (9) months, will go to the Board of Directors for their consideration and approval. Projects requesting schedule advancements will be handled on a case-by-case basis, subject to available funding, and are subject to the Board-adopted rules for TIP modifications.

## Project Monitoring

An extensive monitoring program has been developed to help track programmed projects and ensure that funding commitments and plans are met. Monthly tracking reports are developed and posted on the EWG website, utilizing project information provided by the Project Sponsor, IDOT, and MoDOT district offices. Additionally, project sponsors are contacted at least every three (3) months by EWG staff for project status updates.

# ATTACHMENT "A" 

Project Location Map
Detailed Cost Estimate


| Estimate of Project Costs |
| :---: |


| Specific Roadway Items |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
| Mobilization | 1 | Lump Sum | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 |
| Traffic Control | 1 | Lump Sum | \$25,000.00 | \$25,000.00 |
| Full Width Mill | 22,000 | Sq. Yd. | \$8.50 | \$187,000.00 |
| Asphalt Surface Course, BP-2 | 2,800 | Tons | \$75.00 | \$210,000.00 |
| Curb \& Gutter Removal/Replacement* | 10,750 | Ln. Ft. | \$35.00 | \$376,250.00 |
| Remove and Replace Sign - Install Sign Post Sleeve 4" Dia. SCH 40 PVC | 30 | Each | \$300.00 | \$9,000.00 |
| Replace Existing Grated Inlet with New Curb Inlet | 10 | Each | \$3,500.00 | \$35,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ----- |  | - - - - |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | SUBTOTAL $\quad 1 \quad \$ 892.250 .00$ | \$892,250.00 |



Specific Pedestrian Items

| Item | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sidewalk Removal/Replacement* | 12,500 | Sq. Ft. | \$10.75 | \$134,375.00 |
| ADA Access Ramps Removal/Replacement* | 300 | Sq. Ft. | \$28.00 | \$8,400.00 |
| Street Apron, 8" Thick R/R, High Early Concrete | 1,600 | Sq. Ft. | \$24.00 | \$38,400.00 |
| Driveway Apron, 6 " Thick $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{R}^{*}$ | 3,000 | Sq. Ft. | \$22.00 | \$66,000.00 |
| Sidewalk Trench Drains | 30 | Ln. Ft. | \$250.00 | \$7,500.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SUBTOTAL | \$254,675.00 |



## ATTACHMENT "B"

Detailed Map<br>Typical Section<br>Road Condition




## Road Condition Evaluation Form

East-West Gateway Council of Governments (EWG) uses the Pavement Surface Evaluation and Rating (PASER) Manual to evaluate pavement condition. This visual rating system developed by the University of Wisconsin Transportation Information Center uses ratings ranging from 1 (failed) to 10 (excellent). If sponsors are unfamiliar with PASER, they are encouraged to review the PASER manuals online:

Asphalt Manual: https://epd.wisc.edu/tic/publication/asphalt-paser-manual/ Concrete Manual: https://epd.wisc.edu/tic/publication/concrete-paser-manual/

## INSTRUCTIONS:

The first evaluation should be performed at the beginning of the project limits, with subsequent evaluations occurring each $1 / 8$ mile along the roadway until reaching the other end of the limits. If the project is located outside of a municipal boundary and is greater than one mile in length, inspections may be performed every $1 / 3$ mile. Record the PASER rating for each location in the table below. If multiple roadways are within the project limits, simply list the new roadway name in the column on the left. You may attach another sheet with additional locations. Attach a picture of each location as well as a map showing all evaluation locations.

| Roadway Name | Location <br> $\#$ | Distance from start <br> point | PASER Rating |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JEFFERSON STREET | 1 | START | 4 |  |  |
| JEFFERSON STREET | 2 | $660^{\prime}$ | 4 |  |  |
| JEFFERSON STREET | 3 | $1,320^{\prime}$ | 5 |  |  |
| JEFFERSON STREET | 4 | $1,980^{\prime}$ | 5 |  |  |
| JEFFERSON STREET | 5 | $2,640^{\prime}$ | 5 |  |  |
| JEFFERSON STREET | 6 | $3,300^{\prime}$ | 5 |  |  |
| JEFFERSON STREET | 7 | $3,960^{\prime}$ | 4 |  |  |
| JEFFERSON STREET | 8 | $4,620^{\prime}$ | 4 |  |  |
| JEFFERSON STREET | 9 | $5,280^{\prime}(1$ mile) | 5 |  |  |
| AVERAGE PASER: |  |  |  |  | 4.5 |

OR (if outside a municipal boundary and over 1 mile)

| Roadway Name | Location <br> $\#$ | Distance from start <br> point | PASER Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | START |  |
|  | 2 | $1,760^{\prime}$ |  |
|  | 3 | $3,520^{\prime}$ |  |
|  | 4 | $5,280^{\prime}(1$ mile $)$ |  |
|  | 5 | $7,040^{\prime}$ |  |
|  | 6 | $8,800^{\prime}$ |  |
|  | 7 | $10,560^{\prime}(2$ miles $)$ |  |
|  | 8 | $12,320^{\prime}$ |  |
|  | 9 | $14,080^{\prime}$ |  |
|  | 10 | $15,840^{\prime}(3$ miles $)$ |  |
|  |  | AVERAGE PASER: |  |



## Asphalt Evaluation Sheet

(Provide this page for each location.)
Roadway Name:
JEFFERSON STREET
Evaluation Location \#: 1

Date: 2-6-19
Location PASER Rating: START

Check all that apply:

| Raveling | $\sqrt{7}$ | Rutting | $\sqrt{ }$ | Transverse Cracks | $\sqrt{ }$ | Longitudinal Cracks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flushing | $\sqrt{7}$ | Distortion |  | Reflection Cracks |  | Block Cracks |
| Polishing | $\sqrt{\checkmark}$ | Patches |  | Slippage Cracks | $\sqrt{ }$ | Alligator Cracks |
| Potholes |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Comments:
$\square$
Drainage:
Good
Comments:


## Asphalt Evaluation Sheet

(Provide this page for each location.)
Roadway Name: JEFFERSON STREET Evaluation Location \#: 2
Date: 2-6-19
Location PASER Rating: $\underline{\underline{66}}$

Check all that apply:


Comments:
$\square$
Drainage:
Good
Comments:


## Asphalt Evaluation Sheet

(Provide this page for each location.)
Roodway Name: JEFFERSON STREET
3

## Date: 2-6-19

Location PASER Rating: $1,320^{\prime}$

Check all that apply:

| Raveling | $\checkmark$ | Rutting | $\checkmark$ | Transverse Cracks | $\checkmark$ | Longitudinal Cracks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flushing | $\checkmark$ | Distortion |  | Reflection Cracks | $\checkmark$ | Block Cracks |
| Polishing | $\checkmark$ | Patches |  | Slippage Cracks | $\checkmark$ | Alligator Cracks |
| Potholes |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Comments:
$\square$
Drainage:
Good
Comments:


## Asphalt Evaluation Sheet

(Provide this page for each location.)
Roadway Name: JEFFERSON STREET
Evaluation Location \#: 4

## Date: 2-6-19

Location PASER Rating: $1,980^{\prime}$

Check all that apply:

| Raveling |  | Rutting | Transverse Cracks | $\checkmark$ | Longitudinal Cracks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flushing |  | Distortion | Reflection Cracks | $\checkmark$ | Block Cracks |
| Polishing |  | Patches | Slippage Cracks | $\checkmark$ | Alligator Cracks |
| Potholes |  |  |  |  |  |

Comments:
$\square$
Drainage:
Good
Comments:


## Asphalt Evaluation Sheet

(Provide this page for each location.)
Roadway Name: JEFFERSON STREET
Evaluation Location \#: 5

## Date: 2-6-19

Location PASER Rating: $2,640^{\prime}$

Check all that apply:


Comments:
$\square$
Drainage:
Good
Comments:


## Asphalt Evaluation Sheet

(Provide this page for each location.)
JEFFERSON STREET

Check all that apply:


Comments:
$\square$
Drainage:
Good
Comments:


## Asphalt Evaluation Sheet

(Provide this page for each location.)
Roodway Name: JEFFERSON STREET
Evaluation Location \#: 7
Date: 2-6-19
Location Paser Rating: 3,960 '

Check all that apply:

| Raveling | $\checkmark$ | Rutting | $\sqrt{ }$ | Transverse Cracks | $\checkmark$ | Longitudinal Cracks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flushing | $\checkmark$ | Distortion |  | Reflection Cracks | $\sqrt{7}$ | Block Cracks |
| Polishing | $\checkmark$ | Patches |  | Slippage Cracks | $\checkmark$ | Alligator Cracks |
| Potholes |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Comments:
$\square$
Drainage:
Good
Comments:


## Asphalt Evaluation Sheet

(Provide this page for each location.)

## Date: <br> 2-6-19

Location PASER Rating:
4,620'

Check all that apply:


Comments:
$\square$
Drainage:
Good
Comments:


## Asphalt Evaluation Sheet

(Provide this page for each location.)
Roadway Name: JEFFERSON STREET
Evaluation Location \#: 9
Date: 2-6-19
Location PASER Rating: $\underline{5,280^{\prime}}$

Check all that apply:


Comments:
$\square$
Drainage:
Good
Comments:


# ATTACHMENT "D" 

ADA Transition Plan<br>Letters of Support<br>Documentation of Public Involvement<br>City Council Resolution

RESOLUTION NO. 18-11875 $\operatorname{INTRODUCED~BY:~PETTET/HOLTMEIER~}$

## A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING AN ADA TRANSITION PLAN IN THE CITY OF WASHINGTON, MISSOURI.

WHEREAS, the City of Washington is required by Title If of the American with Disabilities Act (ADA) to ensure programs and services are accessible to persons with disabilities (28 CFR 35.14935.151); and

WHEREAS; this requirement extends to physical access at government facilities and pedestrian facilities in public rights-of-way; and

WHEREAS, The City of Washington ADA Transition Plan provides a plan, identifies existing conditions, and provides schedule to ensure compliance with the ADA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Washington, Missouri, as follows:

SECTION 1: The City of Washington ADA Transition Plan, with all included maps, descriptive matter, appendices and other matters contained therein, is hereby supported and is marked Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

Adopted this _ fth day of June , 2018 by the City Council of the City of Washington, Missouri.

PASSED: $\qquad$


APPROVED: 06-04-18



School District of Washington
220 LOCUST STREET
WASHINGTON, MO 63090
636-231-2000 ~ 636-239-3315 FAX

Dr. Lori VanLeer, Superintendent

Mr. Kevin Blackburn,
Board President

Dr. Judy Straatmann, Asst. Supt. Curriculum ~ Dr. Brendan Mahon, Asst. Supt. Finance ~ Dr. Rachael Franssen, Asst. Supt. Personnel

January 11, 2019

City of Washington
Attn: John Nilges
405 Jefferson Street
Washington, MO 63090

## RE: Jefferson Street Improvements

Dear Mr. Nilges:

In learning of the City of Washington's proposal to resurface Jefferson Street and construct a new sidewalk from Highway 100 to Eighth Street, including ADA upgrades to existing sidewalks to Fifth Street, I wished to express my support of this worthwhile project. Improvements of this nature would greatly benefit the school district and the many students who utilize the city sidewalks.

As Superintendent of Schools, my first and foremost concern is for the well-being and safety of all of our students. Any improvements undertaken by the City of Washington that benefits our students are most appreciated. The community would also benefit from these improvements, as these improvements would give pedestrians, especially children, more access to sidewalks.

I look forward to continuing to work together to keep Washington a community where families wish to live, attend school, and work. As you pursue grant opportunities to assist with these important upgrades, it is my hope that consideration will be given to your request and assistance awarded.

Respectfully,


Dr. Lori VanLeer
Superintendent of Schools

Claire Miller
Washington Public Library
410 Lafayette St.
Washington, MO 63090
January 22, 2019

City of Washington
ATTN: John Nilges
405 Jefferson St.
Washington, MO 63090

Dear Mr. Nilges:
I was excited to hear about the City of Washington's plans to connect sidewalks on Fourteenth Street to the downtown area, including the library. We host many students after school, and the proposed sidewalk project will provide students with a safer way to travel.

During the Summer Reading Program, we have many teen volunteers who man our registration tables. Their safety is important to us, not only because of their help, but also because they are future library users.

Washington Public Library gladly supports this grant project, and further continued partnership with the City of Washington.

Sincerely,


Claire Miller
Library
REGULAR MEETING OF WASHINGTON, MISSOURI CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 2019-7:00 P.M.
COUNCIL CHAMBER, 405 JEFFERSON STREET, WASHINGTON, MISSOURI

## 1. INTRODUCTORY ITEMS: <br>  <br> Mayor's Presentations, Appointments \& Re-Appointments

3. PUBLIC HEARINGS:
4. CITIZENS COMMENTS:

## 5. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

## 6. REPORT OF DEPARTMENT HEADS:

a. Delinquent Real Estate \& Personal Property Taxes as of December 31, 2018

## 7. ORDINANCES/RESOLUTIONS:

a. A resolution authorizing the City of Washington to apply for funding through the East-West Gateway
A resolution authorizing the City of Washington to apply for funding through the East-West Gateway Council of Governments to provide for the Westlink Drive Overlay Project, in the City of Washington, Franklin County, Missouri.
c. An ordinance accepting the bid from Joe Machens Ford, Columbia, MO and to approve the purchase
of a 2019 Ford F550 Truck with snow plow \& spreader and amend the 2019 Budget by the City of
Washington, Missouri.
d. An ordinance authorizing and directing the City of Washington to accept the proposal from Canon Solutions America for two (2) new copiers.
An ordinance authorizing and directing the City of Washington to accept the bid from Baltic Networks
USA for the purchase of antennas and radios for wireless system and approve amending the 2019 Annual Budget. the purchase of new server, configurations, set up and installation.
Memo
g. An ordinance accepting the purchase of a Siklu Ether Haul-2500 FX Full Link Kit \& Accessories from
Read \&Int/Read/Vote/Mayor
Read \&Int/Read/Vote/Mayor
8. COMMISSION, COMMITTEE AND BOARD REPORTS:

9. MAYOR'S REPORT:
a. Next Council meeting -
a. Next Council meeting - Tuesday, February 19, 2019 due to President's Day Holiday
10. CITY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT:
http://www.emissourian.com/local_news/washington/council-oks-proposals-for-grants/article_d2a4ac08-2a19-11e9-94a7-afed018c6c91.htmI

## FEATURED

## Council OKs Proposals For Grants

By Gregg Jones, Missourian Staff Writer 26 min ago


Buy Now
An important component to federal grant applications are sidewalks.

And according to John Nilges, director of public services, projects that do not include pedestrian transportation are more likely to be quashed.

Nilges Monday night was given the green light by the city council to seek federal funding for projects on Jefferson Street and Westlink Drive.

# A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF WASHINGTON TO APPLY FOR FUNDING THROUGH THE EAST-WEST GATEWAY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS TO PROVIDE FOR THE JEFFERSON STREET-ROADWAY AND ADA COMPLIANCE PROJECT, IN THE CITY OF WASHINGTON, FRANKLIN COUNTY, MISSOURI. 

WHEREAS, Jefferson Street pavement, curb and gutter, and sidewalks from Highway 100 to Fifth Street is in need of reconstruction/rehabilitation; and

WHEREAS, as asphalt overlay would extend the life of these pavements in a cost effective manner; and

WHEREAS, curb and gutter is in need of reconstruction; and

WHEREAS, sidewalks and ADA ramps will be removed and replaced to repair their deteriorating condition and bring into ADA compliance to support a bikeable/walkable community; and WHEREAS, a new sidewalk will be constructed on Jefferson Street from Hwy 100 to Eighth Street; and

WHEREAS, grant money for such project is, available through the East-West Gateway Council of Governments; and

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Council of the City of Washington Missouri, as follows:

SECTION 1: That the City of Washington, Missouri shall complete, accept, execute and submit a Surface Transportation Program Application with the East-West Gateway Council of Governments for the purpose of soliciting federal funds for the Jefferson Street-Roadway and ADA Compliance project.

SECTION 2: That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to execute said Surface Transportation Program Application on behalf of the City of Washington, Missouri.

SECTION 3: That the said Surface Transportation Program Application provides for the process of granting an agreement between the Missouri Department of Transportation and the City of Washington, Missouri, for federal financial assistance in the maximum amount of $80 \%$ in federal funds and the minimum amount of $20 \%$ in City local match to assist with the project described herein.

| Application Fee | $\$$ | 5,880 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Grant | $\$ 1,175,940$ |  |
| City Match | $\$$ | 293,985 |
| Total | $\$ 1,475,805$ |  |

SECTION 4: That this Resolution shall be in full force and effect from and after the date of its adoption.

PASSED: 02-04-19


APPROVED: 02-04-19



## ATTACHMENT "E"

Operations and Maintenance
Operations and Maintenance Form

Please use information from the most current budget for your city/agency.

| DATE | INVOICE \＃ | P．O．\＃ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2／5／2019 | INV0008832 |  |

DESCRIPTION
Application Fee－Jefferson Street－ADA Compliance


PAY－－－Five Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty Dollars and 00／100 Cents－－－

VOID 180 DAYS FROM DATE OF ISSUE
TO THE
ORDER
OF
EAST－WEST GATEWAY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
1 S Memorial Dr，Ste． 1600
ST．LOUIS，MO 63102－2451



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ EWG Functional Classification maps: http://www.ewgateway.org/transportation-planning/roadway-functional-classification/.
    ${ }^{2}$ Poor: the sidewalk has deep cracking and buckling, poor drainage, or a bulging surface (due to tree roots). Impassable to mobility impaired pedestrians. Fair: the sidewalk contains cracks or an uneven and distressed surface. Hinders mobility of the average pedestrian. Good: the sidewalk is free from significant cracking, buckling, or gravel surfaces. Unlikely to hinder mobility of the average pedestrian. Excellent: the sidewalk is in like new condition and contains no cracking or buckling. Does not hinder mobility of the average pedestrian. None: no sidewalk is present.
    ${ }^{3}$ On-road bicycle facility includes: bike lanes (separated, buffered, and standard) and shared-lane markings. View EWG Bicycle Planning Guide for a description of bicycle facilities: https://www.ewgateway.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/BicyclePlanningGuide June2018.pdf.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ FHWA Questions and Answers about ADA/Section 504: https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/civilrights/programs/ada/ada sect504qa.cfm.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ Illinois: construction funds are available in FY 2023.
    Missouri: preliminary engineering funds are available in FY 2021 or FY 2022, right-of-way in FY 2022, and construction/construction engineering in FY 2022 ( $\$ 1$ million or less federal) or FY 2023.

