

### Data-Driven Safety Training Freeway Segment Safety Analysis

Carlos Sun, Praveen Edara, Yaw Adu-Gyamfi University of Missouri Missouri Center for Transportation Innovation



### Outline

1 Safety analysis methodology and segmentation

- 2 Data requirements
- 3 Laclede I-44 example
- 4 Laclede I-44 solution



### ISATe General steps

- Main worksheet enter general and crash data descriptions
- Hit "Clear" button before starting input for new site
- Input Freeway Segments
- Main worksheet Perform Calculations



### Main Worksheet

- General information
  - project description
  - contiguous years of analysis 2012-2014
- Crash data description
- freeway segments 2012-2014
  - ramp segments and terminals not part of the example



### ISATe

- General Information Main worksheet
  - project, analyst, date, area, analysis years
  - observed crash years

Enhanced Interchange Safety Analysis Tool								
General Information	1							
Project description:	I-44 Leban	ion 4-lane l	Jrban Free	way Segment Example	e			
Analyst:	CS		Date:	12/19/20	Area type:	Urban		
First year of analysis	2012							
Last year of analysis:	2014	-						
Crash Data Descript	tion							
Freeway segments	Data for ea	ch individual s	egment 💌	First year of crash data:	2012 Last year of c	crash data: 2014		



- Basic roadway data
- # of through lanes 4
- Freeway segment description Station 120+07.71
- Segment length 1.1 miles

	Input Worksheet for Freeway Seg							
Clear	Echo Input Values	Check Input Values	Segment 1					
	Echo Input Values	Check input values	Crash	Study				
	(View results in Column AV)	(View results in Advisory Messages)	Period	Period				
Basic Road	lway Data							
Number of t	hrough lanes (n):		4	4				
Freeway seg	gment description:		Station 120+0	7.71				
Segment len	ngth (L), mi:		1.1	1.1				



**Cross Section** 

Data

	nents		
Clear	Echo Input Values Check Input Values	Segment 1	
Clear	Echo input values Check input values	Crash	Study
	(View results in Column AV) (View results in Advisory Messages)	Period	Period
	Length of curve in segment (L <sub>c3,seg</sub> ), mi:		
Cross Se	ction Data		•
Lane widt	h (W <sub>I</sub> ), ft:	12	12
Outside sl	noulder width (W <sub>s</sub> ), ft:	8	8
Inside sho	oulder width (W <sub>is</sub> ), ft:	4	4
Median wi	idth (W <sub>m</sub> ), ft:	40	40
Rumble st	rips on outside shoulders?:	Yes	Yes
	Length of rumble strips for travel in increasing milepost direction, mi:	1.1	1.1
	Length of rumble strips for travel in decreasing milepost direction, mi:	1.1	1.1
Rumble st	rips on inside shoulders?:	Yes	Yes
	Length of rumble strips for travel in increasing milepost direction, mi:	1.1	1.1
	Length of rumble strips for travel in decreasing milepost direction, mi:	1	1
Presence	of barrier in median:	Center	Center
1	Length of barrier (L <sub>ib,1</sub> ), mi:	1.1	1.1
	Distance from edge of traveled way to barrier face (W <sub>off,in,1</sub> ), ft:	19.25	19.25
Median ba	arrier width (W, ) ft	15	15
median be	anier widen (vv <sub>ib</sub> ), it.	1.0	1.0



### Input Freeway Segments Roadside Data

	Input Worksheet for Freeway Segments						
Clear Esta Innut Making		Chock Input Values	Segment 1				
Clear	Echo input values	Check Input Values	Crash	Study			
	(View results in Column AV)	(View results in Advisory Messages)	Period	Period			
Roadside	Data						
Clear zone	width (W <sub>hc</sub> ), ft:		30	30			
Presence	of barrier on roadside:		Some	Some			
1	Length of barrier (Lob,1), mi:		0.121	0.121			
	Distance from edge of trave	eled way to barrier face (W <sub>off,o,1</sub> ), f		0			



Ramp Access Data EB/

#### Increasing

	Inp	ut Worksheet for Freeway Segn	nents	
Clear	Clear Echo Input Values Check Input Values		Segment 1 Crash	Study
	(View results in Column AV)	(View results in Advisory Messages)	Period	Period
Ramp Ac	cess Data			
Travel in	Increasing Milepost Directi	on		
Entrance	Ramp entrance in segment?	? (If yes, indicate type.):	No	No
Ramp	Distance from begin milepost to up	stream entrance ramp gore (X <sub>b,ent</sub> ), mi:	0.328977	0.328977
	Length of ramp entrance (L			
	Length of ramp entrance in	segment (L <sub>en,seg,inc</sub> ), mi:		
	Entrance side?:			
Exit	Ramp exit in segment? (If y	es, indicate type.):	No	No
Ramp	Distance from end milepost to dow	nstream exit ramp gore (X <sub>e,ext</sub> ), mi:	0.049053	0.049053
	Length of ramp exit (L <sub>ex,inc</sub> ),	mi:		
	Length of ramp exit in segm	nent (L <sub>ex,seg,inc</sub> ), mi:		
	Exit side?:			
Weave	Type B weave in segment?:		No	No



Ramp Access Data

WB/

#### decreasing

	Inp	nents			
Clear	Eshe Innut Values	Charle Innut Values	Segment 1		
Clear	Echo input values	Check input values	Crash	Study	
	(View results in Column AV)	(View results in Advisory Messages)	Period	Period	
Travel in	Decreasing Milepost Direct	tion			
Entrance	Ramp entrance in segment?	? (If yes, indicate type.):	No	No	
Ramp	Distance from end milepost to upst	tream entrance ramp gore (X <sub>e,ent</sub> ), mi:	0.122917	0.122917	
	Length of ramp entrance (L	<sub>en,dec</sub> ), mi:			
	Length of ramp entrance in	segment (L <sub>en,seg,dec</sub> ), mi:			
	Entrance side?:				
Exit	Ramp exit in segment? (If y	es, indicate type.):	No	No	
Ramp	Distance from begin milepost to do	wnstream exit ramp gore (X <sub>b,ext</sub> ), mi:	0.132955	0.132955	
	Length of ramp exit (L <sub>ex,dec</sub> )	, mi:			
	Length of ramp exit in segme	nent (L <sub>ex,seg,dec</sub> ), mi:			
	Exit side?:				
Weave	Type B weave in segment?:		No	No	



#### • Freeway Segment AADT

	Inpu	ut Worksheet for Free	way Segm	ents	
Clear Echo Input Values Chack Input Valu			Segment 1		
Clear	Echo input values		les	Crash	Study
	(View results in Column AV)	(View results in Advisory Me	essages)	Period	Period
Traffic Data	1		Year		
Proportion of AADT during high-volume hours (P <sub>hv</sub> ):					
Freeway Se	egment Data		2012	32930	
Average dai	ly traffic (AADT <sub>fs</sub> ) by year,	veh/d:	2013	27497	
(enter data	only for those years for wh	nich	2014	292	221
it is availat	ole, leave other years blank	;)	2015		
		]	2016		



### Input Freeway Segments EB/Increasing Ramps

Input Worksheet	for Freeway Segn	ents	
Clear Echo Input Values Check	nput Values	Segment 1	Study
(View results in Column AV) (View results in A	Advisory Messages)	Period	Period
Entrance Ramp Data for Travel in Increasing Milepost D	ir. Year		
Average daily traffic (AADT <sub>b,ent</sub> ) by year, veh/d:	2012	1456	
(enter data only for those years for which	2013	1455	
it is available, leave other years blank)	2014	1482	
Exit Ramp Data for Travel in Increasing Milepost Directi	on Year		
Average daily traffic (AADT <sub>e,ext</sub> ) by year, veh/d:	2012	30	60
(enter data only for those years for which	2013	30	60
it is available, leave other years blank)	2014	31	07



### Input Freeway Segments WB/decreasing Ramps

	Inp	ut Worksheet for Free	way Segm	ents	
Clear	Eshe Innut Values	Chaok Input Val			
Clear	Echo Input Values		les	Crash	Study
	(View results in Column AV)	(View results in Advisory M	essages)	Period	Period
Average dai	ily traffic (AADT <sub>e,ent</sub> ) by yea	ar, veh/d:	2012	33	25
(enter data	only for those years for w	hich	2013	2645	
it is availal	ble, leave other years blank	<)	2014	20	09
Exit Ramp D	ata for Travel in Decreasing	Milepost Direction	Year		
Average dai	ily traffic (AADT <sub>b,ext</sub> ) by yea	ar, veh/d:	2012	1518	
(enter data	only for those years for w	hich	2013	15	18
it is availa	ble, leave other years blanl	k)	2014	15	44



### **Output Summary**

			Outp	out Summ	ary				
General Information	1								
Project description:	I-44 Lebar	non 4-lane Urban	n Freew	ay Segme	ent Example	)			
Analyst:	CS	Date	: 1	12/19/20		Area type:		Urban	
First year of analysis	2012								
Last year of analysis:	2014								
Crash Data Descript	tion								
Freeway segments	Segment crash data available?			Yes	First year of crash data:			2012	
	Project-lev	el crash data ava	ailable?		No	Last year o	of crash data:		2014
Ramp segments	Segment of	rash data availat	ble?		No	First year of crash data:		ita:	
	Project-lev	el crash data ava	ailable?		No	Last year o	of crash da	ta:	
Ramp terminals	Segment of	rash data availat	ble?		No	First year	of crash da	ita:	
	Project-lev	el-crash-data ava	a <del>ilable?</del>	)	No	Last year o	of crash da	ta:	
Estimated Crash Sta	tistics								
Crashes for Entire F	acility			Total	K	Α	В	С	PDO
Estimated number of crash	es during Stu	dy Period, crashes:		14.5	0.1	0.3	1.9	3.1	9.0
Estimated average crash fr	eq. during Stu	dy Period, crashes/yr	r:	4.8	0.0	0.1	0.6	1.0	3.0



## **Output Summary**

- Predicted average total crashes = 4.8 crashes/year
- FI (KAB) = 0.799 crashes/year



### **Output Summary**

Distribution of Cras	istribution of Crashes for Entire Facility							
Greek Ture	Creat Time Catanan	Estimated Number of Crashes During the Study Period						
Crash Type	Crash Type Category	Total	K	Α	В	С	PDO	
Multiple vehicle	Head-on crashes:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Right-angle crashes:	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	
	Rear-end crashes:	4.0	0.0	0.1	0.6	1.0	2.2	
	Sideswipe crashes:	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.9	
	Other multiple-vehicle crashes:	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	
	Total multiple-vehicle crashes:	5.6	0.1	0.1	0.8	1.3	3.2	
Single vehicle	Crashes with animal:	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	
	Crashes with fixed object:	6.4	0.1	0.1	0.8	1.3	4.1	
	Crashes with other object:	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	<mark>0.8</mark>	
	Crashes with parked vehicle:	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	
	Other single-vehicle crashes	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.6	
	Total single-vehicle crashes:	8.9	0.1	0.2	1.1	1.8	5.8	
	Total crashes:	14.5	0.1	0.3	1.9	3.1	9.0	



### Missouri Severity KABCO

- K fatal
- A disabling
- B evident, non-disabling
- C probable, not apparent
- O property damage only
- if FI = KAB
  - MV = 1.016 crashes/year
  - SV = 1.380 crashes/year

# Output Freeway Segments

• CMFs

- SV vs MV
- ENR vs EXR
- e.g. outside shoulder 8 vs 10 ft
- e.g. shoulder rumble strip

	Output	Worksh	eet for Fr	eeway S	egments	
MV = multiple-vehicle model	ENR = r	amp entra	ance mod	el	Segment 1	
SV = single-vehicle model	EXR = r	amp exit i	model		Crash	Study
	A	pplicable	Models (	<b>y</b> )	Period	Period
Crash Modification Factors						
Fatal-and-Injury Crash CMFs						
Horizontal curve (CMF <sub>1,w,ac,y,fi</sub> ):	MV		ENR	EXR	1.000	1.000
		SV			1.000	1.000
Lane width (CMF <sub>2,w,ac,y,fi</sub> ):	MV	SV	ENR	EXR	1.000	1.000
Outside shoulder width (CMF <sub>8,fs,ac,sv,fi</sub> ):		SV			1.138	1.138
Inside shoulder width (CMF <sub>3,w,ac,y,fi</sub> ):	MV	SV	ENR	EXR	1.035	1.035
Median width (CMF <sub>4,w,ac,y,fi</sub> ):	MV		ENR	EXR	1.054	1.054
		SV			0.982	0.982
Median barrier (CMF <sub>5,w,ac,y,fi</sub> ):	MV	SV	ENR	EXR	1.009	1.009
Shoulder rumble strip (CMF <sub>9,fs,ac,sv,fi</sub> ):		SV			0.816	0.816
Outside clearance (CMF <sub>10,fs,ac,sv,fi</sub> ):		SV			0.997	0.997
Outside barrier (CMF <sub>11,fs,ac,sv,fi</sub> ):		SV			1.010	1.010
Lane change (CMF <sub>7,fs,ac,mv,fi</sub> ):	MV					
		•	Year:	2012	1.027	1.027
				2013	1.027	1.027
				2014	1.027	1.027
				0045		



• Various EB outputs, include MV, SV, FI, PDO,

MV Expected Crash Frequency FI only

Low overdispersion

Expected close to Predicted

Output Worksheet for Freeway Segments							
ENR = ramp entrance model				Segment 1			
EXR = ramp exit model			Crash	Study			
Applicable Models (y)			Period	Period			
	SV			1.000	1.000		
/							
Fatal-and-Injury Grash Frequency							
Freeway Segment Multiple-Vehicle Crash Analysis Year							
Overdispersion parameter (k <sub>fs,n,mv,fi</sub> ):							
Observed crash count (N* <sub>o,fs,n,mv,fi</sub> ), crashes:							
Reference year (r):							
Predicted average crash freq. for reference year (N <sub>p,fs,n,mv,fi,r</sub> ), crashes/yr:							
Equivalent years associated with crash count (C <sub>b,fs,n,mv,fi,r</sub> ), yr:							
Expected average crash freq. for reference year given N* <sub>o</sub> (N <sub>e,fs,n,mv,fi,r</sub> ), crashe <mark>s</mark> /yr:					N .		
			2012	0.896	0.896		
			2013	0.685	0.685		
			2014	0.750	0.750		
	Output ENR = ra EXR = ra Ap / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	Output Workshe ENR = ramp entra EXR = ramp exit r Applicable SV / Crash Analysis ashes: erence year (N <sub>p,fs,r</sub> h count (C <sub>b,fs,n,mv,fi,r</sub> )	Output Worksheet for Fr   ENR = ramp entrance model   EXR = ramp exit model   Applicable Models (   SV   /   Crash Analysis   crashes:   erence year (N <sub>p,fs,n,mv,fi,r</sub> ), crashes:   given N* <sub>o</sub> (N <sub>e,fs,n,mv,fi,r</sub> ), crashes/yr	Output Worksheet for Freeway Set   ENR = ramp entrance model   EXR = ramp exit model   Applicable Models (y)   SV   SV   /   Crash Analysis   Year   erence year (N <sub>p,fs,n,mv,fi,r</sub> ), crashes/yr:   h count (C <sub>b,fs,n,mv,fi,r</sub> ), yr:   given N*o (N <sub>e,fs,n,mv,fi,r</sub> ), crashes/yr:   2012   2013   2014	Output Worksheet for Freeway Segments     ENR = ramp entrance model   Segment 1     EXR = ramp exit model   Crash     Applicable Models (y)   Period     SV   1.000     SV   1000     /      Erash Analysis   Year     Crash Analysis   Year     O.052   0.052     ashes:   1     2012   0.052     ashes:   1     2012   0.062     h count (C <sub>b,fs,n,mv,fi,r</sub> ), crashes/yr:   0.962     h count (C <sub>b,fs,n,mv,fi,r</sub> ), yr:   2.602     given N*o (Ne,fs,n,mv,fi,r), crashes/yr:   0.896     2013   0.685     2014   0.750		

-----

19



# **Output Freeway Segments**

SV Expected Crash Frequency FI only

Also low overdispersion

Expected close to Predicted

Freeway Segment Single-Vehicle Crash Analysis		Year			
Overdispersion parameter (k <sub>fs,r,sv,fi</sub> ):			0.030		
Observed crash count (N* <sub>o,fs,n,sv,fi</sub> ), crashes:			1		
Reference year (r):			2012		
Predicted average crash freq. for reference year (Np,fs,n,sv,fi,r),	1.203				
Equivalent years associated with crash count (C <sub>b,fs,n,sv,fi,r</sub> ), yr:					
Expected average crash freq. for reference year given N* <sub>o</sub> (N <sub>a,fs,n,sv,fi,r</sub> ), crashe	s/yr:		1.124	2	
Expected average crash frequency		2012	1.124		1.124
(N <sub>e,fs,n,sv,fi</sub> ), crashes/yr:		2013	1.001	i	1.001
	[	2014	1.041		1.041
	, r	0015		;	



### **Missouri** Calibration

Urban Four-Lane Freeway Segments (FI SV)0.60Urban Four-Lane Freeway Segments (FI MV)0.71

FI only

- MV predicted crashes x C = 1.016 x 0.6 = 0.610 crashes/year
- SV predicted crashes x C = 1.380 x 0.7 = 0.980 crashes/year



## Missouri Severity Distribution

- HSM predicted crashes x MO distribution/proportions
  - 4.8 crashes/year x MO distribution/proportions
  - can also apply MO calibration factors by severity

Site type	Fatal	Severe Injury	Minor Injury	PDO	FI
Urban Four- and Six- Lane Freeway Segments	0.004	0.022	0.216	0.759	0.241

		Severe	Minor		
<b>MO Severity Dist.</b>	Fatal	Injury	Injury	PDO	FI
HSM predicted	0.0192	0.1056	1.0368	3.6232	1.1568