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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Introduction

The Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT) is conducting a Planning and Environmental Linkages (PEL) Study for Interstate 70 (I-70) (I-70 PEL Study) between Interstate 64 (I-64) in St Charles County, Missouri to the end of the express lanes in the City of St. Louis (Study Area). The I-70 PEL Study is being conducted to identify existing conditions and anticipated problem areas; develop and evaluate multimodal improvements to reduce congestion; improve operations and economic vitality; and enhance the safety of the roadway for all modes of travel within the Study Area.

A PEL takes a broad look at transportation, economic, social, and environmental issues to determine the needs along a corridor. It is a collaborative and integrated approach to transportation decision-making that considers environmental, community, and economic goals early in the transportation planning process. It uses the information, analysis, and products developed to provide the necessary data for an environmental review. Additionally, it promotes greater communication between transportation and resource agencies. This leads to improved decision-making and project development. An important goal of the study is to identify strategies for Sections of Independent Utility (SIU), which are sections of the corridor that will be broken into more logically phased segments of work, that are consistent with the long-term corridor vision.

I-70 is one of the primary east-west routes across the Unites States (U.S.). The part of I-70 within the Study Area is approximately 40 miles long and includes portions in St. Charles County, St. Louis County, the City of St. Louis, and numerous municipalities. This diverse corridor links employment centers including downtown St. Louis; residential communities (urban, suburban, and rural); St. Louis Lambert International Airport (Lambert Airport); and regional destinations, as shown in Figure 2-1. The corridor plays a key role in the economic health of the region.

This Conditions Assessment Report documents current transportation infrastructure, land use, and environmental conditions along the corridor, and also incorporates existing and planned development. This information will identify the need for improvements and develop strategies for addressing them in the Study Area.

The accuracy of the study is dependent on the accuracy of the input data and other assumptions. Effort was made to collect the local best data when possible for input, but in cases, national data was used to supplement local demand estimates.

## Existing Transportation System

Within the I-70 PEL Study Area, the geometric characteristics change. The interstate varies from two to six lanes in each direction, and has two express lanes in the City of St. Louis.
Additionally, there is an extensive network of auxiliary lanes near interchanges. There are a number of utilities located across, along, or under I-70 that may influence future improvements.

There are several locations in the Study Area that have been identified by stakeholders as areas of concern. These include access to downtown, Lambert Airport from I-70, and interchange geometric considerations at locations with a large amount of current or anticipated truck traffic.

## Pavement Conditions

Portions of I-70 within the Study Area were among the first to be constructed beginning in 1956. MoDOT's maintenance has extended the original design life but sections are currently in need of repair or replacement.

## Bridges and Freight

St. Louis is a major freight transit center, especially for the transfer of cargo between road, railroad, and river modes of transportation. After Interstate 44 (I-44), I-70 is the busiest truck freight route through the St. Louis Region, and while I-44 dominates freight traffic to the west of the City of St. Louis, I-70 dominates to the east. Just over half of the bridges that carry I-70 are rated either Good or Very Good, while the rest are rated Fair or lower. Most MoDOT bridges were designed to last 50 years at the time of their construction. Of the bridges in the study area, 17 are over 50 years old, including two of the three bridges rated Poor. The third is 46 years old.

Equally important to the transfer of freight through the region is the height of bridges over I-70. The lowest bridge has a clearance of $14^{\prime}-8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ which will allow a standard trailer ( $13^{\prime} 66^{\prime \prime}$ ) but may impede the movement of larger loads.

Military and Oversize Overweight vehicles are allowed on a permit basis only, except in emergency situations. All bridges in the study area allow permit movement. Commodities, farm implements, or construction equipment may be no wider than $12^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ in width and $150^{\prime}$ in length and must have a legal height and weight. Farm products (hay) may be up to $14^{\prime}$ in width. Farm products are not required to comply with the reducible load requirement for width. Implements not designed for towing at highway speeds must be hauled. A height detection vehicle is required to precede overheight loads exceeding 15 ' 6 ". Travel over bridge structures on which a load limit is posted for lesser weights is not allowed. Additional limitations can be found in the Oversize/Overweight Permit Regulations published by MoDOT. Monday through Friday, no movements are allowed in St. Louis City and County (except on Route 370) between 6:30 am and 9:00 am and from 3:30 pm to 6:30 pm. In St. Charles County on I-70 eastbound between Rt. 61 and the Missouri River Bridge, movement is restricted from 6:30 am and 9:00 am, while westbound is restricted from $3: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ to $6: 30 \mathrm{pm}$.

## Traffic Operations

The 2015 East-West Gateway Council of Governments’ (EWGCOG) Travel Demand Model (TDM) was used to evaluate the Level of Service (LOS) for the Study Area. Most of the I-70 eastbound traffic in the AM peak hour operates at a LOS A/B or free flow. However, there are a few areas that are at or near capacity. In St. Charles County, these include between Bryan Road and Mid Rivers Mall Drive, between 370 and Zumbehl Road and on the Blanchette Bridge.

Westbound I-70 in St. Charles County during the AM peak is generally free flow traffic with no capacity issues. In St. Louis County, traffic in both directions is largely free flow except eastbound between the Blanchette Bridge and Maryland Heights Expressway and Natural Bridge Road and Lucas Hunt where it nears capacity in both directions. Traffic in and out of the City of St. Louis is generally free flow except between Adelaide Avenue and the Stan Musial Veterans Memorial Bridge in both directions. Several I-70 interchanges or portions of the interchange operate at capacity or near capacity during the AM peak hour including I-270, I-170 in St. Louis County, portions of $5^{\text {th }}$ Street, and Mid Rivers Mall Drive in St. Charles County.

In the PM peak hour, LOS ranges from $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ to F in both directions of I-70. In St. Charles County, westbound I-70 operates at or near capacity between Cave Springs and Bryan Road. In the eastbound direction, traffic is generally free flow or not near capacity. In St. Louis County, conditions on I-70 between I-270 and MO-94 in the westbound direction is near capacity. Between Lucas Hunt Road and Florissant Road also operates at capacity in both directions. In the City of St. Louis, traffic is at or near capacity in multiple segments in both directions. A number of interchanges also do not perform at an acceptable LOS including the I-70 and I-170, I-70 and I-270, Mid Rivers Mall Drive and I-70, I-70 and Rt. 61, and several other smaller interchanges.

## Crash Data Analysis

Crash history from January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2015, was examined to locate crash clusters and identify crash types. The I-70 segment in the City of St Louis had the highest crash rate of approximately 122 crashes per one hundred million vehicle-miles traveled for the fiveyear period. The segments in St. Louis County and St. Charles County had crash rates of approximately 113 and 104 crashes, respectively, per one hundred million vehicle-miles traveled for the five-year period. All sections have an annual crash rate higher than the average state rate. Data shows that crash rates had dropped significantly within the Study Area, but started to increase in 2014 and 2015. The recent increase in crash rates in the Study Area is a concerning trend that is following a similar trend statewide. Crashes of all types are distributed throughout the Study Area and there are no areas of heavy concentration. The breakdown of crash types varies for the Study Area in St. Charles, St. Louis County, and the City of St. Louis. Overall, rear end crashes account for $41 \%$ of the crash types in the Study Area. Out-of-control and passing crash types are the next largest percentages of crashes for the Study Area.

## Transit Service

There is no transit service that serves the entire Study Area. St. Louis County and the City of St. Louis are served by Metro Transit-St. Louis (Metro [MetroBus, Call-A-Ride, and MetroLink]), which has significant service through and across the corridor resulting in good ridership. St. Charles Area Transit (SCAT) provides service only within the City of St. Charles and limited commuter service into St. Louis County to connect to the Metro system during rush hour. OATS, Inc. also serves St. Charles and St. Louis counties, providing on-call transportation for the rural general public, senior citizens, and people with disabilities.
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## Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

The existing network of facilities for bicycles and pedestrians varies over the 40-mile corridor from suburban patterns with low connectivity to more dense urban patterns with significant interconnectivity of the roadway network used for biking and walking. Bicycle and Pedestrian Levels of Service (BLOS, PLOS) measurements indicate I-70 is a major impediment to northsouth bicycle and pedestrian movement outside of the City of St. Louis. This argues that attention should be paid to building infrastructure across or under I-70 that can carry motorized and non-motorized traffic. Developing these routes will be beneficial to the building of communities that cross the highway.

## Environmental Overview

The environmental resources studied were selected based on the characteristics of the Study Area and from stakeholder input. The resources that were considered are generally consistent with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), its implementing regulations, and with Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and MoDOT guidelines. This report describes resources that are considered red flag environmental resources with separate regulatory drivers, such as the Endangered Species Act (ESA) or Clean Water Act (CWA), or are typically resources of concern for the general public, such as traffic noise.

## Air Quality

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has designated St. Charles County, St. Louis County, and the City of St. Louis as nonattainment areas for ozone. The City of St. Louis and the portion of St. Louis County in the Study Area are designated as being a maintenance area for carbon monoxide (CO). Since the project is in an area designated as nonattainment, transportation conformity will need to be demonstrated. This can be done by including the project within the fiscally constrained Statewide Transportation Improvement Plan (STIP).

## Sensitive Noise Receptors

A general concern with transportation facilities is the potential for noise impacts from vehicles on receptors (i.e., properties) near the facilities. Thresholds for determining noise impacts have been established by state and federal transportation agencies (e.g., MoDOT and FHWA) to guide these conclusions. Existing transportation noise conditions for the Study Area were developed by identifying the areas of possible improvements along the I-70 mainline and potentially impacted interchanges. The I-70 mainline within the Study Area is approximately 40 miles long with numerous land uses existing within 500 feet of its footprint. Until specific roadway improvements are proposed for the study corridor, it is not practical to analyze for impacted receivers and potential noise barrier locations. Future projects identified in this PEL or in future documents and studies will require a noise analysis. Based on a general overview of the existing corridor and the potential for necessary improvements, it is likely that noise mitigation will be part of future studies and design plans.

## Cultural Resources

Information on previously identified historic and archeological properties was evaluated within the Study Area. There are 23 National Register of Historic Places (NRHP)-listed properties, three NRHP-listed structures, and 11 NRHP-listed districts located within the Study Area. There were 104 previously recorded archaeological sites in the Study Area that were identified in the Missouri State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) geodatabase and its associated tables. Based on the number of known cultural resources in the Study Area, continued consultation with the Missouri SHPO and other affected parties will be required as part of future studies and design plans.

## Parks, Refuges and Recreation Facilities

There are 68 existing parks and recreational resources that were identified in the resourcespecific Study Area through reviews of Graphical Information System (GIS) data; current land use, parks, and recreation master plans; and 2017 aerial imagery from Google Earth. Many of these facilities are publicly owned and were determined to be Section 4(f) (Department of Transportation Act of 1966 (DOT Act)) properties. Nineteen of the facilities (plus one cemetery) were identified as Section 6(f) properties having received Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) funds. Future projects identified in this I-70 PEL Study that have impacts on these resources will require additional evaluation.

## Public and Large Commercial Facilities

Many of the commercial facilities described in this report are significant drivers of commuter traffic. These include the GM Assembly Plant in Wentzville and several large healthcare facilities and retail centers/corridors. Downtown St. Louis is also a major draw for commuters during the weekday, as well as patrons of cultural and entertainment venues.

## Sites with Hazardous Substances

A review of federal, state, and local databases was conducted to identify properties with potential or known hazardous materials within the Study Area. A total of 138 sites with recognized and potential environmental conditions were identified. The types of sites identified appear to be those that are normally encountered by MoDOT on similar highway construction projects. The simplest management method for hazardous materials is the avoidance of contaminated sites when feasible. Wherever possible, known hazardous material issues at properties targeted for right-of-way acquisition should be investigated further prior to acquisition/construction. Knowing what hazardous materials issues exist prior to construction is critical because proper management during construction requires special materials management, handling, disposal, and worker health and safety practices.

## Wetlands and Other Waters of the United States

Wetlands and Other Waters of the United States were identified based on a desktop review of current wetland and water boundaries identified in other projects located within the Study Area;

National Wetland Inventory (NWI) maps; aerial photography; Google Earth imagery; and topographic maps. New potential wetland areas identified during the desktop review were digitized using GIS and acreages were determined for each wetland. The majority of wetlands identified within the Resource-specific Study Area are palustrine emergent and palustrine scrub/shrub wetlands that generally occur along streams, roadside ditches, irrigation ditches and canals, and at pond margins. Future projects identified in this PEL will require additional field survey and analysis to verify and gather more detailed information regarding the extent and additional characteristics of wetland areas and the impacts from proposed projects.

## Water Resources

Water resources within the Study Area include surface water features, groundwater and karst features, water quality issues, and major drainageways and associated floodplains. Surface water features in the Study Area include a mix of rivers, streams, perennial intermittent waterways, ditches, ponds, and lakes. Karst features are abundant in this region and several sinkholes, losing streams, springs, and caves have been identified within the Study Area. Section 303(d) of the federal CWA requires states to identify waters not meeting water quality standards and those for which adequate water pollution controls have not been developed. There are four water bodies located in the Study Area that do not meet water quality standards and are considered impaired. There are 11 drainageways located within the Study Area that have been designated as Zone A or Zone AE floodplains. Nine of those drainageways have floodways delineated in addition to the Zone AE floodplains. Improvements in the Study Area could impact several Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) regulated floodplains/floodways. Coordination with the local floodplain administrator as well as base-level modeling to determine these impacts will be required.

## Other Biological Resources

Details and characteristics of wildlife resources in the Study Area were identified using existing GIS data. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and the Missouri Natural Heritage Database (MNHD) were consulted to determine if state and/or federal threatened and endangered species as well as protected species or critical habitats were known to occur in the Study Area. There are a total of nine threatened or endangered species identified by the FWS and three FWS ecological service areas that could be affected in the Study Area. The Natural Heritage Review report from the Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC) indicated there were no wildlife preserves, no designated wilderness areas or critical habitats, and no known federal-listed terrestrial species records within the Study Area. The Natural Heritage Review report also included records of nine state-listed endangered and stated-ranked species, as well as natural communities of conservation concern.

## Land Cover and Land Use

Within the City of St. Louis and St. Louis County, most of the Study Area is developed and consists of impervious surfaces and of grass - mostly park and individual lawns. In St. Charles

County, there are tracts of land that are either deciduous forest or used for agriculture. Some of these areas are parks or golf courses and some are undeveloped land.

The use of commercial and industrial space in the Study Area was also examined. In St. Charles County and West St. Louis County, distribution and flex space, manufacturing, and retail spaces dominate facility use. In east St. Louis County and the City of St. Louis, office space becomes a significant use of non-residential facilities. There are a number of development and redevelopment initiatives ongoing to build new facilities, and to rehabilitate and repurpose existing facilities.

## Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice

Within the Study Area, the average percentage of minority residents is $41.8 \%$. Several areas of high minority population are located directly adjacent to I-70 and the percentages generally increase moving east along the corridor.

The average percentage of people in poverty along the corridor is $17 \%$. Several areas of lowincome households are located directly adjacent to I-70, and the percentages generally increase moving east along the corridor.

## Employment

In 2014, there were 265,500 jobs in the Study Area representing $22 \%$ of total employment in the St. Louis Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA). The most employment by sector is composed of office jobs $(65,000)$, manufacturing jobs $(25,000)$, and food service jobs $(24,000)$.

The City of St. Louis, including parts of downtown and the North Riverfront, had the largest concentration of employment of the five Study Area segments with over 94,000 jobs. The St. Louis County West Segment, which includes Lambert Airport and is home to The Boeing Company, had the second largest concentration of employment with 77,000 jobs; however, this was the only segment in the Study Area with a net decrease in employment losing almost 7,000 jobs since 2005. The greatest employment growth was in the St. Charles County West Segment with over 6,500 jobs added from 2005 to 2014 (an increase of $23 \%$ ).

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The region has embarked on several recent tranportation studies within the I-70 corridor to review specific modes (freight, Bus Rapid Transit (BRT), Light Rail Transit (LRT) and highway), but none has been undertaken to form a comprehensive multi-modal vision for this critical component of our region's overall transportation system.

This Planning and Environmental Linkages (PEL) Study (I-70 PEL Study) will focus on broad issues such as general location, mode choice, known environmental and cultural resource constraints, area-wide air quality, and land use implications of the major strategies proposed to meet the existing and future development needs along this corridor.

The I-70 PEL Study will:

- Develop general concepts or strategies for improving I-70, including a prioritization plan for the corridor
- Identify Sections of Independant Utility, including an action plan for the completion of the environmental process
- Provide documentation that can be referenced into the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process for future improvements along the corridor to eliminate repetitiveness
- Develop agency and public consensus for the overall plan

The Study is being managed by the Transportation Corridor Improvement Group (TCIG), a multi-agency group composed of members from the East-West Gateway Council of Governments (EWGCOG), the Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT), and Metro.

### 1.1 STUDY AREA

The I-70 PEL Study Area includes two counties (St. Charles County and St. Louis County) and 19 municipalities including the City of St. Louis. The Study Area is depicted in Figure 2-1 (all figures referenced in this report are shown in the Corridor Assessment Report Figures supplement) and begins just west of the I-70/I-64 interchange in Wentzville, Missouri, and continues to the end of the express lanes in the City of St. Louis. The Study Area is developed with a mix of residential, commercial, and industrial land uses. The location of the Study Area, relative to existing employment centers and major transportation facilities, provides both benefits and challenges to each community.

### 1.2 PARTICIPANTS

There are several stakeholder groups that will be consulted in the course of this study. The first is the Senior Advisory Group, which is composed of senior members of local governments, economic development authorities, and major community institutions. The members of this group will provide input regarding the strategic direction of the study, as well as resolving key
issues of the study. There are also separate Technical Advisory Groups for St. Charles County, St. Louis County, and the City of St. Louis. Each team is composed of senior technical staff of the departments of transportation, public works, and development for that area. These groups will provide their unique perspective and expertise to guide solutions to technical challenges in the Study Area. Additionally, there will be public meetings and an interactive website used to engage the general public, detailed in The Agency Coordination and Public Involvement Plan.

### 1.3 METHODOLOGY

The I-70 PEL Study is divided into several stages. The first is gathering information about existing conditions from the appropriate governmental and non-governmental entities and documenting the current state of the Study Area. This will form the basis for this Conditions Assessment Report (CAR). The second stage is to develop the Purpose and Need Statement, which may be incorporated into future environmental documents. This statement articulates the problems to be solved, outlines the measures to address the problems, and provides supporting data and background information. The third and fourth stages identify possible strategies for solving the problems and screen and evaluate the strategies. The fifth stage is the development of the PEL Report. The sixth stage is to develop and distribute a PEL Questionnaire. The seventh stage is the FHWA Review of the PEL Questionnaire. The eighth and final stage is to recommend strategies for solving the problems identified by the I-70 PEL Study. These stages, along with an anticipated schedule, are shown in Table 1-1.

Table 1-1: Stages in PEL Report Development

| Stage Number | Description | Anticipated Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Corridor Condition Assessment | $3 / 2017$ |
| 2 | Purpose and Need | $4 / 2017$ |
| 3 | Broad Range of Alternatives Identification | $5 / 2017$ |
| 4 | Screening and Evaluation of Alternatives | $8 / 2017$ |
| 5 | PEL Report | $10 / 2017$ |
| 6 | PEL Questionnaire | $11 / 2017$ |
| 7 | FHWA Review of Questionnaire | $12 / 2017$ |
| 8 | EWGCOG Board Meeting Recommendation | $10 / 2017$ |

### 1.4 RELATED STUDIES AND PROJECTS

Over the last several years, a number of transportation related studies have been conducted along the Corridor. The following is a summary of previous and current studies. The Study Team will utilize the information from these studies when developing the strategies for the Corridor.

### 1.4.1 Moving Transit Forward, St. Louis Regional Long-Range Transit Plan, April 2010 (Metro)

This plan established a blueprint for transit in the St. Louis region over the next 30 years. The study included a strategy for using different types of transit to strengthen the region's core, expand high-quality, high-speed transit service to more parts of the region, and better connect people to jobs. One significant outcome of this plan is the St. Louis Rapid Transit Connector Study (see Paragraph 1.4.9).
http://www.metrostlouis.org/moving-transit-forward/

### 1.4.2 Mid Rivers Mall Drive/I-70 \& Route 79/I-70 Interchange Study, February 2011 (City of St. Peters, MO)

To reduce the congestion along I-70 and improve traffic flow at the interchanges, this study proposes improvements at three locations: the Mid Rivers Mall Drive/I-70 interchange, the Route 79/I-70 interchange, and I-70 between Mid Rivers Mall Drive and Route 79. The study recommended the proposed North Outer Road with a future I-70 fifth lane; a Diverging Diamond Interchange with bonus ramps at the Mid Rivers Mall Drive/I-70 interchange; and Route 79/I-70 interchange improvements to provide the greatest improvement in traffic operations. The study notes that an additional option was selected for economic reasons that included the fifth lane on I-70, but removed the provision for a future outer road.

### 1.4.3 Fifth Street Gateway Project, October 2011 (City of St Charles, MO)

This study looked at roadway and streetscape improvements for Fifth Street from I-70 to First Capitol Drive in St. Charles, Missouri. The Fifth Street Gateway Project goals were to enhance aesthetics of Fifth Street, improve traffic flow and safety, and promote pedestrian activity. The study recommended the widening of Fifth Street and intersection improvements as well as many streetscaping improvements. Construction on this project is nearing completion.
https://www.stcharlescitymo.gov/219/Fifth-Street-Gateway-Project

### 1.4.4 Gateway Bike Plan: Regional Routes to Sustainability, 2011 - Great Rivers Greenway

The Gateway Bike Plan, completed by Great Rivers Greenway, creates a long-term strategy and specific recommendations to increase regional connectivity, as well as bicycling activity and safety in St. Louis City, St. Louis County, and St. Charles County and better connect area residents and visitors to the growing network of greenways and trails. The planning process brought together over 100 local agencies to create a shared vision for on-street bicycling and develop measurable targets for implementation. Many communities have passed resolutions supporting the plan such as O'Fallon, St. Peters, St. Charles, Woodson Terrace, and the City of St. Louis. Annual report cards tracking implementation progress indicate that more than 160 miles of bikeways have been installed since the plan's adoption in 2011. http://greatriversgreenway.org/about-us/projects-in-partnership/gateway-bike-plan/

### 1.4.5 Interstate I-70 Cave Springs Interchange Revision and One-Way Outer Roads between Cave Springs and Mid Rivers Mall Drive CMAQ Application, March 2012 (St. Charles County, MO)

This project proposed improvements consisting of two primary components: a reconfiguration of the I-70 interchange at Cave Springs, and the conversion of the I-70 outer roads to one-way operation with slip ramps between the Cave Springs interchange and the Mid Rivers Mall Drive interchange. The proposed improvements would relieve congestion at or near the Cave Springs interchange and also improve access along I-70 to and from the local roadway network between Cave Springs and Mid Rivers Mall Drive.

### 1.4.6 Congestion Reduction Study: I-70 Zumbehl and Cave Springs Interchanges, May 2012 (City of St. Charles, MO)

This study looked at feasible, low-cost solutions to alleviate congestion and accommodate future traffic at the Zumbehl Road and Cave Springs interchanges with I-70. The study recommended short-term improvements that included adjustments in lane configurations and minor roadway widening. It also recommended two viable long-term alternatives for improvements. The first long-term concept is to use Single Point Urban Interchanges (SPUI) at both interchanges. The second concept would convert the I-70 outer roads to one-way operations within the Study Area.

### 1.4.7 North Riverfront Commerce Corridor Land Use Plan, October 2012 (City of St. Louis, MO)

The North Riverfront Land Use Study is a comprehensive study of the 3,000-acre North Riverfront area, which begins north of Downtown St. Louis City and expands up to Maline Creek. The plan includes recommended improvements along I-70 to enhance connections to the corridor and attract industrial development.
https://www.stlouis-mo.gov/government/departments/sldc/NRCC.cfm

### 1.4.8 St. Louis Regional Freight Study, June 2013 (East-West Gateway Council of Governments)

The intent of this study was to clarify the current status of freight movement through the St. Louis Region as well as the future ability of local freight infrastructure to sustain growth in jobs and economic opportunity. The study provided recommendations to address constraints to improve freight movement that are both physical and organizational in nature.
http://www.ewgateway.org/Freight/freight.htm

### 1.4.9 St. Louis Rapid Transit Connector Study, December 2013 (Metro)

This study and the two projects it recommends are outgrowths of Metro's Moving Transit Forward, St. Louis Regional Long-Range Transit Plan. This study narrowed the initial set of Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) highway corridors down to two Locally Preferred Alternatives: the I-64 BRT line and the West Florissant-Natural Bridge BRT line.
http://www.movingtransitforward.org/stlrapidtransit/

### 1.4.10 I-70 Traffic Flow Improvements Project, January 2014 (O’Fallon, MO)

The I-70 Traffic Flow Improvements Project encompassed a six-mile corridor through O'Fallon, Missouri including interchanges at Bryan Road, Route K, TR Hughes Boulevard, and Route 79. This study evaluated alternatives to improve traffic flow, one of which included converting and expanding the outer roads to one-way operations along I-70. The plan also looked at corridor enhancements including bicycle lanes, pedestrian facilities, and wayfinding signage. http://www.ofallon.mo.us/I-70-traffic-flow-improvements-project

### 1.4.11 A Vision for Missouri’s Transportation Future, February 2014 (MoDOT)

The Long-Range Transportation Plan is the product of one of the most comprehensive statewide planning efforts undertaken by MoDOT. The plan examined transportation needs and set the direction for making transportation investments for all modes of transportation, not just highways. The Long-Range Transportation Plan is the over-arching document under which MoDOT's plans take shape. It sets the tone for developing and implementing MoDOT's strategic and business plans, and the five-year Statewide Transportation Improvement Program. http://missourionthemove.org/

### 1.4.12 Missouri River Crossing Study, December 2014 (Great Rivers Greenway, Maryland Heights, St. Charles City, Bridgeton, and MoDOT)

This study investigated the feasibility of potential bicycle and pedestrian river crossing alternatives which determined the most suitable alignment to increase connectivity across the Missouri River near downtown St. Charles. The alternatives looked at potential alignments along the existing eastbound I-70 bridge and the MO 370 bridge, as well as a new bridge at the old Route 115 location. The feasibility study, following extensive review and investigation, determined that the I-70 Eastbound Blanchette Crossing was the highest ranking alternative based on the evaluation criteria. The study also found merit in pursuing a barrier-separated facility on MO 370 to improve connectivity in conjunction with the I-70 Eastbound Blanchette Crossing. The completion of these two connections will provide safe and improved access across the Missouri River that will increase access to jobs, recreation, and shopping.

### 1.4.13 Cypress Corridor Study, 2015 (St. Ann, MO)

This study was being conducted by the City of St. Ann, Missouri to assess the effects of a roadway connection between Natural Bridge Road/Pear Tree Lane and Cypress Road. The study explored improved access to the area and St. Louis Lambert International Airport (Lambert Airport), existing lane use, and future development potential along the proposed roadway.

### 1.4.14 I-270 North Environmental Assessment, December 2016 (MoDOT)

This study addressed safety, mobility, congestion, accessibility, and aging infrastructure along Interstate 270 (I-270) in north St. Louis County (from I-70 to the Chain of Rocks Bridge). The study builds on the I-270 North Corridor Study which only focused on a portion of the interstate
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limits. The I-270 North Environmental Assessment (EA) took the information and preliminary concepts developed during the I-270 North Corridor Study and conducted a more detailed and expanded analysis. MoDOT and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) approved the EA in December 2016 and detailed the preferred alternative for improving the corridor.
http://modot.mo.gov/stlouis/I-270North.htm

### 1.4.15 NorthPark Study, Ongoing (NorthPark LLC)

NorthPark is located immediately East of St. Louis Lambert International Airport near the intersection of I-70 and I-170 with North Hanley Road running through the center of the development. NorthPark is a 550 acre premier business park which will host over five million square feet of dramatic buildings designed to complement the surrounding environment. Over one million square feet of development with seven separate buildings has been constructed to date. NorthPark has studied improvements to the I-70 and Hanley interchanges, as well as an additional MetroLink stop at Springdale and I-70 to be built as demand dictates. The original idea has been modified over time and became very expensive. The No Build alternative is anticipated to be selected this summer.

### 1.4.16 St. Louis Regional Freightway, Ongoing (Bi-State Development)

The mission of the St. Louis Reginal Freightway is to develop and grow the manufacturing and logistic industries through partnerships with public and private sector leaders. The members have prepared a priority list of multimodal transportation projects that align economic development with the region's supply chain. The project list includes improvement to I-70 from Natural Bridge Avenue to Hanley Road in St. Louis County. This project would include safety and pavement improvements. Work also includes interchange improvements at I-170 that will address current congestion and bottleneck issues. http://www.thefreightway.com/ http://www.thefreightway.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/Freightway_DevelopmentPlan.pdf

### 1.4.17 Municipal Bicycle \& Pedestrian Master Plans - East-West Gateway, Trailnet, and Municipalities

A number of cities along I-70 have developed local plans to guide infrastructure and programming investments to better accommodate bicycle and pedestrian mobility to supplement the Gateway Bike Plan for local connectivity. These plans, which were developed in partnership with Trailnet through the non-profit organization's Bikeable Walkable Communities Program, define local networks for bicycling and walking and include recommendations for facilities along key corridors in the study area. Cities with local bicycle and pedestrian master plans include O'Fallon, Wentzville, Lake St. Louis, St. Charles, Bridgeton, Edmundson, Woodson Terrace, St. John, and Overland. http://trailnet.org/work/transportation-planning/communities/

### 1.4.18 Northside-Southside MetroLink Conceptual Design Study, Ongoing (St. Louis City)

The intent of the Northside-Southside Conceptual Design Study is threefold. First, to establish the continued validity of the Northside-Southside alignment and to review, affirm, and revise the
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technical findings associated with the adopted locally preferred alternative (LPA). Second, would be to identify and analyze an alternative to the Northside LPA alignment that would serve the proposed National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA) site, situated in the northwest quadrant of the intersection at North Jefferson and Cass avenues. This alternative would connect with the downtown segment and extend to a Goodfellow Boulevard terminus. Third, would be to conduct a comparative evaluation of the two Northside alignments that will result in a decision on the most promising Northside alternative and to select an LPA for the entire corridor. The study will be used to advance, if warranted, a light rail project into the Federal Transit Administration's (FTA's) Capital Investment Grant Program (New Starts) Project Development process. The Northside alignment is proposed to utilize $14^{\text {th }}$ Street, North Florissant, Natural Bridge, and Goodfellow. Access to any proposed station locations will be considered when developing alternatives for the I-70 PEL Project.

### 1.4.19 Proposed Preliminay Conceptual Planning Study and Comparative Evaluation of Potenital MetroLink Corridors in St. Louis County, Mo, Ongoing (St. Louis County, MO)

The intent of the proposed planning study is to establish the feasibility of extending the MetroLink light rail system in three corridors within St. Louis County by defining alignments and analyzing costs, benefits, impacts, operational characteristics, and constructability issues associated with each corridor, followed by a comparative evaluation of the three corridors. The first corridor is MetroNorth, which emerged from the 1997 Major Transportation Investment Analysis (MTIA) for the Cross-County Corridor that extended light rail from Clayton to north of I-270, focusing only on that segment north of the existing MetroLink Red Line in St. Louis County, which would need to cross I-70. The second corridor is the Daniel Boone, extending light rail from the MetroLink Blue Line north of Clayton to Westport. The third corridor is MetroSouth, extending from the terminus of the MetroLink Blue Line in Shrewsbury along River Des Peres and south along I-55 to terminate at Butler Hill Road. Access to any proposed station locations will be considered when developing alternatives for the I-70 PEL project.

### 1.4.20 O'Fallon Connected Corridor Study, Ongoing (O'Fallon, MO)

The O'Fallon Connected Corridor Study is a three-phased plan looking at the north-south corridor that extends from the intersection of Highway M and Route 79 to the I-64 and Highway K interchange. The study corridor includes the interchange of I-70 and Highway K. The portions of Highway K and Highway M of this study will focus on developing a Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvement Plan that aims to better integrate pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile facilities along the corridor. One area that will be studied includes a new connection across I-70. http://www.ofallon.mo.us/o-fallon-connected

### 1.4.21 Interstate I-70 Route U (Lucas-Hunt Road) Interchange Revision, 2018 (St. Louis County)

This project will realign the ramps from I-70 to Route U (Lucas-Hunt Road). This work will involve the removal of the existing partial cloverleaf ramps and replacing with a standard diamond interchange. Work is expected to start in FY18 by MoDOT.
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### 2.0 EXISTING TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

### 2.1 ROADWAY NETWORK

The Study Area roadway network consists of I-70 from the Route Z interchange with I-70 in Wentzville, Missouri continuing through the Stan Musial Veterans Memorial Bridge complex to the end of the express lanes in the City of St. Louis. The length of the corridor is approximately 40 miles.

Today's interstate system was created by the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1956, signed by President Dwight D. Eisenhower. Interstate-70 was the first interstate in the country, and begins at a Park and Ride lot in Baltimore, Maryland ending where it meets Interstate 15 (I-15) near Cove Fort, Utah, a distance of over 2,100 miles. The construction of the interstate began in 1956 and was finished in 1992 with the completion of the section through Glenwood Canyon, Colorado. The first section of the interstate constructed is located in our Study Area in St. Charles County.

The following subsections describe the characteristics of this roadway network within the Study Area.

### 2.1.1 Mainline I-70

The number of lanes of an interstate highway is an important indicator of the capacity. This section describes the mainline of I-70 in the Study Area, and Figure 2-2 shows the mainline lane configurations.

Beginning at the Route Z interchange with I-70 in St. Charles County, I-70 provides two lanes of traffic in both the eastbound (EB) and westbound (WB) directions. As I-70 approaches the I-64 Interchange, auxiliary lanes are provided in the EB direction for the off ramps at I-64. Three mainline lanes are provided from I-64 to the Routes K and M interchanges. From that area to the Route 370 interchange, the mainline lanes vary between three and six lanes in each direction in addition to auxiliary lanes. From Route 370 through the Route 94/First Capitol Drive interchange, three to four mainline lanes are provided in each direction along with auxiliary lanes. East of the Route 94/First Capital Drive interchange, I-70 widens to five lanes in both directions across the Blanchette Bridge over the Missouri River.

Entering into St. Louis County, I-70 is composed of five lanes in each direction, plus auxiliary lanes, to Maryland Heights Expressway, then six lanes eastbound to the Interstate 270 (I-270) interchange. East of I-270, three or four lanes of traffic are provided in each direction, along with auxiliary lanes, with the exception of five lanes near Maline Creek. This section continues into the City of St. Louis to near Goodfellow Boulevard.

Within the City of St. Louis, the three or four lanes in each direction continue until just west of the Union Boulevard interchange where the express lanes begin. The express lanes are two additional lanes originally constructed in the early 1960s located between the EB and WB
mainline. The lanes are separated by a barrier and are accessible only at the west end near Union Boulevard. The express lanes were constructed as reversible lanes to accommodate peak hour directional flow, but due to the construction of various projects (the latest being the Stan Musial Veterans Memorial Bridge), it is open only to eastbound traffic.

Prior to the end of the express lanes, I-70 continues across the Stan Musial Veterans Memorial Bridge over the Mississippi River into Illinois with two lanes in each direction. The express lanes continue along the newly renamed section of I-44 to just south of Broadway.

### 2.1.2 Right-of-Way

The Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT) owns the right-of-way along the mainline of I-70 and the intersecting state routes. Additionally, MoDOT owns the right-of-way and maintains most of the interchanges with state and local roads along I-70. The limits of MoDOT right-of-way are shown on Figure 2-3 as the MoDOT owned Segment. Generally the right-ofway width for the I-70 corridor is 280 feet.

### 2.1.3 Major Interchanges

The highways and roads which intersect I-70 provide the Study Area with essential connectivity to the wider regional and national transportation network. Table 2-1, Table 2-2, and

Table 2-3 list the routes which connect to I-70 and the location and type of interchange.
Table 2-1: I-70 Interchanges in St. Charles County

| Route | Mile Marker | Type | Access |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route Z/Church Street | 209 | Diamond | Full |
| I-64/US 61 | 210 | Directional/Cloverleaf | Full System <br> Interchange |
| Route A/Freymuth Road | 212 | Diamond | Full |
| Lake St. Louis Boulevard | 214 | Diamond | Full |
| Bryan Road | 216 | Diamond | Full |
| Highway K/Main Street | 217 | Diamond | Full |
| TR Hughes Boulevard | 219 | Single Point Urban | Full |
| Highway 79/Salt Lick Road | 220 | Diamond/Part Cloverleaf | Full |
| Mid Rivers Mall Drive | 222 | Diverging Diamond | Full |
| Route 370 | 224 | Directional | Full System <br> Interchange |
| Cave Springs Road/Truman Boulevard/Muegge | 225 | Diamond | Full |
| Road | 227 | Diamond | Full |
| Zumbehl Road | 228 | Single Point Urban | Full |
| Highway 94/First Capitol Drive | 229 | Cloverleaf/Diamond | Full |
| Fifth Street |  |  | F |

Table 2-2: I-70 Interchanges in St. Louis County

| Route | Mile Marker | Type | Access |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route 141 | 231 | Cloverleaf | Full |
| I-270 | 232 | Directional | Full System <br> Interchange |
| Highway 180/St. Charles Rock Road | 234 | Part Cloverleaf | Full |
| US 67/Lindbergh Boulevard | 235 | Cloverleaf | Full |
| Cypress Road | 235 | Part Cloverleaf | Full |
| Air Flight Drive | 236 | Part Cloverleaf / Diamond | Full |
| Route 115/Natural Bridge Road | 237 | Directional | Partial |
| I-170 | 238 | Directional | Full System <br> Interchange |
| North Hanley Road | 240 | Diamond | Partial |
| Route N/Florissant Road | 241 | Single Point Urban | Full |
| Bermuda Drive | 241 | Slip Ramp | Full |
| Lucas and Hunt Road | 241 | Part Trumpet / Part | Full |
| Jennings Station Road | 242 | Part Folded Diamond / | Fart Diamond |

Table 2-3: I-70 Interchanges in the City of St. Louis

| Route | Mile Marker | Type | Access |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goodfellow Boulevard | 243 | Slip Ramp / Diamond | Full |
| Bircher Boulevard / Riverview Boulevard | 243 | $1 / 2$ Diamond to West / Slip <br> Ramps Exit Only to East | Partial |
| Union Boulevard | 244 | Slip Ramps | Full |
| Kingshighway Boulevard/Union Boulevard | 244 | Split Diamond | Partial |
| Shreve Avenue | 245 | Split Diamond | Full / EB <br> ramps <br> separated |
| West Florissant Avenue | 245 | Part Folded Diamond / <br> Part Diamond | Full |
| East Carrie Avenue | 246 | Diamond/Slip Ramps | Full |
| Adelaide Avenue | 246 | Diamond/Slip Ramps | Full |
| East Grand | 247 | Diamond | Full |
| Salisbury Street / McKinley St. | 248 | Slip Ramps | Full |
| Branch Street | 248 | Slip Ramp | Partial |
| St. Louis Avenue Ramp | Partial |  |  |
| I-44 (Stan Musial Veterans Memorial Bridge) | 249 | Directional | Partial to <br> West |
| System |  |  |  |
| Interchange |  |  |  |
| Cass / Broadway | 250 | Slip Ramps | Partial |

### 2.1.4 Major Parallel Routes

There are a number of streets and state highways which run parallel to I-70 in the Study Area. The farthest west is Veterans Memorial Parkway in St. Charles County, which runs south of I-70 from just east of the I-70/I-64 interchange to west of Highway K/South Main Street, where it merges with Old US Highway 40 and ends at the intersection with Sonderen Street and South Service Road. From its origin at Veterans Memorial Parkway and South Callahan Road to its terminus, it is one lane each way except where it widens at intersections. There is an additional left turn lane for WB traffic from Harbor View Drive to Wharf Street. There is a right turn lane at Rue Petite and a left turn lanes at Bent Oak Cutoff, O'Fallon Lakes Drive, Thornbury Crossing Drive, and White Magnolia Drive. There is a center turn lane from west of Parkview Drive to Sonderen Street.

Veterans Memorial Parkway takes a jog south and resumes east of Sonderen Street and runs to Highway 79/Salt Lick Road as a two lane street. It resumes again west of Dardenne Drive, becomes Suemandy Drive west of Mid Rivers Mall Drive, jogs north, and resumes service as Veterans Memorial Parkway/South Service Drive east of Mid Rivers Mall Drive traveling one way east to Suemandy Drive, where it resumes two way traffic with a west bound left turn lane to N. Cloverleaf Drive and from Regency Parkway to Eastview Drive. The east end of Veterans Memorial Parkway is South Fifth Street.

Mexico Road also provides service parallel to I-70 although it is outside of the Study Area for most of its length some 9.8 miles. Mexico Road's western end is at Bryan Road, and it enters the Study Area near the Cave Springs Road overpass. Mexico Road terminates at Veterans Memorial Parkway east of the Cave Springs Road interchange. There are two lanes in each direction plus a center turning lane for most of the length of Mexico Road.

On the north side of I-70, there is an outer road from US 61 to east of Route 79/Salt Lick Road, which primarily serves local residential communities and businesses. It is known in different stretches as East Pitman Street, East Pitman Avenue, Old US Highway 40, West Terra Lane, East Terra Lane, and North Service Road. From US 61 to North Central Drive, it is a paved, onelane road in each direction with turn lanes at significant intersections. East of Mid Rivers Mall Drive, I-70 North Outer Road runs parallel to I-70 as a two-lane road with additional turn lanes near businesses and intersections. I-70 North Outer Road becomes West Clay Street at the intersection with Harry S Truman Boulevard, and diverges from the I-70 corridor east of Zumbehl Road.

In St. Louis County, St. Charles Rock Road (Route 180) is a major east-west road near I-70 and is within the Study Area between McKelvey Road and Lindbergh Boulevard, a distance of approximately 1.7 miles. It crosses I-70 in the middle of this section. This length of St. Charles Rock Road has two lanes in each direction with an additional center turning lane for access to local retail establishments.

Natural Bridge Road runs parallel to I-70 from Air Flight Drive to the Natural Bridge Road/I-70 interchange, a distance of approximately 1.1 miles. Natural Bridge Road has one lane in each direction plus turn lanes in this corridor.

Lambert International Boulevard runs parallel to I-70 on the north side beginning at Cypress Road with two lanes in each direction. Additional turning lanes are provided at intersections. It merges with I-70 near James McDonnel Boulevard, having a length of approximately 2.5 miles.

In the City of St. Louis, Bircher Boulevard runs parallel to I-70 for about 1.3 miles from Riverview Boulevard to Kingshighway Boulevard. Westbound Bircher Boulevard is north of I-70, and EB Bircher Boulevard/Riverview Boulevard is south of the highway. Both directions are typically two lanes with turning lanes.

North Broadway is a major route that runs parallel to I-70 on the north and east sides from the East Carrie Avenue overpass to the end of the Study Area, approximately 3.9 miles. North Broadway has two through travel lanes in each direction in the study area.

### 2.2 TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

### 2.2.1 Pavement Conditions

The construction of I-70 began in 1956 and portions of the I-70 PEL Study Area were among the earliest built. The section in St. Charles County is considered the first section of interstate highway in the country. MoDOT has extended the original design life of the I-70 pavement with proper maintenance but many sections of I-70 are aging and in need of repair.

### 2.2.2 Bridge Ratings

As both a major interstate highway and a primary commuting route in the St. Louis area, the condition of the bridges carrying and crossing the I-70 corridor is of primary importance. To prevent failure of this critical infrastructure, the bridges are periodically inspected and rated. This allows engineers and planners with MoDOT, EWGCOG, and city and county officials to anticipate future needs for bridge rehabilitation and replacement.

An important part of this planning is the inspections and ratings that MoDOT is required to do for all highway bridges on public roads at least every 24 months.
The ratings Very Good, Good, Fair, Poor and Very Poor are used by MoDOT for the I-70 bridges and bridges crossing I-70 and are shown on Figure 2-4. With the exception of one bridge, an abandoned railroad bridge, the I-70 bridges where the rating is not available are actually culverts and not shown on Figure 2-4.

A summary of the bridges carrying I-70 in the Study Area is shown in Table 2-4. The bridges were built between 1951 (carrying I-70 EB over Peruque Creek) and 2013 (the Stan Musial Veterans Memorial Bridge carrying both directions of I-70 over the Mississippi River). Of the 46 bridges in the Study Area, two were rated Poor in the data received from MoDOT. These I-70
bridges cross MO 141 and Belleau Creek. The bridges were built in 1955 (reconstructed in 1987) and 1971(reconstructed in 2012) with four and three spans, respectively. The remaining bridges carrying I-70 in the Study Area are rated as being in at least Fair condition.

Table 2-4: Summary of Condition of Bridges Carrying and/or Exiting I-70

| and/or Exiting 1-70 | Number of Bridges | Length of Bridge <br> (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rating | 2 | 4,415 |
| Good Good | 23 | 11,222 |
| Fair | 19 | 8,055 |
| Poor | 2 | 277 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 9 6 8}$ |

*Number of Bridges were counted per structure number as provided by MoDOT. A bridge number may refer to single or multiple structures. Length of Bridge only considers one length per structure number.

The condition of the bridges crossing I-70 is summarized in Table 2-5. Of the 46 bridges which cross I-70 in the Study Area, one is rated Poor. The bridge rated Poor is a pedestrian bridge that allows individuals to walk directly from North Market Street on the north side of I-70 to North Market Place on the south. This slab bridge was built in 1958. One abandoned railroad bridge was listed as not applicable for a rating.

Table 2-5: Summary of Condition of Bridges Crossing and/or Adjacent to I-70

| Rating | Number of Bridges | Length of Bridge <br> (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Good | 4 | 2,181 |
| Good | 18 | 5,683 |
| Fair | 23 | 9,742 |
| Poor | 1 | 187 |
| NA | 1 | 266 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 5 9}$ |

*Number of Bridges were counted per structure number as provided by MoDOT. A bridge number may refer to single or multiple structures. Length of Bridge only considers one length per structure number.

### 2.2.3 Freight Travel Restrictions

One primary use of the interstate highway system is the transportation of freight. Two of the major limiting factors in the movement of large payloads are vertical clearances under bridges and bridge load postings. The maximum legal truck height on interstate highways in Missouri within the Study Area is $15^{\prime}$. A permit may be issued for heights up to 16 '. The current standard is to design bridges over interstates in Missouri with a vertical clearance of at least 16'-6", which
includes an allowance of $6^{\prime \prime}$ for future roadway resurfacing. Pedestrian bridges should have a standard clearance of 17'-6". The 31 bridges over I-70 in the Study Area which have a vertical clearance of $16^{\prime}-6$ " or less for vehicle traffic or 17'- 6 " or less for pedestrian traffic are listed in Table 2-6.

Table 2-6: Bridges over I-70 with a Vertical Clearance Less Than 16'-6"

| Structure Number | Route/Road Carried | I-70 Direction of Travel | Minimum Vertical Clearance | Year Built or Reconstructed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L0624 | US 61 S | W | 16'-2" | 1971 |
|  |  | E | 15'-9" | 1971 |
| L0428 | I-64 W | E | 15'-11" | 1971 |
|  |  | W | 16'-5" | 1971 |
| A7043 | Lake St. Louis Boulevard E | W | 16'-5" | 2003 |
| A7043 | Lake St. Louis Boulevard E | E | 16'-3" | 2003 |
| A6053 | S Woodlawn Avenue | E | 16'-4" | 1999 |
| A4294 | Route 79 S | E | 16'-6" | 1985 |
| A5952 | Executive Center Parkway E | E (Outer Road) | 16'-1" | 2000 |
|  |  | W (Outer Road) | 16'-1" | 2000 |
| A4040 | Cave Springs Drive S | E | 16'-3" | 1982 |
|  |  | W | 16'-3" | 1982 |
| L0887 | St. Charles Rock Road E | E | 16'-2" | 1957 |
| L0887 | St. Charles Rock Road W | E | 16'-2' | 1957 |
| L0888 | Fee Fee Road S | E | 15'-1" | 1957 |
|  |  | W | 15'-3" | 1957 |
| L0889 | Lindbergh Boulevard S | W | 15'-6" | 1957 |
| L0889 | Lindbergh Boulevard N | W | 15"-7" | 1957 |
| L0810 | Natural Bridge Road N | E | 15'-7" | 1958 |
| A4226 | James S. McDonnell Boulevard S | W | 16'-3" | 1984 |
| A3007 | Ramp I-70 W to I-170 E | E | 16'-3" | 1981 |
| A6233 | Lucas and Hunt Road S | E | 15'-11" | 2001 |
|  |  | W | 16'-5" | 2001 |
| A6234 | Goodfellow Boulevard S | W | 15'-11" | 2001 |
| A5976 | Union Boulevard | E | 15'-6" | 1999 |
|  |  | *Express Lanes | 15'-10" | 1999 |
|  |  | W | 16'-4" | 1999 |
| A6204 | Kingshighway Boulevard S | E | 16'-6" | 2001 |
|  |  | W | 15'-8" | 2001 |
| A6206 | Shreve Avenue S | W | 15'-2" | 2001 |
| A5984 | West Florissant Avenue S | W | 15'-5" | 2000 |
| A5986 | Taylor Avenue E | W | 14'-11" | 2000 |
| A6205 | Carrie Avenue E | W | 15'-9" | 2001 |

Table 2-6: Bridges over I-70 with a Vertical Clearance Less Than 16'-6' (Continued)

| A5960 | Adelaide Avenue E | W | 14'-11" | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A6238 | McKinley Bridge W | W | 15'-5" | 2001 |
| A6239 | Route 115 / Salisbury Street S | W | 15'-10" | 2001 |
| A0141 | St. Louis Avenue E | E | 16'-0" | 1958 |
|  |  | *Express Lanes, EB | 15'-10" | 1958 |
|  |  | W | 15'-1" | 1958 |
| A0140 | North Market Place (pedestrian) overpass | *Express Lanes, EB | 16'-6" | 1958 |
|  |  | W | 15'-2" | 1958 |
|  |  | E | 14'11" | 1958 |
| A0139 | Madison Street W | *Express Lanes, EB | 16'-6" | 1958 |
|  |  | W | 15'-1" | 1958 |
| A0138 | Abandoned railroad | E | 14'-8" | 1958 |
|  |  | *Express Lanes, EB | 16'-6" | 1958 |
| A6417 | 70 W to Tucker Blvd. S. | W | 15'-8" | 2013 |

*Express Lanes are reversible, but currently only travel in the eastbound direction.

The legal load on Missouri highways is 40 tons. Loads up to 80 tons will routinely be permitted, assuming all facilities on the planned route are sufficient for the loading. MoDOT does not list any segments of I-70 in the Study Area as closed or restricted to oversized loads, or any bridges posted for less than 80,000 pounds.

### 2.2.4 Utilities

Tables A-1 through A-7 in Appendix A show the subsurface utilities present in the Study Area. These tables do not list overhead utilities or indicate which utility placements might present a fatal flaw to future development. Additionally, this list is not considered to be comprehensive, and any future engineering or construction work will have to be coordinated with the utility providers.

### 2.3 TRAFFIC OPERATIONS AND SAFETY

### 2.3.1 Traffic Volumes and Commercial Traffic

Within the Study Area, the existing annual average daily traffic (AADT) volumes along I-70 range from approximately 35,000 vehicles on I-70 west of I-64 to approximately 90,000 vehicles near the Blanchette Bridge. Traffic volumes from the EWGCOG's Regional Travel Demand model for the 2015 AM and PM peak periods along I-70 are shown in Figure 2-5. Traffic volumes from MoDOT for the 2014 AM and PM peak hour along I-70 are shown in Figure 2-5. Based on the peak hour volumes, westbound traffic is typically higher in the PM peak hour than
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the AM, ranging from approximately 1,800 to 6,700 vehicles. Eastbound traffic is generally higher in the AM peak hour than the PM from Wentzville to the City of St. Louis. Within the City of St. Louis, EB traffic is slightly higher in some locations in the PM peak hour. Truck traffic, as a percentage of the AADT, ranges from about $18 \%$ to $20 \%$ within the I- 70 study corridor, as shown on Figure 2-6. The highest percentage of truck traffic on I-70 is about 20\% near St. Louis Lambert International Airport.

### 2.3.2 Level of Service

Density and Level of Service (LOS) are key Measures of Effectiveness (MOEs) in an analysis of a highway. In accordance with Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodology, density is used to determine level of service (LOS) thresholds for freeways. Two different thresholds to define LOS for different segment types are defined by the HCM 2016: 1) basic freeway segments and 2) merge/diverge and weaving segments. Table 2-7 shows the density for each LOS.

Table 2-7- Freeway LOS Thresholds

| Level of Service <br> (LOS) | Density (pc/mi/ln) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Basic Freeway Segments | Merge/Diverge <br> Segments | Freeway Weaving <br> Segments |
| A | $\leq 11$ | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>11-18$ | $>10-20$ | $>10-20$ |
| C | $>18-26$ | $>20-28$ | $>20-28$ |
| D | $>26-35$ | $>28-35$ | $>28-35$ |
| E | $>35-45$ | $>35$ | $>35$ |
| F | $>45$ | Demand Exceeds Capacity |  |

The corridor includes both multilane and two-lane highway segments as classified by HCM 2016. As with freeway segments, density is used to the determine LOS thresholds for multilane highways; however, the threshold varies at different free flow speeds (FFS).

The 2015 EWGCOG's Travel Demand Model was used to evaluate the existing LOS for the I-70 corridor. Figures 2-7A and 2-8A show the 2015 AM and PM peak LOS. Figures 2-7B and 28B show the 2045 AM and PM peak LOS. LOS is rated with a scale from A through F, with A representing free flow traffic, and $F$ representing unacceptable LOS and long delays. In the AM peak, most of WB I-70 within the study corridor operates at LOS D or better, the exception being within the segments between Stan Musial Veteran Memorial Bridge and Adelaide Avenue, and Jennings Station Road and St. Charles Rock Road along with a number of ramp segments. EB I70 ranges from LOS A/B to F for the AM peak period. The EB I-70 mainline locations with an LOS E or F in the AM peak are between Bryan Road and Mid Rivers Mall Drive in St. Charles County, between Route 370 in St. Charles County and Maryland Heights Expressway in St. Louis County, near the I-170 interchange in St. Louis County, and between Adelaide Ave and Stan Musial Veterans Memorial Bridge in the City of St. Louis.

In the PM peak, LOS ranges from $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ to F in both directions of I-70 in the Study Area. The I-70 mainline locations operating at LOS E or F in the PM peak include WB between Cave Springs Road and Bryan Road, WB between I-270 and Missouri 94, as well as multiple segments both WB and EB between I-270 and Stan Musial Veterans Memorial Bridge.

Poor LOS also exists at a number of the interchanges including the I-170 and I-270 interchanges as shown on the figures. The travel demand model LOS is based on volume compared to calculated capacity. Further operational analysis may be needed to evaluate the impacts of traffic signals and merging/weaving traffic, especially at interchange locations.

### 2.3.3 Travel Speeds

The posted speed limits along I-70 within the Study Area vary from 55 to 65 miles per hour ( mph ) as shown in Figure 2-9. The speed limits decrease from west to east (rural/suburban to urban). Within the majority of the Study Area, the speed limit is 55 to 60 mph . Beginning around three miles east of I-64 to just west of I-170, the posted speed limit is 60 mph , and from just west of I-170 to I-44 it is 55 mph .

The travel time index was recorded by the MoDOT Travel Management Center at various locations along the corridor. The resulting congestion in each direction in the AM and PM is shown in Figure 2-9. Along the corridor, most of the travel times indicate little or no congestion in the AM eastbound except between Zumbehl Road and I-170. Most of the eastbound in the PM has little congestion except between I-270 and Lucas and Hunt, and downtown from just before St. Louis Avenue to the end of the study area, where there is light congestion. Westbound in the AM, there is light congestion from the eastern end of the study area to the Stan Musial Veterans Memorial Bridge, where there is little congestion until Union Boulevard. There is light to moderate congestion from Union to Lucas and Hunt, where traffic speeds up and there is little congestion until the western end of the study area. Westbound in the PM, there is little congestion from the eastern end of the study area to North Kingshighway Boulevard.
Congestion is largely light to moderate from North Kingshighway Boulevard to Florrisant Road. There is little congestion just after Florissant to I-170, then light congestion after I-170 to I-270. After I-270, congestion varies to South First Capital Drive, with heavy congestion at $5^{\text {th }}$ Street. There is little congestion from after South First Capital Drive to Route A, where it becomes light. Congestion increases to moderate from I-64 to the western end of the study area.

### 2.3.4 Crash History

Crash history for a five-year period, January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2015, was examined to locate crash clusters and identify crash causes.

Table 2-8 summarizes the existing crash severity and number of crashes on mainline I-70 over the five-year study period. The Study Area is broken into three sections: St. Charles County, St. Louis County, and the City of St. Louis. Crash data is presented for each section as well as for the study corridor as a whole.

Table 2-8: Existing Crash Severity Data on I-70 (2011-2015) (Continued)

| Severity Rating | St. Charles County <br> \# of crashes (\% of <br> total crashes) | St. Louis County <br> \# of crashes (\% of <br> total crashes) | City of St. Louis <br> \# of crashes (\% of <br> total crashes) | Total <br> \# of crashes <br> (\% of total <br> crashes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal | $18(0.4 \%)$ | $6(0.2 \%)$ | $10(0.6 \%)$ | $34(0.3 \%)$ |
| Disabling Injury | $88(1.8 \%)$ | $89(2.5 \%)$ | $58(3.2 \%)$ | $235(2.3 \%)$ |
| Minor Injury | $754(15.2 \%)$ | $845(23.3 \%)$ | $542(30.3 \%)$ | $2,141(20.6 \%)$ |
| Property Damage <br> Only | $4,100(82.7 \%)$ | $2,688(74.1 \%)$ | $1,792(66.0 \%)$ | $7,970(76.8 \%)$ |
| Total | 4,960 | 3,628 | 1,792 | 10,380 |
| AADT | 97,638 | 137,090 | 113,869 | 116,199 |

While overall crashes are highest for St. Charles County, this section, at almost 27 miles in length, is over twice the length of the St. Louis County section and almost four times as long as the City of St. Louis section. In terms of crash rate (which also takes into account AADT), this results in the lowest overall crash rate of the Study Area at approximately 104 crashes per one hundred million vehicle-miles traveled. The St. Louis County section is almost 13 miles in length, resulting in an overall five-year crash rate of approximately 113 crashes per one hundred million vehicle-miles traveled. At only seven miles in length, the crash rate for the City of St. Louis is approximately 122 crashes per 100 million vehicle-miles traveled over the five-year analysis period. For all sections, the annual crash rates are higher than the average state rate which was under 100 in 2011, and dropped down to near 80 for 2012, but increased to around 88 for 2013 and 2014. It should be noted that crash rates had been dropping significantly over the entire corridor but started to increase in 2014 and 2015. In St. Louis County, the crash rate dropped to a low of around 100 in 2012, but increased to around 145 in 2015. Also in St. Louis City, the crash rate dropped to a low of around 100 in 2013, but increased to around 147 in 2015. The recent increase in crash rates in the corridor is a concerning trend, but it is following a trend of increased crash rates statewide.

Figure 2-10 provides an overview of the existing crash patterns and locations along the I-70 mainline corridor within the Study Area. It is apparent from this figure that crashes are distributed throughout the Study Area and there are no areas of heavy concentration. It is also apparent that crashes become more frequent moving from west to east through the study corridor, indicating that the more urban environments lead to a higher crash rate, as discussed above.

This figure also indicates areas with a higher severity rate index. Based on these ratings, a number of I-70 mainline segments have a high rate of severe crashes. Eastbound I-70 from one mile west of Lake St. Louis Blvd to one mile east of Lake St. Louis Blvd in St. Charles County is the only segment of I-70 in the Study Area with a rate greater than 0.50.

Figure 2-11 illustrates the existing crash type distribution on mainline I-70 through the entire Study Area over the five-year study period. A total of 10,380 crashes were divided into 28 crash types based on data provided by MoDOT. "Rear end" crashes were the predominant crash types followed by "out of control" crashes. It should be noted that "all other types" includes a classification of "other" in the provided data. This classification includes 675 crashes. An additional 575 crashes included within "all other types" are categorized into additional classification categories including fixed object and parked car collisions, avoiding, and deer-vehicle collisions.

Figure 2-11: Existing Crash Type Distribution for Mainline I-70 (2011-2015)

*\# of crashes (\% of total)
Additionally, Table 2-9 provides a breakdown of this same data for St. Charles and St. Louis Counties and the City of St. Louis.

It is evident that in St. Charles County, rear end type crashes are the most dominant type, outweighing out of control crashes by over two times. The remaining crash types follow closely to the distributions for the entire corridor. Within St. Louis County, all crash types follow closely to the distributions for the entire corridor. Within the City of St. Louis, out of control type crashes are a more the predominant crash type, contributing to $29 \%$ of the total crashes as compared to $19 \%$ in St. Charles County, $23 \%$ in St. Louis County, and $22 \%$ for the entire corridor. This is a significant issue and should be addressed as such. Rear end crashes are proportionally lower within the city limits, making up $31 \%$ of the total crashes as compared to $45 \%$ in St. Charles County, $42 \%$ in St. Louis County, and $41 \%$ for the entire corridor. The remaining crash types closely follow the distributions for the entire corridor.

Table 2-9: Existing Crash Type Distribution on I-70 (2011-2015)

| Accident Class | St. Charles County <br> \# of crashes (\% of <br> total crashes) | St. Louis County <br> \# of crashes (\% of <br> total crashes) | City of St. Louis <br> \# of crashes (\% of <br> total crashes) | All <br> \# of crashes <br> (\% of total <br> crashes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rear End | $2,248(45.3 \%)$ | $1,546(42.5 \%)$ | $566(31.6 \%)$ | 4,357 <br> $(41.9 \%)$ |
| Out of Control | $976(19.7 \%)$ | $861(23.7 \%)$ | $524(29.2 \%)$ | 2,361 <br> $(22.8 \%)$ |
| Passing | $825(16.6 \%)$ | $748(20.6 \%)$ | $388(21.7 \%)$ | 1,961 <br> $(18.9 \%)$ |
| Changing Lanes | $235(4.7 \%)$ | $110(3.0 \%)$ | $106(5.9 \%)$ | $451(4.3 \%)$ |
| All Other Types | $676(13.6 \%)$ | $366(10.1 \%)$ | $208(11.6 \%)$ | 1,250 <br> $(12.0 \%)$ |
| Total | 4,960 | 3,628 | 1,792 | 10,380 |

### 2.4 CURRENT TRAVEL MARKET (TRAVEL DEMAND MODEL)

Data for Figures 2-12 through 2-16 were provided by EWGCOG to show the approximate home locations of people working within the segments of the Study Area. Figures 2-12 and $\mathbf{2 - 1 3}$ show the home locations of people working within western and eastern St. Charles County, respectively. Both figures indicate the majority of those working in St. Charles County also live in St. Charles County, and that a large percentage of people living in St. Charles County live relatively close to the I-70 corridor.

Figures 2-14 and 2-15 show the home locations of people working in west and east St. Louis County, respectively. These figures indicate that those working in St. Louis County tend to live throughout the region and that the western portion of the corridor is a more significant employment center than the eastern portion.

Figure 2-16 shows the home locations of people working within the City of St. Louis. It indicates that the City of St. Louis is a significant employment center for the region and that people who work there tend to live throughout the region, although the City of St. Louis does have a denser population of workers.

### 2.5 TRANSIT

Transit service in the Study Area is provided by Metro (in the City of St. Louis and St. Louis County), St. Charles Area Transit (SCAT) (primarily in St. Charles County), and OATS, Inc. (a non-profit transportation service). Services within the corridor include local and commuter buses, light rail, and Call-A-Ride, the region's paratransit service. The routes which run along or through the Study Area are shown in Figure 2-17.
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### 2.5.1 Metro

Metro operates both MetroBus and MetroLink (the light rail system) in the City of St. Louis and St. Louis County. Metro is also the operator for Call-a-Ride in the same area. The route segments studied in this report represent the primary east-west commuting routes in the corridor, and will be the ones most influenced by any future strategies developed. Routes are typically described going from west to east. The ridership numbers listed are from Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 and were provided by Metro. The routes which travel through and parallel to the Study Area are described in Section 2.5.1.1 and summarized in Error! Reference source not found.. Routes that cross the Study Area are described in Section 2.5.1.2 and summarized in Table 2-11. Routes that are within the study area, but do not cross or run parallel to I-70 are described in Section 2.5.1.3 and summarized in Table 2-12. See Appendix D for individual Metro Route Maps.

Table 2-10: MetroBus FY 2016 Ridership on Routes Parallel to I-70 within the Study Area

| Route Name | Service Frequency (Minutes Between Start of Runs) | Annual Weekday Boardings | Average Weekday Boarding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#4 Natural Bridge | 30 peak / 60 off-peak | 484,421 | 1,900 |
| \#30 Soulard | 30 peak / 40 off-peak | 625,251 | 2,452 |
| \#33 Dorsett Lackland | 40 peak / 60-90 off-peak | 179,304 | 703 |
| \#34 Earth City | 20-30 peak / 40-60 off-peak | 216,048 | 847 |
| \#35 Rock Road | 30-40 peak / 40-70 off-peak | 574,651 | 2,254 |
| \#37 Hanley Graham | 40 peak / 60 off-peak | *153,652 | *718 |
| \#38 Hazelwood | 60 | * 107,458 | *502 |
| \#39 Berkeley-Florissant | 60 | *142,165 | *664 |
| \#40 Broadway | 40 peak / 60 off-peak | 485,100 | 1,902 |
| \#41 Lee | 30 peak / 60 off-peak | 339,810 | 1,333 |
| \#49 North Lindbergh | 40 peak / 60 off-peak | 224,417 | 880 |
| \#66 Clayton-Airport | 30-60, limited service | 38,585 | 151 |
| \#74 Florissant | 24-30 peak / 40 off-peak | 754,998 | 2,961 |
| \#77 McDonnell Lindbergh | 40 peak / 60 off-peak | *234,238 | *1,095 |
| \#78 Larimore | 20-40 peak / 60 off-peak | *179,244 | *838 |
| \#94 Page | 20-40 peak / 60 off-peak | 707,227 | 2,773 |
| \#98 Ballas North Hanley | 40 peak / 60 off-peak | 172,672 | 677 |
| \#174X North Express | 60, 30 in the evening | 127,224 | 499 |

Data for January thru February not available. Calculated based on remainder of year.

Table 2-11: MetroBus FY 2016 Ridership on Routes Crossing I-70 in the Study Area

| Route Name | Service Frequency <br> (Minutes Between Start of <br> Runs) | Annual Weekday <br> Boardings | Average Weekday <br> Boarding |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#13 Union | 40 | 153,455 | 602 |
| \#16 City Limits | 30 peak / 40 off-peak | 557,773 | 2,187 |
| \#18 Taylor | 40 peak / 60 off-peak | 183,254 | 719 |
| \#42 Sarah | 40 peak / 60 off-peak | 240,990 | 945 |
| \#47 Clayton NCTC | 40 peak / 60 off-peak | 356,889 | 1,400 |
| \#61 Chambers | $20-30$ | 604,253 | 2,370 |
| \#64 Lucas Hunt | 40 peak / 60 off-peak | 255,309 | 1,001 |
| \#70 Grand | 12 peak / 20 off-peak | $1,996,078$ | 7,828 |
| \#79 Ferguson | 40 peak / 60 off-peak | $* 135,803$ | $* 635$ |
| \#90 Hampton | $20-30$ peak / 40 off-peak | 749,799 | 2,940 |
| \#95 Kingshighway | $15-20$ peak / 30 off-peak | 946,352 | 3,711 |

*Data for January thru February not available. Calculated based on remainder of year.
Table 2-12: MetroBus FY 2016 Ridership on Routes Within the Study Area But Not Parallel or Crossing I-70

| Route Name | Service Frequency <br> (Minutes Between Start of <br> Runs) | Annual Weekday <br> Boardings | Average Weekday <br> Boarding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#02 Red Line | 30 peak / 40 off-peak | 258,912 | 1,015 |

### 2.5.1.1 MetroBus Routes Parallel to I-70 within the Study Area

## Route \#4 Natural Bridge

This route begins at the North Hanley MetroLink Station goes south on North Hanley Road, proceeds east along Natural Bridge Road, turns south on Jefferson/Parnell Street, then east on Market Street. It serves UMSL South MetroLink Station, downtown St. Louis, and Union Station Metro Link Station. The majority of its route is within the formal boundaries of the Study Area, running roughly parallel to I-70 for its entire length. Route \#4 runs at approximately 30 minute intervals during peak times and 60 minutes during off-peak hours. The average daily ridership is 1,900.

## Route \#30 Soulard

This route begins at the Shrewsbury MetroLink Station and heads east down Arsenal, north on Broadway, west on Chouteau, north on $18^{\text {th }}$ Street, east on Market St., and north on Tucker into the study area. It then goes east on Washington Avenue. It goes north on $9^{\text {th }}$ St. and $13^{\text {th }}$ Street and then goes west on St. Louis Avenue out of the study area, but parallel to I-70. It ends at the Rock Road MetroLink Station. It serves several parks, St. Louis Psychiatric Rehabilitation Center, Anheuser Busch Brewery, National Imagery \& Mapping Agency, Grace Hill Neighborhood Services, as well as several St. Louis area neighborhoods and downtown St.

Louis. Route \#30 runs at approximately 30 minute intervals during peak times and 40 minutes during off-peak hours. The average daily ridership is 2,452.

## Route \#33 Dorsett-Lackland

This route begins at Fee Fee Road and Westport Plaza, heading east down Dorsett Road, Lackland, Midland, and St. Charles Rock Road to the Rock Road MetroLink Station. This route operates approximately every 40 minutes during peak times and every $60-90$ minutes during offpeak hours. Approximately 703 commuters use this route on an average weekday.

## Route \#34 Earth City

This line serves Hollywood Casino \& Hotel St. Louis, Hollywood Casino Amphitheater (Previously Verizon Wireless Amphitheater), and Earth City and then travels along I-70 to serve St. Louis Lambert International Airport (early morning trips only) and the North Hanley MetroLink Station. This route operates approximately every 20-30 minutes during peak times and every 40-60 minutes during off-peak hours. Approximately 847 commuters use this route on an average weekday.

## Route \#35 Rock Road

The \#35 Rock Road route starts at the North Hanley MetroLink Station, proceeds northwest along North Hanley Road, then west on McDonnell Blvd. to the St. Louis Mills Outlet Mall, Then South on Taussig Road and east on St. Charles Rock Road serving DePaul Hospital, Earth City, and Bridgeton. Its terminus is the Rock Road MetroLink Station. This route runs along I-70 both to the north and south, and provides local commuter service to several important facilities. Service is every 30-40 minutes during peak times and every 40-70 minutes during off-peak hours, with an average daily ridership of 2,254.

## Route \#37 Hanley Graham

This route begins at the North Hanley MetroLink Station goes North on Hanley and Graham, proceeds west along W. Washington St., then east on Lindbergh and south on St. Ferdinand, ending at Ferdinand and Washington. It serves the North Hanley MetroLink Station, the St. Louis County Health Department, LHB Industries, NW Health Care, and Florissant Meadows. The southern portion its route is within the formal boundaries of the Study Area, running roughly parallel to I 70 until it turns north and out of the study area. Route \#37 runs at approximately 40 minute intervals during peak times and 60 minutes during off-peak hours. The average daily ridership is 718 based upon available data.

## Route \#38 Hazelwood

This route begins at the North Hanley MetroLink Station goes east on University Place Drive, then north on Bermuda Road, Florissant Road, west on Frost Avenue, west on Dunn, then north on Hazelwood Avenue, and west on Pershall Avenue, ending at Phantom and McDonnell Boulevard. It serves the North Hanley MetroLink Station, Light House for the Blind, Center for

Life Solutions, and the City of Hazelwood. The southern portion of its route is within the formal boundaries of the Study Area, running roughly parallel to I-70 until it turns north, crossing I-70 and out of the study area. Route \#38 runs at 60 minute intervals. The average daily ridership is 502 based upon available data.

## Route \#39 Berkeley-Florissant

Route \#39 begins within the Study Area at the North Hanley MetroLink Station, traveling north on Hanley and out of the study area, then east on Frost Avenue, and north through Florissant, northwest on Charbonier, northeast on Shackelford, then east on Mullanphy, Patterson, and New Halls Ferry Road, ending at Flower Valley at the intersection of Old Halls Ferry Road and Lindbergh Boulevard. This line transports visitors to the St. Louis County Health Department, Light House for the Blind, Florissant Valley Library, Old Towne, and several shopping centers. Route \#39 runs every 60 minutes. It serves an average daily ridership of 664 users.

## Route \#40 Broadway

Route \#40 begins at the Catalan Loop and travels north along Broadway, just west to Grand and back east on Meramec, then north again on Broadway until it goes east at Riverview, ending at the Riverview Transfer Center. It serves downtown St. Louis, the Convention Center MetroLink Station, Busch Stadium, Anheuser-Busch InBev Brewery, multiple neighborhoods, and Alexian Brothers Hospital. The route travels along I-70 from O'Fallon Park to the Stan Musial Veterans Memorial Bridge. Buses run every 40 minutes during peak times, and every 60 minutes during off-peak times. The average number of weekday boardings is 1,902 .

## Route \#41 Lee

Route \#41 starts in Bellefontaine Neighbors and travels south on Riverview Drive (Route 367) then turns east traveling along the edge of the Study Area. It terminates in downtown St. Louis at the Union Station MetroLink Station. This is primarily a commuter line from north St. Louis County into the downtown St. Louis area and several neighborhood service centers. This line runs every 30 minutes until early evening, at which point it runs every 60 minutes. The average daily ridership is 1,333 individuals.

## Route \#49 North Lindbergh

The North Lindbergh line begins at the North Hanley MetroLink Station and ends at the Ballas MetroBus Center, traveling within the Study Area between the Hanley Station and US highway 67 (Lindbergh Boulevard). The North Lindbergh line serves one of St. Louis County's longest corridors, providing users with a connection to numerous large employers, business parks, and multiple retail centers. It runs at 40 minute intervals during peak times and 60 minute intervals during off-peak times. This bus route sees an average daily ridership of 880 users.

## Route \#66 Clayton-Airport

This MetroBus line runs primarily north and south connecting the Clayton MetroBus Center at the southern end with the Village Square Shopping Center at the northern end, while also providing service to Lambert Airport Terminal 1 (the Main Terminal). This line operates in the Study Area between Lindbergh and Woodson Road. It runs with a limited service frequency during rush hour of 30 to 60 minute intervals and has an average daily ridership of 151 passengers.

## Route \#74 Florissant

Route \#74 begins at the North County Metrobus Center, then travels south on West Florissant Road, which turns to run parallel to I-70. Near the end of Florissant Road, the bus route turns south onto North $14^{\text {th }}$ Street, west on Olive, and south on $18^{\text {th }}$ Street until its terminus at the Union Station MetroLink Station. This bus route connects residents from north St. Louis County and City (some via connections from other buses) to downtown St. Louis. This route runs every 24-30 minutes until evening hours, when it runs approximately every 40 minutes. The average daily boarding is 2,961 commuters. Some popular destinations served by this line are St. Louis City Hall, Scottrade Center, and the Gateway Multimodal Transportation Center (St. Louis Station), which is the station for MetroLink, Amtrak, and Greyhound.

## Route \#77 McDonnell Lindbergh

Route \#77 begins at the North County MetroBus Center, heads northwest on New Halls Ferry Road, southwest on Lindbergh, east on McDonnell Boulevard, enters the Study Area along Hanley, and ends at the North Hanley MetroLink Station. This route stops at several shopping centers, Valley Industries, and Boeing. It runs with intervals of 40 minutes during peak hours and 60 minutes during off-peak hours. This route has an average daily ridership of 1,095 users based on available data.

## Route \#78 Larimore

Route \#78 starts at the North County MetroBus Center and proceeds east along Pershall, Netherton, and Redman, then south on Larimore Road and Bellefontaine. A limited route starts after stopping at the Riverview Metrobus Center, heading down Broadway and crossing into the study area. It follows I-70 to downtown St. Louis onto Broadway, ending at Union Station. This route serves facilities in North St. Louis County including North City Recreation Complex, Christian Hospital Northeast, Sierra Vista Plaza, and the National Record Center. It also connects residents to the MetroLink system. This line runs every 20-40 minutes during peak hours, and every 60 minutes during off-peak hours. The average number of boardings on a weekday is 838 based on available data.

## Route \#94 Page

This route begins at Lackland and Altom Court, goes east on Fee Fee, south on Ball, then east on Page. It jogs out to Plymouth at the Wellston MetroLink Station, then continues east on Page and M.L. King. It goes south on $18^{\text {th }}$ Street and ends at Union Station. It serves Westport Plaza, JCC, Lighthouse for the Blind, Overland plaza, and Macler Sheppard. This route runs at 20-40 minute intervals during peak traffic and at 60 minute intervals at other times. It serves an average of 2,773 individuals per day.

## Route \#98 Ballas North Hanley

Route \#98 starts at Hanley MetroLink Station and heads west on I-70. It then proceeds south on I-270 and west on Dorsett. It goes south on McKelvey Rd, Craig Road, and Ballas Road, where it ends at Ballas MetroBus Center. It leaves the Study Area at the I-70/I-270 interchange and serves North Hanley MetroLink Station as it travels through the corridor. It serves several shopping centers and Mercy Hospital once it leaves the corridor. This route runs at 40 minute intervals during peak traffic and at 60 minute intervals at other times. It serves an average of 674 individuals per day.

## Route \#174X North Express

Route \#174X runs from North County MetroBus Center to New Halls Ferry Road, which it follows until it turns onto Riverview Drive (Route 367). From there it travels on I-70 past the I-70 turnoff for the Stan Musial Veterans Memorial Bridge and continues to downtown St. Louis and Union Station MetroLink Center. This route provides service from north St. Louis County to downtown St. Louis and local transit service in north St. Louis County. The route runs hourly until early afternoon, after which it runs in 30 minute intervals. It serves an average daily ridership of 499 individuals.

### 2.5.1.2 MetroBus Routes Crossing I-70 in the Study Area

## Route \#13 Union

This route runs at approximately 40 minute intervals starting at Union Boulevard and West Florissant Ave., travels south down Union Boulevard across the Study Area to Lindell Boulevard. It then proceeds east on Lindell Boulevard for one mile then south on N. Taylor Avenue where it terminates at the Central West End Transit Center. It serves the Central West End, Chase Park Plaza, Forest Park, Washington University/BJC Medical Center, Union Seventy Business Park, and St. Louis Children's Hospital. This route serves a weekday average of 602 passengers.

## Route \#16 City Limits

This line begins at the Riverview MetroBus Center then runs south along the city limits, primarily traveling on Jennings Station Road, Kienlen Avenue, Dr. Martin Luther King Drive, Hamilton, Delmar, N. Skinker Boulevard, Bellevue Avenue, Jamieson Avenue, and Lansdowne.

It terminates at the Shrewsbury MetroLink Station. Route \#16 runs at approximately 30 minute intervals during peak hours, and 40 minutes during off-peak hours, stopping at many destinations including St. Mary's Hospital, Forest Park, Washington University's Danforth Campus and North Campus, the Delmar Loop, and Wellston Loop area. It serves a weekday average daily ridership of 2,187 commuters.

## Route \#18 Taylor

This MetroBus route provides a primary source of transportation for many north St. Louis residents. Beginning at Taylor and Broadway, it travels south, crossing through the Study Area along East Taylor Avenue. It connects to MetroLink and other MetroBus routes at its terminus, the Central West End MetroBus Center, while serving destinations such as BJC Medical Complex and St. Louis Children's Hospital, O'Fallon Park, and Forest Park. It runs at 40 minute intervals at peak times and 60 minute intervals at off-peak times. It serves an average daily ridership of 719 riders.

## Route \#42 Sarah

Route \#42 runs between the Central West End Transit Center and the North Broadway MetroBus Center. It satisfies demand for local transit primarily along Sarah Street, Fair Avenue, and North Broadway, offering connections to the MetroLink and other MetroBus lines. This line runs at approximately 40 minute intervals during peak times and 60 minutes during off-peak hours. The Sarah bus route sees an average daily ridership of 945 users.

## Route \#47 Clayton-NCTC

Route \#47 serves local residents from north St. Louis County communities by traveling along several major north St. Louis County corridors. It begins at the Clayton MetroLink Station, travels north on Hanley Street to the North Hanley MetroLink Station, north on I-170, and east on I-270 to the North County Transit Center. It crosses the Study Area on North Hanley Road. This line runs with a frequency of every 40 minutes during peak hours and every 60 minutes during off-peak hours. It has an average daily ridership of 1,400 users.

## Route \#61 Chambers

Route \#61 serves local residents from north St. Louis County communities. It travels from North Hanley Station north on Hanley Road across the study area and east on Airport Road and Chambers to the corner of Glasgow Village and Bellefontaine Neighbors. It then runs NorthSouth from the corner of Spring Garden and Lookaway, ending at Riverview MetroBus Center. This line runs approximately every 20-30 minutes. It has an average daily ridership of 2,370 users.

## Route \#64 Lucas Hunt

Route \#64 runs between the corner of Jennings Station and Halls Ferry and the Rock Road MetroLink Station, and passes through the Study Area along Lucas and Hunt Road. It connects
to local employers, services, and shopping centers. Buses for this line run at a service frequency of 40 minute intervals during peak hours and 60 minute intervals during off-peak hours. It serves an average daily ridership of 1,001 commuters.

## Route \#70 Grand

This route is in one of the region's primary corridors, which is the site of many popular attractions including Grand Water Tower, Fairground Park, John Cochran VA Medical Center, Powell Symphony Hall, St. Louis Black Repertory Theater, Fox Theater, St. Louis University, St. Louis University Medical School, St. Louis University Medical Center, Cardinal Glennon Children's Hospital, Reservoir Park, Missouri School for the Blind, Tower Grove Park, and Carondelet Park. Route \#70 begins in North St. Louis City at Broadway and Taylor, crosses through the Study Area along South Grand Boulevard, and ends in South St. Louis City at the Loughborough Commons. This MetroBus line runs frequently with intervals of about 12 minutes during peak hours and about 20 minutes during off-peak hours. This route is the most heavily used, with an average daily ridership of 7,828 users.

## Route \#79 Ferguson

This route stops at several shopping centers, and high schools. It begins at the North County MetroBus Center, heads west on Pershall and Dunn Road, then south on North Florissant and into the study area, west on Airport Drive, south on Dade, east on Suburban, south on South Florissant, and ending at the North Hanley MetroLink Station. This line runs in intervals of 40 minutes during peak hours and 60 minutes during off-peak hours. Route \#79 has an average daily ridership of 635 users based on available data.

## Route \#90 Hampton

Route \#90 is one of the most popular routes in the St. Louis area. This line allows residents from both North and South St. Louis City neighborhoods to connect to four different MetroLink and MetroBus Transit Centers and other lines, as well as to travel to many employers, shopping areas, and attractions. These attractions include the Federal Center, Saint Louis Zoo, Saint Louis Art Museum, as well as the History Museum. This line crosses through the Study Area along Goodfellow Boulevard and runs at a frequency of 20-30 minutes during peak hours and 40 minutes during off-peak hours. This MetroBus line has an average daily ridership of 2,940 users.

## Route \#95 Kingshighway

The Route \#95 begins in north St. Louis City at Broadway and Taylor at the North Broadway MetroBus Center, travels south on Kingshighway, diverging to the Central West End MetroLink Station along Taylor, and ends in South St. Louis City at the Gravois-Hampton MetroBus Center. Local residents use this route to connect to multiple employers and destinations including Chase Park Plaza, BJC-Washington University Medical Center, Forest Park, Tower Grove Park, and other MetroBus lines and the MetroLink at the Central West End MetroLink Station.It runs
at 15-20 minute intervals during peak hours and 30 minute intervals during off-peak hours. This line has a weekday average of 3,711 commuters.

### 2.5.1.3 MetroBus Routes Within the Study Area But Not Parallel or Crossing I-70

## Route \#02 Red Line

Route \#02 begins at the Maplewood Manchester MetroLink Station. It then goes north on Hanley, west on Musick Memorial Drive to the Brentwood I-64 MetroLink Station, west on Eager Road, and north on Brentwood, stopping at the Richmond Heights MetroLink Station. It goes east on Clayton, north on Skinker, and through the Washington University campus. It winds its way north through Clayton, the City of St. Louis, University City, and Pagedale, stopping at Skinker MetroLink Station, Delmar MetroLink Station, and ending at the Rock Road Metrolink Station. This line runs every 30 minutes during peak hours, and 40 minutes during off-peak times. The average weekday ridership was 1,015 individuals.

### 2.5.1.4 MetroLink

MetroLink is Greater St. Louis' light rail system. The red line began operation in 1993, and connects St. Louis Lambert International Airport with downtown St. Louis and continues to Shiloh, Illinois and the adjacent Scott Air Force Base. The MetroLink lines are shown in Figure 2-17. The blue line, known as the "Cross-County Extension," travels from Fairview Heights, Illinois along the same alignment as the red line, and then south from the Forest Park DeBaliviere station to Clayton and Shrewsbury. The MetroLink system had a total ridership of 16 million in fiscal year (FY) 2016. Many MetroLink stations have Park-Ride lots, which will be discussed below. MetroLink uses a proof-of-payment system for enforcing fare collection.

The only MetroLink stations within the Study Area are the two red line stations at Lambert Airport (at Terminals \#1 and \#2) and the North Hanley Station. The Lambert Airport Terminal \#1 and \#2 stations have an average weekday ridership of 1,177 and 440, respectively, while the North Hanley Station, one of the busiest in the system, has an average weekday ridership of 3,404 . This reflects the use of the North Hanley Station as a major transfer point between MetroBus lines, and between the MetroBus and MetroLink systems.

Although it is outside of the Study Area, it is also important to mention MetroLink and its presence in downtown St. Louis is served by both the red and the blue lines. After I-70 turns east across the Mississippi River into Illinois, I-44 continues south through the City of St. Louis. This is significant because MetroLink provides an alternative commuting option for those who live in north St. Louis County who would otherwise take I-70 and I-44 into the City of St. Louis for work or entertainment, including Busch Stadium, Scottrade Center, and the Dome at America's Center. Table 2-13 tabulates MetroLink's ridership by station for FY 2016.

Table 2-13: MetroLink FY 2016 Ridership by Station

| Station | Annual Total | Monthly <br> Average | Weekday <br> Average | Saturday <br> Average | Sunday <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System | $\mathbf{1 5 , 7 7 7 , 5 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 1 4 , 7 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 , 7 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 , 6 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 6 9 0}$ |
| Missouri | $\mathbf{1 2 , 8 7 8 , 5 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 3 , 2 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 , 7 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 3 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 1 5 7}$ |
| Illinois | $\mathbf{2 , 8 9 9 , 0 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 1 , 5 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 2 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5 3 4}$ |
| Lambert <br> Main/Airport <br> Terminal 1 | 404,631 | 33,719 | 1,177 | 936 | 941 |
| Lambert <br> East/Airport <br> Terminal 2 | 151,910 | 12,659 | 440 | 333 | 381 |
| North Hanley | $1,085,230$ | 90,436 | 3,404 | 2,178 | 1,725 |

### 2.5.1.5 Park-Ride Lots

When building the MetroLink stations, Metro built parking lots to allow commuters to drive to and park at the station to take the train to their destination. There are 12 Park-Ride lots in Missouri and 11 in Illinois. The 12 Missouri lots include nine at MetroLink stations and three other lots at MetroBus Transit Centers. In 2016, the Missouri MetroLink stations had a total of 4,519 parking spaces available, and 6,071 in Illinois, for a total of 10,590 parking spaces (including military-only spaces at Scott Air force Base). The only station in the Study Area with a Park-Ride lot is the North Hanley Station, which has 1,705 parking spaces available. When Metro counted the number of cars parked at the North Hanley Station on June 2, 2011, they found 884 spaces filled (a utilization of approximately $52 \%$ ).

There are also Park-Ride lots at several bus centers, none of which are located in the Study Area, but they serve bus routes which travel along or cross the I-70 corridor. As of 2016, there are a total of 4,770 parking spaces in Missouri lots and 5,650 in Illinois lots (excluding military-only spaces at Scott Air Force Base), for a system total of 10,420 parking spaces.

The use statistics for lots in the Study Area and system totals are shown in Table 2-14.
Table 2-14: Park-Ride Lot Capacity and Utilization in 2016 for Lots within the Study Area and Current System Totals

| Parking Lot Location | Total \# of <br> Parking <br> Spaces | Spaces used |  | Total <br> Used | \% <br> Used | Date and time <br> counted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,705 | 884 | 0 |  | $51.8 \%$ | June 2, 2011 <br> $10-11 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| Missouri | 4,770 |  | Parking Space Totals |  |  |
| Illinois | 6,071 |  |  |  |  |  |
| System Total | 10,841 |  |  |  |  |  |

### 2.5.2 St. Charles Area Transit System (SCAT)

SCAT is a public transit system located in St. Charles County, operated by the City of St. Charles Department of Public Works Street Division. There are four bus routes which are primarily for local transit, and the I-70 Commuter Service which operates during rush hour between St. Charles and the North Hanley MetroLink Station. SCAT lines only operate MondayFriday. The ridership data used in this report was provided by SCAT from 2016.

### 2.5.2.1 Local Transit Service

There are four local transit routes which primarily serve the St. Charles City area, Red, Orange, Green, and Blue routes. Some portion of all four routes is within the Study Area. The primary point of transfer among the lines is the Foundry Art Center at the north end of North Main Street. The local routes, in addition to providing local transit service, also have the ability to funnel commuters to the I-70 Commuter Service.

## Red Route

The Red Route operates north of I-70 and south of Route 370, and serves downtown St. Charles, Lindenwood University, and several residential neighborhoods. The total Red Route ridership in 2016 was 5,060 , with an average daily ridership of 21 passengers.

## Orange Route

The Orange Route runs from I-70 north along Second Street and proceeds north of Route 370 to New Town, a St. Charles residential development and a light industrial area on New Town Boulevard. This route then returns east along Route 370 and south on North and South Fifth Street to the Study Area. The total Orange Route ridership was 5,979 in 2016, which is an average daily ridership of 25 passengers.

## Blue Route

The Blue Route serves residential neighborhoods on both sides of I-70, the City of St. Charles, and several retail areas. This route primarily travels along S. Main Street, Bass Pro Drive, Fairground Road, Friedens Road, Zumbehl Road, South Old Highway 94, Graystone Drive, First Capitol Drive, and South Riverside Drive. This is the busiest local SCAT bus route, with a 2016 total ridership of 9,847 and an average daily ridership of 41 passengers.

## Green Route

This route travels through the City of St. Charles, several residential neighborhoods, and along the I-70 Study Area to the Cave Spring Road overpass. This provides access to the retail development near Mexico Road along Muegge Road and is close to Barnes-Jewish St. Peters

Hospital. This is the second busiest local SCAT route, carrying 6,173 passengers in 2016 with an average daily ridership of 26 passengers.

Table 2-15 summarizes the SCAT annual and daily average ridership of each route.
Table 2-15: SCAT 2016 Local Transit Service Annual and Average Daily Ridership

| Route | Annual <br> Passenger Count | Daily Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | 5,060 | 21 |
| Orange | 5,979 | 25 |
| Blue | 9,847 | 41 |
| Green | 6,173 | 26 |

### 2.5.2.2 I-70 Commuter Service

In addition to local transit service, SCAT operates a daily commuter service between St. Charles and the North Hanley MetroLink Station (EB in the morning and WB in the afternoon). This line runs every 78 to 81 minutes during its service period. The sites served include several commuter parking lots (which will be discussed in more depth in the next section), St. Joseph Health Center in the City of St. Charles, Ameristar Casino, and Streets of St. Charles development. This commuter service has stops near those of the local SCAT lines. The total ridership for the EB morning service in 2016 was 14,230 passengers, and WB in the afternoon was 14,519 . The average daily ridership was approximately 55 passengers in each direction. Table 2-16 presents the total and average number of commuters boarding the SCAT I-70 Commuter Service at each stop location.

Table 2-16: 2016 Total and Average Number of Commuters Boarding the SCAT I-70 Commuter Service at Each Stop

| Stop Location | AM Commuters |  | PM Commuters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Average | Total | A verage |
| St. Joseph Health Center | 295 | 1 | 1,955 | 8 |
| Ameristar Casino | 596 | 3 | 3,678 | 15 |
| Cave Springs | 894 | 4 | 523 | 2 |
| Zumbehl Lot | 1,226 | 5 | 699 | 3 |
| Veterans Memorial Parkway | 130 | 1 | 194 | 1 |
| Streets of St. Charles | 1,001 | 4 | 2,037 | 9 |
| North Hanley Metro | 10,088 | 42 | 5,433 | 23 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 , 2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 5 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ |

*December 2016 data was unavailable. Ridership was taken from January to November 2016.

### 2.5.2.3 Commuter Lots

An essential component of the I-70 Commuter Service are the MoDOT commuter lots. Table 2-17 lists the commuter lots in the I-70 Study Area, together with the number of spaces available. Those with SCAT I-70 Commuter Service stops are marked.

Table 2-17: Location and Capacity of MoDOT Commuter Lots in the I-70 Study
Area

| Location | Number of <br> Spaces | Lighting | SCAT I-70 <br> Commuter <br> Service stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I-70 and Cave Springs Boulevard/Truman | 86 | Yes | Yes |
| I-70 and Route C/Mid Rivers Mall Drive | 148 | Yes | No |
| I-70 and Fairgrounds Road East | 108 | Yes | Yes |
| I-70 and Zumbehl Road | 79 | Yes | Yes |
| I-70 and Lake St. Louis Boulevard | 32 | Yes | No |
| US Route 40 and Callahan Road | 32 | No | No |
| US Route 61 and Pitman Road | 35 | Yes | No |

Source: modot.gov

### 2.6 BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN

This section summarizes current conditions for bicycle and pedestrian transportation, focusing on existing non-motorized facilities, recent bicycle and pedestrian crash data, and Bicycle Level of Service (BLOS) and Pedestrian Level of Service (PLOS) analyses for the Study Area. Each analysis incorporates recent research on factors that impact bicycle and pedestrian comfort and safety, and uses the data available. The level of service models analyzed the collector and arterial roadway network within the Study Area (and adjacent areas where they border the urban service area on both sides), focusing on arterial and collector roadways and associated pedestrian facilities. Limited access highways and local roadways were not included. This method provides a full picture of facility quality within the Study Area.

The Study Area is distinguished by a wide range of land use patterns and roadway network characteristics representative of the region's growth and development. From downtown St. Louis to St. Charles County, there is a gradual transition from dense, mixed use developments, traditional grid street network, and fine-grained connectivity for bicyclists and pedestrians, to suburban-style development characterized by greater separation of land uses, lack of connectivity between adjacent developments, and a greater reliance on arterial and collector roadways. These varying land use and roadway traits impact bicycle and pedestrian levels of service significantly, as this analysis will show.

### 2.6.1 Existing Facilities

The Study Area extends roughly 40 miles along I-70, traversing St. Charles County, St. Louis County, and the City of St. Louis. The following describes the existing bicycle and pedestrian facilities in both Counties and the City of St. Louis.

## St. Charles County

Rapid growth and development in St. Charles County from the 1970s through the 2000s has created an auto-dominated transportation network in which walking and bicycling as modes of transportation were reduced. A greater segregation of land uses widens the distance between large residential subdivisions and schools, parks, and other destinations. Residential subdivisions are characterized by winding roads, cul-de-sacs, and limited access points, increasing walking and bicycling distances to destinations outside of neighborhoods. The majority of functionally classified roadways are characterized by heavy volumes of vehicles and high vehicle speeds, as well as a general lack of bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

Existing sidewalks and trails for pedestrian use are minimal along most of the I-70 corridor in St . Charles County, and those that do exist are not interconnected with adjacent local networks, forcing pedestrians to use shoulders. However, there are several crossings of I-70 that have addressed pedestrian access very well, such as Woodlawn Avenue, Mid Rivers Mall Drive, Spencer Road, Executive Parkway, Hawks Nest Drive, Route 94, and Fairgrounds Road. The Gateway Bike Plan network is defined along and across the I-70 corridor.

While much of the bikeway along the corridor is composed of shoulder bike lanes, and shoulders exist in many places, little has been done to implement improvements at intersections that would greatly improve the bikeability of the network. One notable exception to this is on West Terra Lane, from Lake St. Louis Boulevard to Bryan Road, where the City of O'Fallon has implemented bike lanes and through intersection markings, creating one of the primary high quality bikeways in the County. The City of St Charles recently completed a study to determine the feasibility for an I-70 bicycle and pedestrian crossing and associated connectivity improvements. As a result of the study, bicycling and walking improvements are being designed for Highway 370 Discovery Bridge. It will be a SUP of a minimum of 8 feet on the westbound bridge. The 6 foot directional path is dead. A cantilevered bridge on the eastbound span of the I-70 Blanchette Memorial Bridge is also recommended in the feasibility study. These improvements will provide significant enhancements to network connectivity, support nonmotorized transportation and recreation, and increase access to the Katy Trail.

## St. Louis County

In St. Louis County, there is a gradual transition from suburban development patterns to inner ring and urban development characteristics.. While lot sizes are relatively similar, land uses become more segregated, increasing walking and bicycling distances from residential neighborhoods to local destinations. Less emphasis is placed on the grid street pattern, which is gradually replaced by winding neighborhood streets and a greater reliance on collector and
arterial roadways for motorists, pedestrians, and bicyclists. There are greater distances between transit routes in St. Louis County (compared to St. Louis City), which functions as a limiting factor for pedestrian and bicyclist mobility. Most streets crossing I -70 in St. Louis County east of I-170 offer pedestrian accommodations but not to the extent of encouraging walking with a high level of comfort since they were improved as an add on to recent roadway projects. West of I-170 however, pedestrian infrastructure is limited. Locations such as Air Flight Drive, Cypress Road, and Earth City Expressway offer no sidewalks for pedestrians.

The Gateway Bike Plan network for St. Louis County continues from the City along corridors such as West Florissant Avenue, Natural Bridge Road, and St. Charles Rock Road. The Woodson Road bike lane is the only dedicated on-street bikeway within the St. Louis County section of the Study Area, while the Ted Jones Trail east of Florissant Road and the Earth City Levee Trail along the Missouri River (representing the beginning of the Missouri River Greenway) are among the only linear off-street trails. Additional bike lanes are present on roads surrounding the study area, including Banshee Road, St. Charles Rock Road, Natural Bridge Road, and Lucas and Hunt Road, but few of these facilities connect to one another, and therefore minimally impact bicycle transportation.

The recently completed Great Streets project on Natural Bridge Road from Hanley Road to Lucas and Hunt Road included enhanced bicycle and pedestrian facilities, a four to three travel lane conversion, pedestrian-scale lighting, and other amenities to improve pedestrian safety and comfort. The Gateway Bike Plan network does include routes perpendicular to I 70, but the bikeways near I 70 have only been constructed on Woodson Road from Natural Bridge to St. Charles Rock Road. Overall there is a network plan, but there are minimal high quality routes in place that provide an interconnected system of routes along I-70.

## City of St. Louis

In the City of St. Louis, short block lengths, smaller lot sizes, a greater mixture of land uses, and a typical urban grid street plan create an environment supportive of active transportation. Pedestrians and bicyclists can choose from a variety of route options to reach a given destination, often avoiding arterial roadways with higher vehicle volumes and speeds. Distances are shorter between residential neighborhoods and common destinations like commercial facilities, employment generators, parks, and schools. Public transportation is more prevalent as well, which increases access to destinations beyond walking and bicycling distances. There is a significant presence of sidewalks. All overpasses have pedestrian infrastructure on the bridge crossing, and all but one has good connectivity between the crossing and the adjacent sidewalk network.

Most streets have sidewalks on both sides of the street; however, sidewalks are present on one side of the roadways immediately adjacent to I-70, which is due to destinations only being on one side of the street, adjacent to existing developments. The bikeway network in the City of St. Louis is referred to as Bike St. Louis, which is part of the Gateway Bike Plan Network. Many bikeways included in the Gateway Bike Plan Networks are existing, and were implemented as part of Bike St. Louis Phase 2 and Phase 3 projects. Recent bikeway enhancements near I-70
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include West Florissant, Broadway, and Lillian Avenue, as well as enhanced markings for crossings on I-70 at Goodfellow Boulevard and Grand Avenue.

Great Rivers Greenway has initiated and has been working for several years to convert a 1.5 mile abandon elevated rail line into a vibrant park and recreation district. Open houses were held in 2013, with limited activity since. The Trestle, which spans over I-70 just two blocks north of where the new Mississippi River Bridge now crosses, is envisioned to place St. Louis on a short list of cities with this type of elevated park. The Trestle will together a comprehensive network of on-street bikeways, trails and greenways. This Trestle will connect to the 14th street Greenway project with the Riverfront Trail with a crossing of I-70 that is completely separated from vehicular traffic.

## Existing Facility Summary

While conditions vary throughout the corridor, local and regional governments, MoDOT, and agencies like Great Rivers Greenway (GRG) planned a number of bicycle and pedestrian projects throughout the Study Area to increase connectivity for and accessibility of active transportation. These improvements have had and will continue to have an
 impact on non-motorized network connectivity and levels of service, particularly for bicycle transportation.

### 2.6.2 Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes

The bicycle and pedestrian crash analysis investigated crashes within three miles of I-70 using bicycle crash data from 2010 to 2015 and pedestrian crash data from 2011 to 2015. The analysis was conducted using a buffered cluster technique to show the frequency of bicycle and pedestrian crashes across all years of available data. Generally, high numbers of crashes clustered in a given area may illustrate higher numbers of bicycle and pedestrian trips within an area or along a given corridor. Lack of information related to yearly bicycle and pedestrian ridership means that the analysis is not able to determine the rate at which crashes occur in a given area. In the future, this exposure data can help planners understand how many crashes occur relative to the number of people bicycling and walking near the corridor.
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### 2.6.2.1 Bicycle Crashes

Bicycle crashes in the Study Area are identified in Figure 2-18. From 2010 through 2015, 430 bicycle crashes occurred within a three-mile radius of the I-70 corridor. The highest number of bicycle crashes occurs within the City of St. Louis. These crashes are particularly clustered along major corridors like Martin Luther King Boulevard (State Route 180), Kingshighway Boulevard and 14th Street, and in more dense mixed-use areas like Downtown St. Louis and the Central West End.

Bicycle crashes in St. Louis County are clustered northeast of the I-70 corridor and south of the I-70 corridor. High crash corridors include St. Charles Rock Road (State Route 180), Woodson Road, and Midland Boulevard to the south. High crash corridors to the northeast include Hereford Avenue, and Route N. The intersection of these two roadways is a particularly high crash location. West Florissant Avenue was also identified as a high bicycle crash corridor.

High crash corridors in St. Charles County include Main Street, Mexico Road, and West Clay Street. The intersection of Mexico Road and Bryan Road was identified as a particular high crash location. No fatal bicycle crashes were observed in the study area.

### 2.6.2.2 Pedestrian Crashes

Pedestrian crashes in the Study Area are identified in Figure 2-19. From 2011 through 2015, there were a total of 1,174 pedestrian crashes within a three-mile radius of the I-70 corridor. The difference in overall number of bicycle and pedestrian crashes can be explained to some degree by the higher numbers of people walking in the study area, compared to bicycling. One hundred and sixty-one of those crashes, roughly 14 percent, occurred within a half mile from I-70. The highest number of pedestrian crashes occurs in the City of St. Louis. High numbers of pedestrian crashes in this area occur on Kingshighway Boulevard, Grand Boulevard, Riverview Blvd, Union Boulevard, Natural Bridge Road (State Route 115), and in Downtown St. Louis.

Pedestrian crashes in St. Louis County are clustered along St. Charles Rock Road (State Route 180), Chambers Road east of I-170, Lucas and Hunt Road, West Florissant Avenue, and Jennings Station Road. High crash intersections include St Charles Rock Road at Woodson Road, and Chambers Road at West Florissant Avenue.

Crashes within St. Charles County are primarily clustered in the city of St. Charles, particularly along State Route 94, Clark Street, and Elm Street. Multiple crashes also occurred along Highway K in O'Fallon.

### 2.6.2.3 Crashes by Year

Bicycle crashes within the study area have increased from 2010, however the yearly number of crashes fluctuates within available data. There were 94 total bicycle crashes in the study area in 2015. Likewise, pedestrian crashes have increased since 2011. However, like bicycle crashes, the yearly total fluctuates. There were 289 total pedestrian crashes in the study area in 2015. Longer
term crash data will illustrate overall trends with more certainty than data limited to the past five to six years.

### 2.6.3 Bicycle Level of Service (BLOS)

The methodology for computing the BLOS is detailed in Appendix B. Figure 2-18 shows the BLOS for streets in the Study Area. Calculating BLOS for arterial and collector roadways in the corridor helps to identify roadways where bicycle travel is already relatively pleasant, and provides insight into how new routes may be developed to more effectively serve the area.

As Figure 2-18 shows, conditions generally range from fair (Grade C) to deficient (Grade E) for bicyclists within the Study Area. Conditions are poorest along higher order roadways that provide connection between cities. While these roadways are designed primarily to serve vehicle traffic, they also represent important, and often the only, connection between destinations and cities. There are many lower order roadways that provide good or fair bicycling environments (Grades B and C), but these roadways are often shorter and disconnected, therefore limiting bicycle connectivity. Bicyclists would benefit from long-term plans to provide continuous bike lanes, buffered bike lanes, bikeable shoulders, or other separated cycling facilities.

In St. Charles County, BLOS corresponds highly with functional classification. However, the presence of an outer road system paralleling I-70, consisting of major and minor arterials and often characterized by wide shoulders and lower volumes of motor vehicle traffic, provides better bicycling environment for east-west travel. Interstate overcrossings like Woodlawn Avenue, Sonderen Street, and Hawks Nest Drive provide slightly better conditions for interstate crossing than nearby arterials, but are still unsuitable for less experienced bicyclists.

Conditions in St. Louis County vary considerably, but generally follow the pattern identified in St. Charles County in which BLOS corresponds with functional classification. Nearly all principal and minor arterials within the study corridor in St. Louis County is either a poor or deficient environment for bicycling (Grade D or E). Most major and minor collectors, on the other hand, are either categorized as either Grade B or Grade C. Although these lower order roadways are not connected enough to constitute a network of suitable roadways for bicycling, they do provide important interstate crossing alternatives to arterials.

In the City of St. Louis, high traffic volumes and vehicle speeds along larger arterials like Broadway Blvd and Union Blvd are suitable only for experienced cyclists. While the traditional grid street pattern and Bike St. Louis network of signed bicycle routes provide more suitable route alternatives both adjacent to and across the corridor, barriers to bicycle travel like I-70, large contiguous industrial land uses, and street closures still exist.

### 2.6.4 Pedestrian Level of Service (PLOS)

The methodology for computing PLOS is detailed in Appendix B. Table 2-18 summarizes the PLOS grades and scores of roadways used in this study. Calculating PLOS for all roadways within the Study Area helps to identify roadways where pedestrian travel is already relatively
safe and to provide insight into how new routes may be developed to effectively serve the area. Figure 2-19 shows the PLOS for arterial and collector roads within the Study Area.

Table 2-18: PLOS Score Definition

| PLOS Evaluation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLOS <br> Grade | PLOS <br> Score | Description | Conditions: <br> Vehicle Posted <br> Speed | Conditions: Space |
| A | 1 | Best pedestrian environment | Less than 30 mph | Complete sidewalk with buffer, <br> and/or low speed roadways |
| B | 2 | Good pedestrian environment | Less than 35 mph | Sidewalk and buffer sometimes <br> present |
| C | 3 | Fair pedestrian environment | $25-40 \mathrm{mph}$ | Some sidewalk, roadways <br> increasingly wide |
| D | 4 | Moderate environment | $30-35 \mathrm{mph}$ | Sidewalk on one side |
|  |  | $40-50 \mathrm{mph}$ | Sidewalk on one side, multi-lane <br> roadways |  |
| E/F | 5 | Deficient/unsafe environment | $40+\mathrm{mph}$ | No dedicated space |

The majority of roadways within the Study Area were assigned a PLOS grade of D or E, meaning deficient or unsafe. These roadways are characterized by higher posted speed limits, usually 40 mph or greater, and a lack of dedicated sidewalks or pedestrian facilities.

Conditions for walking in St. Charles County are the least suitable in the entire Study Area. However, Mexico Road, which parallels I-70 from Bryan Road to just east of Muegge Road, does provide a suitable pedestrian environment, especially with recent investments in a continuous sidepath for a considerable length of the corridor.

Current conditions for walking in St. Louis County are worse than in the City of St. Louis, particularly near St. Louis Lambert International Airport and Earth City Business Park, where nearly all roads lack dedicated pedestrian facilities. On roadways where sidewalks are present, higher motor vehicle speeds and a lack of on-street parking, to buffer pedestrians from motor vehicles, detract from the pedestrian environment and reduce PLOS scores. Interstate crossings are also more infrequent in St. Louis County than in the City of St. Louis, and pedestrians must cross the interstate on higher order roadways characterized by lower levels of service.

Pedestrian level of service is highest in the City of St. Louis where a noted presence of sidewalks, lower posted speed limits, and on-street parking create a safer environment for pedestrian travel.

### 2.6.5 Planned Facilities

The Great Rivers Greenway District is a voter-created district in the City of St. Louis, St. Louis County and St. Charles County whose mandate is to develop a connected network of bicycle and pedestrian paths. They have developed a master plan for the region, The River Ring, for a network of paths and trails to enable non-motorized travel (some of which are highlighted on Figure 2-20). Great Rivers Greenway also developed a master plan for on-street bicycle transportation, The Gateway Bike Plan: Regional Routes to Sustainability, which includes recommendations for on-street bikeways throughout the Study Area. The St. Louis Great Streets Initiative study for West Florissant Avenue, a project of the EWGCOG, was completed in 2014 and recommends a shared-use path bikeway option along the corridor. Additionally, individual jurisdictions within the Study Area maintain individual bike and walking
 trails development plans.

### 2.6.6 Existing Walking and Bicycling Demand

The demand model determines the number of walking or bicycling trips that occur in a day within three miles of the I-70 study corridor. The intent is to understand the existing activity that is occurring within the corridor to provide a baseline for assessment of future activity. This model uses Census and other national studies to extrapolate the number of bicycling or walking trips taken by populations that traditionally have a higher bicycle/walking mode split than work commuters (such as elementary school and college students). National transportation surveys
have also shown that commute trips are only a fraction of total trips an individual takes on a given day (National Household Travel Survey [NHTS], 2009). The model uses the NHTS findings to estimate the number of non-work, non-school trips taken by commuters and provide an estimate of additional utilitarian trips (e.g., trips that are not made for exercise or other types of recreation).

In order to provide an assessment that recognizes the distinctive land use and employment patterns within the 40 -mile corridor, this analysis discusses results in terms of St. Louis County, St. Charles County, and the City of St. Louis. Table 2-19 describes observed journey to work trends in the study corridor.

Table 2-19: Assessment of County-Wide Population and Journey to Work Trends, 2000-2015 ${ }^{1}$

| Total Population |  |  |  |  | Workers Age 16 and Over |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geography | 2000 | 2012 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | Percent <br> Change | 2000 | 2012 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | Percent <br> Change |
| St. Charles <br> County | 283,880 | 360,700 | 374,805 | $32 \%$ | 149,110 | 185,550 | 195,810 | $31 \%$ |
| St. Louis <br> County | $1,016,320$ | 999,150 | $1,001,327$ | $-1 \%$ | 498,320 | 478,290 | 482,990 | $-3 \%$ |
| St. Louis <br> City | 348,150 | 318,530 | 317,850 | $-9 \%$ | 140,750 | 142,880 | 144,590 | $3 \%$ |


|  | Walked to Work |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Biked to Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geography | 2000 |  | 2012 |  | 2015 | Percent <br> Change | 2000 | 2012 | 2015 | Percent <br> Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Charles <br> County | 1,180 | $0.79 \%$ | 1,770 | $0.96 \%$ | 2,007 | $1.02 \%$ | $50 \%$ | 80 | $0.05 \%$ | 210 | $0.11 \%$ | 232 | $0.12 \%$ | $170 \%$ |  |  |  |
| St. Louis <br> County | 6,230 | $1.25 \%$ | 7,590 | $1.59 \%$ | 7,231 | $1.50 \%$ | $22 \%$ | 480 | $0.10 \%$ | 1,100 | $0.23 \%$ | 1,202 | $0.25 \%$ | $128 \%$ |  |  |  |
| St. Louis <br> City | 5,690 | $4.04 \%$ | 6,020 | $4.21 \%$ | 6,407 | $4.43 \%$ | $6 \%$ | 490 | $0.35 \%$ | 1,150 | $0.80 \%$ | 1,140 | $0.79 \%$ | $135 \%$ |  |  |  |

### 2.6.6.1 Methods

Journey-to-work information collected by the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey (ACS) from the 2015 five-year estimate is the foundation of this analysis. Model variables from the ACS within St. Charles County, St. Louis County, and St. Louis City include:

[^0]- Total population (1,693,980 people)
- Employed population (823,390 people)
- School enrollment (133,861 college students)
- Travel-to-work mode split (see Table 1).

The 2009 NHTS provides a substantial national dataset of travel characteristics, particularly for bicycling and walking trips. Data used from this survey include:

- Ratio of walking and bicycling work trips to non-work, non-social/recreational trips
- Ratio of work trips to social and recreational trips

Several of these variables provide an indirect method of estimating the number of walking and bicycling trips made for non-work reasons, such as shopping and running errands. NHTS data indicate that for every bicycle work trip, there are slightly more than two utilitarian bicycle trips made. Although these trips cannot be directly attached to a certain group of people (not all utilitarian bicycling trips are made by people who bicycle to work), these multipliers allow a high percentage of the community's walking and bicycling activity to be captured in an annual estimate.

### 2.6.6.2 Corridor Demand Assessment

The model estimates about 58,931 walking and bicycling trips occur in the I-70 corridor each day for transportation purposes. The majority are non-work utilitarian trips which include shopping/errands, medical/dental services, family or personal business, obligations, meals, and other trips. The greatest numbers of work commute trips were made in the City of St. Louis. While the city has a smaller population base than either St. Louis or St. Charles County, it still serves as the region's most dense employment center. The urban form of the city, with its shorter blocks, complete sidewalk infrastructure, and density of jobs and employment make it friendlier to walking and bicycling trips, which tend to be shorter than most trips made by motor vehicle. The number of college and university students within the city also contributes to the higher observed number of walking and bicycling trips. While this analysis assumes the trips to campus are made at the same rates as trips to work, it is likely though that this rate is actually higher (consistent with results observed across the US).

Table 2-20: Bicycle and Pedestrian Demand Assessment I-70 Corridor

| Demand by <br> Geography (Daily <br> Trips) | St. Charles County | St. Louis <br> County | St. Louis City | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bike Commute <br> Trips | 210 | 1,200 | 1,140 | 2,550 |
| Utilitarian Bike <br> Trips | 330 | 1,940 | 1,840 | 4,100 |
| K-12 Bike Trips | 680 | 1,690 | 440 | 2,810 |
| College/University <br> Bike Trips | 470 | 1,280 | 530 | 2,280 |

Table 2-20: Bicycle and Pedestrian Demand Assessment I-70 Corridor (Continued)

| Total Work and Utilitarian Bike Trips | 1,690 | 6,100 | 3,940 | 11,740 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recreational/Social Bike Trips | 980 | 5,730 | 5,440 | 12,150 |
| All Bike Trips | 2,670 | 11,840 | 9,380 | 23,890 |
| Walk Commute Trips | 2,010 | 7,590 | 6,020 | 15,620 |
| Utilitarian Walk Trips | 8,670 | 32,800 | 26,020 | 67,480 |
| K-12 Walk Trips | 9,160 | 22,610 | 5,930 | 37,690 |
| College/University Walk Trips | 1,880 | 5,120 | 2,110 | 9,100 |
| Total Work and Utilitarian Walk Trips | 21,720 | 68,110 | 40,070 | 129,900 |
| Recreational/Social Walk Trips | 7,850 | 29,680 | 23,550 | 61,080 |
| All Walk Trips | 29,560 | 97,800 | 63,620 | 190,980 |
| Non-Motorized Commute Trips | 2,210 | 8,790 | 7,160 | 18,170 |
| Non-Motorized Utilitarian Trips | 9,000 | 34,730 | 27,850 | 71,580 |
| Non-Motorized K12 Trips | 9,840 | 24,290 | 6,370 | 40,510 |
| Non-Motorized College/University Trips | 2,350 | 6,390 | 2,630 | 11,380 |
| Total NonMotorized Work and Utilitarian Trips | 23,400 | 74,220 | 44,020 | 141,640 |
| Non-Motorized Recreational/Social Trips | 8,830 | 35,420 | 28,980 | 73,230 |
| Total All NonMotorized Trips | 32,230 | 109,630 | 73,000 | 214,860 |
| Population | 374,810 | 1,001,330 | 317,850 | 1,693,980 |
| Employment | 195,810 | 482,990 | 144,590 | 823,390 |

Consistent with trends observed since the 1960's, the City of St. Louis continued to lose population between 2000 and 2015. The rate of population loss has declined, however, to about nine percent, and the decline is expected to continue to slow over time, according to projections completed by the EWGCOG. Despite the loss of population, walking and bicycling rates increased in the City of St. Louis, likely due in part to the increase in employment, particularly within the Central Corridor, where denser, mixed use neighborhoods are within close proximity to major employment centers. The increased rates of walking and cycling within the City of St. Louis may be attributed to either a younger and more affluent creative class that is less likely to own a motor vehicle or simply due to the increased number of people working and making daily trips.

St. Louis County and St. Charles County also showed positive increases in the number of people walking and bicycling to work. While St. Louis County showed a slight population decline ($1 \%$ ), St. Charles experienced a growth of $32 \%$. According to EWGCOG these trends are expected to continue for the foreseeable future and result in continued overall regional population growth. While land use in St. Charles County is presently dominated by single family residential, green field sites remain and many opportunities exist to create bicycle- and pedestrian-friendly environments both through new development and retrofit of existing infrastructure. Enhancing the environment can provide return on benefits including reduced household travel costs, vehicle miles traveled, air pollution, savings on healthcare, and safety spending.

Trips made for social or recreational purposes are not included in this model since its underlying goal is estimating the transportation benefits of bicycling and walking. However, it is worth noting that NHTS data shows that there are approximately 6.5 social and recreational bicycle trips made for every bicycle commute trip. NHTS data estimates that 5.9 social and recreational walking trips are made for every walking commute trip. In the I-70 corridor, an estimated 30,718 social and recreational trips are made on foot or by bicycle. These social and recreational trips are not included in the estimates of existing and future bicycling and walking activity, which only take into account non-discretionary trips (e.g., trips to work, the grocery store, or medical appointments). That means the total non-motorized activity estimate for the corridor is about 89,649 trips.

### 2.6.6.3 Impact of Existing Environment and Infrastructure

As observed in the bicycle and pedestrian level of service, the quality of the bicycling and walking environment varies significantly along the 40-mile corridor. The best conditions are found within the City of St. Louis, a few roadways in the eastern portion of the corridor where bicycle facilities exist, and the Katy Trail, which runs through the study area near the border of St. Charles County and St. Louis County. The Gateway Bike Plan, developed by Great Rivers Greenway, is currently being implemented across the region. The planned network includes recommendations to improve bicyclists' comfort and safety across St. Louis City, St. Louis County, and St. Charles County. These significant infrastructure improvements would set the stage for dramatic increases in bicycling activity.

Since everyone in the region has the potential to be a pedestrian, providing safe, comfortable and convenient connections can benefit all regional users. The more dispersed land use pattern along the majority of the I-70 corridor suggests that pedestrian trips are likely to be shorter 'last mile' trips connecting to transit stops or nearby destinations like schools. Transit service is currently not available in much of the corridor and many roadways do not have sidewalks, suggesting that a number of trips that could be made on foot are probably not happening at the current time.

In areas with a higher median income people are more likely to be car-free by choice, while the inverse is true in areas with lower median income and may be an important consideration when equitably distributing transportation infrastructure across the region.

### 2.7 FREIGHT TRANSPORTATION

### 2.7.1 St. Louis Regional Freight Study

The EWGCOG commissioned a report, St. Louis Regional Freight Study, published in 2013, to evaluate the freight infrastructure capacity and usage in the St. Louis Metropolitan area. The report identified 23 manufacturing job clusters which are industrial site areas that serve either as a destination or an origin for freight. Of those, eight are in the Study Area and two more will contribute heavily. Those in the Study Area are shown in Table 2-21. The two sites which contribute to traffic on I-70 are both on Page Boulevard (the Page-270 Quadrant and the Page Corridor). These are primarily industrial and commercial areas.

Table 2-21: Freight Origins and Destinations in the Study Area

| Site Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| GM - Wentzville | Assembles GM vehicles |
| West 70-Arrowhead | Commercial and industrial complex |
| Fountain Lake/Elm Point | Commercial and industrial complex |
| Earth City | Industrial, office, agricultural, and entertainment |
| St. Louis Lambert International <br> Airport | Passenger and freight airport and surrounding area |
| Kingshighway Building/I-70 | Industrial properties with rail access |
| Broadway Hall | Area along the Mississippi River with river and rail access (Missouri side) |
| East Industrial Gateway - IL | Industrial and infrastructure gateway to the St. Louis area on the |
| Illinois side; river rail, and highway access |  |

I-70 is a major truck traffic corridor for freight moving east from Kansas City through the St. Louis area to the East Coast. However, west of St. Louis, most traffic takes I-44 and I-40 towards Los Angeles. This is mostly due to the significance of the Port of Los Angeles as the primary freight gateway for the Pacific Rim.

There are six Class I railroads serving the St. Louis area. Travel through the St. Louis area accounts for most of the traffic on these lines. When measured by tonnage, bituminous coal from the Powder River Basin (point-of-rail origin is Casper, Wyoming) is one of the most significant commodities shipped via rail through St. Louis. When measured by value of product shipped, cars, plastics, iron, steel products, and coal topped the list. These products primarily travel within the Midwest and/or to Texas. Petroleum and refined petroleum products were a significant portion of outbound freight from the St. Louis area in 2010.

Containers shipped via rail are also a significant source of rail traffic. Most of this mode's traffic through St. Louis travels between Chicago and Texas (San Antonio, Dallas, and Houston). For containers originating in St. Louis, the primary destinations are Los Angeles and New York.

St. Louis is also the northernmost point on the Mississippi River which provides winter access and is the last major port before the locks and dams on the Upper Mississippi River. Unlike highway and rail freight, where the primary use is through traffic, most barge traffic in the St. Louis area originates in St. Louis. The two largest destinations are New Orleans and Baton Rouge, LA, and the three largest commodities are coal, agricultural products (grain and oil kernels) and petroleum products. Significant demand for these products comes from the international market.

St. Louis Lambert International Airport and MidAmerica St. Louis Airport (St. Clair County, Illinois) are also significant air freight terminals. Air freight is generally reserved for high-value and/or time sensitive products, including electronics and perishables. There have been efforts to develop a legislative framework to encourage international trade by creating tax incentives and to create partnerships with foreign cargo carriers. While there is significant capacity at both of these facilities, the competition from other cities and a lack of robustness in the wider economy means there is room for growth and opportunities at both airports.

St. Louis is a major location for the exchange of freight between river and truck/rail. The truck and rail, in turn, mostly move through the Upper Midwest to manufacturing and industrial centers. I-70 west towards Kansas City and east towards the East Coast is a major artery for this freight and for transportation within the St. Louis region.

The St. Louis Regional Freight Study by EWGCOG provides more specific details about freight traffic in the St. Louis region and future projection. Additionally, MoDOT conducted a freight study, the Missouri State Freight Plan, that identifies strategic projects that can make significant improvements to the freight system. In the I-70 study area, a planning project was recommended between MO-141 and I-270. Planning studies were recommended for truck bottlenecking and the highest $25 \%$ of CMV crash rate at I-70/Grand, I-70/Kings Highway, U.S. 67/I-70, and I-270/I-70.

### 2.7.2 St. Louis Regional Freightway

In 2014, the St. Louis Regional Freightway was founded through EWG Board Action after a series of stakeholder meetings to discuss how the District might look and feel, as well as to
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create a regional freight development Action Plan. It coordinates regional freight development efforts, connects private and public sectors, and advocates the region's greatest freight and site selection strengths. Primary criteria identified by the St. Louis Regional Freightway for projects in the St. Louis Region include economic impact, multimodal impact, and efficiency impact. Secondary criteria include strategic alignment, business development impact, and public safety impact. Projects listed in 2016 in the study area are Merchants Rail (TRAA) Bridge Replacement over the Mississippi (over Merchants Bridge) and North Riverfront Commerce Corridor Improvement.

### 2.7.3 Missouri Freight Plan

Also in 2014, MoDOT built on Missouri's Long Range Transportation Plan and worked with stakeholders to identify opportunities and actions to improve the freight transportation system statewide to meet current and future needs of Missouri businesses and increase economic development and jobs. The Plan is designed to take care of the existing freight system and make the best and most strategic investments possible when funds are available.

The goals of the plan included maintenance of the current system by keeping highways and bridges in good condition while supporting maintenance of railways, waterways, airports, and multimodal connections. It plans to improve safety by decreasing the number and severity of crashes involving commercial vehicles and improving safety at railroad crossings. The plan supports economic growth and competitiveness through improvements to the freight system. It will also improve the connections between freight modes and improve the mobility of the freight system by reducing congestion and increasing reliability of the roadways.

The plan identified three strategic considerations. One was to reduce and/or mitigate the negative environmental impacts of freight. The second was to institute practices and policies that support the freight system. The third was to improve coordination and collaboration with stakeholders.

I-70 was identified as a Tier 1 Route. Lambert Airport is identified as a Primary Freight Airport. There are 2 railroads within the Study Area. Norfolk Southern is just within the buffer zone near Grand Avenue, while Union Pacific runs along 70 from Grand Avenue to Union Avenue with both railroads operating at capacity. The Missouri River also serves as Marine Highway M-70 from St. Louis to Kansas City. Crude Oil and Petroleum Product pipelines also cross the study area.


Source: MoDOT Freight Transportation Plan

30 intermodal facilities are also within the St. Louis area.

Prioritized freight projects included capacity improvements to I-70 in St. Louis. The most severe bottlenecks were identified near the confluence of Interstates 70, 64, 55, and 44 near downtown, however the Stan Musial Veteran's Memorial bridge has since opened.

The largest rail commodities outbound from St. Louis by tonnage in 2011 were Waste or Scrap Materials, Chemicals or Allied Products, and Miscellaneous Mixed Shipments with destinations in Texas, California, and Illinois.

The largest commodities inbound to St. Louis were Coal, Farm Products, and Chemicals or Allied Products. Most originated in Wyoming and to a lesser extent California, North Dakota, Iowa, and Illinois.

Freight transportation was further evaluated with other modes of transportation. Projecting numbers to 2030, the amount of freight is expected to increase across all modes of transportation. There is capacity to expand waterborne traffic on the Missouri and Mississippi Rivers, however dredging frequency, lack of improvements to locks and dams, and inconsistent water levels hinders an increase in traffic. Cargo facilities at the airport need updated and expanded to allow for technological changes and efficiency. Aside from funding, reliability was the main concern of stakeholders. Reliability stems from congestion and capacity issues. Better connectivity is needed between freight modes, and deficient bridges in the area could cause costly delays and pose safety concerns for carriers. There is also a shortage of motor carriers and truck fleets as it is becoming increasingly difficult to recruit and insure drivers. Many fleets have left St. Louis, which is driving up costs to move freight on roadways.

St. Louis District projects listed within the study area include:

- Highway, Route 64 from I-70 to MO-K, Very High Priority
- Highway, Corridor improvements on I-70 from I-64 to the Missouri River (near MO-94), Very High Priority
- Highway, Reconstruct the I-64/I/70/US 61 Interchange and add capacity from Wentzville Parkway to I-64 in St. Charles, High Priority
- Highway, Corridor Improvements on US-61 from Lincoln Co. to I-70, High Priority
- Highway, Corridor Improvements from Page Ave. to I-70, High Priority
- Aviation, Connect Lambert International Airport cargo area with an industrial complex and connections to I-70, High Priority
- Highway, Interchange improvements at I-270 and I-70, High Priority
- Aviation, Air cargo capacity is available but the cargo facilities are dated, small, no refrigeration, Medium Priority
- Aviation, Improvements at Lambert Airport including expanding the cargo facility to the north side of the airport and redeveloping the former Boeing production facility for cargo development and expansion, Medium Priority

Statewide projects listed within the study area include:

- Highway, Improve I-70 between Kansas City and St. Louis (Ranges from adding a third lane to adding dedicated truck lanes), Very High Priority
- Highway, Improve I-70 between Lake St. Louis and I-55/64, Very High Priority

From 2006 to 2015 coal imports from Wyoming to Missouri have declined from 52.3 million tons in 2006 to 40.4 million tons in 2015. This decline, however, is at a slower rate $(2.17 \%$ annually) than to other US States ( $5.96 \%$ annually). In 2015, $98 \%$ of coal in Missouri arrived by rail, up from $95 \%$ in 2006. Total tons of coal destined for Missouri by rail decrease from 49.5 million tons in 2006 to 41.3 million tons in 2015 at a decline of $1.98 \%$ annually. To reach states in the East and the Southeast by rail, coal must pass through St. Louis. The total amount of coal passing through St. Louis declined at $5.54 \%$ annually, from 384.5 million tons in 2006 to 230.2 million tons in 2015. In 2006, it accounted for $12 \%$ of the consumption of the East and Southeast states, decreasing to $11 \%$ in 2015. There has been a decline of $6.75 \%$ annually in the number of trains carrying coal from 2006 to 2015.

Port of St. Louis annual shipments increased from 30.2 million tons in 1996 to 44.6 million tons in 2014, a $1.42 \%$ increase annually. 2010 to 2014 saw an annual increase of $9.70 \%$. According to USACE data, the St. Louis Region (including the Port of Kaskaskia since 2012) had an annual increase of $2.76 \%$ from 1996 to 2014. The Port of St. Louis moved from 22 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ largest in 1996 to $17^{\text {th }}$ in 2014.

### 3.0 EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

This chapter summarizes the existing environmental conditions of the Study Area that consists of I-70 from just west of the I-70/I-64 interchange in Wentzville, Missouri, continuing through the Stan Musial Veterans Memorial Bridge complex to the end of the express lanes in the City of St. Louis. The environmental resource information presented in this section is similar to, but less detailed than the information typically presented in Existing Conditions sections of National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documents. A Planning and Environmental Linkages (PEL) study occurs before construction funding is available for a specific project. Therefore, detailed information about a project and impacts from that project are not available. Therefore, the level of detail for environmental resources in a PEL is typically less than what is presented in NEPA documentation. Additional detail regarding the environmental resources presented in this report will occur in subsequent NEPA documentation for those projects that are recommended in the PEL.

The resources analyzed in this report were selected based upon the characteristics of the Study Area and regulatory requirements that are generally consistent with NEPA, its implementing regulations, and with Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT) guidelines. The resources were analyzed to consider avoidance or minimization early in the project development process and to assess the importance of each resource to the Study Area, which could affect decision making. The following resources are considered potential "red flag" environmental resources with separate regulatory drivers, such as the Endangered Species Act (ESA) or Clean Water Act (CWA), or are typically resources of concern for the general public:

- Air Quality
- Sensitive Noise Receptors
- Cultural Resources
- Parks, Refuges, and Recreation Facilities
- Public and Large Commercial Facilities
- Sites with Hazardous Substances
- Wetlands and Other Waters of the U.S.
- Water Resources
- Other Biological Resources
- Land Cover and Land Use
- Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice

This section presents the results of the analysis for each of these resource topics. Within each resource subsection, the resource is introduced and followed by a description of methodology and existing conditions.

### 3.1 AIR QUALITY

The Clean Air Act (CAA) and its amendments are the primary basis for regulating national air pollutant emissions. As required by the CAA, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for certain pollutants called criteria pollutants. These standards are shown in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1: National Ambient Air Quality Standards

| Pollutant | Averaging Period | Primary Standard ${ }^{(A)}$ | Secondary Standard ${ }^{(B)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carbon Monoxide (CO) | 1-hour | 35 ppm | None |
|  | 8-hour | 9 ppm | None |
| Ozone ( $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ ) | 8-hour | 0.070 ppm | Same as primary |
| Nitrogen Dioxide ( $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ) | 1-hour | 100 ppb | None |
|  | Annually | 53 ppb | Same as primary |
| Lead (Pb) | Rolling 3-month average | $0.15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | Same as primary |
| Sulfur Dioxide ( $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ ) | 1-hour | 75 ppb | None |
|  | 3-hour | None | 0.5 ppm |
| Inhalable Particulates $\left(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\right)$ | 24-hour | $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | Same as primary |
| Fine Particulates ( $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ ) | 24-hour | $35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | Same as primary |
|  | Annually | $12.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $15.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |

${ }^{(A)}$ Primary standards define air quality levels intended to protect the public health.
${ }^{(B)}$ Secondary standards define levels of air quality intended to protect the public welfare from known or anticipated adverse effect of a pollutant (e.g., visibility, vegetation damage, material corrosion).
Note: $\mathrm{ppm}=$ parts per million; $\mathrm{ppb}=$ parts per billion, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}=$ micrograms per cubic meter.
Source: EPA: https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants/naaqs-table accessed January 12, 2017.

Since motor vehicles produce carbon monoxide (CO), inhalable particulates $\left(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\right)$, fine particulates $\left(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\right)$ and precursors to ozone (volatile organic compounds (VOC)), and nitrogen oxides $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ ), the NAAQS for these criteria pollutants are of most the concern to this project.

### 3.1.1 Methodology

The EPA designates areas across the country as being either in nonattainment, maintenance, or attainment/unclassifiable for each criteria pollutant. The designations are based upon historic air quality monitoring data. The EPA's Green Book was used to identify areas within the Study Area designated as nonattainment for NAAQS.

Reports from the State of Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) were reviewed to evaluate the trends in monitored air quality data. The most current monitored air quality data was obtained from the EPA Air Quality System Data Mart, through AirData.

### 3.1.2 Key Points

The EPA has designated St. Charles County, St. Louis County, and the City of St. Louis as being nonattainment for ozone. The City of St. Louis and the portion of St. Louis County in the Study Area are designated as being in maintenance for CO. Due to the nonattainment status for ozone, the region previously had to develop and implement a State Implementation Plan (SIP) that would help reduce emissions of ozone, eventually bringing the region into attainment (plan adopted March 28, 2013). The region also developed a Limited Maintenance Plan (LMP) for the St. Louis area to ensure CO emissions did not increase to exceed the CO NAAQS (plan adopted March 27, 2014, EPA approval October 1, 2015).

The coldest months in the St. Louis area are typically December through February, with average lows of 24 to 28 degrees Fahrenheit, and average highs of 40 to 45 degrees Fahrenheit. The warmest months are typically June through September, with average monthly lows of 61 to 71 degrees Fahrenheit, and average monthly highs of 81 to 89 degrees Fahrenheit. Temperature extremes in 2016 ranged from 18 to 98 degrees Fahrenheit. Precipitation in the St. Louis area ranges between 20 and 58 inches annually.

In 2016, the area received more than 39 inches of precipitation, with August being the wettest month. December, January and February were the driest months. The average monthly wind speeds in 2016 ranged from 7 miles per hour (mph) to 10 mph , with August being the least windy month and April being the windiest month. The highest sustained wind speed in 2016 was 48 mph , while the highest gust wind was 60 mph .

Figure 3-1 shows the wind speeds and directions as collected in Granite City, Illinois. As shown, on days with high concentrations of PM2.5, the winds were generally from the southeast with speeds ranging from 1 to 7 mph . On days with low concentrations of PM2.5, the winds were generally from the northwest with speeds ranging from 1 to 12 mph .

Air quality monitoring data and predictions for the St. Louis area show that between 2008 and 2016, NOx and VOC, ozone precursors, as well as CO have decreased and are expected to continue decreasing. PM2.5 and PM10 emission levels have remained relatively flat and below the NAAQS. Table 32 shows the existing pollutant concentrations for the area. As shown in the table, ozone is the only pollutant currently above the NAAQS.

Transportation conformity is required under the CAA in areas designated as nonattainment to ensure that federally-funded or approved highway and transit activities are consistent with (or conform to) the purpose of the SIP. Projects must prove that they will not cause or contribute to new localized violations of CAA standards, nor increase the frequency or severity of existing violations within the Study Area. Transportation conformity is achieved by being included in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Plan (STIP).

Figure 3-1: Wind Speeds and Directions, Granite City ${ }^{2}$


Table 3-2: Current City of St. Louis Air Quality Design Values (Calculated using data from 2014-2016)

| Pollutant | Averaging Period | NAAQS | Existing Data ${ }^{(A)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carbon Monoxide (CO) | 1-hour | 35 ppm | 1.7 ppm |
|  | 8-hour | 9 ppm | 0.8 ppm |
| Ozone $\left(\mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ | 8-hour | 0.070 ppm | 0.069 ppm |
| Nitrogen Dioxide $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ | 1-hour | 100 ppb | 48 ppb |
|  | Annually | 53 ppb | 12 ppb |
| Lead (Pb) | Rolling 3-month average | $0.15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $0.039 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Sulfur Dioxide $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$ | 1-hour | 75 ppb | 20 ppb |
|  | 3-hour | 0.5 ppm | 0.008 ppm |
| Inhalable Particulates |  |  |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\right)$ | 24-hour | $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $67 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Fine Particulates $\left(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\right)$ | 24-hour | $35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $24 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
|  | Annually | $12 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3} / 15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |

${ }^{(A)}$ The existing data, as shown, is the calculated Design Value using the NAAQS specified calculations and averages. Data and calculations are included in Appendix C.
Note: $\mathrm{ppm}=$ parts per million; $\mathrm{ppb}=$ parts per billion, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}=$ microgramps per cubic meter.
Source: EPA: http://www.epa.gov/airdata/ad_rep_mon.html, http://www.epa.gov/air/criteria.html, and http://www.epa.gov/airtrends/values.html, accessed January 12, 2017.
${ }^{2}$ Source: Missouri's Recommendation for Area Boundary Designations for the 2012 Annual Fine Particulate Matter National Ambient Air Quality Standard, Missouri Air Conservation Commission Adoption, December 5, 2013, Missouri Department of Natural Resources Division of Environmental Quality, Air Pollution Control Program.
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This project is identified in the STIP for 2014-2018 as an environmental study; however, no portion of the project has been included within the fiscally constrained STIP. Transportation conformity will need to be demonstrated before the project/projects can be included in the EWGW Long Range Plan or TIP.

### 3.2 SENSITIVE NOISE RECEPTORS

A general concern with transportation facilities is the potential for noise impacts from vehicles on receptors (i.e., properties) near the facilities. Thresholds for determining noise impacts have been established by state and federal transportation agencies (e.g., MoDOT and FHWA) to guide these conclusions. When impacts are identified from an improvement, mitigation actions for the impacted receptors are typically considered for the project design. This is an important consideration for this project because properties along the I-70 mainline may be impacted by noise.

### 3.2.1 Methodology

Existing transportation noise conditions for the PEL area were developed by identifying the areas of possible improvements along the I-70 mainline and potentially impacted interchanges. Receptors within 500 feet of these roadways were noted for potential noise impacts. The MoDOT Engineering Policy Guide (EPG) provides policy on highway traffic and construction noise. Additionally, it describes MoDOT's implementation of the requirements of the FHWA Noise Standard (23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 772). EPG Article 127.13 Noise describes MoDOT's implementation approach of these standards and is effective as of July 13, 2011. It includes traffic noise prediction requirements, noise analyses, noise abatement criteria, and requirements for informing local officials.

The current MoDOT Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC) are presented in Table 3-3. If the noise level at a receptor is found to approach or exceed the relevant NAC, the receptor is considered impacted. The noise level is defined by MoDOT as "Approaching NAC" if it is 1 decibel ( $\mathrm{dBA}^{3}$ ) less than the NAC for Activity Categories A through E. No action is required for Category F. Representative data from Category $G$ is generated only as needed to provide information to governmental entities.

For proposed improvements, a calculated increase in the noise level at a receptor of 15 dBA or more at a future time is also considered a noise impact, and is classified as a substantial increase over the existing noise level. For example, for a receiver in Activity Category B, a noise impact will occur if the predicted noise level is 66 dBA or higher, or if the predicted noise level exceeds the existing noise level by 15 dBA or more.

[^1]Table 3-3: MoDOT Noise Abatement Criteria

| Activity <br> Category | Activity Criteria ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | Evaluation Location | Description of Land Use Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {eq (h) }}$ |  |  |
| A | 57 | Exterior | Lands on which serenity and quiet are of extraordinary significance and serve an important public need and where the preservation of those qualities is essential if the area is to continue to serve its intended purpose. |
| $B^{\text {B }}$ | 67 | Exterior | Residential |
| C | 67 | Exterior | Active sport areas, amphitheaters, auditoriums, campgrounds, cemeteries, day care centers, hospitals, libraries, medical facilities, parks, picnic areas, places of worship, playgrounds, public meeting rooms, public or nonprofit institutional structures, radio studios, recording studios, recreational areas, Section 4(f) sites, schools, television studios, trails, and trail crossings. |
| D | 52 | Interior | Auditoriums, day care centers, hospitals, libraries, medical facilities, places of worship, public meeting rooms, public or nonprofit institutional structures, radio studios, recording studios, schools, and television studios. |
| $\mathrm{E}^{\text {B }}$ | 72 | Exterior | Hotels, motels, offices, restaurants/bars, and other developed lands, properties or activities not included in A-D or F. |
| F | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Agriculture, airports, bus yards, emergency services, industrial, logging, maintenance facilities, manufacturing, mining, rail yards, retail facilities, ship yards, utilities (water resources, water treatment, electrical), and warehousing. |
| G | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Undeveloped lands that are not permitted for development. |

${ }^{(A)}$ The $\mathrm{L}_{\text {eq(h) }}$ is the Equivalent Sound Level over a one-hour time period, or the hourly value of the sound exposure level. $\mathrm{L}_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{h})$ values are for impact determination only, and are not design standards for noise abatement measures.
${ }^{(B)}$ Includes undeveloped lands permitted for development for this activity category.

## The MoDOT policy also states:

- Proposed mitigation requires a noise reduction of at least 5 dBA for a minimum of $67 \%$ of impacted first-row receivers in order for that mitigation to be considered feasible.
- In order for proposed mitigation to be considered reasonable, each of the following three conditions must be met:
- Viewpoints of owners and non-owner residents of the benefitted receptors will be obtained. The viewpoints of non-owner residents will be evaluated as a portion of
an aggregate of $25 \%$ of the total. The viewpoints of owners will be evaluated as a portion of an aggregate of $75 \%$ of the total. For noise abatement to be considered reasonable, over $50 \%$ of the aggregate response must be favorable.
- Noise abatement measures shall not exceed 1,300 square feet per benefitted receptor, in the case of noise walls. Where noise walls are not options, other noise abatement techniques may be considered, but cannot exceed $\$ 36,000$ per benefitted receptor.
- Noise abatement measures must provide a noise reduction of a minimum of 7 dBA for $67 \%$ of impacted first-row receptors.
- A noise wall must be 20 feet or less in height for safety reasons.
- Third party funding cannot be used to make up the difference in cost between the reasonable cost allowance and the actual cost. Third party funding can only be used to pay for additional features such as landscaping, aesthetic treatments, etc. for noise barriers that meet cost-effectiveness criteria.
- Cost averaging of multiple walls is not allowed.


### 3.2.2 Key Points

The I-70 mainline within the Study Area is approximately 40 miles long with numerous land uses existing within 500 feet of its footprint, as shown on Figure 3-2. Activity categories B, C, $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}$, and G are all represented within the study boundaries for potential noise impacts. Until specific roadway improvements are proposed for the Study Area, it is not practical to analyze for impacted receivers and potential noise barrier locations; however, it is noted that if lanes are added, the improvements are likely to result in impacted receivers and may warrant noise barriers. Additionally, if roadway modifications are proposed at interchange locations, there is potential for additional noise barrier locations outside of the Study Area (one quarter mile north and south of the Study Area) along other major routes intersecting with I-70. This would be most likely to occur near the interchanges with I-64, Interstate 270 (I-270) and Interstate 170 (I-170) and Routes 370,141 , and 67 , depending on specific land uses nearby.

Future projects identified in this PEL or in future documents and studies will require a thorough noise analysis that complies with the latest MoDOT noise guidance to determine the need for and reasonableness of noise mitigation. Based on a general overview of the Study Area and the potential for necessary improvements, it is highly likely that noise mitigation will be part of future studies and design plans.

### 3.3 CULTURAL RESOURCES

This section includes information on previously identified cultural resources along the project corridor. Historic resources encompass man-made features and physical remains of past human activity (generally at least 45 years old), and includes properties constructed in 1972 or earlier. Historic resources include buildings, bridges, railroads, roads, and other structures.

Archeological resources may include material remains of past human life or activities which are of archaeological interest. Significant resources are afforded consideration by Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1969 (NHPA), as amended, as well as Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966 (DOT Act). Significant resources are also those that are listed or may be eligible for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). Sites qualifying for the NRHP must retain sufficient integrity (of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association) and meet one or more of the eligibility criteria specified in 36 CFR 60.4.

### 3.3.1 Methodology

Information on previously identified historic properties and potential historic properties is included. This information was collected from a variety of sources including the following:

- Lists of properties on the NRHP
- Lists of local landmarks from communities and counties with local historic landmark programs
- A file search at the Missouri State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) for all properties which had previously been surveyed and designated as eligible for inclusion on the NRHP
- A file search at the SHPO for all archaeological sites and survey files.


### 3.3.2 Key Points

The greatest areas of cultural concern along the I-70 corridor are areas where human burial sites are known to exist. These include formal historic cemeteries, mounds, cairns, mortuary sites, and other archaeological sites where human remains have been reported. Some of these appear to have been destroyed by the construction of the highway due to their very close proximity to the existing highway. It has been shown, however, that remnants of the burial grounds could remain and caution should be taken in these areas.

The Study Area has gone through several decades of development, with businesses, houses and public facilities taking advantage of the travel and commerce offered by the presence of the highway. Throughout the Study Area, construction of roadways has already greatly disrupted many areas of cultural resource potential. The ground under these paved locations cannot be examined for cultural resources and the grading for their construction has likely destroyed many sites. The I-70 right-of-way (ROW) outside the paved area, however, does retain a potential for cultural resources. Once believed to be too disrupted to retain intact cultural remains, this area has recently been proven to yield intact habitation features.

It also has been shown that even in urban environments, remnants of past activities still remain. In these areas, the landscape is gradually built up over the years and as a result, buried deposits associated with the earlier inhabitants are preserved. For example, early historic buildings were typically constructed with only shallow foundations. When these buildings were removed, it was too costly to haul away the construction debris so in most cases the debris was simply leveled
and a new building constructed upon the rubble (Harl 2003; Altizer et al. 2005; Kelly 1994, Machiran 2007). It has only been in recent years with the development of high rise buildings, whose foundations often built down to bedrock, and with the development of large vehicles that can haul construction rubble away, that these urban sites are threatened for the first time. Many times construction on the front of city lots does not disrupt features that may be located behind buildings.

### 3.3.2.1 Previously Identified Historic Sites

For purposes of this study, only properties listed on the NRHP, community or county local landmarks registers, and those identified as eligible for the NRHP are shown as previously identified historic sites. There are 23 NRHP listed properties, three NRPH listed structures, and 11 NRHP listed districts located within the Study Area. These sites and districts are summarized in Table 3-4 and Table 3-5.

Table 3-4: Summary of Previously Identified Historic Sites (C

| Site Number | Resource Name | Date Listed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buildings |  |  |
| 07000172 | American Brake Company Building | 3/21/2007 |
| 11000012 | Cass Bank and Trust Company | 02/14/2011 |
| 04000344 | Cotton Belt Freight Depot | 04/21/2004 |
| 92001092 | Eliot School | 09/02/1992 |
| 09001226 | Federal Cold Storage Company Building | 01/12/2010 |
| 03000650 | Grand--Leader (Stix, Baer \& Fuller Dry Goods Co.) Building | 07/17/2003 |
| 00000438 | Kennard, J., and Sons Carpet Company Building | 05/05/2000 |
| 02000467 | Kulage, Otto, House | 05/10/2002 |
| 07000464 | Lowell School | 05/24/2007 |
| 83001050 | May Company Department Store Building | 06/23/1983 |
| 07000325 | Missouri Athletic Club Building | 04/16/2007 |
| 86000143 | Neighborhood Gardens Apartments | 01/31/1986 |
| 09000890 | Our Lady of Perpetual Help Parish Hall, School, Convent, and Rectory | 11/05/2009 |
| 79003202 | Payne-Gentry House | 04/17/1979 |
| 09000411 | Railway Exchange Building | 06/11/2009 |
| 11000051 | Schroeder-Klein Grocery Company Warehouse | 2/28/2011 |
| 00000083 | Security Building | 02/10/2000 |
| 10000205 | Sligo Iron Store Co. Buildings | 04/21/2010 |
| 78003396 | St. Joseph's Roman Catholic Church | 05/19/1978 |

Table 3-4: Summary of Previously Identified Historic Sites (Continued)

| Site Number | Resource Name | Date Listed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buildings |  | $11 / 10 / 2009$ |  |  |
| 09000902 | St. Louis Stamping Company Buildings | $07 / 14 / 2011$ |  |  |
| 11000445 | Mark Twain Elementary School | $01 / 16 / 1984$ |  |  |
| 84002692 | Union Market | $7 / 5 / 1990$ |  |  |
| 90001024 | Wentzville Tobacco Company Factory |  |  |  |
| Structures |  |  |  | $6 / 5 / 1970$ |
| 70000906 | Bissel Street Water Tower | $6 / 15 / 1970$ |  |  |
| 70000908 | Grand Avenue Water Tower | $6 / 15 / 1970$ |  |  |
| 70000907 | Wainwright Tomb |  |  |  |

Source: National Register Information System 2014 database. Accessed February 2017.

Table 3-5: Summary of NRHP Districts

| Site Number | Resource Name | Date Listed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04001281 | Pasadena Hills Historic District | $12 / 4 / 2004$ |
| 05000084 | Norwood Hills Country Club | $2 / 25 / 2005$ |
| 07000704 | Holly Place Historic District | $7 / 18 / 2007$ |
| 11000444 | Lange, William A., Subdivision | $7 / 14 / 2011$ |
| 11000617 | St. Louis Place Historic District | $8 / 31 / 2011$ |
| 84002658 | Murphy-Blair District | $1 / 26 / 1984$ |
| 86001929 | Clemens House--Columbia Brewery District (Boundary Increase) | $7 / 22 / 1986$ |
| 03000320 | North Riverfront Industrial Historic District | $5 / 1 / 2003$ |
| 7600262 | Laclede's Landing | $8 / 25 / 1976$ |
| 12000928 | North Broadway Glass and Plow Warehouse District | $11 / 14 / 2012$ |
| 87000458 | Washington Avenue: East of Tucker District | $3 / 24 / 1987$ |

Source: National Register Information System 2014 database accessed February 2017.

### 3.3.2.2 Previous Architectural Surveys

The Missouri SHPO files include records of previous architectural surveys preformed in the Study Area. These architectural surveys document resources within the survey area, identify properties currently listed on the NRHP, and provide recommendations regarding individual
eligibility or as contributing elements to an NRHP district. The eight previous architectural surveys within the Study Area are summarized in Table 3-6.

Table 3-6: Summary of Previous Architectural Surveys

| SHPO <br> Survey <br> Number | Name | Description | Date of Survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SLAA039 | Pasadena Hills | Residential Subdivision | July 1, 2003 |
| SLCAS010 | Chouteau's Landing | Urban Commercial | September 1988 |
| SLCAS012 | North Broadway Industrial <br> Area: Phase I | Urban Commercial / Industrial | October 12,1989 |
| SLCAS013 | North Broadway Industrial <br> Area: Phase II | Urban Commercial / Industrial | November 16, 1990 |
| SLCAS016 | Old North St. Louis | Urban Commercial / Industrial / | Residential |

Source: Missouri State Historic Preservation Office and https://dnr.mo.gov/shpo/survey-eg.htm accessed March 2017.

Several architectural resources are identified in these surveys as potentially eligible for the NRHP or as contributing elements to an NRHP District.

### 3.3.2.3 Previously Recorded Archeological Sites

There were 104 previously recorded archaeological sites in the Study Area identified in the SHPO geodatabase and its associated tables. Information regarding these sites is summarized in Table 3-7, and includes the site's cultural affiliation, type, who recorded it, and when it was recorded. Where the SHPO database was missing information, the St. Charles County (Harl et al. 1997) and St. Louis County and the City of St. Louis (Harl 1995) master plans were consulted to fill in the missing data.

Figures depicting the location of known burial areas, previously recorded archaeological sites, and the location of cultural resource surveys that have been conducted within the Study Area were created for use by the study team. These figures will be used by the study team but are not included in this report or made public as the public disclosure of archaeological site locations is prohibited per 36 CFR 2961.8.

Table 3-7: Summary of Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites

| Site \# | Cultural Affiliation | Site Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NRHP Status } \\ & \text { in SHPO } \\ & \text { Record } \end{aligned}$ | Human <br> Remains <br> Present | Recorded By | Date Recorde d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC0012 | Prehistoric | Mound/Cair <br> n | Unevaluated | Not Reported | McElhiney, Charles | Not <br> Reported |
| SC0013 | Prehistoric | Mound/Cair <br> n | Unevaluated | Not Reported | McElhiney, Charles | Not Reported |
| SC0014 | Prehistoric | Mound/Cair <br> n | Unevaluated | Reported not Confirmed | Feltz, George A. | Not <br> Reported |
| SC0015 | Historic Native American | Other | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1989 |
| SC0040 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Unevaluated | Not Reported | McElhiney, Charles | Not Reported |
| SC0055 | Early Woodland | Mound/Cair n | Eligible | Not Reported | Martin, Terrell L. | 2002 |
| SC0066 | Late Archaic | Mound/Cair <br> n | Eligible | Not Reported | Martin, Terrell L. | 2002 |
| SC0077 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Feltz, George A. | 1976 |
| SC0293 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Not Reported | Not Reported | Evans, David R. | 1978 |
| SC0294 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Not Reported | Not Reported | Evans, David R. | 1978 |
| SC0424 | Late Archaic | Lithic Scatter | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Ray, Jack H. | 2013 |
| SC0464 | Dalton | Lithic Scatter | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Crampton, David | 1979 |
| SC0465 | Prehistoric | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Crampton, David | 1979 |
| SC0501 | Archaic | Lithic Scatter | Unevaluated | Confirmed | Hoard, Robert | 1979 |
| SC0504 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Not Reported | 1979 |
| SC0505 | Early Woodland | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Crampton, David | 1979 |
| SC0506 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Not Reported | 1979 |
| SC0516 | Historic | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Not Reported | 1979 |
| SC0517 | Historic | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Not Reported | 1979 |
| SC0568 | Historic | Military | Eligible | Not Reported | Weichman, Michael | 1980 |
| SC0575 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Crampton, David | 1980 |
| SC0589 | Middle Woodland | Lithic Scatter | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Browman, David L. | 1983 |
| SC0609 | Woodland | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Crampton, David | 1984 |
| SC0610 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Crampton, David | 1984 |
| SC0656 | Late Woodland | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Austin, David \& Crampton, David | 1984 |

Table 3-7: Summary of Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites

| Site \# | Cultural Affiliation | Site Type | NRHP Status in SHPO Record | Human <br> Remains <br> Present | Recorded By | Date Recorde d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC0666 | Middle Archaic | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1987 |
| SC0667 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1987 |
| SC0713 | Early Archaic | Lithic Scatter | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1989 |
| SC0714 | Late Archaic | Lithic Scatter | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1989 |
| SC0715 | Early Archaic | Habitation | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1989 |
| SC0716 | Late Archaic | Lithic <br> Scatter | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1989 |
| SC0717 | Late Woodland | Habitation | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1989 |
| SC0718 | Late Archaic | Lithic Scatter | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1989 |
| SC0719 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1989 |
| SC0720 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Sturdevant, Craig | 1989 |
| SC0721 | Historic | Lithic Scatter | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Sturdevant, Craig | 1989 |
| SC0722 | Prehistoric | Lithic <br> Scatter | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Walters, Gary Rex | 1990 |
| SC0723 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Walters, Gary Rex | 1990 |
| SC0724 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Walters, Gary Rex | 1990 |
| SC0725 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Walters, Gary Rex | 1990 |
| SC0757 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1991 |
| SC0758 | Late Archaic | Lithic <br> Scatter | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1991 |
| SC0900 | Prehistoric | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Browman, David L. | 1989 |
| SC0901 | Prehistoric | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Browman, David L. | 1989 |
| SC0902 | Prehistoric | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Browman, David L. | 1989 |
| SC0907 | Historic | Habitation | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Reeder, Robert | 1993 |
| SC0923 | Prehistoric | Lithic <br> Scatter | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Mueller, Bradley M. | 1994 |
| SC0977 | Late Archaic | Lithic Scatter | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Galloy, Joseph M. | 1998 |
| SC1040 | Prehistoric | Lithic <br> Scatter | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Warner, Kathryn A. | 2003 |
| SC2057 | Prehistoric | Habitation | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Dasovich, Steve J | 2003 |

# I-70 PEL Study <br> Conditions Assessment Report 

Table 3-7: Summary of Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites

| Site \# | Cultural Affiliation | Site Type | NRHP Status in SHPO Record | Human <br> Remains <br> Present | Recorded By | Date Recorde d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC2060 | Late Archaic | Habitation | Not Reported | Reported not Confirmed | Harl, Joe | 2005 |
| SC2088 | Paleo-Indian | Lithic Scatter | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Mc Loughlin, Meredith | 2004 |
| SC2092 | Prehistoric | Habitation | Eligible | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 2006 |
| SC2171 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 2011 |
| SC2172 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 2011 |
| SC2192 | Late Archaic | Lithic Scatter | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Ray, Jack H. | 2013 |
| SL0003 | Mississippian | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mound/Cair } \\ \mathrm{n} \end{gathered}$ | Not Reported | Confirmed | Not Reported | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Reported } \end{gathered}$ |
| SL0004 | Mississippian | Mound/Cair <br> n | Not Reported | Not Reported | Not Reported | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Reported } \end{gathered}$ |
| SL0007 | Mississippian | Mound/Cair <br> n | Eligible | Confirmed | Harl, Joe and Naglich, Dennis | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Reported } \end{gathered}$ |
| SL0069 | Emergent Mississippian | Village | Not Reported | Confirmed | Blake, Leonard | Not Reported |
| SL0135 | Paleo-Indian | Habitation | Not Reported | Not Reported | Herberger, O. Lee | 1969 |
| SL0353 | Early Archaic | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Diaz-Granados, Carol | 1979 |
| SL0355 | Archaic | Lithic Scatter | Unevaluated | Not Reported | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Diaz-Granados, } \\ \text { Carol } \end{gathered}$ | 1979 |
| SL0358 | Middle Woodland | Habitation | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Diaz-Granados, Carol | 1979 |
| SL0369 | Late Woodland | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1988 |
| SL0370 | Late Woodland | Habitation | Not Reported | Confirmed | Harl, Joe | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Reported } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| SL0442 | Late Woodland | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Harl, Joe \& Kling, Laura | 1982 |
| SL0471 | Late Archaic | Habitation | Not Reported | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1983 |
| SL0595 | Late Archaic | Habitation | Not Reported | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1987 |
| SL0596 | Historic | Road | Not Reported | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1986 |
| SL0597 | Late Woodland | Habitation | Not Reported | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Reported } \end{gathered}$ |
| SL0802 | Historic | Cemetery/ Mortuary | Eligible | Reported not Confirmed | Walters, Gary Rex | 1992 |
| SL0822 | Dalton | Habitation | Not Reported | Confirmed | Wright, Patti | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Reported } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| SL0849 | Historic | Habitation | Eligible | Not Reported | Walters, Gary Rex | 1992 |
| SL0850 | Historic | Other | Eligible | Not Reported | Walters, Gary Rex | 1992 |
| SL0851 | Historic | Commercial / Industrial | Eligible | Not Reported | Walters, Gary Rex | 1992 |

Table 3-7: Summary of Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites

| Site \# | Cultural Affiliation | Site Type | NRHP Status in SHPO Record | Human <br> Remains <br> Present | Recorded By | Date Recorde d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SL0852 | Historic | Habitation | Eligible | Not Reported | Walters, Gary Rex | 1992 |
| SL0853 | Historic | Commercial / Industrial | Eligible | Not Reported | Walters, Gary Rex | 1992 |
| SL0856 | Historic | Public | Eligible | Not Reported | Walters, Gary Rex | 1992 |
| SL0856 | Historic | Public | Eligible | Not Reported | Walters, Gary Rex | 1992 |
| SL0858 | Historic | Cemetery/ Mortuary | Not Reported | Confirmed | Reeder, Robert | Not Reported |
| SL0883 | Historic | Habitation | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1993 |
| SL0884 | Historic | Habitation | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 1993 |
| SL0891 | Prehistoric | Lithic <br> Scatter | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Harl, Joe and Dennis Naglich | Not Reported |
| SL0892 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Not Reported | Not Reported | Harl, Joe and Dennis Naglich | Not Reported |
| SL0893 | Emergent Mississippian | Lithic Scatter | Not Reported | Not Reported | Harl, Joe and Dennis Naglich | Not <br> Reported |
| SL0894 | Prehistoric | Lithic Scatter | Not Reported | Not Reported | Harl, Joe and Dennis Naglich | Not Reported |
| SL2229 | Historic | Habitation | Eligible | Not Reported | Harl, Joe | 2005 |
| SL2247 | Historic | Habitation | Eligible | Not Reported | Tesreau, Travis | 2006 |
| SL2248 | Historic | Habitation | Eligible | Not Reported | Tesreau, Travis | 2006 |
| SL2251 | Historic | Habitation | Eligible | Not Reported | Meyer, Michael J. | 2006 |
| SL2261 | Historic | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Galloy, Joseph M. | 2003 |
| SL2274 | Historic | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Olson, Brianne | 2008 |
| SL2295 | Historic | Commercial / Industrial | Eligible | Not Reported | Meyer, Michael J. | 2008 |
| SL2309 | Historic | Habitation | Not Eligible | Not Reported | Hawkins, Meredith and Meredith McLaughlin | 2009 |
| SL2310 | Historic | Habitation | Eligible | Not Reported | Meyer, Michael J. | 2009 |
| SL2315 | Historic | Habitation | Eligible | Not Reported | Meyer, Michael J. | 2010 |
| SL2316 | Historic | Habitation | Eligible | Not Reported | Meyer, Michael J. | 2010 |
| SL2317 | Historic | Commercial / Industrial | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Meyer, Michael J. | 2008 |
| SL2318 | Historic | Habitation | Eligible | Not Reported | Meyer, Michael J. | 2010 |
| SL2319 | Historic | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Meyer, Michael J. | 2010 |
| SL2322 | Historic | Habitation | Unevaluated | Not Reported | Meyer, Michael J. | 2011 |
| SL2330 | Historic | Habitation | Eligible | Not Reported | Michael J. Meyer | 2012 |

Source: Missouri State Historic Preservation Office

### 3.3.2.4 Previous Archeological Surveys

MDNR SHPO files were reviewed for previous cultural resource surveys in the Study Area. One hundred and fifty-seven previous archeological or cultural resources surveys have been conducted within the Study Area. The locations of these studies were reviewed by the project team to identify areas within the Study Area that may require additional archeological investigations. Figures depicting the locations of previous archeological and cultural resource surveys conducted within the Study Area were created for use by the study team. These figures will be used by the team but are not included in this report or made public because the public disclosure of archaeological site locations is prohibited per 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 296.1.8.

### 3.3.2.5 Historic Bridges

Unlike most other types of cultural resources in Missouri, historic bridges have been inventoried and evaluated statewide. The Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (STURAA) directed all states to inventory their historic bridges. There are about 24,000 bridges in Missouri (State, County, and City bridges). The 1996 Missouri Historic Bridge Inventory survey evaluated approximately 11,000 of them, those which were built before 1951. Of these, 399 were considered possibly eligible, eligible, or listed on the NRHP. This list, with some modifications, became the Missouri Historic Bridge (MHB) list. Two bridges located within the Study Area, the Eads and McKinley, are listed on the MHB list. These bridges cross the Mississippi River and are not likely to be impacted by proposed projects in this corridor.

Future projects identified in this PEL that impact the Eads or McKinley bridges will require additional analysis to assess potential adverse effects. Additionally, future projects identified in this PEL that impact bridges not previously surveyed will require evaluation for eligibility for listing on the NRHP, in consultation with the SHPO.

### 3.4 PARKS, REFUGES, AND RECREATION FACILITIES

Parks and recreational resources are important community facilities that warrant consideration during federally-funded projects. These resources include park, trail, cemetery and open space areas that offer opportunities for recreation, including both passive and active activities.

Section $4(\mathrm{f})^{4}$ resources include any publicly owned park, recreation area, or wildlife refuge or any publicly or privately owned historic site. Before approving a project that "uses" a Section 4(f) resource, FHWA must find that there is no prudent and feasible avoidance alternative and that the selected alternative minimizes harm to the resource. If there is a prudent and feasible alternative that completely avoids 4(f) resources, it must be selected. The publicly owned resources presented in Table 3-8 meet the criteria for protection under Section 4(f).

[^2]Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) Act (36 CFR 59) protects recreational lands planned, acquired, or developed with LWCF. Once an area has been funded with LWCF assistance, it is continually maintained in public recreation use unless the NPS approves substitution property. Importantly, Section 6(f) applies to all transportation projects involving possible conversions of the property, whether or not federal funding is used for the project.

### 3.4.1 Methodology

The resource-specific Study Area for parks and recreational resources includes a one-half-mile wide corridor centered on the I-70 mainline plus additional areas at some intersections. For purposes of this project, park and recreational resources can be categorized as one:

- Regional Park and Recreational Facility: Regional parks typically involve jurisdiction partnerships that contribute to development and maintenance. These areas serve residents throughout the Study Area and are regionally recognized. Privately and publicly owned and managed golf courses in the Study Area qualify as regional resources.
- Community Park: These facilities are typically smaller in size than regional facilities and serve as an attraction for residents and communities within approximately three miles of the facility. Community parks are typically managed and maintained by one entity.
- Neighborhood Park: Neighborhood parks typically serve residents and community members within a one-half-mile radius of the park. These parks are typically accessed by non-motorized means and are managed by one jurisdiction.
- Open Space: Open space areas include land and water parcels that remain in a predominantly natural or undeveloped state. The intention of open space acquisition varies from growth management to habitat protection and/or passive recreation. However, it must be noted that not all open space allows public access or use. Many areas defined as open space are used as conservation easements on agricultural lands. Smaller open space parcels are often coordinated with neighboring open space acquisitions to create buffers or corridors. Jurisdictional authority belongs to either the county open space department or municipal parks and recreation departments. In some cases, management and ownership may span multiple jurisdictions.
- Trails: Municipalities typically manage numerous miles of both paved and unpaved trails. Trails often extend beyond one jurisdictional boundary into an adjacent boundary making them regional trails. It is typical for trails to follow existing linear features such as ditches, rivers, or railroads.
- Refuge: A refuge is a space that is set aside to conserve fish, wildlife, and plants. A refuge can provide habitat for endangered species, migratory birds, plants, and numerous other valuable animals.
- Cemetery: A cemetery is an area set apart for or containing graves, tombs, or funeral urns. Construction of roads is prohibited in cemeteries with the exception of temporary
access routes over burial lots may be used in the operation or maintenance of the cemetery or used in the construction of cemetery improvements or features.

Existing parks and recreational resources in the Resource-specific Study Area were identified through reviews of Graphical Information System (GIS) data; current land use, parks, and recreation master plans; and 2017 aerial imagery from Google Earth.

Section 6(f) ${ }^{5}$ resources were identified through the National Parks Service (NPS) Land and Water Conservation Funds (LWCF) database. Property acquired or developed with LWCF assistance must be perpetually maintained in public outdoor recreation use.

### 3.4.2 Key Points

### 3.4.2.1 Existing Park, Refuge, Trail, Cemetery, and Open Space Resources

Details and characteristics of the existing park, refuge, trail, cemetery and open space resources in the Study Area are presented in Table 3-8 and depicted in Figure 3-3. Some the information presented has not been confirmed with the jurisdictions and may change as the project progresses through the planning phases. Resources are generally presented from west to east along the corridor.

Cultural resources are discussed in Section 3.3 and show that there are historic and archeological sites listed on or eligible to be listed on the NRHP. These sites are also considered potential Section 4(f) resources. Future projects identified in this PEL that have adverse effects on these resources will require a Section 4(f) evaluation.

There are seven properties identified within the Study Area that were developed with LWCF grant assistance (designated 6(f) Property). These properties are listed in Table 3-8 and depicted in Figure 3-3.

Table 3-8: Existing Park, Refuge, Trail, Cemetery, and Open Space Resources

| Map <br> ID | Resource Name | Size (Acres) | Location | 4(f) ${ }^{6}$ <br> Property | 6(f) <br> Propert <br> $\mathbf{y}$ | Owner <br> Type |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Quail Ridge Park | 260.99 | Wentzville | X |  | County |
| 2 | Memorial Park | 4.26 | Wentzville | X | X | City |
| 3 | Tri-County YMCA | 1.5 | Wentzville | X |  | City |
| 4 | Founders Park Sports Complex | 48.94 | Lake Saint <br> Louis | X | X | City |
| 5 | Lake St Louis Community <br> Association | 41.57 | Lake Saint <br> Louis |  |  | Private |

[^3]| 6 | Windjammer Point Marina | 2.32 | Lake Saint |  |  | Private |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | The Falls Golf Course | 188.69 | O'Fallon | X |  | City |
| 8 | Fort Zumwalt Park | 49.11 | O'Fallon | X | X | City |
| 9 | St. Peters Hockey Arena | 6.8 | St. Peters | X |  | City |
| 10 | St Peters Golf \& Recreation Center | 117.28 | St. Peters | X |  | City |
| 11 | Sports Center Park | 16.74 | St. Peters | X | X | City |
| 12 | Brown Road Park | 22.53 | St. Peters | X | X | City |
| 13 | Lone Wolf Park | 9.06 | St. Peters | X | X | City |
| 14 | Old Town Park | 7.4 | St. Peters | X |  | City |
| 15 | City Center Park \& Rec-Plex | 61.52 | St. Peters | X |  | City |
| 16 | Grand Slam Golf | 61.29 | St. Peters | X |  | City |
| 17 | Lakeside 370 Park | 625.19 | St. Peters | X |  | City |
| 18 | Shady Springs Park | 12.36 | St. Peters | X | X | City |
| 19 | St Charles YMCA | 4.34 | St. Peters | X |  | City |
| 20 | Cave Springs Golf Center | 11.25 | St. Charles | X |  | City |
| 21 | Bogey Hills Country Club | 107.95 | St. Charles |  |  | Private |
| 22 | Forest Hills Recreation Area | 0.59 | St. Charles |  |  | Private |
| 23 | Boone's Lick Park | 15.65 | St. Charles | X | X | City |
| 24 | Circle Drive Park | 0.95 | St. Charles | X |  | City |
| 25 | Louis H. Bangert Memorial Wildlife Area | 205.8 | St. Charles | X |  | MDC |
| 26 | Katy Trail | 0.32 | St. Charles | X |  | MDNR |
| 27 | Frontier Park | 41.51 | St. Charles | X |  | City |
| 28 | Katy Trail | 10.55 | St. Charles | X |  | MDNR |
| 29 | Riverwoods Park \& Trail | 105.79 | Earth City | X |  | MDC |
| 30 | Riverport Drive Open Space | 2.55 | Maryland Heights |  |  | Private |
| 31 | Rams Facility | 26.6 | Earth City |  |  | Private |
| 32 | Spanish Village Park | 4.89 | Bridgeton | X | X | City |
| 33 | Autumn Lakes Condos Recreation Area | 1.82 | Maryland Heights |  |  | Private |
| 34 | Hellebush Park | 8.27 | Bridgeton | X | X | City |
| 35 | Hickory Woods Conservation Area | 17.07 | Bridgeton | X |  | MDC |
| 36 | Gentry Park | 32.01 | Bridgeton | X | X | City |
| 37 | Bridgeton Community Ctr/Aquatic Park | 4.23 | Bridgeton | X |  | City |
| 38 | Vatterott Fields | 6.18 | St. Ann | X |  | City |
| 39 | St Ann Park | 27.28 | St. Ann | X | X | City |
| 40 | St Ann Municipal Golf Course | 55.79 | St. Ann | X |  | City |


| 41 | John L Brown Park | 15.23 | Woodson Terrace | X |  | City |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | Old City Park | 1.71 | Woodson Terrace | X |  | City |
| 43 | AmVets Post \#55 | 0.81 | Berkeley |  |  | Private |
| 44 | Ramona Lake Park | 12.06 | Berkeley | X |  | City |
| 45 | Bird Sanctuary | 22.35 | Bellerive | X |  | City |
| 46 | Trailnet | 9.36 | Normandy | X |  | City |
| 46 | Normandy Park | 6.06 | Normandy | X |  | City |
| 48 | Robert Hoelzel Memorial Park | 9.78 | Normandy | X |  | City |
| 49 | Roland Park | 4.9 | Pasadena Hills | X |  | City |
| 50 | Norwood Hills Country Club | 315.32 | Jennings |  |  | Private |
| 51 | Northwoods Park | 3.05 | Northwoods | X |  | City |
| 52 | Pine Lawn Open Space | 0.37 | Pine Lawn | X |  | City |
| 53 | Pine Lawn Athletic Field | 4.17 | Pine Lawn | X |  | City |
| 54 | Dwight Davis Park | 9.71 | City of St. Louis | X | X | City |
| 55 | Walnut Park | 2.28 | City of St. Louis | X | X | City |
| 56 | Matthew Dickey Boys And Girls Club | 11.22 | City of St Louis |  |  | Private |
| 57 | Penrose Park | 46.05 | City of St. <br> Louis | X | X | City |
| 58 | O'Fallon Park | 130.74 | City of St. Louis | X | X | City |
| 59 | Windsor Park | 3.18 | City of St. Louis | X |  | City |
| 60 | Hyde Park | 12.15 | City of St. Louis | X |  | City |
| 61 | St Louis Place Park | 13.78 | City of St. Louis | X |  | City |
| 62 | Strodtman Park | 1.75 | City of St. Louis | X | X | City |
| 63 | Fourteenth Street Mall | 0.75 | City of St. Louis | X |  | City |
| 64 | Jackson Place Park | 1.62 | City of St. Louis | X | X | City |
| 65 | Columbus Square Park | 0.3 | City of St. Louis | X |  | City |
| 66 | Father Filipiak Park | 1.67 | City of St. Louis | X |  | City |
| 67 | Robert A Baer Plaza | 3.31 | City of St. Louis | X |  | City |


| 68 | Jefferson National Expansion Memorial | 89.2 | City of St Louis | X |  | City |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Linn Cemetery | 11 | Wentzville |  |  | Private |
|  | St Charles Memorial Cemetery | 30 | St Charles |  |  | Private |
|  | Oak Grove Cemetery | 27 | St Charles |  |  | Private |
|  | First United Methodist (Wesleyan) Cemetery | 2 | St Charles |  |  | Private |
|  | Saint Peters Cemetery | 11 | St Charles |  |  | Private |
|  | Immanuel Lutheran Cemetery | 10 | St Charles |  |  | Private |
|  | Saint John's Cemetery | 5 | St Charles |  |  | Private |
|  | Mt Lebanon Cemetery | 49 | Bridgeton |  |  | Private |
|  | Washington Park Cemetery | 44 | Berkeley | x | x | Public |
|  | Memorial Park Cemetery | 171 | Jennings |  |  | Private |
|  | Bellefontaine Cemetery | 336 | St Louis |  |  | Private |
|  | Calvary Cemetery | 476 | St Louis |  |  | Private |

### 3.4.2 2 Planned and Other Potential Future Resources

Given the developing nature of the corridor, it should be noted that many of the municipalities have master plans established for future parks, trails, and open space areas within or adjacent to the Study Area. Great Rivers Greenway, also known as the Metropolitan Parks and Recreation District (District), has established a system of interconnected trails and greenways, or open space corridors, throughout the St. Louis Metropolitan Area. These greenways follow creek and river floodplains, former railroad right-of-ways, high tension line corridors, etc. and connect a variety of municipal and county parks and recreation areas, as well as common ground and trails in residential subdivisions or business parks. The District utilizes pedestrian and biking trails to provide the connections through the greenways and, in some cases, has purchased larger tracts of land for open space purposes adjacent to the trail corridors. Great Rivers Greenway works with established parks departments throughout the region in implementing these plans

A list of those resources that have been identified for future implementation is presented in Table 3-9 and shown on Figure 3-3. This list should not be considered exhaustive as master plans may be updated prior to specific projects being identified. However, efforts should be made to not preclude previous planning efforts made by local jurisdictions.

Table 3-9: Planned Park, Refuge, Trail, and Open Space Resources

| Resource Name | Location/Description | Resource <br> Type | Managed By |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boschert Greenway | Northern portion of the City of St. Charles, <br> New Town area | Greenway | Metropolitan Parks <br> and Recreation District |
| Centennial Greenway | Overland from the 94/364 interchange in the <br> City of St. Peters | Greenway | Metropolitan Parks <br> and Recreation District |
| Mississippi Greenway | City of St. Louis adjacent to the Mississippi <br> River | Greenway | Metropolitan Parks <br> and Recreation District |

Table 3-9: Planned Park, Refuge, Trail, and Open Space Resources (Continued)

| Resource Name | Location/Description | Resource <br> Type | Managed By |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dardenne Greenway | City of St. Peters along the Dardenne Creek |  |  |
| floodplain |  |  |  | Greenway | Metropolitan Parks |
| :---: |
| and Recreation District |$|$

### 3.5 PUBLIC AND LARGE COMMERCIAL FACILITIES

Public and large commercial facilities can generate specific demands on the roadway infrastructure and may require additional consideration regarding access and improvements to the Study Area.

### 3.5.1 Methodology

Existing public and large commercial facilities in the Study Area within one-half-mile of the I-70 centerline were identified through review of GIS data, current land use, EWGCOG data, ESRI 2008 Streetmap North America, and 2017 aerial imagery from Google Earth. This data were categorized as the following:

- Fire Stations
- Airports
- Government Buildings
- Hospitals
- Medical Buildings
- Churches
- Schools
- Day Care Facilities
- Libraries
- Activity Centers
- Shopping Centers
- Large Manufacturing Facilities
- Heliports
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### 3.5.2 Key Points

There are numerous public and large commercial facilities located within portions of the Study Area. These facilities summarized on and are depicted in Figure 3-4.

Table 3-10: Summary of Public or Large Commercial Facilities

| ID | Name | Street | City |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | U SPOST OFFICE | EA山ENST | WENTZVIE |
| 2 | GM WENIZMUEASSEMBLY PLANT | EROUTEA | WENTZVILE |
| 3 | TRINITYPRODUCTSINC | WTERRA LN | OFALON |
| 4 | TRUE FITNESSTECHNOLOGY | HOFF RD | OFALON |
| 5 | CBENNETTBUILDING SUPPUES | WTERRA L | OFALON |
| 6 | AUSTIN MACHINE | TEXASCT | OFALON |
| 7 | WIШERDINGINDUSTRIES | WTERRA LN | OFALON |
| 8 | WBINDUSTRIES | WTERRA LN | OFALON |
| 9 | FIN-CON ASSEMBLYINC | N CENTRALDR | OFALON |
| 10 | TMET SERVICECTR | N CENTRALDR | OFALON |
| 11 | KNG INNOVATION | N CENTRALDR | OFALON |
| 12 | SCHWAN FOODCO | Elalne DR | OFALON |
| 13 | STCHARLESCOUNTY CAB | PLACKEMEERDR | O FALON |
| 14 | U SPOST OFFICE | CHURCHST | OFALON |
| 15 | DRUG PACKAGE INC | DRUGPACKAGELN | OFALON |
| 16 | VSM ABRASIVESCORP | EWABASHST | OFALON |
| 17 | PLANETTOOL\& ENGINEERING | SCOOLSPRINGSRD | OFAЦON |
| 18 | TRANSFORMER MATERIAL/DENNISON | ARROW LN | OFALON |
| 19 | NEECO-TRON INC | TRADECENTERDRE | STPETERS |
| 20 | O'FALONN CASTING | CANNONBALIN | OFALON |
| 21 | PHOENIXTEXTILECORP | COMMERCEDR | OFALON |
| 22 | ACTRUCKNGINC | COMMERCEDR | OFALON |
| 23 | DATA 2 CORP | TURNERBLVD | STPEIERS |
| 24 | PFDSUPPLY CORP | TURNERBLVD | STPEIERS |
| 25 | SPECALTYPLASTICS | BROWN RD | STPEIERS |
| 26 | COSTCO VSION CTR | COSTCO WAY | STPEIERS |
| 27 | SEYER INDUSTRIESINC | PATMOSCT | STPETERS |
| 28 | GLOBALPRODUCTSINC | CHEROKEEDR | STPETERS |
| 29 | STCAUTOMOTIVE | SHADY SPRINGSLN | STPEIERS |
| 30 | EPCINC | HARRYTRUMAN BLVDS | STCHARLES |

Table 3-10: Summary of Public or Large Commercial Facilities (Continued)

| ID | Name | Street | City |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | RXSYSTEMSINC | POINTWESTBLVD | STCHARLES |
| 32 | NORTHROP DRUMMAN | POINTWESTBLVD | STCHARLES |
| 33 | CCLLABEINC | SPRING DR | STCHARLES |
| 34 | DIERBERGSBAKERIES | ZUMBEHLRD | STCHARLES |
| 35 | PUNDMANN MOTORCO | W CAY ST | STCHARIES |
| 36 | MID-MISSOURI MOVING SYSTEMS | RIDER TRLN | EARTHATY |
| 37 | NORTH AMERICAN VAN UNES | RIDER TRLN | EARTHATY |
| 38 | BELTSERVICE CORP | RIDER TRLN | EARTHATY |
| 39 | HP PRODUCTS | RIDERTRLS | EARTHATY |
| 40 | SIMPLEXGRINNE1 | RDERTRLS | EARTHATY |
| 41 | FIDEUTONE LOGISTICS | RDERTRLS | EARTHATY |
| 42 | CORLEY PRINTINGCO INC | RIDERTRLS | EARTH ATY |
| 43 | EMJ METALS | RIDERTRLS | EARTHATY |
| 44 | FRY-WAGNER MOVING \& STORAGE CO | RIDERTRLS | EARTH ${ }^{\text {aTY }}$ |
| 45 | FEDERAL-MOGULCORP | RIVERPORTTECH CENTER DR | MARYLAND HEGHTS |
| 46 | ROCKWELLAUTOMATION | RIVERPORTTECH CENTER DR | MARYLAND HEGHTS |
| 47 | EXPRESSTRANSPORTATION | LAKEFRONTDR | EARTHATY |
| 48 | EJ WELCHCO | LAKEFRONTDR | EARTH ATY |
| 49 | GENERALCREDITFORMSINC | RIDERTRLS | EARTHATY |
| 50 | EDY'SGRANDICECREAM | ULTRA COMP DR | EARTH ATY |
| 51 | SPRICHARDSCO | CORPORATETRAILDR | EARTHATY |
| 52 | LAIRDTECHNOLOGIES | RIDERTRLS | EARTHATY |
| 53 | IDXCORP | RIDERTRLS | EARTHATY |
| 54 | HIレSHIRE BRANDS | RIDERTRLS | EARTHATY |
| 55 | CASEPARTSCO | RDERTRLS | EARTH ATY |
| 56 | SONOCO PRODUCTSCO | INIERSTATEDR | MARYLAND HEGHTS |
| 57 | SUMMIT DISTRIBUTING | RIDERTRLS | EARTH ATY |
| 58 | PBGSPITNEYBOWESGOVT | CORPORATE EXCHANGEDR | BRIDGETON |
| 59 | FRITO-LAYINC | CORPORATE EXCHANGEDR | BRIDGETON |
| 60 | CAPSINC | HOШENBERGDR | BRIDGETON |
| 61 | KVLOGISTICS | CORPORATE EXCHANGECT | BRIDGETON |
| 62 | UNIVERSECORP | FOERSTERRD | BRIDGETON |
| 63 | DIERBERGSBAKERIES | HOШENBERGDR | BRIDGETON |
| 64 | ZOLTEKCOINC | MCKELVEYRD | BRIDGETON |

Table 3-10: Summary of Public or Large Commercial Facilities (Continued)

| ID | Name | Street | City |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | ZOLTEKCORP | MCKELVEYRD | BRIDGETON |
| 66 | ZOLTEKINTERMEDIATES CORP | MCKELVEYRD | BRIDGETON |
| 67 | LAMBERT-ST LOUISINTL-STL | LAMBERTINTERNATLBLVD | STLOUIS |
| 68 | U SAIRWAYS | POBOX | STLOUIS |
| 69 | SERVSAIR | LAMBERTINTERNATIONALBL | STLOUIS |
| 70 | SOUTHWESTAIRUNES | LAMBERTINTERNATIONALBL | STLOUIS |
| 71 | AIRTRAN AIRWAYS | LAMBERTINTERNATLBLVD | STLOUIS |
| 72 | AIRPORTTERMINALSVCINC | LAMBERT INTERNATLBLVD | STLOUIS |
| 73 | JANSSEN BIOTECH | LEBOURGETDR | STLOUIS |
| 74 | SKINNER\&KENNEDY COINC | NATURALBRIDGERD | STLOUIS |
| 75 | JOHN HENRY FOSTER CO | LEBOURGETDR | STLOUIS |
| 76 | UNITED ACCESS | NATURALBRIDGERD | STLOUIS |
| 77 | JANSSEN BIOTECH | LAGUARDIA DR | STLOUIS |
| 78 | UPSSUPPLY CHAIN SOLUTIONS | LAGUARDIA DR | BERKEHY |
| 79 | CHROBINSON CO | WORLDPARKWAY CR | STLOUIS |
| 80 | DRSSUSTAINMENTSYSTEMSINC | EVANSLN | STLOUIS |
| 81 | INIERNATIONALPAPERCO | OTTO | STLOUIS |
| 82 | VITRAN EXPRESS | PLANNEDINDUSTRIALDR | STLOUIS |
| 83 | FIRST STUDENTINC | UNION 70CENTERDR | STLOUIS |
| 84 | PEPSI BEVERAGESCO | UNION 70 CENTERDR | STLOUIS |
| 85 | ABBINC | SEMPLEAVE | STLOUIS |
| 86 | GATEWAY SHEETS | BIRCHERBLVD | STLOUIS |
| 87 | PQ CORP | GERALDINEAVE | STLOUIS |
| 88 | LAWSON SCREEN PRODUCTS | PENROSEST | STLOUIS |
| 89 | ADM MIШNG CO | SHREVEAVE | STLOUIS |
| 90 | BEN HURSTEEL WORKS | SHREVEAVE | STLOUIS |
| 91 | DSI PROCESSSYSTEMS | W FLORISSANTAVE | STLOUIS |
| 92 | TRUCKCENTERSINC | ETAYLORAVE | STLOUIS |
| 93 | DEVAN SEALANTSINC | PRESCOTTAVE | STLOUIS |
| 94 | KICKHAM BOILER\&ENGINEERING | ECARRIEAVE | STLOUIS |
| 95 | NORTHERN SOUTHERN CORP | HALST | STLOUIS |
| 96 | MISSOURI PIPE FITTINGSCO | WITHERSAVE | STLOUIS |
| 97 | EANTASPDGINC | N 2NDST | STLOUIS |
| 98 | TRANSCHEMICALINC | EDESOTOAVE | STLOUIS |
| 99 | FIRMENICH | GANO AVE | STLOUIS |
| 100 | THIELTOOL\& ENGINEERINGCO | BULWERAVE | STLOUIS |
| 101 | PROCTER\& GAMBEECO | EGRANDAVE | STLOUIS |

Table 3-10: Summary of Public or Large Commercial Facilities (Continued)

| ID | Name | Street | City |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 102 | EBCO PRODUCTSCORP | N BROADWAY | STLOUIS |
| 103 | GRANTIRON \& MOTORS | N 21STST | STLOUIS |
| 104 | BACHMAN MACHINECO | N BROADWAY | STLOUIS |
| 105 | WOOD BYRNE CABINEIRY | MAШNCKRODTST | STLOUIS |
| 106 | PLASTICSMOLDINGCO | N BROADWAY | STLOUIS |
| 107 | UTTE SSTERSOFTHE POOR | N FLORISSANTAVE | STLOUIS |
| 108 | SUPER VAN SVCCOINC | BREMEN AVE | STLOUIS |
| 109 | PSCMETALS | N BROADWAY | STLOUIS |
| 110 | TRAILER PARTSINC | N BROADWAY | STLOUIS |
| 111 | ANTIER TRUCKINGCO | N BROADWAY | STLOUIS |
| 112 | UBERTY FOUNDRY CO | N 14THST | STLOUIS |
| 113 | WIШAMSPATENT CRUSHERCO | N BROADWAY | STLOUIS |
| 114 | MATTHEWSMANUFACTURINGINC | BRANCHST | STLOUIS |
| 115 | OLE TYME PRODUCEINC | PRODUCEROW | STLOUIS |
| 116 | UNITEDFRUIT\& PRODUCECO | PRODUCEROW | STLOUIS |
| 117 | PRODUCE PRO'S | PRODUCEROW | STLOUIS |
| 118 | ESTEUEFOODS | NTUCKER BLVD | STLOUIS |
| 119 | DUKE MANUFACTURING CO | N BROADWAY | STLOUIS |
| 120 | GEORGEA HEIMOS PRODUCECO | PRODUCEROW | STLOUIS |
| 121 | WUNDERUCH FIBRE BOXCO | CNITON ST | STLOUIS |
| 122 | THOMASKTCHEN ARTINC | N BROADWAY | STLOUIS |
| 123 | EAGEESALESCO | N BROADWAY | STLOUIS |
| 124 | FORD HOTELSUPPLYCO | N BROADWAY | STLOUIS |
| 125 | HEWITTLUCASBODY COINC | CHAMBERSST | STLOUIS |
| 126 | GROSSMAN IRON \& STEEL | N MARKETST | STLOUIS |
| 127 | MORGAN STREET BREWERY | N 2NDST | STLOUIS |
| 128 | SCF LQUIDS | N 1STST | STLOUIS |

### 3.6 SITES WITH HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES

This section provides a summary of properties with potential or known hazardous materials issues located within the Study Area.

### 3.6.1 Methodology

The methodology used to identify sites with potential or known hazardous materials issues included the following steps:

- Review of Federal, State, and Local Databases for hazardous materials data
- Identification of sites with recognized or potential environmental conditions

For this hazardous materials assessment summary, sites within the Study Area (one quarter-mile from the I-70 centerline) were identified as having known (current and historic) soil or groundwater contamination and are distinguished in this report as sites with recognized environmental conditions. Recognized environmental conditions include sites with "the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products on a property under conditions that indicate an existing release, a past release, or a material threat of a release of any hazardous substances or petroleum products into structures on the property or into the ground, groundwater, or surface water of the property" ( $\mathrm{ASTM}^{7} 2005$ ). Sites with the potential for soil and/or groundwater contamination that could not be confirmed without additional inspection or investigation are sites with potential environmental conditions. Properties with potential or known environmental conditions could have an impact to the Study Area and will require additional inspection or investigation to determine impacts to proposed improvements in the Study Area.

### 3.6.2 Key Points

A total of 138 sites with recognized and potential environmental conditions were identified within the Study Area. These sites are summarized in Table 3-11 and depicted on Figure 3-5.

Table 3-11: Summary of Sites with Potential or Recognized Environmental Conditions

| ID | Name | Address | City |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wentzville Maintenance District Shed | 121 Freymuth Road | Wentzville |
| 2 | Mobile Crown | 11400 Veterans Memorial Parkway | Lake St. Louis |
| 3 | St. Joseph Hospital West | 100 Medical Plaza | Lake St. Louis |
| 4 | Jerry M. Schulte Family Limited |  |  |
| 5 | Kartnership | 1760 W Terra lane | O'Fallon |
| 6 | CVS Pharmacy Store \#10134 | 61 N. Central Drive | O'Fallon |
| 7 | Kmart \#7324 | 801 Highway K | O'Fallon |
| 8 | Kmart \#7324 | 20 O'Fallon Square | O'Fallon |
| 9 | Dirt Cheap Cigarettes \& Beer | 20 O'Fallon Square | O'Fallon |
| 10 | Shell Oil Company | 708 Highway K | O'Fallon |
| 11 | $720-J Q 8:$ Mobil Service | 602 S. Main Street | O'Fallon |
| 12 | K-70 O'Fallon Bolch 90 | 610 S. Main Street | O'Fallon |
| 13 | Firestone | 719 Highway K | O'Fallon |
| 14 | Clark Oil Store \#1331 | 504 S. Main Street | O'Fallon |

[^4]Table 3-11: Summary of Sites with Potential or Recognized Environmental Conditions (Continued)

| ID | Name | Address | City |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | MPI 21 | 503 S. Main Street | O’Fallon |
| 16 | Walt Smith Flooring | 40 Daniel DRIVE | O’Fallon |
| 17 | Gateway International Truck Company | 1400 E. Terra Lane | (511 S. Cool Springs Road) |

Table 3-11: Summary of Sites with Potential or Recognized Environmental Conditions (Continued)

| ID | Name | Address | City |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 | Kmart | 11978 St. Charles Rock Road | Bridgeton |
| 52 | Joe Hood Services, Inc. | 11737 St. Charles Rock Road | Bridgeton |
| 53 | Public Works Department | 4015 Fee Fee Road | Bridgeton |
| 54 | Shell Oil Company | 4500 Lindbergh Boulevard/Hunter Drive | Bridgeton |
| 55 | Former Koepke Excavating Inc. | 4465 Schuette Drive | Bridgeton |
| 56 | Hunter Engineering Company | 11250 Hunter Drive | Bridgeton |
| 57 | THE KENDALL CO LP | 11311 Hammack Avenue | Bridgeton |
| 58 | Allied Aviation of St. Louis, Inc./Maintenance Facility | 10922 Natural Bridge Road | St. Louis |
| 59 | STL Localizer: FAA | 10805 Lambert International Boulevard | Bridgeton |
| 60 | Fuels Management Moang | 10800 Lambert International Boulevard, Building 201 | Bridgeton |
| 61 | U.S. Marine Corps | 10810 Natural Bridge Road | Bridgeton |
| 62 | Lambert- St. Louis Municipal Airport, Air National Guard | Natural Bridge Road \& Woodson Road | City of St. Louis |
| 63 | The Parking Spot | 10534 Natural Bridge Road | Edmundson |
| 64 | Wallis Petroleum BP \#9065 | 10500 Natural Bridge Road | City of St. Louis |
| 65 | Avis Rent A Car System Inc. | Lambert-St. Louis International Airport | City of St. Louis |
| 66 | Former American International Rent-aCar | 10480 Natural Bridge Road | City of St. Louis |
| 67 | Enterprise Leasing Company | 10400 Natural Bridge Road | Hazelwood |
| 68 | St. Louis Hilton | 10330 Natural Bridge Road | City of St. Louis |
| 69 | The Hertz Corporation | 10278 Natural Bridge Road | Woodson Terrace |
| 70 | Hertz Rent-A-Car | Lambert Field P.O. Box 10014 | City of St. Louis |
| 71 | Red Arrow Corp | 4530 Woodson Road | Woodson Terrace |
| 72 | National/Alamo Rent-a-Care | 10124 Natural Bridge Road | Berkeley |
| 73 | FINA | I-70 \& Natural Bridge Road | City of St. Louis |
| 74 | Texaco Service Station | 9802 Natural Bridge Road | Berkeley |
| 75 | Petro Mart \#64 | 9790 Natural Bridge Road | City of St. Louis |
| 76 | Shell Oil Company | 1750 S. Florissant Avenue | Cool Valley |
| 77 | Clark Oil Store \#259 | 1700 S. Florissant Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 78 | MoDOT Normandy Storage | I-70 \& Bermuda Road | Normandy |
| 79 | Sinclair Retail Station \#24063 | 1018 Bermuda Road | Normandy |
| 80 | Norwood Hills Country Club | \#1 Norwood Hills Country Club Drive | City of St. Louis |
| 81 | Memorial Park Cemetery | 5200 Lucas and Hunt Road | Jennings |
| 82 | Lucas Hunt Village Apartments | 5303 Lucas and Hunt Road | Normandy |
| 83 | 4402 Jennings Station | 4402 Jennings Station Road | Pine Lawn |

Table 3-11: Summary of Sites with Potential or Recognized Environmental Conditions (Continued)

| ID | Name | Address | City |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 84 | Big G CITGO | 5231 Jennings Station Road | Jennings |
| 85 | Shell Service Station | 4600 Jennings Station Road | Pine Lawn |
| 86 | Diamond Gas | 5201 Janet Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 87 | MPC 73 | 5211 Janet Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 88 | Plant Facilities \& Engineering Inc. | 4800 Goodfellow Boulevard | City of St. Louis |
| 89 | St. Louis (Ex) Army Ammunition Plant | 4800 Goodfellow Boulevard | City of St. Louis |
| 90 | Circle K \#1666 | 4903 Goodfellow Boulevard | City of St. Louis |
| 91 | Pulse Petroleum | 5003 Goodfellow Boulevard | City of St. Louis |
| 92 | ABB Power T \& D Company Inc. | 4350 Semple Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 93 | Centerline Industries Inc. | 5380 Bircher Boulevard | City of St. Louis |
| 94 | Jacks-Evans Mfg Ted Faller | 4427 Geraldine | City of St. Louis |
| 95 | I-70 Performance | 4646 Bircher Boulevard | City of St. Louis |
| 96 | DSI Process Systems | 4630 W. Florissant Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 97 | Kings Estate | 4510-4560 N. Newstead Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 98 | North Broadway Garage | 812 E. Taylor | City of St. Louis |
| 99 | Interstate Brands Corporation | 6301 N. Broadway | City of St. Louis |
| 100 | Terminal Railroad | 725 E. Taylor | City of St. Louis |
| 101 | Amoco Station \#5458 | 6110 N. Broadway | City of St. Louis |
| 102 | Go West Mobil | 6020 N. Broadway | City of St. Louis |
| 103 | Perfection Manufacturing Co. | 5441 Bulwer Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 104 | Elantas PDG, Inc. | 5200 N. Second Street | City of St. Louis |
| 105 | Sinclair Retail | 1401 E. Grand Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 106 | Transchemical, Inc. | 419 E. DeSoto Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 107 | Central States | 420 E. DeSoto Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 108 | TCI Products, Inc. | 420 E. DeSoto Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 109 | Midwest Plating Co., Inc. | 513 E. Grand Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 110 | Procter \& Gamble | 169 E. Grand Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 111 | Budig Trucking Company | 4515 N. Second Street | City of St. Louis |
| 112 | Vacant Terminal Building | 119 Douglas Street | City of St. Louis |
| 113 | East Texas Motor Freight | 119 Douglas Street | City of St. Louis |
| 114 | Wintz Properties, Inc. | 4138 N. Second Street | City of St. Louis |
| 115 | Dodson Trailer Repair, Inc. | 1129 Bremen Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 116 | Gas Mart 26 | 1110 Salisbury Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 117 | Broadway Sonic | 3707 N. Broadway | City of St. Louis |
| 118 | Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis | 137 E. Bremen Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 119 | Super Van Service Co., Inc. | 121 Bremen Avenue | City of St. Louis |
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Table 3-11: Summary of Sites with Potential or Recognized Environmental Conditions (Continued)

| ID | Name | Address | City |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 120 | Conservation Chemical Co.: St. Louis | 100 Bremen Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 121 | Thermice Corporation | Angelrodt Street (East of Second <br> Street) | City of St. Louis |
| 122 | North Broadway Truck Stop | 3000 N. Broadway | City of St. Louis |
| 123 | Robsco Pipe \& Supply: Former | 2923 N. Broadway | City of St. Louis |
| 124 | Rollins Leasing Corp. | 2427 N. Ninth Street | City of St. Louis |
| 125 | Hadley Street Real Estate Co. | 1531 Hadley Street | City of St. Louis |
| 126 | Former Amoco Oil SS \#16626 | 2601 N. Broadway | City of St. Louis |
| 127 | Former Greyhound Lines Inc. | 1515 N. 11 th Street | City of St. Louis |
| 128 | Industrial Metal Cleaning Corporation | 801 Cass Avenue | City of St. Louis |
| 129 | Naes Mobile Cleaning Inc. | 1847 N. Broadway | City of St. Louis |
| 130 | Courtyards at Cityside I \& II | 724 Carr Street | City of St. Louis |
| 131 | Laidlaw Waste Systems, Inc. | 1838 N. Broadway | City of St. Louis |
| 132 | Mound Street Yard | Tyler \& Second Street, City Block | City of St. Louis |
| 133 | Zimmerman-McDonald Machinery, Inc. | 1535 N. Broadway | City of St. Louis |
| 134 | ACME Electric Company | 1520 N. Broadway | City of St. Louis |
| 135 | Missouri Steel \& Wire Company | 1400 N. Broadway | Ferguson |
| 136 | United Petroleum Service | 1458 Collins Street | City of St. Louis |
| 137 | St. Louis (EX) Area Support Center-3 | 1230 N. Second Street | City of St. Louis |
| 138 | Union Pacific | 210 Biddle Street | City of St. Louis |

Highway corridors, such as I-70, generally consist of areas where light industrial and commercial businesses historically or currently operate. These types of businesses, such as gasoline service stations, automotive repair facilities, and larger truck stop establishments typically use underground storage tanks (USTs) or aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) to store petroleum products, waste oils, and/or other hazardous materials. Such facilities are also often regulated based on their current hazardous waste generation management activities. Consequently, areas with light industrial and commercial use present a risk of having the presence of soil and groundwater contamination from past spills or releases of hazardous substances, including petroleum products.

Hazardous materials are most likely to be encountered during ground-disturbing activities near sites with recognized environmental conditions. The simplest management method for hazardous materials is the avoidance of contaminated sites when feasible. Wherever possible, known hazardous materials issues at properties targeted for right-of-way acquisition should be investigated further prior to acquisition/construction. Knowing what hazardous materials issues exist prior to construction is critical because proper management during construction requires special materials management, handling, disposal, and worker health and safety practices. The
types of sites described in this section appear to be those that are normally encountered by MoDOT.

### 3.7 WETLANDS AND OTHER WATERS OF THE U.S.

In recognition of the importance of clean water and the ecological value of wetlands, in 1977 the U.S. Congress passed the CWA to protect the physical, biological, and chemical quality of waters of the U.S., including adjacent wetlands. Section 404 of the CWA defines waters of the United States as all traditional navigable waters and their tributaries, all interstate waters and their tributaries, all wetlands adjacent to these waters, and all impoundments of these waters. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Regulatory Program administers and the EPA enforces Section 404 of the CWA. This section discusses wetlands and other waters within the resourcespecific Study Area.

### 3.7.1 Methodology

The wetlands-specific Study Area was identified as one-quarter-mile from the centerline of the existing roadway with increased areas around interchanges. Wetland identification presented in this section was based primarily on a desktop review of currently known wetland and water boundaries. The review determined the extent of wetlands within the Study Area by evaluating previous wetland studies in the Study Area, National Wetland Inventory (NWI) maps, aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and topographical maps. New potential wetland areas identified during the desktop review were digitized using GIS and acreages were determined for each wetland. Some additional wetlands within the Study Area were delineated in support of the EWGCOG project, which overlaps portions of this Study Area.

### 3.7.2 Key Points

The majority of wetlands identified within the resource-specific Study Area are palustrine emergent and palustrine scrub/shrub wetlands that generally occur along streams, roadside ditches, irrigation ditches and canals, and at pond margins.

Future projects identified in this PEL will require additional field survey and analysis to verify and gather more detailed information regarding the extent and additional characteristics of wetland areas and the impacts from proposed projects.

Transportation authorities are also responsible for managing the stormwater runoff that discharges to our nation's waters via regulated municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s) along streets, roads, and highways. Future projects may require obtaining a stormwater discharge permit and engagement in pollution prevention activities.

### 3.7.2.1 Wetlands

Wetlands identified within the Study Area were categorized as the following:

- Freshwater Emergent Wetland: Palustrine Emergent (PEM)
- Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland: Palustrine Forested (PFO) and Palustrine Scrub/Shrub (PSS)
- Freshwater Pond: Palustrine Unconsolidated Bottom (PUB) and Palustrine Aquatic Bed (PAB)
- Lake: Lacustrine Limnetic Unconsolidated Bottom (L1UB)
- Riverine: Riverine Lower Perennial Unconsolidated Bottom (R2UB) and Riverine Lower Perennial Unconsolidated Shore (R2US)

The locations of the wetlands identified in the resource-specific Study Area are presented in Figure 3-6, and a summary of the total number of acres of delineated wetlands within the resource-specific Study Area is presented in Table 3-12.

Table 3-12: Summary of Identified Wetlands

| Wetland Type | Total Acreage within the <br> Resource-Specific Study Area |
| :---: | :---: |
| Freshwater Emergent Wetland | 6.10 |
| Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland | 385.87 |
| Freshwater Pond | 108.68 |
| Lake | 298.36 |
| Riverine | 290.80 |

### 3.7.2.2 Other Waters

A mix of perennial intermittent waterways, ditches and ponds exists throughout the resource specific Study Area and are discussed in further detail in Section 3.8.

### 3.8 WATER RESOURCES

This section provides a summary of surface water features, groundwater and karst features, water quality issues, and major drainageways and associated floodplains in the Study Area.

### 3.8.1 Methodology

The methodology used to identify surface water features included the following steps:

- Surface water features were collected from available GIS data, the MDNR, and the MDC.
- Information and location data of karst features were collected through the EWGCOG.
- Floodplain and floodway data were collected from current Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) and/or Flood Hazard Boundary Maps (FHBMs). Counties and/or municipalities administer FEMA policy and are responsible for regulating development in FEMA-designated floodplains.


### 3.8.2 Key Points

The following subsections describe the results of the water resources in the Study Area including surface water features, groundwater and karst features, water quality issues, and major drainageways and associated floodplains and floodways.

### 3.8.2.1 Surface Water Features

Surface water features in the Study Area include a mix of rivers, streams, perennial intermittent waterways, ditches, ponds, and lakes. The major surface water features, from west to east, include:

- Lake St. Louis
- Peruque Creek
- Belleau Creek
- Dardenne Creek
- Spencer Creek
- Cole Creek
- Boonslick Creek
- Missouri River
- Creve Coeur Creek
- Cowmire Creek
- Maline Creek
- Mississippi River

Surface waters are depicted on Figure 3-6.

### 3.8.2.2 Groundwater and Karst Features

Groundwater is a natural resource that provides drinking water for residents, springs that feed waterways, and habitat for many underground species. The quality of the groundwater is dependent upon land use and the quality of groundwater recharge. Karst features are abundant in this region and about three quarters of the water that reaches the major rivers in Missouri's karstlands has passed through groundwater systems for at least some distance. Karst is a
landscape where underground water follows dissolved out channels in the rock. Karst is made up of four primary features:

- Sinkholes: A rounded depression in the landscape formed by water slowly dissolving the rock below or, in some cases, when an underground cavity collapses.
- Losing streams: A surface stream that loses a significant amount of its flow to the subsurface through bedrock openings.
- Springs: A natural flow of water discharged to the surface from the ground or from rocks, representing an outlet for the water that has accumulated in permeable rock strata or cave underground.
- Caves: A cavity formed beneath the earth's surface, when water dissolves the limestone or dolomite by chemical action.

In Missouri, factors that contribute to cave formation are topography, fractures and cracks in the rock that water can pass through, and the movement of water from upland to lowland areas. Water moving through bedrock enters the underground cavity, losing carbon dioxide to the cave's atmosphere. The chemistry of the water changes the minerals dissolved from the overlying limestone and dolomite. Cave deposits known as speleothems begin growing on the cave's walls, ceilings and floor. Known karst features (sink holes) located in the Study Area are depicted on Figure 3-7.

### 3.8.2.3 Water Quality

Water quality can be defined as the current status or condition of the water in a specific aquatic ecosystem. It is much easier to describe poor water quality than to describe the conditions that are considered indicative of good water quality. Many of the lines between good and poor are stream-specific. Each watershed has some natural buffering capacity. This allows the water to adapt and compensate for normal changes in the environment such as leaching from the soil or the occasional heavy rain.

There are three major watersheds in the Study Area: the Peruque-Piasa, the Lower Missouri, and the Cahokia-Joachim. The Peruque-Piasa and the Cahokia-Joachim are associated with the Mississippi River watershed; the Lower Missouri is associated with the Missouri River watershed. Watershed boundaries located in the Study Area are depicted on Figure 3-7. Water quality in the Missouri and Mississippi Rivers is generally dependent on land use conditions in several upstream states to the north and west. Suspended sediment concentrations are generally high due to agricultural practices and channelization.

Section 303(d) of the Federal CWA requires that states identify waters not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been developed. Water quality standards protect beneficial uses of water such as whole body contact (swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The waterbodies located in the Study Area that do not meet water quality standards are
considered impaired. Such impaired waters are included on the 2016 EPA approved 303(d) list, which is summarized Table 3-13 and depicted on Figure 3-7.

Table 3-13: Summary of Waterbodies on 303(d) List

| Waterbody <br> ID | Waterbody Name | Pollutant | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7054 | Lake St. Louis | Mercury in Fish Tissue | Atmospheric Deposition |
| 7055 | Lake Ste. Louise | Mercury in Fish Tissue | Atmospheric Deposition |
| 217 | Peruque Creek | Fishes Bioassessments / Unknown | Nonpoint Source |
| 1604 | Missouri River | Escherichia coli | Municipal Point Source <br> Discharges, Nonpoint Source |

Source: MDNR 2016 EPA Approved 303(d) List

The MDNR regulates surface and groundwater quality within Missouri (10 CSR 20-7). MoDOT is responsible for implementing control measures to prevent the excessive release of sediment and pollutants into nearby waterways whenever one acre or more of land is disturbed for certain roadwork activities by obtaining a land disturbance permit and use of best management practices (BMPs). BMPs may include employee training in erosion control techniques, site preparation activities, surface stabilization, run-off and run-on control measures.

### 3.8.2.4 Floodplains and Floodways

There are 11 drainageways that have FEMA-designated floodplains in Zones AE and A, which define boundaries of floodplains with varying degrees of detail. These zones are defined as follows:

- Zone AE: A part of the FEMA 100-year flood hazard area where base flood elevations have been determined.
- Zone A: A part of the FEMA 100-year flood hazard area where base flood elevations have not been determined but a shaded, generalized floodplain is shown on the FEMA FIRMs. The 100-year flood is FEMA's base flood.
- Zone X: A part of the FEMA 500-year flood area, 100-year flood area with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than one-square-mile.

A floodway is an area of the floodplain that has been defined to be "reserved in order to discharge the base flood without cumulatively increasing the water surface elevation more than a designated height." Usually this is accomplished by prohibiting the placement of fill in the floodway. If fill is proposed in a floodway, it must be shown that this will not adversely impact surrounding property.

All 11 drainageways are designated as Zone AE floodplains, while two have portions that are designated as Zone A floodplains. There are also nine drainageways that have floodways delineated in addition to the Zone AE floodplains. A summary of the drainageways within the Study Area and their corresponding FEMA designation is presented below in Table 3-14 and Figure 3-8.

Improvements in the Study Area could impact several FEMA regulated floodplains/floodways. A base level modeling to determine these impacts will be required, and depending on the magnitude of the impact, the Conditional Letter of Map Revision/Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR/LOMR) process could be triggered. The 11 Zone AE floodplains with floodways are the most sensitive to changes in the floodplain and have the most potential to require a CLOMR/LOMR process. The two Zone A floodplains have some sensitivity to impacts but have slightly less potential to require a CLOMR/LOMR process. The Zone X floodplain is the least sensitive to impacts and has the least potential to require the CLOMR/LOMR process.

Table 3-14: Summary of Drainageways

| Approximate <br> Mile Point | Drainageway Name | FEMA Zone | Watershed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 214 | Peruque Creek | A / AE / Floodway | Peruque-Piasa |
| 218 | Belleau Creek | AE / Floodway | Peruque-Piasa |
| 221 | Dardenne Creek | AE / Floodway | Peruque-Piasa |
| 223 | Spencer Creek | AE / Floodway | Peruque-Piasa |
| 227 | Cole Creek | AE / Floodway | Peruque-Piasa |
| 229 | Boonslick Creek | A / AE | Lower Missouri |
| 230 | Missouri River | AE / Floodway | Lower Missouri |
| 232 | Creve Coeur Creek | AE | Lower Missouri |
| 233 | Cowmire Creek | AE / Floodway | Lower Missouri |
| 239 | Maline Creek | AE / Floodway | Cahokia-Joachim |
| 247 | Mississippi River | AE / Floodway | Cahokia-Joachim |

### 3.9 OTHER BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Wildlife is an important public resource that warrants consideration during federally-funded projects and is documented during transportation project development. Various federal laws have been established to protect wildlife, including the ESA, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA), and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGPA) (16 U.S.C. 668 et seq.). ${ }^{8}$
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### 3.9.1 Methodology

Details and characteristics of wildlife resources in the Study Area were identified using existing GIS data. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and the Missouri Natural Heritage Database (MNHD) were consulted to determine if state and/or federal threatened and endangered species were known to occur in the Study Area and throughout St. Charles County, St. Louis County, and the City of St. Louis.

The wildlife resources that were identified during the review can be categorized into the following categories:

- Threatened and Endangered (T \& E) Species: Species that are listed or are candidates for listing under the ESA as threatened or endangered at either the state or federal level.
- Protected Species: Species or their habitat, which are not T \& E species but are protected by other specific regulations including the MBTA and BGPA.

The Study Area was also evaluated for critical habitats within one-half-mile of the I-70 centerline. Critical habitat is the specific areas within the geographic area occupied by the species at the time it was listed that contain the physical or biological features that are essential to the conservation of endangered and threatened species, and that may need special management or protection.

Critical habitat may also include areas that were not occupied by the species at the time of listing but are essential to its conservation. Although no longer protected under the ESA, bald and golden eagles are protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act and Migratory Bird Treaty Act ( 16 U.S.C. 703 et seq.).

### 3.9.2 Key Points

There are a total of 9 threatened or endangered species identified by the FWS in three FWS ecological service areas. These species could be affected in the Study Area

### 3.9.2.1 Threatened and Endangered Species and Habitats

A summary of the 9 threatened or endangered species is included in Table 3-15.
Table 3-15: Summary of Threatened or Endangered Species

| Species | Status | Columbia | Rock Island | Marion ${ }^{9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Birds |  |  |  |  |
| Least tern (Sterna antillarum) Population: interior pop. | Endangered | X | X | X |
| Crustaceans |  |  |  |  |
| Illinois Cave amphipod (Gammarus acherondytes) Population: Entire | Endangered |  | X | X |
| Fish |  |  |  |  |
| Pallid sturgeon (Scaphirhynchus albus) Population: Entire | Endangered | X | X | X |
| Flowering Plants |  |  |  |  |
| Decurrent False aster (Boltonia decurrens) | Threatened | X | X | X |
| Eastern Prairie Fringed orchid (Platanthera leucophaea) | Threatened |  | X | X |
| Running Buffalo clover (Trifolium stoloniferum) | Endangered | X |  |  |
| Mammals |  |  |  |  |
| Gray bat (Myotis grisescens) <br> Population: Entire | Endangered | X |  |  |
| Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis) Population: Entire | Endangered | X | X | X |
| Northern long-eared Bat (Myotis septentrionalis) | Proposed Endangered | X | X | X |

No critical habitats were identified by the FWS within the Study Area.

The Natural Heritage Review report from the MDC indicated there were no wildlife preserves, no designated wilderness areas or critical habitats, and no known federal-listed terrestrial species records within the Study Area. However, the Missouri River and its riparian corridor are home to a number of species of state and federal concern, including pallid sturgeon (Scaphirhynchus albus, federal/state endangered) and others. The river's banks and floodplain are places the following species may be encountered: gray bats (Myotis grisescens, federal/state endangered), Indiana bats (Myotis sodalis, federal/state endangered), and bald eagles (Haliaeetus leucocephalus, delisted). However, bald eagles are protected under the BGPA, the MBTA, the Lacey Act, and others.

[^6]
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The Natural Heritage Review also included records of state-listed species of conservation concern. A summary of these species is included in Table 3-16.

Table 3-16: Summary of State-Listed Species

| Common Name | Scientific Name | State Status | Federal Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St Charles County |  |  |  |
| American Bittern | Botaurus lentiginosus | Endangered |  |
| Blanding's Turtle | Emydoidea blandingii | Endangered |  |
| Central Mudminnow | Umbra limi | Endangered |  |
| Decurrent False Aster | Boltonia decurrens | Endangered | Threatened |
| Ebonyshell | Fusconaia ebena | Endangered |  |
| Flathead Chub | Platygobio gracilis | Endangered |  |
| Indiana Myotis | Myotis sodalis | Endangered | Endangered |
| King Rail | Rallus elegans | Endangered |  |
| Lake Sturgeon | Acipenser fulvescens | Endangered |  |
| Pallid Sturgeon | Scaphirhynchus albus | Endangered | Endangered |
| St Louis County |  |  |  |
| American Bittern | Botaurus lentiginosus | Endangered |  |
| Crystal Darter | Crystallaria asprella | Endangered |  |
| Decurrent False Aster | Boltonia decurrens | Endangered | Threatened |
| Eastern Hellbender | Cryptobranchus alleganiensis alleganiensis | Endangered |  |
| Ebonyshell | Fusconaia ebena | Endangered |  |
| Elephantear | Elliptio crassidens | Endangered |  |
| Flathead Chub | Platygobio gracilis | Endangered |  |
| Gray Myotis | Myotis grisescens | Endangered | Endangered |
| Indiana Myotis | Myotis sodalis | Endangered | Endangered |
| Lake Sturgeon | Acipenser fulvescens | Endangered |  |
| Northern Long-eared Myotis | Myotis septentrionalis |  | Threatened |
| Pallid Sturgeon | Scaphirhynchus albus | Endangered | Endangered |
| Peregrine Falcon | Falco peregrinus | Endangered |  |
| Pink Mucket | Lampsilis abrupta | Endangered | Endangered |
| Running Buffalo Clover | Trifolium stoloniferum | Endangered | Endangered |
| Scaleshell | Leptodea leptodon | Endangered | Endangered |
| Sheepnose | Plethobasus cyphyus | Endangered | Endangered |
| Snuffbox | Epioblasma triquetra | Endangered | Endangered |
| Spectaclecase | Cumberlandia monodonta |  | Endangered |
| St Louis City |  |  |  |
| Lake Sturgeon | Acipenser fulvescens | Endangered |  |
| Pallid Sturgeon | Scaphirhynchus albus | Endangered | Endangered |
| Peregrine Falcon | Falco peregrinus | Endangered |  |

Source: https://mdc.mo.gov/property/responsible-construction/missouri-natural-heritage-program

### 3.9.2.2 Migratory Birds

The Study Area runs through a highly urbanized area connecting the Cities of Wentzville and St. Louis. Along its route, the corridor crosses the Missouri River at the St.Charles/St. Louis County lines. Increasing development along the I-70 corridor has resulted in the decline, and in some cases, the complete removal of suitable habitat for many species of wildlife, including migratory birds. However, the Missouri River and its riparian corridor does offer migratory birds habitat conducive for breeding, nesting, feeding, and resting.

Federal regulations prohibit construction activities that would result in the take (i.e., to pursue, shoot, shoot at, poison, wound, kill, capture, trap, collect, molest, or disturb) of bird species, eggs, young, and/or active bird nests protected under MBTA and BGPA. In the U.S., the FWS is the lead agency for managing migratory birds and eagles.

Bald eagles are regular winter residents in Missouri. Although they may occur in any county, wintering eagles tend to concentrate around large impoundments, marshes, and rivers where fish, waterfowl, or other food supplies are abundant. Nearby stands of trees containing some tall mature trees having stout horizontal limbs and open branching patterns are important as roosts and resting perches.

The Least Tern (Sterna antillarum) is an endangered species protected by the Federal Endangered Species Act. Typically a summer resident in Missouri, the Least Tern prefers barren areas near water such as sand bars in river channels and the shores of large impoundments where a dependable food supply of small fish and crustaceans is available.

The highly urbanized areas associated with the Study Area do not appear to provide suitable habitat for either the bald eagle or least tern. Therefore, neither of these species should be affected by construction activities in the highly urbanized areas of the Study Area. However, construction activities in or around the Missouri River (i.e. I-70 Bridge) could have a negative impact to both the bald eagle and the least tern. Considering the bald eagle, the Missouri River corridor provides a source of mature stands of timber suitable for nesting/roosting and an abundant food supply of fish and waterfowl that concentrate in the river. For the least tern, the Missouri River provides a source of sand bars, mud flats, and a reliable source of small fish and crustaceans.

MBTA listed species that are commonly found within an urban landscape include: northern cardinal (Cardinalis cardinalis), brown thrasher (Toxostoma rufum), eastern towhee (Pipilo erythrophthalmus), mourning dove (Columbina inca), common grackle (Quiscalus quiscula), downy woodpecker (Picoides pubescens), hairy woodpecker (Picoides villosus), red-eyed vireo (Vireo olivaceus), red-winged blackbird (Agelaius phoeniceus), bluejay (Cyanocitta cristata), American robin (Turdus migratorius), and Carolina wren (Thryothorus ludovicianus).

The breeding season for migratory birds that would use bridge and culvert structures as habitat is between April 1 and July 31. Breeding season for other migratory birds is generally February 15 to July 15. Construction activities located within the Study Area (especially the Missouri River
corridor) during the breeding season for migratory birds can potentially affect nests, eggs, and/or young of birds protected under the MBTA.

### 3.9.2.3 Wildlife Corridors

Existing land use in the Study Area is primarily highly urbanized zones of residential and commercial areas bordering the interstate corridor within the Greater St. Louis Metropolitan Area. Where wildlife once had free movement through fields and along drainages, development in recent years has led to more constricted movement and fragmented habitat. The Missouri River crosses the Study Area near its mid-point and serves as a major wildlife corridor that facilitates wildlife movement.

Wildlife is considered a road safety hazard, causing billions of dollars annually in repairs and medical costs due to animal-vehicle collisions (AVCs) nationwide. These AVCs also result in a loss to wildlife populations and wildlife diversity. Typically the total number of AVCs is underreported and only focuses on large wildlife species such as deer. The construction of wildlifefriendly structures over drainages will provide avenues for wildlife to move through the Study Area while keeping the general public safe.

### 3.10 LAND COVER AND LAND USE

This section outlines the framework for land use planning in the Study Area and provides a description of existing land use conditions as well as trends and anticipated future land use conditions. Land use planning in the Study Area is primarily undertaken by local municipalities and county governments. In addition, the EWGCOG is the metropolitan planning organization responsible for transportation planning in the Study Area.

### 3.10.1 Methodology

Existing fine resolution land cover data was created through a partnership between EWGCOG and the Missouri Resource Assessment Partnership (MoRAP). Land uses across all jurisdictions in the Study Area have been generally categorized into agricultural, residential, commercial (including retail, industrial, office, etc.), and open space/parks. Some communities have slightly different land use categories. For purposes of this analysis, some categories have been combined to provide consistency across communities. For example, regional and neighborhood commercial have been combined into "commercial." Most communities have single family and several multifamily residential categories; these have been included as "residential."

### 3.10.2 Key Points

Generally, the Study Area is in a flat to rolling plains region of Missouri which consists almost exclusively of an urban/built-up environment. Areas that used to be agricultural fields and individual farms have seen recent residential and commercial development.

### 3.10.2.1 Fine Resolution Land Cover

Existing fine resolution land cover data was obtained from MoRAP. MoRAP is a partnership established with state and federal agencies and non-government conservation organizations to develop, analyze, and deliver geospatial data for natural and cultural resource management. The land cover data utilized is from 2016, which is the most current data available for the Study Area.

Land cover data in the Study Area were defined using seven classifications: Water, Urban/Impervious, Barren/Sparsely Vegetated, Deciduous Forest, Evergreen Forest, Grass, and Crop. The acreage and percentage of each category located within the Study Area is presented in Table 3-17 and shown on Figure 3-9.

Table 3-17: Land Cover

| Land Cover <br> Classification | Total Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acres | $\%$ |
| Water | 738.56 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Urban/Impervious | $11,547.94$ | $44.1 \%$ |
| Barren/Sparsely <br> Vegetated | 722.43 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Deciduous Forest | $5,610.87$ | $21.4 \%$ |
| Evergreen Forest | 87.78 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Grass | $7,234.41$ | $27.6 \%$ |
| Crop | 251.02 | $1.0 \%$ |

### 3.10.2.2 Prime Farmland

Prime farmland is an important resource and includes soils that have the best combination of physical and chemical characteristics for producing food and agricultural crops with minimum inputs of fuel, fertilizer, pesticides, and labor and without intolerable erosion. A summary of prime farmland is listed in Table 3-18 and shown on Figure 3-10.

Table 3-18: Summary of Prime Farmland

| Farmland Type | Total Acres within the <br> Resource-Specific Study Area |
| :---: | :---: |
| Prime Farmland | 293.20 |
| Farmland of Statewide Importance | $1,681.30$ |
| Prime Farmland if Drained | $1,014.78$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 9 8 9 . 2 8}$ |

I-70 PEL Study Conditions Assessment Report

Although the soil types present within the Study Area include some prime farmland, the majority of the Study Area is almost exclusively of an urban/built-up environment with little to no land utilized for agriculture. Areas of urban or built-up land are not considered for prime farmland, regardless of soil characteristics.

Future projects identified in this I-70 PEL Study will require consultation with the Natural Resources Conservation Service and the completion of the Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Form for Corridor Type Projects to assess potential prime farmland impacts.

### 3.10.2.3 Land Use Planning

Land use planning for unincorporated lands in the Study Area is the responsibility of St. Charles County and St. Louis County. The City of St. Louis is an independent city and was separated from St. Louis County in 1877. Several municipalities, including cities and villages, are located in the Study Area. Municipalities along the I-70 corridor from west to east include Wentzville, Lake St. Louis, Josephville, O'Fallon, St. Paul, St. Peters, St. Charles, Bridgeton, Maryland Heights, Champ, Hazelwood, St. Ann, Breckenridge Hills, Edmundson, Woodson Terrace, St. John, Berkeley, Kinloch, Bel-ridge, Ferguson, Cool Valley, Bellerive, Bel-nor, Normandy, Jennings, Norwood Court, Pasadena Park, Pasadena Hills, Glen Echo Park, Velda City, Beverly Hills, Northwoods, Country Club Hills, Hillsdale, Velda Village Hills, Uplands Park, Pine Lawn, Flordell Hills, and the City of St. Louis. See Figure 3-11.

The Study Area falls within the EWGCOG transportation planning region. Land use projections from local governments are used by EWGCOG for regional planning purposes. Local land use projections, such as the location and timing of residential and commercial (employment) development, are incorporated into long-range regional and statewide transportation plans. EWGCOG's 2040 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) indicates that the concentration of jobs and housing is shifting beyond the urban core. Land development characteristics have followed suit with the urbanized area of the region reaching far into counties surrounding the City of St. Louis and St. Louis County.

### 3.10.2.4 Existing Land Use

Existing land use data was tabulated using data provided by the three jurisdictions responsible for land use planning: St. Charles County, St. Louis County, and the City of St. Louis.

Though there are similarities across jurisdictions, each county uses different land use categories and definitions, so direct comparisons across jurisdictions are not possible. Table 3-19 and Table 3-20 show land use, acreage, and the \% of acreage within each category for each county. Table 3-21 shows the same for the City of Saint Louis. Figure 3-2 shows the land use locations.

Table 3-19: Land Use - St. Charles County

| Land Use Category | St. Charles County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acres | Percentage |
| Residential | 15,640 | $39 \%$ |
| Commercial Retail | 5,854 | $15 \%$ |
| Commercial Service | 1,270 | $3 \%$ |
| Institutional | 2,358 | $6 \%$ |
| Industrial | 2,327 | $6 \%$ |
| Open Space | 2,375 | $6 \%$ |
| Vacant/Agricultural | 10,206 | $25 \%$ |

Table 3-20: Land Use - St. Louis County

| Land Use Category | St. Louis County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acres | Percentage |
| Residential | 40,687 | $64 \%$ |
| Commercial | 3,046 | $5 \%$ |
| Institution | 1,712 | $3 \%$ |
| Open Space | 1,206 | $2 \%$ |
| Industrial | 9,870 | $16 \%$ |
| Vacant/Agriculture | 6,171 | $10 \%$ |

Table 3-21: Land Use - City of St. Louis

| Land Use Category | City of St. Louis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acres | Percentage |
| Residential | 2,765 | $29 \%$ |
| Commercial Retail | 2,586 | $27 \%$ |
| Commercial Service | 555 | $6 \%$ |
| Entertainment | 14 | $<1 \%$ |
| Institutional | 652 | $7 \%$ |
| Open Space | 1,009 | $11 \%$ |
| ROW/Transportation | 1,019 | $11 \%$ |
| Industrial | 730 | $8 \%$ |
| Vacant | 615 | $6 \%$ |
| Manufacturing | 257 | $3 \%$ |

### 3.10.2.5 Planned Development and Future Land Use

## Introduction to Development Profiles

For purposes of analysis, the Study Area was divided into five distinct segments (sub-markets). The boundaries for these segments were determined by a number of factors, including natural and man-made boundaries, as well as logical breaks in different types of economic activity and opportunity. The segments are:

- St. Charles County West Segment
- St. Charles County East Segment
- St. Louis County West/Lambert Airport Segment
- St. Louis County East Segment
- St. Louis City Segment

The boundaries generally consist of half-mile buffers on either side of I-70, except where the pull of key employers or employment districts extends inter-related economic activity beyond this parameter.

The following pages provide overviews of each segment, the proportional mix of non-residential development, key developments, snapshots of each industry, and the overall outlook (based on current trends and available land for development).

Each segment has its own distinct character. There is a diverse mix of economic generators, socio-economic conditions, strengths, weaknesses, and opportunities throughout the Study Area. The St. Charles County East Segment, for example, has very little manufacturing, whereas this sector has been a strength of the St. Charles County West Segment. Conversely, the St. Charles East Segment has a mix of institutions, government entities, and tourism destinations that are unmatched in the St. Charles West Segment.

The St. Louis County West Segment is defined by the presence of Lambert Airport and Boeing, and the economic activity and spin-off development they generate. As one of the largest employment centers in the St. Louis region, it has over four times the employment of the St. Louis County East Segment. Yet this latter segment has two Fortune 500 headquarters, a large university, and NorthPark-a promising development site whose capacity for growth exceeds sites elsewhere in the St. Louis County West Segment.

## St. Charles County West Segment

Located at the leading edge of development in the St. Louis region (May Road to the O'Fallon eastern city limit), the St. Charles West Segment has experienced an influx of new development over the past several decades, and its economy has evolved around the pillars of manufacturing, distribution, and retail. The segment's largest employer-General Motors (GM), employs 3,500 people at its Wentzville plant.


The following diagram shows that there is a little over 25.8 million square feet to non-residential floor area in the St. Charles County West Segment and that $66 \%$-two-thirds-is composed of manufacturing and distribution space. Another $27 \%$ is composed of retail uses. About 1.7 million square feet are devoted to medical office and other conventional office uses. Vacant building space in this segment is shown on Figure 3-12.

COMMERCIAL FLOOR AREA ST. CHARLES COUNTY WEST SEGMENT


## Key Developments

With 3,500 employees and over four million square feet of manufacturing space, the GM plant in Wentzville is not only the biggest direct employer in the segment, it also has an outsized impact in terms of "multiplier effect," meaning that it attracts distribution facilities and suppliers to the area, supporting even more jobs. As a result, Manufacturing Parks One, Two, and Three are also among the most significant developments in the segment.

In early 2017, NorthPoint development will complete a 1.1 million-square-foot build-to-suit distribution center adjacent to the GM plant that will provide warehousing for the plant and GM suppliers. This will bring GM's total footprint to over five million square feet.

The largest concentration of retail development is found along Highway K, with 2.8 million square feet of big box stores and strip developments lining a fourmile stretch of the highway. A second significant development is Wentzville Crossroads, which opened in 2002 and now has 780,000 square feet of development. The opening of Route 364 in December 2014 was a major development factor that better links the western edge of this segment to points further south.

The locations of key developments in this segment are shown on Figure 3-13.


## Office



With just over 92,000 square feet of Class A office space, the segment is not an established office employment center, although it does have 1.2 million square feet of Class B space. Some of this space is clustered in the Highway K area but it is generally dispersed, and an established cluster of office development has not yet emerged.

## Medical Office

With 395,000 square feet of medical office space, a fair amount of this use is present in the segment. Medical offices are largely centered along Highway K and Wentzville Parkway as well as at SSM St. Joseph Hospital West near Lake St. Louis.

## Retail

Retail is found throughout the Study Area, primarily in the form of strip development. Highway K has the largest cluster with 2.8 million square feet of commercial strip retail centers, including such conventional anchors as Walmart and Target in the larger developments. Wentzville Crossroads is a shopping area with 780,000 square feet of retail, including Walmart, Home Depot, and Sam's Club.

## Manufacturing

Manufacturing plays a significant role in the St. Charles West Segment, largely due to the presence of General Motors and its 4.2 million square foot facility. An additional 2.5 million square feet of manufacturing space is located in the segment, some of which is associated with the GM plant.

## Distribution/Flex

Along with manufacturing, distribution anchors the St. Charles West Segment's economy. With roughly 10.5 million square feet of distribution and flex space, a number of business parks contribute to this growing sector of the Study Area. With occupancy at $99 \%$, it is likely that additional space will be added in the future.
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## Planned Development

Western St. Charles County continues to grow rapidly as residential development expands outward from the region's core, bringing demand for retail, services, and jobs. In 2015, the city of Wentzville annexed a 132-acre tract to the west of the GM plant as the site of the Wentzville Logistics Center. This center will support operations for the GM Assembly Center and its suppliers. Construction of a 1.1 million square foot build-to-suit GM facility on 73 acres of this site is scheduled for completion in early 2017.

## Vacant Land

According to data provided by St. Charles County, $27 \%$, or approximately 6,700 acres, of the segment's land area is agricultural or vacant. While some of this land lies in floodplain areas, the segment clearly has significant capacity to accommodate additional development. Vacant commercial land area in this segment is shown on Figure 3-14.

## Development Outlook

With a great deal of available land for development, the future of the St. Charles West economy appears dependent on three factors: the future of the GM plant, continued outward expansion of regional development, and business diversification. With development migrating westward, the outlook is generally positive. Greater diversification in the office, healthcare, and institutional sectors would further stabilize its economy.

## Development Spotlight General Motors

General Motors' Wentzville plant is a major employment anchor in western St. Charles County. The plant has grown substantially in size and importance due to the popularity of the reintroduced GMC Canyon and Chevrolet Colorado mid-size pickup trucks, growing from about 1,200 workers in 2009 to about 3,500 workers in 2017. At present, GM Wentzville has grown to approximately 4.2 million square feet, with plans for continued expansion.


Key Statistics
St. Charles County West Segment
3,500 GM Workers
6,250 Jobs Added 2014-2005
6,700 Undeveloped Acres

## St. Charles County East Segment

In St. Charles County East (O’Fallon eastern city limits to the Missouri River), economic development favors retail and distribution space. St. Charles County's largest shopping center, Mid Rivers Mall, is located in this segment and has over two million retail square feet. Unlike St. Charles County West, there is limited undeveloped land available for future growth. Still, strategic redevelopment, such as the Streets of St. Charles, University Commons, and the Shoppes of Mid
 Rivers increases the competitiveness of this segment.

COMMERCIAL FLOOR AREA ST. CHARLES COUNTY EAST SEGMENT


As the diagram above shows, almost half of the non-residential space in this segment (45\%) is devoted to retail- 9.6 million square feet. This space can generally be categorized as "interchange retail" clustered at Mid Rivers Mall and the Zumbehl Road interchange with I-70. Space is generally well-occupied and is of modest development quality. A perhaps surprising amount of distribution/flex space is in the area as well- 7.5 million square feet, or $35 \%$ of nonresidential space. Class B and C office space is also common; Class A space in St. Charles County is more commonly found along I-64. Many residents of St. Charles who are in upper income tiers likely work nearby I-64 or commute to jobs in St. Louis County. Vacant building space in this segment is shown on Figure 3-15.
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## Key Developments

Non-residential development in St. Charles County East is generally concentrated around I-70 interchanges. Executive Center, a growing concentration of office development, is located south of the intersection of I-70 and Route 370, to the east of Mid Rivers Mall. It has more professional and medical office space than any other development in the St. Charles Study Area. Occupancy of Class B and medical office space in St. Charles County East ranges from 92 to 93 percent, having increased in recent years.

Mid Rivers Mall is the county's largest concentration of retail, with over two million square feet of retail space in the mall and adjacent properties attracting shoppers. The space is well-occupied at $97 \%$.

Near Lindenwood University, construction of University Commons was completed in 2014, adding 107,000 square feet of retail. The development was a cooperative venture undertaken with support from the university, the City of St. Charles, SSM St. Joseph's Health Center, the U.S. Post Office, and the DESCO group. The retail development is home to a 71,000 square foot Schnucks, CVS, and 13 additional retail tenants. Current occupancy is $93 \%$, with 7,000 square feet available.

A major development in St. Peters, Premier 370 Business Park, has the potential to significantly increase the amount of industrial space in the St. Charles County East segment. Located about a half mile north of the I-70 and Route 370 interchange, the 850 -acre site has the capacity to accommodate more than four million square feet of office, retail, light industrial, service center, warehouse and distribution facilities. The first tenant in the business park was Dayton Freight, which operates a 51,000 square foot distribution center. In 2016, Reckitt-Benckiser, a home product manufacturer, completed a 715,000 square foot facility. Two additional projects are in development and should be completed in 2017: Best Buy's 252,000 square foot warehouse and distribution center, and Saia LTL Freight's 51,000 square foot trucking and maintenance facility.

Five miles north of the I-70 corridor, The Millstone Company is in the process of developing the Fountain Lakes Commerce Center, a 500 -acre master-planned business park including retail, light industrial facilities, warehouse/distribution space, office development, and hotels. Built at the floodplain's edge, it has seen considerable development, with about 110 acres of developable sites remaining. At present, USAA Real Estate Company and JDC are building two speculative buildings, Fountain Lakes Commerce Center East and West, that will add 375,000 square feet of space in mid-2017. It has over 3.3 million square feet of distribution space, and moderate amounts of manufacturing and Class B office space. Land uses in this development are welloccupied and compete with similar facilities that may be planned closer to the interstate corridor.

Several key developments exist at the far eastern edge of the segment, in the City of St. Charles, that cumulatively generate a great deal of traffic and economic activity. They are: Streets of St. Charles (a mixed-use redevelopment), St. Charles' historic main street, University Commons, the St. Charles convention center, the Ameristar Casino and hotel, and the Mark Twain Mall.

The locations of key developments in this segment are shown on Figure 3-16.


## Office

With 2.3 million square feet of space, Class B office has a presence in the corridor, whereas Class A office is generally located elsewhere, such as the I-64 corridor in St. Charles and St. Louis Counties. Occupancy of Class B space has improved, but at $92 \%$, there is space that can be absorbed before new construction is needed.

## Medical Office

With 550,000 square feet, there is a moderate amount of medical office space in the segment, almost half of which is located at Executive Center. Like Class A office space, medical office has generally gravitated to the I-64 corridor.

## Retail

A substantial amount of retail development is found in this segment-particularly at the Mid Rivers Mall Drive and Zumbehl Road interchanges. Lease rates are generally higher at the mall, although both interchanges have large amounts of building stock that is likely to require replacement at the end of a 20- or 30-year life cycle. Presently, retail space is well-occupied and generates a significant amount of traffic.

## Manufacturing



Unlike the St. Charles West Segment, the St. Charles East Segment has a very minimal amount of manufacturing space. This is probably a result of multiple factors, including zoning, market trends during the time at which this portion of the Study Area was developed, and the lack of a manufacturing anchor, such as GM or Boeing.

## Distribution/Flex



While manufacturing land use is limited in this segment, it does contain a significant amount of distribution and flex space. In fact, with almost 7.5 million square feet of space, 97 percent of which is occupied, its share is second only to retail in the segment, and there is a significant amount of land area available for further growth in this segment.
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## Planned Development

New retail and industrial developments are driving growth in Eastern St. Charles County. To the north of I-70, across from Mid Rivers Mall, the Shoppes of Mid Rivers will add 300,000 additional square feet of retail on the former site of the ABC Supply Company. Described as the "largest retail development in the St. Louis MSA since 2008," the shopping center will open in the summer or fall of 2017. Retail growth is also continuing at the Streets of St. Charles, which currently totals about 500,000 of the one million planned square feet (see sidebar). The Premier 370 Business Park and Fountain Lakes are both adding square footage, with about 300,000 square feet in Premier 370 and 375,000 square feet in Fountain Lake coming online in 2017.

## Vacant Land

According to data provided by St. Charles County, $19.7 \%$ of the segment's land area is agricultural or vacant, which amounts to roughly 3,500 acres. Much of this land falls within a floodplain area, however, so there is actually less land available for development unless more land becomes floodprotected. However, a significant amount of vacant land remains for potential development at Executive Center. Vacant land area in this segment is shown on Figure 3-17.

## Development Outlook

St. Charles County East benefits from a diverse employment base that includes institutions, government, and tourism to augment the retail and distribution sectors. Developments such as the Streets of St. Charles, which itself seeks to capitalize on proximity to employment centers in St. Louis County and efforts toward densification, hold great promise for the economic performance of the area.

## Development Spotlight Streets of St. Charles

With 250,000 square feet of retail, 300-plus residential units, 250,000 square feet of class-A office space, entertainment, hotel, and covered space planned at full build-out, the Streets of St. Charles mixed-use development is a major addition to the I-70 corridor. Anchor tenants include an eightscreen AMC movie theatre, the Art Institute of St. Louis, and a 180-room Drury Inn (2017) in well-appointed new construction within a town-square setting.


## Key Statistics

St. Charles County East Segment
1,470 Jobs Added 2014-2005
Planned Mixed-Use Development at Street of St. Charles 1,800 Employees at SSM St. 2M SF Retail at Mid-Rivers

## St. Louis County West Segment

With over 77,000 jobs and 65 million square feet of non-residential space, St. Louis County West (Missouri River to I-170) is the second largest employment concentration in the region, behind only downtown St. Louis. Lambert International Airport anchors the area, attracting distribution and other transportationrelated industries, as does Boeing, which is the region's third largest employer with over 15,000 workers.


COMMERCIAL FLOOR AREA ST. LOUIS COUNTY WEST SEGMENT


Not only is the St. Louis County West Segment large in terms of the amount of jobs and nonresidential space it offers, but also is well-diversified, with a healthy division of space in the manufacturing, distribution, retail, and office sectors. With over 37 million square feet of distribution space, it is the largest hub for this sector in the metro region. Anchored by Boeing, it is also the largest manufacturing segment in the corridor, with roughly eight million square feet devoted to this use. Retail comprises nearly 9.3 million square feet, and office space comprises another 9.8 million square feet. Vacant building space in this segment is shown on Figure 3-18.
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## Key Developments

The St. Louis County West Segment has an exceptional amount of commercial and industrial development. It is anchored by Lambert Airport and Boeing, and the associated distribution, manufacturing, and office development found in Earth City.

Of the over 37 million square feet of distribution and flex space in the segment, nearly 20 million is found in Earth City and Riverport, which straddle I-70 near the Missouri River. Occupancy of this space is $92 \%$, meaning there is room to absorb additional space without adding new buildings. Earth City also has an impressive amount of manufacturing ( 3.1 million square feet) and Class A office space (2.1. million square feet).

Boeing occupies over 2.3 million square feet of office space. It also has over 1.1 million square feet of industrial space. In October 2016, the company formally opened a 424,000 square foot expansion to its tooling facility for the manufacture of parts for the 777X jetliner. The company projects additional hiring of about 700 employees by the early 2020s at this location because of increased manufacturing demand.

To the southwest of Boeing, Hazelwood Logistics Center is a 165 -acre site under development dedicated to distribution and manufacturing, with the ability to accommodate buildings of up to one million square feet. Two recent speculative projects in the center have added to the industrial floor area of the St. Louis County West Segment: a 199,000 square foot warehouse facility, Hazelwood Logistics Center I, completed in March 2016, and a 420,000 square foot distribution center, Hazelwood Logistics Center II, completed in winter 2016. Two more warehouses, totaling over 500,000 square feet are currently in planning stages.

To the north along Lindbergh Boulevard, the Aviator Business Park is being developed on the 160 -acre site of the Ford plant, which closed in 2006. The first company to move into the new business park was International Food Products, which consolidated its St. Louis-area operations in a 228,000 square foot build-to-suit facility, Aviator 4, in 2013. In 2015, Aviator 3, a 72,000 square foot office building and warehouse was completed. In 2016, Aviator 7, the 535,000 square foot speculative distribution center, was completed. The building currently houses Silgan Plastics ( 335,000 square feet) and a Weekends Only distribution center ( 133,000 square feet). Currently, construction is proceeding on Aviator X, a 548,000 square foot speculative distribution warehouse, with completion planned for summer 2017.

The St. Charles Rock Road corridor has a notable amount of health care development that is anchored by the 476-bed SSM Health DePaul Hospital, which employs 2,300 people. Retail uses are also prevalent along this corridor, with several big box developments, such as Target, Lowe's, Walmart, and the Home Depot. The demolition of Northwest Plaza in 2014 led to the development of The Crossings at Northwest on the 122-acre site of the former mall. A 200,000 square foot Menard's opened at the site in 2015, followed by a 12,000 square foot Ruler Foods later that year. In 2016, Charter Communications reoccupied the 135,000 square foot former Macy's space, opening a call center that currently employs about 1,000 people. Reoccupancy of the site has been slow, with current occupancy at $49 \%$.

The St. Louis Outlet Mall, formerly known as St. Louis Mills, is an outlet-oriented shopping mall that opened in 2003 with 1.2 million square feet of retail. The future of this retail area is currently uncertain. The $\$ 250$ million development sold for $\$ 4.4$ million in early 2016 after persistent increases in vacancy. Compared to average mall occupancy of about $92 \%$, the outlet mall had occupancy of $84 \%$ in 2014 , which decreased to $77 \%$ at the time of sale. Occupancy is currently $90 \%$. Given strong competition from two new outlet malls that opened in the Chesterfield Valley in 2013, it is likely that future development of the site may incorporate uses other than retail.

The locations of key developments in this segment are shown on Figure 3-19.

| St. Louis County West Segment Key Developments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | Square Feet | Occupancy <br> Rate |
| Manufacturing 270 \& Lindbergh |  |  |
| Office | 1.6 M | 90\% |
| Distribution | 2.6 M | 87\% |
| Earth City |  |  |
| Class A Office | 2.0M | 91\% |
| Class B Office | 900K | 76\% |
| Manufacturing | 3.0 M | 90\% |
| Distribution/Flex | 20.2M | 92\% |
| Rock Road Corridor |  |  |
| Retail | 4.4M | 94\% |
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## Office



With nearly nine million square feet, the St. Louis County West Segment is an underappreciated hub for office space. Earth City-Riverport has more than 2 million square feet of Class A space. The current average occupancy rate for office space in this segment is $88 \%$, which is consistent with regional trends. Given current employment trends and consumer preferences, it is likely that the future market for real estate will favor distribution uses.

## Medical Office

With over 870,000 square feet of medical office space, St. Louis County West has more of this use type than the other segments. This is largely due to the presence of SSM DePaul Health Center, in the Rock Road Corridor-the immediate environments around which have 290,000 square feet of medical office space. Given the growing nature of this industry, it is certainly possible that facilities could expand around this hospital anchor.

## Retail



With so little regional retail in other parts of north St. Louis County, this segment provides much of the existing retail for a large market area and has room to expand if certain greyfield developments continue as planned. Both the St. Charles Rock Road and North Lindbergh corridors offer a substantial amount of retail space, including several big box stores. Retail strip development is prominent outside of the big box areas, generally with modest lease rates.

## Manufacturing

Manufacturing is a very significant component of the St. Louis County West segment. Though manufacturing jobs have declined nationwide, Boeing remains a significant manufacturer using 3.2 million square feet of manufacturing space. Earth City has just over three million square feet of manufacturing space, making it a key location for manufacturing activity as well. The amount of building space dedicated to manufacturing use has decreased in recent years in this segment.

## Distribution/Flex



With over 37 million square feet, St. Louis County West boasts the largest distribution concentration in the region, owing much to its location near Lambert Airport. Earth City has 20 million square feet of distribution/flex space, and Boeing has over 5.5 million square feet. Opportunities for further expansion in this growing industry are mixed. On one hand, occupancy is typical for this use, at $91 \%$, meaning there is available space to accommodate growth. On the other hand, land to accommodate new development is becoming scarce, perhaps making NorthPark in the St. Louis County East Segment more attractive in coming decades.
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## Planned Development

The St. Louis County West's prominence as a regional and national logistics center is increasing. The Boeing Tooling Center expansion and the Hazelwood Logistics Center II added 844,000 square feet of industrial space in late 2016. The Aviator X Distribution Center, a speculative space will be completed by Panattoni in mid-2017, adding an addition 548,000 square feet in the area. According to Cushman and Wakefield, demand for large industrial spaces are high in North County and available space is expected to lease quickly.

## Vacant Land

According to data provided by St. Louis County, roughly $9 \%$ of the land area of the segment area is vacant, which amounts to roughly 5,100 acres. The vast majority of this land falls within a floodplain area, so considerably less land is available for development unless a levee is built. Vacant land area in this segment is shown on Figure 3-20.

## Development Outlook

Strong demand for industrial space has positive impact in St. Louis County West, where the availability of cleared sites in the Hazelwood Logistics Center and Aviator Business Park. Also, the availability of development incentives has led to the ability of this area to capture this growth. Growth in other uses, namely retail and office, on the other hand, has been considerably more sluggish. The area continues to serve a wide market area for daily needs retail; however, destination retail has experienced notable challenges as evidenced by St. Louis Mills Mall.

## Development Spotlight Earth City

Earth City is one of the region's major logistics hubs, with approximately 20 million square feet of distribution and warehouse space. It is home to many hightech industrial uses as well. The 1,400-acre business park was developed on leveeprotected land in the 1970s and 1980s, and today is nearly entirely built out. Though affected by the recession, occupancy in Earth City has increased from $85 \%$ in 2013 to $91 \%$ in 2016, with over a million square feet of space absorbed during this time period.


Key Statistics
St. Louis County West Segment
77,000 Joos
15,000 boeing Enplopees
38M SF Distitution center

## St. Louis County East Segment

The St. Louis County East Segment (I-170 to the western City limits) is the smallest of the five segments in terms of land area and commercial square feet. Yet, it has a major institution, University of Missouri St. Louis (UMSL), two of the region's most highly ranked Fortune 500 companies (Express Scripts and Emerson), and one of the most promising job-creating developments in the region in NorthPark.


COMMERCIAL FLOOR AREA ST. LOUIS COUNTY EAST SEGMENT


The segment has roughly 11.8 million square feet of non-residential space. But this does not include UMSL, which has 16,800 students and 2,000 employees. With two large corporations and room for expansion at NorthPark, the segment is positioned to become a more significant player in the regional economy. Vacant building space in this segment is shown on Figure 3-21.
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## Key Developments

Over the next 10 to 20 years, the NorthPark development has the potential to be one of the most significant developments in the Study Area. With approximately 550 acres of developable land, plans include a build-out of office and distribution space that will lead to as many as 12,000 jobs. The site has access to a MetroLink transit station, adjacent to UMSL, and is also near Lambert Airport. Currently, the business park includes nearly 2.3 million square feet of development, and over 5,000 people are employed at NorthPark's eleven businesses. Express Scripts, which ranked 22nd on the Fortune 500 list for 2016, relocated its corporate headquarters to NorthPark in 2006, adding an additional four buildings to their campus in 2008, 2010, 2011, and 2014. In 2015, Express Scripts employed nearly 6,500 people in the region.

Other major additions to NorthPark include Vatterott College in 2007, the speculative NorthPark Business Center 1, a Hilton Garden Inn hotel in 2008, and SKF's 310,000 square foot North American headquarters in summer 2016. A $\$ 30$ million, 538,000 square foot distribution center, NorthPark Distribution Center 1, was completed in December 2016. And finally, Schnucks is in the process of building a $\$ 100$ million, $1,000,000$ square foot distribution center, which will open in 2017.

Other anchors in the area include UMSL and Emerson, another Fortune 500 employer. UMSL is a major generator of activity in the study area, with about 11,000 on-campus students and over 2,000 faculty and staff traveling to and from the 470 -acre campus. Emerson's headquarters is located about 1.5 miles north of I-70 and employs approximately 1,300 people.

The largest concentration of retail is found at the southern end of the West Florissant corridor near the Emerson campus. The Buzz Westfall Plaza on the Boulevard, a 50-acre, 372,000 square foot shopping center, was developed in 2005 on the former site of the Northland Shopping Center, with a 126,000 square foot Target and 63,000 square foot Schnucks opening in 2006. The shopping center was sold to new owners in 2015, and in 2016, it was announced that the Target would close in the summer of 2016. Overall, retail in this area trends towards small-scale development in strip centers along Natural Bridge and West Florissant, with many independent small businesses located among franchise and corporate retailers.

The locations of key developments in this segment are shown on Figure 3-22.

| St. Louis County East Segment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Developments |  |  |
|  | Square Feet | Occupancy <br> Rate |
| North Park/Express Scripts |  |  |
| Office | 800 K | 100\% |
| Manufacturing | 310K | 100\% |
| Distribution | 450K | 93\% |
| Emerson |  |  |
| Office | 500K | 100\% |
| Manufacturing | 520 K | 100\% |
| West Florissant/Lucas \& Hunt |  |  |
| Retail | 450K | 94\% |

## Office



While there is a small amount of non-residential development in the segment relative to the others evaluated in this study, there is over 1.9 million square feet of office space, largely due to the presence of Express Scripts. NorthPark's location near a MetroLink station, interchange, and UMSL may appeal to additional firms in the future. Currently, NorthPark has 309,000 square feet of Class A space and 227,000 square feet of Class B/C space. The Emerson headquarters accounts for 500,000 square feet of Class B space.

## Medical Office



Just 92,000 square feet of medical office space is present in the entire segment. It is possible that health care operators may be attracted to the accessibility that NorthPark offers but, at present, very few medical facilities have located to the area.

## Retail

The Buzz Westfall center is the most significant retail development in recent years. Built on the site of the former Northlands Shopping Center in 2005 and 2006, it has brought several retailers, including a Target department store and a Schnucks supermarket. The Target, however, closed in 2016, leaving 124,000 square feet vacant.

## Manufacturing

Manufacturing in the segment is minimal, with just one million square feet, about one third of which is attributed to Emerson. Prospects for future manufacturing seem slim, as vacant land in NorthPark is more likely to be used for distribution and office space, since those are growing industry sectors and the proximity to Lambert Airport has obvious synergies with freight traffic and business travel.

## Distribution/Flex



Distribution and flex space comprises the greatest amount of floor area in the segment relative to other non-residential uses. However, with just over 3.2 million square feet of distribution/flex space, it has less than $10 \%$ of the distribution space found in the adjacent St. Louis County West Segment. Still, given NorthPark's availability of land and proximity to the Lambert Airport, it seems likely that the amount of this type of space in this segment will increase over time.
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## Planned Development

St. Louis County East Segment is the smallest of the five Study Area segments, and it spans four miles between I-70's interchange with I-170 and the City of St. Louis/St. Louis County line. The most significant growth in this segment will come from the expansion of NorthPark, which recently added a 538,000 square foot speculative distribution center. Later in 2017, the o1,000,000 square foot Schnucks distribution facility will open. With additional land available for development, NorthPark is expected to continue its growth.

## Vacant Land

According to data provided by St. Louis County, $11 \%$ of the segment's land area is vacant, which amounts to roughly 1,000 acres. One hundred acres remain ready for development within NorthPark Phase I. For this reason, NorthPark appears poised to capture a good deal of development over the next several decades. Vacant land area in this segment is shown on Figure 3-23.

## Development Outlook

With two Fortune 500 headquarters, UMSL, and MetroLink transit accessibility, St. Louis County East is poised for future growth adaptable to 21st century economic opportunities. NorthPark's space and ability to develop, coupled with its high design standards and the availability of incentives, indicates that the business park will continue its steady growth. Elsewhere in this segment, retail and office development is not expected to grow as dramatically.

## Development Spotlight NorthPark

The NorthPark development has the potential to significantly increase the number of jobs and the amount of rentable building area in the $1-70$ corridor over the next decade. With a total of 550 acres, NorthPark could eventually host over five million square feet of office, distribution, and manufacturing. The site is currently home to Express Scripts, the metropolitan area's largest Fortune 500 company ( $22^{\text {nd }}$ nationwide) which employs approximately 6,500 workers locally.


## Key Statistics

St. Louis County East Segment
11,000 on.campus UMst Students
$22^{\text {nd }} \begin{aligned} & \text { Fortune } 500 \text { Rank of } \\ & \text { Express Scripts }\end{aligned}$ 550 Acres in NorthPark

## St. Louis City Segment

It is difficult to discuss the St. Louis City Segment (western city limits to northern downtown St. Louis) without first mentioning downtown, which is the largest employment center in the region and has the most office space as well. However, significant employment centers exist in other portions of the segment as well, including Union Seventy and the North Riverfront. The relocation of the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA) to this
 area will add a new employment concentration

COMMERCIAL FLOOR AREA ST. LOUIS CITY SEGMENT

when it is completed in 2022 or 2023.

The St. Louis City Segment has diversified employment and land use base, with substantial amounts of building space occupied by office, retail, manufacturing, and distribution uses. There is 29.4 million square feet of office space, or $43 \%$ of commercial floor area in the segment, and is generally clustered downtown. Manufacturing and distribution facilities total some 34.2 million square feet, or almost $50 \%$ of the segment, with the greatest concentration found along the North Riverfront. The 4.6 million square feet of retail space-most of which is downtownis also a significant share of the city segment but still is only the fourth largest amount of retail floor area among the five Study Area segments. Vacant building space in this segment is shown on Figure 3-24.

## Key Developments

Downtown St. Louis is the largest employment center in the metropolitan area, with about 86,500 employees working in the central business district in 2014. Of greatest significance is its 24.6 million square feet of office space-the most of anywhere in the region. Downtown St. Louis also has a moderate amount of distribution space ( 3.9 million square feet) and retail space ( 3.1 million square feet, although this figure includes the near-vacant 660,000 square foot retail portion of Union Station).

Relative to other employment centers in the corridor, downtown St. Louis is unique in that it is less dependent on vacant land for development. In areas of higher property values, there is always the possibility to "build up"-to construct buildings of greater density that replace buildings of lesser density. Therefore, while vacant land is limited, underutilized areas such as surface parking lots and low-density buildings, give downtown St. Louis the capacity to add more jobs and residents. Yet, occupancy of downtown St. Louis office space is low (85\%) relative to regional averages, as are lease rates, indicating that it is currently possible to accommodate more jobs without adding new building square footage.

Downtown St. Louis grew significantly in housing, population, and tourism attractions over the past decade. From 2005 to 2014, number of housing units downtown grew from 1,500 in 2005 to 3,100 in 2014 , with the population more than doubling during this time. The renovation of historic structures into housing continues to add new units and new residents generate demand for downtown retail and restaurants. Since 2013, Downtown St. Louis added more than 40 new retail establishments, with notable concentrations along Washington Avenue and within Ballpark Village Phase I.

The North Riverfront is also a very significant employer, with over 13 million square feet of manufacturing and distribution space. With an average building age of 77 years, these properties are neither new nor what would be considered high-value real estate,

The locations of key developments in this segment are shown on Figure 3-25.


## Office



Of the 29.3 million square feet of office space in the segment, 24.6 million is in downtown St. Louis, including almost all of the Class A office space. Given the industrial nature of much of the segment (other than downtown St. Louis), it seems unlikely that a significant amount of office space will be added outside of this area within the segment. The average age of Class A office building downtown is 45 years, and Class $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C}$ buildings average 89 years. These relatively older buildings help to account for the low ( $86 \%$ ) occupancy rate of downtown office space.

## Medical Office



A minimal amount of medical office space is found in the corridor segment ( 183,000 square feet) and most of this is located downtown. The city's two major hospital centers, St. Louis University Medical Center and Washington University Medical Center, are found outside the corridor near the geographic center of the City of St. Louis.

## Retail



The majority of retail development in the segment is found in downtown St. Louis (roughly 3.1 million square feet of the total 4.6 million square feet). Anecdotal evidence indicates downtown St. Louis retail demand has increased as more residents have moved to the area. It has also had greater success attracting tourists and conventions. This trend should continue.

## Manufacturing



Overall, the segment has 10.2 million square feet of manufacturing space, which typically is associated with higher paying jobs as compared to retail space. Over half of this space is located in two areas-the North Riverfront and the Mark Twain/Union Seventy/
Kingshighway industrial area around I-70 and Union Boulevard. Given current trends, it may be more likely to make gains in the distribution and wholesale sectors rather than manufacturing.

## Distribution/Flex



With over 23.9 million square feet of space, the St. Louis City Segment is a significant player in the distribution sector of the economy. The convergence of a number of significant multimodal amenities in this area, such as the railroad, highway, ports, and river, coupled with efforts to further strengthen the region's freight network, indicate that distribution will continue to anchor the economy of this segment.
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## Planned Development

The relocation of the NGA to a site to the north and west of downtown St. Louis in 2022 or 2023, will be one of the most significant developments in this segment. The $\$ 1.75$ billion headquarters will be built on a 100 -acre site within the Northside Regeneration footprint (see sidebar) and will employ about 3,100 workers.

## Vacant Land

According to data provided by the City of St. Louis, nearly $26 \%$ of the segment area is vacant, which amounts to roughly 2,400 acres. Much of this land falls within the Northside Regeneration project area, which has been assembled and is being marketed and prepared to accommodate a significant amount of new development. Vacant land in this segment is shown on Figure 3-26.

## Development Outlook

With recent strong job growth, increased port activity, and increased demand for living and working environments in downtown St. Louis, the area appears poised for continued growth. Northside Regeneration-an ambitious plan to stabilize existing development and redevelop significant portions of 1,500 acres of urban real estate-is being positioned to capitalize on renewed demand for urban locations and a scarcity of other large development sites in the corridor. The relocation of the NGA, bringing with it 3,100 jobs, will significantly change the area beginning in 2022.

## Development Spotlight Northside Regeneration

With a geographic scope of over 1,500 acres, Northside Regeneration has great potential to add housing and employment to North St. Louis. If developed as planned, this development would add a significant number of residents, workers, and vehicular traffic to the area, which has suffered from decades of disinvestment and vacancy. In 2016, a grocery store and gas station were approved for incentives and a 500 -unit residential housing plan was announced for the area that will be adjacent to the NGA facility.


Key Statistics
St. Louis City Segment
94,185 Jobs
25M SF Office Downtown
$3^{\text {rd }}$ Largest Inland Port in U.S.
1,500 Acres in Northside
3,968 Jobs Added 2005-2014
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### 3.11 SOCIOECONOMICS AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

Federal agencies are required by Executive Order 12898 (EO 12898), Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations, to consider the effect of their projects on environmental justice. This EO, signed by President Clinton in 1994, builds on Title VI and VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Environmental justice is a public policy goal of promoting the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of people in the decision-making process for transportation projects. Satisfying this goal means ensuring that minority and low-income communities receive an equitable distribution of the benefits of transportation activities without suffering disproportionately high adverse effects. Achieving environmental justice requires both analytical techniques and the full and fair participation by those potentially affected communities in the transportation decision-making process.

### 3.11.1 Methodology

To identify minority and/or low income populations in the Study Area, U.S. Census (Census) data was evaluated using a method consistent with governing regulations. 2010 Census data at the block level was used to identify minority populations. Low income populations were determined using U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) income thresholds and income parameters from the American Community Survey (2010-2015).

### 3.11.2 Key Points

The results of the analysis to identify minority and low-income populations in the Study Area are presented in the following sections and on Figures 3-27 and 3-28.

### 3.11.2.1 Minority Populations

Minority populations are composed of ethnic and/or racial minorities. As defined in FHWA Order 6640.23, a minority is a person who is Black, Hispanic, Asian American, American Indian, or Alaskan Native. Table 3-22 provides the percentage of minority persons in St. Charles and St. Louis Counties and the City of St. Louis. These percentages serve as the thresholds by which Study Area Census blocks are compared. Table 3-23 lists the minority populations within the Study Area segments (as defined in Section 3.10.2.5).

Table 3-22: Minority Population by County

| Location | Total Population | Minority Population | Percent Minority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St. Charles County | 360,485 | 39,407 | $10.9 \%$ |
| St. Louis County | 998,954 | 310,970 | $31.1 \%$ |
| City of St. Louis | 319,294 | 184,592 | $57.8 \%$ |

Table 3-23: Minority Population in the Study Area

| Location | Total Population | Minority Population | Percent Minority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St. Charles County West | 71,594 | 7,919 | $11.1 \%$ |
| St. Charles County East | 81,622 | 11,157 | $13.7 \%$ |
| St. Louis County West/ Airport | 68,102 | 23,114 | $33.9 \%$ |
| St. Louis County East | 42,168 | 36,364 | $86.2 \%$ |
| City of St. Louis | 65,085 | 58,773 | $90.3 \%$ |
| Study Area Total | 328,571 | 137,327 | $41.8 \%$ |

Future projects identified in this PEL will require additional Environmental Justice analysis during the NEPA process to assess impacts from proposed projects. Census blocks with a higher percentage of minorities than the county as a whole would be evaluated for disproportionately high and adverse effects and selected for outreach. Within the Study Area, several areas of high minority population are located directly adjacent to I-70 (see Figure 3-27) and the percentages generally increase moving east along the corridor.

### 3.11.2.2 Low-Income Populations

FHWA Order 6640.23 defines low-income as "....a household income at or below the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) poverty guidelines." Different income thresholds (e.g., U.S. Census Bureau poverty threshold or the HUD Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)) may be used as long as it is not selectively implemented and is inclusive of persons at or below the HHS poverty guidelines. The poverty data was obtained from the 2015 American Community Survey published by the U.S. Census Bureau. The poverty threshold used to determine the number of individuals in poverty and the percentages is $\$ 24,339$ for a family of four (two adults and two children). Table 3-24 and Figure 3-29 show the low income households in St. Charles and St. Louis Counties and the City of St. Louis. Table 3-25 shows the low income households located within the Study Area. Within the Study Area, several areas of low-income households are located directly adjacent to I-70 and the percentages generally increase moving east along the corridor.

Table 3-24: Low-Income by County

| Location | Total Population | Number of People <br> Below Poverty | Percent in Poverty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St. Charles County | 409,801 | 26,052 | $6 \%$ |
| St. Louis County | $1,094,375$ | 118,864 | $11 \%$ |
| City of St. Louis | 361,190 | 92,822 | $26 \%$ |
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Table 3-25: Low-Income in the Study Area

| Location | Total Population | Number of People in <br> Poverty | Percent in Poverty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St. Charles County | 214,723 | 16,145 | $8 \%$ |
| St. Louis County | 121,433 | 25,880 | $21 \%$ |
| City of St. Louis | 76,920 | 27,452 | $36 \%$ |

As part of future NEPA studies, potentially affected Census block groups with an average household income below that of the respective area would be evaluated for disproportionately high and adverse effects and selected for outreach.

### 3.12 EMPLOYMENT

## Overview

The Study Area is a major contributor to the St. Louis regional economy. It contains major office and industrial centers, including downtown St. Louis and the areas surrounding Lambert Airport, as well as the some of the region's (St. Louis metropolitan statistical area) fastest growing population centers in St. Charles County. Given the variation in employment, land use, real estate, and demographic characteristics across the five Study Area segments, it is important to understand the economic context of each segment within the Study Area as well as their relationship to the economic characteristics of the region.

From 2005 to $2014^{10}$, employment growth within the Study Area increased by $3.6 \%$, outpacing the region's $1.7 \%$ rate of increase, demonstrating the Study Area's value to the future economic development and prosperity of the region. The Study Area accounts for $21.9 \%$ of the region's total employment, but from 2005 to 2014, net employment gains in the Study Area were equivalent to almost half of the total net gains in the region. The region had a net gain of 20,480 jobs, while 9,200 of those jobs were added within the Study Area.

Despite strong employment growth in the Study Area, only four of the five segments added jobs. Notable job losses took place in the St. Louis County West Segment, representing the areas west of I-170 and east of the Missouri River surrounding Lambert Airport. This segment lost 7,000 jobs between 2005 and 2014, with most of that decline attributable to the closure of the Ford

[^7]Motor Company assembly plant north of Lambert Airport and the consequential loss of several surrounding businesses. Meanwhile, the other four segments added a combined 16,199 jobs. This reinforces the crucial economic role of the Study Area in the region's prosperity.

The St. Charles West Segment had the strongest employment growth of the five segments between 2005 and 2014, adding 6,250 jobs. This segment also has the highest income of the five segments, along with the highest housing values. The St. Louis County East and St. Louis City segments both had notable employment growth between 2005 and 2014. The St. Louis County East segment added 4,500 jobs, while the St. Louis City Segment added 4,000 jobs; however, both segments have weak demographic indicators (population loss, low median household income, high housing vacancy, and declining property values). This demonstrates that job centers like Downtown St. Louis, the North Riverfront, and Union Seventy Business Center still represent vital regional employment hubs. Increases in population and stronger demographic indicators should be encouraged with future planning and development.


The relatively stagnant regional job growth of just $1.7 \%$ from 2005 to 2014 hides significant shifts in employment across geographic areas. This variability also applies to industry sectors. The gains of employment in the office ${ }^{11}$ ( 7,460 jobs, $13 \%$ ), education ( 3,080 jobs, $27 \%$ ), and

[^8]science and technology ( 2,650 jobs, $16 \%$ ) sectors, for instance, were offset by noteworthy losses in manufacturing ( 4,080 jobs, $14 \%$ ) and retail ( 3,170 jobs, $12 \%$ ) sectors.

The most significant gains in employment in the Study Area were in the office sector with 7,460 jobs added, which accounted for 34 percent of the gains in this sector across the region. In fact, the five corridor segments reported gains in office sectors jobs during 2005-2014. The education and science and technology sectors also grew during this time period. The rate of job loss in the retail, manufacturing, and construction sectors in the Study Area was slightly less than that in the region trends. Overall, total employment increased by 9,200 jobs, or 3.6 percent, in the Study Area.


## St. Charles County West Segment

Since 2000, the St. Charles County West Segment has been defined by rapid population growth. From 2010 to 2016 the segment has seen a 1.3 percent annual increase in population. Specifically, from 2000 to 2010, the segment grew by about 17,500 residents, representing a $32 \%$ population increase. Since 2010, the segment grew by an additional 6,000 residents, resulting in an $8 \%$ population increase. This growth triggered a substantial increase in
 employment across sectors, except manufacturing and construction, outpacing the region as a whole. Locations of major employers in this segment are shown in Figure 3-30.


The top employers in the segment include Citi and MasterCard, which are major contributors to the 4,600 total office sector jobs in the segment. However, the proportion of office sector jobs in the segment (14\%) is below the proportion of office sector jobs within the Study Area (25\%) and region (20\%). The median annual household income of $\$ 67,100$ in the St. Charles County West Segment is the highest of the five segments and over twice as high as the St. Louis City and St. Louis County East Segments. This strong local buying power will help to maintain, if not expand, its retail and accommodation and food services sectors, together accounting for $25 \%$ of the total employment in the segment. As the segment continues to grow with a projected increase in population of $6.2 \%$ through 2021, these added households will contribute to further employment growth in retail, healthcare, and education, while sustaining existing construction jobs.

The segment also experienced strong employment growth in the office sector, adding 1,230 jobs between 2005 and 2014 for an increase of $37 \%$, and warehousing and distribution businesses, adding 670 jobs for an increase of $64 \%$. The growth of these industry sectors indicates job opportunities continue to move westward along the corridor, a consistent and decades-long pattern of suburban expansion in metro St. Louis.

This segment is home to one of the region's largest manufacturing plants, GM, which employs about 3,500 workers. In 2017 NorthPoint Development will complete a 1.1 million-square-foot build-to-suit distribution center adjacent to the GM plant that will provide warehousing for the plant and GM suppliers. Even with GM, however, the segment lost more than 570 manufacturing jobs from 2002 to 2011. This is mostly due to
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manufacturing's decline during the Great Recession. However, the segment maintains a strong manufacturing presence with $17 \%$ of its workforce in this industry capturing $23 \%$ of manufacturing employment across the Study Area.
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## St. Charles County East Segment

The population in the St. Charles East Segment increased by 2,510 residents from 2010 through 2016, representing a $3.1 \%$ increase. Although this segment has experienced slower growth than the St. Charles County West Segment, it has outpaced the growth rate of the study area overall, and the three remaining segments experienced population decline since 2010. The increased population in the St. Charles County East Segment has supported an overall increase in employment
 across sectors, except among the manufacturing, construction, and science and technology sectors.

Employment in the St. Charles County East Segment is primarily supported by the office and retail sectors, which account for $33 \%$ of jobs in this segment. St. Charles County's largest shopping center, the two million square foot Mid Rivers Mall, is located in this segment. Additionally, Executive Center, a growing concentration of office development, is located south of the intersection of 1-70 and Route 370. The healthcare and accommodation and food services sectors also contribute significantly to employment, accounting for $12 \%$ and $14 \%$ of total employment, respectively. Additionally, the education sector saw the largest employment increase from 2005 through 2014, adding 1,130 employees, representing a $51 \%$ increase. Locations of major employers in this segment are shown on Figure 3-31.

Despite relatively low employment in warehousing, distribution and manufacturing-only 3\% of total employment in the segment - there is almost 8.5 million square feet of existing real estate serving these industries representing $8 \%$ of the existing commercial and industrial building stock in the segment. These uses are primarily in individual industrial/business parks along Route 370 . While such buildings can be quite large and occupy a great deal of land, they are not necessarily major job creators, though they can generate a great deal of commercial and truck traffic.


Retail is the most dominant building type in the St. Charles County East Segment and is the sector that captures the most employment in the segment. There is nearly 9.7 million square feet of retail space in the segment, or $30 \%$ of total retail space in the Study Area, and 8,060 retail jobs, or almost $34 \%$ of retail jobs in the entire corridor. Most of the retail is located in close proximity to I-70 in big box retail centers and Mid Rivers Mall.

The education sector grew significantly between 2005 and 2014 in this segment, adding 1,130 jobs for an increase of $51 \%$. Much of this growth can be attributed to the expansion of Lindenwood University, which now employs over 1,100 full and part time faculty and 500 staff employees across its campuses. Lindenwood University's expansion is still ongoing, and construction of a new 100,000 square foot Library and Academic Resources Center is underway. Completed projects include a new Student-Athlete Center, the renovation and expansion of Herman Hall, which now houses the university's business and entrepreneurship school, and Evans Commons, which includes a dining hall, multipurpose courts, workout facilities, and recreation rooms. Additionally, the university recently signed a lease for a 32,000 square feet of space at the Old Post Office in Downtown St. Louis for its accelerated degree program.

The university also completed construction of University Commons in 2014, adding 107,000 square feet of retail. The development is home to a grocery store, a pharmacy, and 13 additional retail tenants.

The proportion of employment in retail accommodation and food services far exceeds the regional averages, largely due to the

Proportion of Employment by Industry Sector (2014)
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durable tourism market in downtown St. Charles. Otherwise, the proportion of employment in the remaining sectors is generally lower than the region as a whole.

The segment lost a significant number of construction jobs between 2005 and 2014 with a decrease of $38 \%$-significantly greater rate of decline than the regional decrease of $22 \%$-most of this due to the effects of the Great Recession.

The median household income of $\$ 55,100$ is above the regional median $(\$ 45,800)$ and over twice the median household income for the St. Louis City and County Segments, which gives the St. Charles County East Segment strong buying power to sustain its retail employment base. As the segment continues to grow with a projected increase in population of $3.4 \%$ through 2021, these added households will contribute to further employment growth in retail, healthcare, and education. However, communities that are heavily reliant on the retail sector to sustain their local economies and tax bases are more susceptible to changes in consumer preferences and economic downturn. Establishing a more diverse economic base in the future will contribute to the long-term sustainability of the segment and corridor.


## St. Louis County West Segment

Employment in the St. Louis County West Segment is anchored by Lambert Airport and Boeing Corporation. This segment had the second highest employment of the five Study Area segments with 77,000 jobs in 2014 , or $29 \%$ of jobs in the entire corridor. Locations of major employers in this segment are shown on Figure 3-32.

On the other hand, the St. Louis County West
 Segment was the only segment with net losses in jobs, losing 7,010 jobs between 2005 and 2014, or $8.3 \%$ overall. The most notable job losses occurred in the retail and manufacturing sectors. Much of this loss can be attributed to the closing of the Ford Motor Assembly Plant in Hazelwood in 2006, the closing of Northwest Plaza Shopping Mall in 2010 in St. Ann, and the decline of American Airlines at Lambert Airport, resulting in the airport's loss of hub status in 2009.


Given the presence of Lambert Airport, much of the existing real estate in the segment is devoted to the shipping, warehousing, and distribution sectors with over 37 million square feet of space serving these sectors, or $46 \%$ of the total commercial and industrial square footage in the segment. This segment also has a notable concentration of manufacturing space with over 8.2 million square feet ( $30 \%$ of manufacturing space in the corridor.

Boeing is the fifth largest employer in the region with 14,617 employees. ${ }^{12}$ In October 2016, the company opened a 424,000 square foot expansion to its tooling facility for the manufacturing of parts for the 777X jetliner. The company projects hiring of about 700 additional employees through the 2020s. Much of the economic positioning and stability of the corridor and region is dependent on the success of Boeing. Because a large portion of the company's operations in the St. Louis region is supported by Department of Defense (DOD) contracts, future employment growth and multiplier effects remain highly vulnerable to federal budget and policy priorities.

The St. Louis County West Segment also lost a significant number of retail jobs $(3,230)$, primarily attributed to the closure of Northwest Plaza shopping mall. The site has since been redeveloped into the Crossings at Northwest, but the development does not offset the jobs lost
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## from Northwest Plaza.

The median household income in the St. Louis County West Segment is $\$ 41,800$, the third lowest of the five segments. Additionally, the population of this segment has remained relatively stagnate from 2010 to 2016, after experiencing a loss of $7.6 \%$ from 2000 to 2010. Furthermore, only $7 \%$ of those employed within the segment also live within the segment, while most workers live outside the segment ( $93 \%$ ). These population and demographic challenges are long-term issues for much of the northern portion of the St. Louis County West Segment.

While there were net job losses in this segment, it experienced employment growth in the office sector, adding 1,450 jobs from 2005 to 2014 for an increase of $8 \%$. Notable office development has occurred to the north along Lindbergh Boulevard, where the Aviator Business Park is being developed on the 160 -acre site of the old Ford plant. The park already includes several companies, such as International Food Products, Silgan Plastics, and Weekends Only distribution center. Currently, construction is proceeding on a 548,000 square foot speculative distribution warehouse, with completion planned for summer 2017.

Even with major employment changes in this segment over the past decade or so, it retains a major concentration of jobs for metropolitan St. Louis. Its proportion of employment is higher than the region in office ( $24 \%$ ), warehousing and distribution ( $13 \%$ ), and manufacturing ( $12 \%$ ). Given the large amount of available land in the segment, there will continue to be opportunities to attract more jobs and businesses.


## St. Louis County East Segment

The local economy in the St. Louis County East Segment is supported by three major employers: UMSL, Express Scripts, and Emerson Electric. Locations of major employers in this segment are shown on Figure 3-33.

The latter two are among the largest of nine Fortune 500 companies in metro St. Louis (nationally ranked 22 and 124, respectively) and
 both are headquartered in the St. Louis County East Segment.

Despite these major employers, however, this segment had the lowest total employment of the five segments in 2014 with 16,820 jobs, or only $6.3 \%$ of the jobs in the corridor. With approximately 8 million square feet of existing commercial and industrial real estate, this segment also only accounts for $4 \%$ of the total existing commercial and industrial building stock in the Study Area.


The proportion of education jobs in this segment ( $16 \%$ ) is nearly two times the region as a whole (9\%) due primarily to the presence of UMSL with approximately 2,000 faculty and staff. Employment at UMSL has remained somewhat static, however, the segment experienced a slight decrease in education employment from 2005 to 2014.

Express Scripts, a Fortune 100 company and the $22^{\text {nd }}$ largest company in the country in terms of revenue, has approximately 6,500 employees at its headquarters in NorthPark and a total of 27,000 employees nationwide. This company contributed to the majority of the 2,300 net additional office jobs in the segment from 2005 to 2015.

Over the past decade Express Scripts has expanded its corporate headquarters in NorthPark, adding an additional four buildings to their campus from 2008 to 2014. Over the next 10 to 20 years, the NorthPark development has the potential to be one of the most significant developments in the Study Area. With roughly 550 acres of total developable land, plans include a build-out of office and distribution space that will lead to as many as 12,000 jobs.

While Express Scripts is relatively new to St. Louis, Emerson was established in 1890 with a special emphasis in manufacturing electrical motors. Much diversified since then and headquartered in Ferguson, Emerson is the oldest Fortune 500 company in St. Louis.

The overall proportion of office jobs in the segment ( $26 \%$ ) is the higher than the region as a whole ( $20 \%$ ), an achievement attributable primarily to the business expansions at NorthPark. Created from former residential developments because of noise abatement buyouts by Lambert Airport, NorthPark is a
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highly effective location for office buildings needing excellent I-70 access, Lambert Airport access, and even MetroLink access. The development potential of NorthPark is enhanced by a number of incentive programs to help attract new businesses but, more importantly, it represents one of very few larger tracts of highly visible, developable land within the Study Area for new commercial or light industrial construction.

The St. Louis County East Segment has experienced tremendous gains in the office and retail sectors. About 2,010 jobs were added to the office sector, representing an $83 \%$ increase in employment from 2005 to 2014. About 1,570 retail jobs have been added from 2005 to 2014, representing a $169 \%$ percent increase.

The segment has weak demographic characteristics with a population decline of $2 \%$ from 2010 to 2016, the fastest rate of decline of all the segments. Additionally, the segment has the second lowest median household income of the five segments at $\$ 30,000$, and residential vacancy rates averaging fairly high at $17 \%$. Furthermore, only $6 \%$ of employees in the segment live and work in the segment, whereas $94 \%$ live elsewhere and commute into the segment for work.


## St. Louis City Segment

Employment in the St. Louis City Segment is concentrated in downtown St. Louis as well as in the industrial areas along the North Riverfront and at the Union Seventy Center Business Park at the intersection of I-70 and Union Boulevard. The relocation of the National GeospatialIntelligence Agency (NGA) to this area will add new employment when it is completed in 2022 or 2023. Despite the amount of land devoted to industrial development in this corridor, employment is primarily supported by the office
 sector which represents $33 \%$ of total employment in the segment (mostly downtown St. Louis) and $48 \%$ of office jobs in the Study Area. Locations of major employers in this segment are shown on Figure 3-34.
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## Proportion of Employment by Industry Sector (2014) <br> Source: U.S. Census Bureau
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Retail sector, losing about 3,540 jobs from 2005 to 2014 , representing a decline of $64 \%$. The region saw a loss of just $7 \%$ in retail employment.

Despite relatively low commercial office real estate occupancy ( $88 \%$ ) and lack of new Class A commercial office building construction since One Metropolitan Square was built in 1989, the office sector added 2,300 jobs in the segment between 2005 and 2014, representing an $8 \%$ increase in office jobs.

Jobs gains in manufacturing and warehousing can be attributed to the concentration and strategic location of warehousing, shipping, and distribution uses along the Mississippi Riverfront and success of the Union Seventy Center Business Park. As regional leaders continue to promote the multimodal transportation, shipping, and logistics sectors, the St. Louis City Segment should maintain, if not grow, its employment base in these sectors by leveraging its almost 24 million square feet of existing distribution and flex industrial space.

The St. Louis City Segment increases in science and technology jobs also outpaced the region as a whole. From 2005 to 2014 employment in the science and technology sector increased by $23 \%$, adding about 2,470 jobs to the St. Louis City Segment. This growth outpaced the regional increase of $14 \%$ in science and technology jobs. Much of this growth can be attributed to regional efforts to promote start-ups. Start-ups are thriving across industries, but most notably in
technology and bioscience. T-Rex, located within the St. Louis City Segment, has largely contributed to the start-up scene by providing 160,000 square feet of low cost and flexible work space to 110 start-ups. Additionally, St. Louis' growing network of connectivity has contributed to a successful start-up region, with organizations such as ITEN, Skandalaris Center, and Arch Grants, connecting entrepreneurs to peers, mentors, and funding.

Despite overall employment gains within the segment, it has weak demographic characteristics with a population decline of $0.6 \%$ from 2010 to 2016, after a decrease of $7.1 \%$ from 2000 to 2010. The segment also has the lowest median household income of the five segments at $\$ 28,500$ and high residential vacancy rates at $26 \%$. Furthermore, only $5 \%$ of employees in the segment live and work in the segment, whereas $95 \%$ live elsewhere and commute into the segment for work.
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### 4.0 FUTURE TRANSPORTATION CONDITIONS

The East-West Gateway Council of Governments' fiscally constrained regional travel demand model (including the 2045 lane use forecasts) was used to develop the 2045 traffic forecasts.

### 4.1 NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE

The No-Action Alternative is the alternative that would be selected if MoDOT does not select a build alternative as the Proposed Action, and is used as a baseline comparison for alternative development and screening and environmental analysis purposes. The No-Action Alternative would leave I-70 as it currently is and would not provide any improvements beyond the improvements included in the East-West Gateway Council of Governments’ Connected 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan for the St. Louis Region; however, the No-Action Alternative includes safety and maintenance activities that are required to sustain an operational transportation system.
For the purpose of travel demand forecasting and identifying resource impact that are directly related to traffic volume, such as noise, transportation projects (see Table 4-1) currently planned in the vicinity of the project are included along with the No-Action Alternative. These other transportation projects have committed or identified funds for construction and would be built regardless of any other improvements that are identified as part of the I-70 PEL Study. Travel demand forecasting predicts traffic conditions that are expected to occur on the transportation system in the design year (2045). Committed fiscally constrained regional improvements that are included in the travel demand forecasting within the project area for the No-Action Alternative are discussed in the following sections.

Table 4-1: List of Projects in Connected 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Related to I70

| Project/Corridor | Description | County | Location | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { (YOE) } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { millions } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I-70 | Rehabilitate pavement, improve interchanges, add lanes | St. Charles | MO 94 to MO 370 | \$130 |
| I-70 | Improve interchange | St. Louis | Route U | \$6 |

## $4.2 \quad 2045$ NO-ACTION CONDITIONS

A series of graphics have been developed to depict the travel demand along the I-70 corridor in the future. The results described in the following sections are from the East-West Gateway Council of Governments' 2045 model.

### 4.2.1 Corridor Traffic Forecasts and Level of Service

Figure 2-7B shows the forecasted 2045 AM LOS and Figure 2-8B shows the forecasted 2045 PM LOS along I-70 within the study area. Planning level roadway capacities were used to estimate when travel demand along I-70 would exceed the existing capacity.
In the AM peak, WB I-70 from the Stan Musial Veteran Memorial Bridge to the Blanchette Bridge over the Missouri River operates at LOS D or worse. West of the Blanchette Bridge in St Charles County, WB I-70 operates at a LOC C or better with the exception of some ramp segments. Most of EB I-70 in the AM peak period operates at or below a LOS D with multiple segments in St. Louis County and St. Charles County with a LOS F.

In the PM peak, LOS ranges from C to F in both directions of I-70 in the Study Area. Multiple I70 mainline locations would operate at a LOS F in the PM peak with many ramp segments also operating at a LOS D and F.

Poor LOS also exists at a number of the interchanges including the I-170 and I-270 interchanges as shown on the figures. The travel demand model LOS is based on volume compared to calculated capacity. Further operational analysis may be needed to evaluate the impacts of traffic signals and merging/weaving traffic, especially at interchange locations.

### 4.2.2 Transit

There are no transit expansion projects included in the East-West Gateway Council of Governments' Connected Fiscally Constrained 2045 Plan in the I-70 PEL Study Area, but there are transit expansion projects listed under Illustrative Projects that would be in the study area. These project are listed in Table 4-2 below.

Table 4-2: Illustrative Transit Projects

| Project/Corridor | Description | County | Location | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { (YOE }) \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { millions } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Illustrative Tier I |  |  |  |  |
| Bus Rapid Transit | West Florissant | St. Louis/St. Louis City | Downtown to Natural Bridge | \$59 |
| MetroLink Extension | NorthsideSouthside Phase I \& II | St. Louis/St. Louis City | Northside/Southside | \$2,270 |
| Illustrative Tier II |  |  |  |  |
| MetroLink Extension | MetroNorth | St. Louis | N Hanley to Florissant | \$757 |

As identified in the Related Studies and Projects (see Chapter 1.4) there are currently two MetroLink extension studies. The two studies are the Northside-Southside MetroLink Conceptual Design Study and the Proposed Preliminary Conceptual Planning Study and Comparative Evaluation of Potential MetroLink Corridors in St. Louis County, Missouri. Both studies potentially have routes that will cross the I-70 PEL Study Area.

# Appendix A Subsurface Utilities Present in the I-70 Study Area 

Table A-1: Utilities in the I-70 Study Area in West St. Charles County

| Utility | I-70 Cross Street/Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highway 64-61 | Route A | East of Route A | Lake St. Louis Boulevard | West of Bryan Road | Bryan Road | East of Bryan Road | Route K | East of Route K |
| Ameren Electric | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Ameren Gas | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ATT |  |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| CenturyLink and Subsidiaries | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Charter | X |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| City of Lake St. Louis |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| City of <br> O'Fallon Water and Sewer |  |  |  | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| City of Wentzville Sewer/Water | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuivre River Electric |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| Duckett Creek Sanitary District |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Laclede Gas |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| MNABluebird $^{13}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |

[^10]Table A-1: Utilities in the I-70 Study Area in West St. Charles County

| Utility | I-70 Cross Street/Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highway 64-61 | Route A | East of Route A | Lake St. Louis Boulevard | West of Bryan Road | Bryan Road | East of Bryan Road | Route K | East of Route K |
| MoDOT | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| St. Charles County (PWSD) ${ }^{14}$ |  | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |

Table A-2: Utilities in the I-70 Study Area in Central St. Charles County

| Utility | I-70 Cross Street/Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TR Hughes Boulevard | West of Route 79 | Route 79 | Route 79 to Mid Rivers Mall Drive | Mid Rivers Mall Drive | Spencer Road | Route 370 | East of Route 370 |
| Ameren Electric | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| ATT | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| CenturyLink And Subsidiaries | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Charter | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| City of O'Fallon Water and Sewer | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| City of St. Peters | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |

[^11]Table A-2: Utilities in the I-70 Study Area in Central St. Charles County

| Utility | I-70 Cross Street/Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TR Hughes Boulevard | West of Route 79 | Route 79 | Route 79 to Mid Rivers Mall Drive | Mid Rivers <br> Mall Drive | Spencer Road | Route 370 | East of Route 370 |
| Explorer Pipeline Company |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| Laclede Gas | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Magellan Midstream Partners |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| Missouri American Water | X |  | X | X | X |  |  | X |
| MoDOT | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Phillips 66 Pipeline |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |

DISCLAIMER: BASED ON DATA PROVIDED BY OTHERS - SHOULD NOT BE USED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES

Table A-3: Utilities in the I-70 Study Area in East St. Charles County

| Utility | I-70 Cross Street/Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Harry S. <br> Truman <br> Boulevard | East of Harry S. <br> Truman <br> Boulevard | Zumbehl Road | East of Zumbehl Road | Route 94 | Fifth Street |
| Ameren Electric | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| ATT | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| CenturyLink And Subsidiaries | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Charter | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| City of St. Peters | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| City of St. Charles | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| East Central Water And Sewer |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| Enbridge Energy |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| Laclede Gas | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Missouri American Water | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| MNA-Bluebird |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MoDOT | X | X | X | X | X | X |

DISCLAIMER: BASED ON DATA PROVIDED BY OTHERS - SHOULD NOT BE USED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES

Table A-4: Utilities in the I-70 Study Area in West St. Charles County

| Utility | I-70 Cross Street/Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Missouri River to Route 141 | Route 141 | I-270 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-270 to } \\ & \text { Route } 180 \end{aligned}$ | Route 180 | Lindbergh Boulevard | Cypress Road | Cypress Road to Air Flight Drive | Air Flight Drive |
| Ameren Electric | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| ATT | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Buckeye Pipeline LP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| CenturyLink and <br> Subsidiaries | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Charter |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| City of St. Ann |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| Enbridge Energy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| Laclede Gas | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| MCI |  | X | X | X | X | X |  |  | X |
| MetroLink |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| Missouri American Water | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| MoDOT | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Phillips 66 Pipeline |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |
| St. Louis Metropolitan Sewer | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Windstream Companies |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A-4: Utilities in the I-70 Study Area in West St. Charles County

| Utility | I-70 Cross Street/Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Missouri River to Route 141 | Route 141 | I-270 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-270 to } \\ & \text { Route } 180 \end{aligned}$ | Route 180 | Lindbergh Boulevard | Cypress Road | Cypress Road to Air Flight Drive | Air Flight Drive |
| XO Communication | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |

DISCLAIMER: BASED ON DATA PROVIDED BY OTHERS - SHOULD NOT BE USED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES

Table A-5: Utilities in the I-70 Study Area in East St. Louis County

| Utility | I-70 Cross Street/Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Woodson Road | Brown Road | I-170 | North Hanley Road | Route $\mathbf{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bermuda } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | Lucas and Hunt Road | Lucas and Hunt Road to Jennings Station Road |
| Ameren Electric | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| ATT | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Buckeye Pipeline LP | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CenturyLink } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Subsidiaries } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Charter | X | X |  | X | X | X | X | X |
| Enbridge Energy | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Express Scripts |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| Laclede Gas | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| MCI | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| MetroLink | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| Missouri American Water | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| MoDOT | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| St. Louis Metropolitan Sewer | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Windstream Companies |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |

DISCLAIMER: BASED ON DATA PROVIDED BY OTHERS - SHOULD NOT BE USED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES

Table A-6: Utilities in the I-70 Study Area in West St. Louis City

| Utility | I-70 Cross Street/Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jennings Station Road | Goodfellow Boulevard | Riverview Boulevard to Union Boulevard | Union Boulevard | Kingshighway Boulevard | Shreve Avenue | West Florissant Avenue |
| Ameren Electric | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| ATT | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| CenturyLink and subsidiaries | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Charter | X | X |  |  |  | X | X |
| City of St. Louis BPS ${ }^{15}$ |  | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Laclede Gas | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Level 3 <br> Communications | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| MCI | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Missouri American water | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MoDOT | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Qwest Communications |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| St. Louis Metropolitan Sewer | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |

DISCLAIMER: BASED ON DATA PROVIDED BY OTHERS - SHOULD NOT BE USED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES

[^12]Table A-7: Utilities in the I-70 Study Area in East St. Louis City

| Utility | I-70 Cross Street/Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adelaide Avenue | Grand Avenue | McKinley Bridge | Branch Street | Madison Street | Stan Musial Veterans Memorial Bridge Interchange | Cass to Cole |
| Ameren Electric | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| American Fiber |  |  | X | X |  | X | X |
| ATT | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| CenturyLink and Subsidiaries | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Charter | X |  | X | X | X | X | X |
| City of St. Louis BPS | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Duke Manufacturing |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Laclede Gas | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Level 3 Communications | X | X | X | X |  | X | X |
| Mallinckrodt |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| MCI | X | X | X | X |  | X | X |
| MoDOT | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Qwest Communications |  | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| St. Louis <br> Metropolitan Sewer | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Trigen |  |  | X |  |  | X | X |
| Windstream Companies |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |

DISCLAIMER: BASED ON DATA PROVIDED BY OTHERS - SHOULD NOT BE USED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES

# Appendix B <br> Bike and Pedestrian Level of Service Analysis Methodology 

## Appendix B: Bike and Pedestrian Level of Service Analysis Methodology

## B. 1 Bicycle Level of Service Analysis Methodology

Based on available data, the Bicycle Level of Service (BLOS) model described in National Cooperative Highway Research Program's (NCHRP) Report 616 is the model selected for the roadway conditions assessment for bicycle use. The model, based on empirical research, has been applied in bicycle route system developments at city, county, and state levels. It was chosen over other systems of weighting and combining criteria because of its empirical basis. While several data gaps required assumptions to run this model, it still provides a useful comparison between roads in this case. The results of this analysis should not, however, be compared to BLOS results in other regions, because of the data gaps and applied assumptions. BLOS scores are calculated using the following equation:

$$
\text { BLOS }=0.507 \ln (\text { Vol15/L })+0.199 \operatorname{SPt}(1+10.38 \mathrm{HV}) 2+
$$

$7.066\left(1 /\right.$ PavementCondition) ${ }^{\wedge} 2-0.005(\mathrm{We}) 2+0.760$
where
Vol15 $=$ Directional motorized vehicle count in the peak 15 minute time period
$\mathrm{L}=$ Total number of directional through lanes
$\mathrm{SPt}=$ Effective speed factor $=1.1199 \mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{SPp}-20)+0.8103$
$\mathrm{SPp}=$ Posted speed limit (use for average running speed) (mph)
HV = Percentage of heavy vehicles
$\mathrm{PR}_{5}=$ FHWA's five point pavement surface condition rating (1-5)
$\mathrm{We}=$ Average effective width of outside through lane (ft)
Because not all data were available, some assumptions were made to allow the calculation to effectively function. These are principally based on standardized assumptions developed in the NCHRP's Report 599. ${ }^{1}$ Where data gaps occurred and no other standardized assumptions were available, mean or median values were used, which are shown in Table B-1.

Based on this equation and subsequent output, each roadway segment is assigned a letter grade, which indicates the road segment's suitability for bicycle use. Score ranges along with their corresponding letter grades are included in the chart below. Brief descriptions of each letter grades' bicycle level of service are also included in Table B-2.

[^13]Table B-1: BLOS Assumptions

| Table of Assumptions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sub-Formulas |  |  |  |  |
| Sub | $\mathrm{Vol}_{15}=($ ADT x D x Kd) $/(4 \times \mathrm{PHF})$ |  |  |  |
| Sub | $\mathrm{SPt}=1.1199 \ln (\mathbf{S P p}-20)+0.8103$ |  |  |  |
| Variable | Description | Assumed Value where Missing |  | Sources |
|  |  | Urban/Suburban | Rural |  |
| D | Directional Factor | 0.55 | 0.6 | From MoDOT traffic report citing HCM:NCHRP report 599 |
| K | Peak to Daily Factor | 0.09 | 0.1 | NCHRP report 599 |
| PHF | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.88 | NCHRP report 599 |
| HV | \% of Heavy Vehicles | Principal Arterial | 3.50\% | Federal Highway Administration's Bicycle Compatibility Index Level of Service Concept, Implementation Manual (1998) |
|  |  | Minor Arterial | 2\% |  |
|  |  | Collector Street | 1.50\% |  |
|  |  | Local Street | 0\% |  |
|  |  | No Data | 1\% |  |
|  |  | Interstate/Freeways | 5\% |  |
| ADT | Average Daily Traffic | Always provided |  |  |
| Ln | \# of Through Lanes | 1 (Median) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Post Speed Limit | 35 MPH City/40 MPH County |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PR}_{5}$ | FHWA's 5-point pavement surface condition rating | 4 points (used on all roadway links) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\text {A }}$ | Average Effective Width of outside through lane | Always provided |  |  |

Table B-2: BLOS Evaluation

| BLOS Evaluation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLOS Grade | BLOS <br> Score | Description |
| A | $<1.5$ | Excellent bicycle environment |
| B | $1.5-2.5$ | Good bicycle environment |
| C | $2.5-3.5$ | Fair bicycle environment (acceptable to experienced and novice bicyclists) |
| D | $3.5-4.5$ | Poor environment (acceptable to experienced bicyclists) |
| E | $4.5-5.5$ | Deficient Bicycle Environment (unacceptable to experienced and novice |
| bicyclists) |  |  |
| F | $>5.5$ | Unsafe environment (unsuitable for bicycle travel) |

## B-2: Pedestrian Level of Service Analysis Methodology

The pedestrian environment is strongly influenced by two conditions: physical separation from motor vehicles and adjacent motor vehicle travel speeds. The model is based primarily on safety and does not consider factors of the built environment known to make walking an attractive and preferred form of transportation. While this is true, lower posted speeds and physically dedicated pedestrian space in the form of sidewalks, safe crossings, and other paths will typically correlate with places people want to walk based on the surrounding land uses and urban form.

## Method

The standard methodology for assessing Pedestrian Level of Service (PLOS) relies on a qualitative analysis of certain observable characteristics of the pedestrian environment, such as the presence of landscaped buffers, adequate lighting, and shoulder width (where no sidewalk is provided).

The selected segment-based PLOS Analysis is rooted in the concept that a doubling of travel speed results in a four-fold increase in stopping time and resulting crash severity. According to one study, ${ }^{2}$ speed has the following impact on pedestrian fatalities:

- At 20 mph the odds of pedestrian fatality are $5 \%$
- At 30 mph the odds of pedestrian fatality are $45 \%$
- At 40 mph the odds of pedestrian fatality are $85 \%$

[^14]While other studies have found some variation, these approximate numbers are reported consistently across the literature. Higher casualty rates can be expected among more vulnerable roadway users with frail health or advanced age.

Scores were assigned to collector and arterial roadway segments based on the characteristics shown in Table B-3.

Table B-3: PLOS Roadway Characteristics

| Speed Limit (mph) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrian Space | $<=25 \mathrm{mph}$ |  | 30-35 mph |  | $>=40 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |
|  | 2 lanes | $\begin{gathered} >2 \\ \text { lanes } \end{gathered}$ | 2 lanes | $\begin{gathered} >2 \\ \text { lanes } \end{gathered}$ | 2 lanes | $\begin{gathered} >2 \\ \text { lanes } \end{gathered}$ |
| Complete sidewalk on both sides next to a buffer* | A | A | A | B | C | D |
| Complete sidewalk on both sides | A | A | B | C | C | D |
| Complete sidewalk on one side next to a buffer* | B | B | B | C | C | E/F |
| Complete sidewalk on one side | B | B | C | D | D | E/F |
| No dedicated space next to a buffer* | B | C | C | D | E/F | E/F |
| No dedicated space | B | C | D | E/F | E/F | E/F |

*Buffer is defined as parking lane or bicycle lane. Information on planting strips was not available.

# Appendix C Air Quality Design Value Calculations 



|  |  |  | Louis, MO-IL | 63347 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Saint Charles | West Alton | St. <br> Louis, MO-IL | WEST ALTON: General Electric Store, Highway 94, WestT Alton, MO 63386 | 291831002 | 0.07 |  |  |
|  | Saint Charles | Not in a city | St. <br> Louis, <br> MO-IL | ORCHARD FARM: 165 Highway <br> V, St. Charles, MO 63301 | 291831004 | 0.066 |  |  |
|  | Saint <br> Louis | Not in a city | St. Louis, MO-IL | PACIFIC: 18701 Old Highway 66, Pacific, MO 63039 | 291890005 | 0.065 |  |  |
|  | Saint <br> Louis | Maryland Heights | St. Louis, MO-IL | MARYLAND HEIGHTS: 13044 Marine Avenue, Maryland Heights, MO 63146 | 291890014 | 0.069 |  |  |
|  | St. Louis City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MO-IL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, St. Louis, MO 63107 | 295100085 | 0.063 |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jefferson | Arnold | St. Louis, MO-IL | ARNOLD WEST: 1709 Lonedell Drive, Arnold, MO 63010 | 290990019 | 0.072 |  |  |
|  | Lincoln | Not in a city | St. <br> Louis, MO-IL | FOLEY:\#7 Wild Horse, Foley, MO 63347 | 291130003 | 0.067 |  |  |
|  | Saint Charles | West Alton | St. <br> Louis, <br> MO-IL | WEST ALTON: General Electric Store, Highway 94, WestT Alton, MO 63386 | 291831002 | 0.072 |  |  |
|  | Saint Charles | Not in a city | St. Louis, MO-IL | ORCHARD FARM: 2165 Highway <br> V, St. Charles, MO 63301 | 291831004 | 0.072 |  |  |
|  | Saint <br> Louis | Not in a city | St. <br> Louis, <br> MO-IL | PACIFIC: 18701 Old Highway 66, Pacific, MO 63039 | 291890005 | 0.065 |  |  |
|  | Saint <br> Louis | Maryland Heights | St. <br> Louis, <br> MO-IL | MARYLAND HEIGHTS: 13044 Marine Avenue, Maryland Heights, MO 63146 | 291890014 | 0.072 |  |  |
|  | St. Louis City | St. Louis | St. <br> Louis, | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, St. Louis, MO 63107 | 295100085 | 0.066 |  |  |


|  |  |  | MO-IL |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PM2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Count } \\ \text { y } \\ \text { Name } \end{gathered}$ | City <br> Name | CBSA Name | Address | Site <br> Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { 98th } \\ \text { Percentil } \\ \text { e } \\ \left(\mu \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | 3-Year Average ( $\mu \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | The design year value for the 24-hour PM 2.5 NAAQS is the 3-year average 98th percentile concentration. |
| 2016 | Jeffers on | Arnold | St. Louis, MO- IL | ARNOLD WEST: 1709 Lonedell Drive, Arnold, MO 63010 | 299919 | 19.7 | 8.627 | 24.377 ( $\mu \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{m} 3$ ) |
|  | Saint <br> Louis | Ladue | St. Louis, MOIL | LADUE: 73 Hunter Avenue, Ladue, MO 63105 | $\begin{array}{r} 29189300 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 19 | 8.569 | The design value for the annual PM2.5 NAAQS is the 3-year average annual mean concentrations. |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MO- <br> IL | SOUTH BROADWAY: 8227 South Broadway, St. Louis, MO 63111 | 295107 | 20.9 | 8.043 | $10.154(\mu \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{m} 3)$ |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, <br> St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 20.5 | 9.170 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { St. } \\ & \text { Louis } \\ & \text { City } \end{aligned}$ | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 20.8 | 8.555 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MO- IL | BRANCH STREET: 100 Branch Street, St. Louis, MO 63102 | 2951093 | 22.8 | 9.560 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BRANCH STREET: 100 Branch Street, St. Louis, MO 63102 | 2951093 | 22.8 | 9.560 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | FOREST PARK:McKinely Dr., St. Louis, MO 63110 | 29510434 | 20.1 | 8.507 |  |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jeffers on | Arnold | St. Louis, MOIL | ARNOLD WEST: 1709 Lonedell Drive, Arnold, MO 63010 | 299919 | 24.3 | 11.397 |  |
|  | Saint <br> Louis | Ladue | St. Louis, MOIL | LADUE: 73 Hunter Avenue, Ladue, MO 63105 | $\begin{array}{r} 29189300 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 23.5 | 10.371 |  |


|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | SOUTH BROADWAY: 8227 South Broadway, St. Louis, MO 63111 | 295107 | 25.7 | 11.078 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, <br> St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 23.3 | 10.530 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, <br> St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 35.8 | 10.481 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, <br> St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2915085 | 20.8 | 9.527 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BRANCH STREET: 100 Branch Street, St. Louis, MO 63102 | 2951093 | 22.9 | 10.345 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MO- IL | BRANCH STREET: 100 Branch <br> Street, St. Louis, MO 63102 | 2951093 | 22.9 | 10.345 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | FOREST PARK: McKinely Dr., St. <br> Louis, MO 63110 | 2915094 | 20.7 | 9.158 |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jeffers on | Arnold | St. Louis, MOIL | ARNOLD WEST: 1709 Lonedell Drive, Arnold, MO 63010 | 299919 | 25.2 | 10.583 |  |
|  | Saint <br> Louis | Ladue | $\begin{aligned} & \text { St. Louis, MO- } \\ & \text { IL } \end{aligned}$ | LADUE: 73 Hunter Avenue, Ladue, MO 63105 | $\begin{array}{r} 29189300 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 24.5 | 10.744 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | SOUTH BROADWAY: 8227 South Broadway, St. Louis, MO 63111 | 295107 | 25.2 | 10.004 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, <br> St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 27.8 | 11.461 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, <br> St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2915085 | 37.7 | 11.975 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, <br> St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2915085 | 23.9 | 10.649 |  |


|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BRANCH STREET: 100 Branch Street, St. Louis, MO 63102 | 2915093 | 27 | 11.931 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BRANCH STREET: 100 Branch Street, St. Louis, MO 63102 | 5915063 | 27 | 11.931 |  |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | FOREST PARK: McKinely Dr., St. <br> Louis, MO 63110 | 2915094 | 29 | 10.893 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | City |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Saint <br> Louis | Oakvill <br> e | St. Louis, MOIL | OAKVILLE: 6115 Frontenac Pointe Court, Oakville, MO 63129 | 2918915 | 58 | 0 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 58 | 0 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2915085 | 58 | 0 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | MARGARETTA: 4520 Margaretta, St. Louis, MO 63115 | 2915086 | 55 | 0 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BRANCH STREET: 100 Branch Street, St. Louis, MO 63102 | 2915093 | 169 | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | County <br> Name | City <br> Name | CBSA Name | Address | Site <br> Number | 99th <br> Percentil <br> e <br> $(\mathbf{p p b})$ |  | The design value for SO2 NAAQS is the 3 year average of the annual |
| 2016 | St. Louis City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, <br> St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 8.5 |  | 99th percentile of the daily 1-hour maximum |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | MARGARETTA: 4520 Margaretta, <br> St. Louis, MO 63115 | 2951086 | 8.4 |  | 20.083 ppb |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { St. } \\ & \text { Louis } \\ & \text { City } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 24.2 |  |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | Not in a city | St. Louis, MOIL | MARGARETTA: 4520 Margaretta, St. Louis, MO 63115 | 2951086 | 16.6 |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, <br> St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 40.7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | MARGARETTA: 4520 Margaretta, St. Louis, MO 63115 | 2951086 | 22.1 |  |  |
| Lead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | County Name | City <br> Name | CBSA Name | Address | Site <br> Number | First Max $\left(\mu \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |  | The design value for the 2009 lead NAAQS is the maximum rolling3-month lead-TSP average over a 3-year period. Air Data notes that the data for the 3 month rolling average is not available, so the first max was used. |
| 2016 | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, <br> St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 0.029 |  | $0.039(\mu \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{m} 3)$ |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, <br> St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 0.035 |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | St. Louis City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MO- <br> IL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, <br> St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951058 | 0.053 |  |  |
| 1-hr CO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | County Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { City } \\ \text { Name } \end{gathered}$ | CBSA Name | Address | Site <br> Number | First Max (ppm) | Second Max (ppm) | The level of the 1-hour NAAQS for carbon monoxide is 35 parts per million (ppm) not to be exceeded more than once per year. |


| 2016 | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, <br> St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 2.581 | 2.375 | 1.714 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { St. } \\ & \text { Louis } \\ & \text { City } \end{aligned}$ | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | FOREST PARK: McKinely Dr., St. Louis, MO 63110 | 2951094 | 0.976 | 0.922 |  |
| 8-hr CO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | County Name | City <br> Name | CBSA Name | Address | Site Number | First Max $\left(\mu \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Second Max (ppm) | The level of the 8-hour NAAQS for carbon monoxide is 9 parts per million (ppm) not to be exceeded more than once per year. |
| 2016 | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 1 | 1 | 0.8 ppm |
|  | St. <br> Louis | St. <br> Louis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { St. Louis, MO- } \\ & \text { IL } \end{aligned}$ | FOREST PARK: McKinely Dr., St. Louis, MO 63110 | 2951094 | 0.6 | 0.6 |  |
| 1-HR NO2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | County Name | City <br> Name | CBSA Name | Address | Site <br> Number | First Max (ppb) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 98th } \\ & \text { Percentile } \\ & \text { (ppb) } \end{aligned}$ | The level of the hourly NAAQS for NO2 is 100 parts per billion (ppb) based on the 98th percentile value from thee consecutive years of data. |
| 2016 | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951058 | 48.4 | 44.4 | 47.675 ppb |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | MARGARETTA: 4520 Margaretta, St. Louis, MO 63115 | 2951086 | 51.4 | 43.4 |  |
|  | St. Louis City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | FOREST PARK: McKinely Dr., St. Louis, MO 63110 | 2951094 | 57.8 | 43.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, <br> St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951058 | 58.2 | 45.5 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | MARGARETTA: 4520 Margaretta, St. Louis, MO 63115 | 2951086 | 50.6 | 46.3 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { St. } \\ & \text { Louis } \\ & \text { City } \end{aligned}$ | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | FOREST PARK: McKinely Dr., St. Louis, MO 63110 | 2951094 | 55.5 | 46.1 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | FOREST PARK: McKinely Dr., St. Louis, MO 63110 | 2951094 | 88.6 | 53.8 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | FOREST PARK: McKinely Dr., St. <br> Louis, MO 63110 | 2951094 | 53.1 | 49.9 |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { St. Louis, MO- } \\ & \text { IL } \end{aligned}$ | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, <br> St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 53.9 | 45.5 |  |
|  | St. Louis City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | MARGARETTA: 4520 Margaretta, <br> St. Louis, MO 63115 | 2951086 | 49 | 43.3 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. <br> Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | FOREST PARK: McKinely Dr., St. <br> Louis, MO 63110 | 2951094 | 71.7 | 50.1 |  |
|  | St. <br> Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | FOREST PARK: McKinely Dr., St. Louis, MO 63110 | 2951094 | 78.9 | 59.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The level of the annual NAAQS for nitrogen dioxide is 53 parts per billion (ppb) not to be exceeded during the year. USEPA Calculated the hourly DV to be 14 ppb |


| 3-HR SO2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | County Name | City <br> Name | CBSA Name | Address | Site Number | 99th Percentile (ppb) | Average |
| 2016 | St. Louis City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 3.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \mathrm{ppb} \\ & (0.008 \\ & \mathrm{ppm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | St. Louis City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | MARGARETTA: 4520 Margaretta, St. Louis, MO 63115 | 2951086 | 4 |  |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | St. Louis City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 9.2 |  |
|  | St. Louis City | Not in a city | St. Louis, MOIL | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { MARGARETTA: } 4520 \text { Margaretta, St. Louis, MO } \\ 63115 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2951086 | 6.4 |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | St. Louis City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951085 | 14.4 |  |
|  | St. Louis City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | MARGARETTA: 4520 Margaretta, St. Louis, MO 63115 | 2951086 | 7.6 |  |
| 3-HR SO2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | County Name | City <br> Name | CBSA Name | Address | Site Number | Arithmetic Mean | Average |
| 2016 | St. Louis City | St. Louis | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { St. Louis, MO- } \\ \text { IL } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951058 | 11.196441 | 12.0 ppb |
|  | St. Louis City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | MARGARETTA: 4520 Margaretta, St. Louis, MO 63115 | 2951086 | 9.584305 |  |
|  | St. Louis City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | FOREST PARK: McKinely Dr., St. Louis, MO 63110 | 2951094 | 10.852891 |  |
| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | St. Louis City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, St. Louis, MO 63107 | 2951058 | 13.252368 |  |
|  | St. Louis City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MOIL | MARGARETTA: 4520 Margaretta, St. Louis, MO 63115 | 2951086 | 10.757543 |  |


|  | St. Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MO- <br> IL | FOREST PARK: McKinely Dr., St. Louis, MO 63110 | 2951094 | 12.747405 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | St. Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MO- <br> IL | FOREST PARK: McKinely Dr., St. Louis, MO 63110 | 2951094 | 14.226662 |  |
|  | St. Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MO- <br> IL | FOREST PARK: McKinely Dr., St. Louis, MO 63110 | 2951094 | 11.619917 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |  |  | St. Louis, MO- <br> IL | BLAIR STREET: 3247 Blair Street, St. Louis, MO <br> 63107 | 2951085 | 11.81653 |  |
|  | St. Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MO- <br> IL | MARGARETTA: 4520 Margaretta, St. Louis, MO <br> 63115 | 2951086 |
|  | St. Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MO- <br> IL | FOREST PARK: McKinely Dr., St. Louis, MO 63110 | 2951094 | 13.6985846 |  |
|  | St. Louis <br> City | St. Louis | St. Louis, MO- <br> IL | FOREST PARK: McKinely Dr., St. Louis, MO 63110 | 2951094 | 13.981051 |  |

Table 5a. NO2 Monitoring Site Listing for Nitrogen Dioxide Annual NAAQS AQS Data Query: 6/23/2014 Last Update: 7/2/2014

| State | County | CBSA | CSA | Nonattain ment Area Name |  | Site | Addres <br> s | Lat. | Long. | Complet eness <br> Flag | 2013 <br> Annual <br> Design <br> Value ${ }^{2,3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Vali } \\ \text { d } \end{gathered}$ | Inv alid |
| Alabama | Jefferson | Birmingham- <br> Hoover, AL | Birmin gham- <br> Hoove <br> r- <br> Cullma <br> n, AL |  | 04 | 010730023 | NO. <br> B'HAM <br> ,SOU <br> R.R., <br> 3009 <br> 28TH <br> ST. <br> NO. | $\begin{aligned} & 33.55 \\ & 3056 \end{aligned}$ | $86.815$ | N |  | 12 |
| Arizona | Maricopa | Phoenix-MesaScottsdale, AZ |  |  | 09 | 040130019 | 3847 W <br> EARLL <br> DR- <br> WEST <br> PHOE <br> NIX <br> STATI <br> ON | $\begin{aligned} & 33.48 \\ & 385 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112.14 \\ & 257 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 18 |  |
| Arizona | Maricopa | Phoenix-Mesa- <br> Scottsdale, AZ |  |  | 09 | 040133002 | $\begin{aligned} & 1645 \mathrm{E} \\ & \text { ROOSE } \\ & \text { VELT } \\ & \text { ST- } \\ & \text { CENTR } \\ & \text { AL } \\ & \text { PHOE } \\ & \text { NIX } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.45 \\ & 793 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112.04 \\ & 601 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 20 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | STN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | Maricopa | Phoenix-MesaScottsdale, AZ |  | 09 | 040133010 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1128 \mathrm{~N} . \\ & 27 \mathrm{TH} \\ & \text { AVE- } \\ & \text { GREE } \\ & \text { NWOO } \\ & \text { D } \\ & \text { STATI } \\ & \text { ON } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 33.46 \\ 093 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 112.11 \\ 748 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 25 |  |
| Arizona | Maricopa | Phoenix-MesaScottsdale, AZ |  | 09 | 040134011 | $\begin{aligned} & 26453 \\ & \text { W. } \\ & \text { MC85 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.37 \\ & 005 \end{aligned}$ | $112.62$ $07$ | Y | 8 |  |
| Arizona | Maricopa | Phoenix-MesaScottsdale, AZ |  | 09 | 040139997 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4530 \mathrm{~N} \\ & \text { 17TH } \\ & \text { AVEN } \\ & \text { UE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.50 \\ & 3833 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112.09 \\ & 5767 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 17 |  |
| Arizona | Pima | Tucson, AZ |  | 09 | 040191011 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1237 \text { S. } \\ & \text { BEVER } \\ & \text { LY, } \\ & \text { TUCSO } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.20 \\ & 4411 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110.87 \\ & 8067 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 10 |  |
| Arizona | Pima | Tucson, AZ |  | 09 | 040191028 | 400 W RIVER ROAD | $\begin{aligned} & 32.29 \\ & 515 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 110.98 \\ 23 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 11 |  |
| Arkansas | Crittenden | Memphis, TN-MS-AR |  | 06 | 050350005 | LH POLK AND COLO NIAL DRIVE | $\begin{array}{\|l} 35.19 \\ 7288 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.193 \\ & 141 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |
| Arkansas | Pulaski | Little Rock- <br> North Little <br> Rock-Conway, <br> AR | Little RockNorth Little Rock- | 06 | 051190007 | PIKE AVE AT RIVER ROAD | $\begin{aligned} & 34.75 \\ & 6189 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 92.281 \\ 296 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 10 |  |


|  |  |  | Pine Bluff, AR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Alameda | San Francisco- <br> Oakland- <br> Fremont, CA | San <br> Jose- <br> San <br> Francis co- <br> Oaklan <br> d, CA | 09 | 060010007 | 793 <br> Rincon Ave. | $\begin{aligned} & 37.68 \\ & 7526 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.78 \\ & 4217 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 12 |  |
| California | Alameda | San Francisco-Oakland- <br> Fremont, CA | San <br> Jose- <br> San <br> Francis co- <br> Oaklan <br> d, CA | 09 | 060010009 | 9925 <br> Internat <br> ional <br> Blvd | $\begin{aligned} & 37.74 \\ & 3065 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122.16 \\ & 9935 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 14 |  |
| California | Alameda | San Francisco-Oakland- <br> Fremont, CA | San <br> Jose- <br> San <br> Francis co- <br> Oaklan <br> d, CA | 09 | 060010011 | $\begin{aligned} & 1100 \\ & 21 \text { st } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.81 \\ & 4781 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122.28 \\ & 2347 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 17 |  |
| California | Alameda | San Francisco- <br> Oakland- <br> Fremont, CA | San <br> Jose- <br> San <br> Francis co- <br> Oaklan <br> d, CA | 09 | 060012005 | 13224 <br> Patterso <br> n Pass <br> Road | $\begin{aligned} & 37.68 \\ & 9615 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.63 \\ & 1916 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| California | Butte | Chico, CA |  | 09 | 060070008 | 984 <br> East <br> Avenue | $\begin{aligned} & 39.76 \\ & 1538 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.84 \\ & 162 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | CHICO |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Contra Costa | San Francisco- <br> Oakland- <br> Fremont, CA | San <br> Jose- <br> San <br> Francis <br> co- <br> Oaklan <br> d, CA | 09 | 060130002 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 2956-A } \\ \text { TREAT } \\ \text { BOUL } \\ \text { EVAR } \\ \text { D } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 37.93 \\ 6013 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122.02 \\ & 6154 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 9 |  |
| California | Contra Costa | San Francisco- <br> Oakland- <br> Fremont, CA | San <br> Jose- <br> San <br> Francis co- <br> Oaklan <br> d, CA | 09 | 060131002 | 5551 <br> BETHE <br> L <br> ISLAN <br> D RD | $\begin{array}{\|l} 38.00 \\ 6311 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.64 \\ & 1918 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 8 |
| California | Contra Costa | San Francisco- <br> Oakland- <br> Fremont, CA | San <br> Jose- <br> San <br> Francis co- <br> Oaklan <br> d, CA | 09 | 060131004 | 1865 D <br> RUMRI <br> LL <br> BLVD, <br> San <br> Pablo | $\begin{array}{\|l} 37.96 \\ 04 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122.35 \\ & 6811 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 10 |  |
| California | Contra Costa | San Francisco- <br> Oakland- <br> Fremont, CA | San <br> Jose- <br> San <br> Francis <br> co- <br> Oaklan <br> d, CA | 09 | 60132007 | 9885 <br> Alcosta <br> BLVD | $\begin{array}{\|l} 37.74 \\ 3649 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.93 \\ & 4188 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |
| California | Fresno | Fresno, CA | Fresno <br> Mader <br> a, CA | 09 | 060190007 | 4706 E. DRUM MOND ST., FRESN 0 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 36.70 \\ 5506 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119.74 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 14 |  |


| California | Fresno | Fresno, CA | Fresno <br> Mader <br> a, CA | 09 | 060190011 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3727 \mathrm{~N} \\ \text { FIRST } \\ \text { ST, } \\ \text { FRESN } \\ \mathrm{O} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.78 \\ & 5322 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119.77 \\ & 4174 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 13 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Fresno | Fresno, CA | Fresno <br> Mader <br> a, CA | 09 | 060190242 | SIERR <br> A <br> SKYPA <br> RK\#2- <br> BLYT <br>  <br> CHNN <br> LT, <br> FRESN <br> O <br> 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 36.84 \\ & 1389 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119.87 \\ & 4444 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 9 |  |
| California | Fresno | Fresno, CA | Fresno <br> Mader <br> a, CA | 09 | 060194001 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 9240 S. } \\ \text { RIVER } \\ \text { BEND, } \\ \text { PARLI } \\ \text { ER } \\ 93648 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 36.59 \\ 75 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119.50 \\ & 3611 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 11 |  |
| California | Fresno | Fresno, CA | Fresno <br> Mader <br> a, CA | 09 | 060195001 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 908 \mathrm{~N} \\ \text { VILLA } \\ \text { AVE, } \\ \text { CLOVI } \\ \text { S } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.81 \\ & 9111 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 119.71 \\ 7356 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N |  | 11 |
| California | Humboldt | Eureka-Arcata- <br> Fortuna, CA |  | 09 | 060231004 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 717 \\ \text { SOUT } \\ \text { H } \\ \text { AVEN } \\ \text { UE } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.77 \\ & 6944 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124.17 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 3 |  |
| California | Humboldt | Eureka-Arcata- <br> Fortuna, CA |  | 09 | 060231005 | 170 <br> meters <br> SE of <br> Donna | $\begin{aligned} & 40.71 \\ & 528 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124.20 \\ & 139 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 1 |  |

I-70 PEL Study
Conditions Assessment Report

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

I-70 PEL Study

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  |  | de, CA |  |  |  | ANGE <br> LES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Los Angeles | Los AngelesLong BeachSanta Ana, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060371002 | 228 W. <br> PALM <br> AVE., <br> BURB <br> ANK | $\begin{array}{\|l} 34.17 \\ 605 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118.31 \\ & 712 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 21 |  |
| California | Los Angeles | Los AngelesLong BeachSanta Ana, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060371103 | 1630 N <br> MAIN <br> ST, <br> LOS <br> ANGE <br> LES | $\begin{array}{\|l} 34.06 \\ 659 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118.22 \\ & 688 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 22 |  |
| California | Los Angeles | Los AngelesLong BeachSanta Ana, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060371201 | 18330 <br> GAUL <br> T ST., <br> RESED <br> A | $\begin{array}{\|l} 34.19 \\ 925 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118.53 \\ & 276 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 14 |
| California | Los Angeles | Los AngelesLong BeachSanta Ana, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060371302 | 700 <br> North <br> Bullis <br> Road | $\begin{array}{\|l} 33.90 \\ 1389 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $118.20$ | Y | 17 |  |
| California | Los Angeles | Los AngelesLong BeachSanta Ana, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060371602 | 4144 <br> SAN <br> GABRI <br> EL <br> RIVER <br> PKWY, <br> PICO <br> RIVER <br> A | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 34.01 \\ 194 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118.06 \\ & 995 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 20 |  |


| California | Los Angeles | Los Angeles- <br> Long Beach- <br> Santa Ana, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060371701 | 924 N. <br> GARE <br> Y <br> AVE., <br> POMO <br> NA | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 34.06 \\ 703 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.75 \\ & 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 23 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Los Angeles | Los Angeles- <br> Long Beach- <br> Santa Ana, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060372005 | 752 S <br> WILSO <br> N <br> AVE., <br> PASAD <br> ENA | $\begin{aligned} & 34.13 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118.12 \\ & 72 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 21 |
| California | Los Angeles | Los Angeles- <br> Long Beach- <br> Santa Ana, CA | Los Angele s-Long BeachRiversi de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060374002 | 3648 N. <br> LONG <br> BEAC <br> H <br> BLVD., <br> LONG <br> BEAC <br> H | $\begin{array}{\|l} 33.82 \\ 376 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118.18 \\ & 921 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 12 |
| California | Los Angeles | Los Angeles- <br> Long Beach- <br> Santa Ana, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060374006 | 2425 <br> Webste r St., <br> Long <br> Beach, <br> CA | $\begin{aligned} & 33.80 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $118.22$ | Y | 21 |  |
| California | Los Angeles | Los Angeles- <br> Long Beach- <br> Santa Ana, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060375005 | 7201 <br> W. <br> WEST <br> CHEST <br> ER <br> PARK <br> WAY | $\begin{array}{\|l} 33.95 \\ 08 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 118.43 \\ 043 \end{array}$ | Y | 12 |  |
| California | Los Angeles | Los AngelesLong Beach- | Los <br> Angele | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Los } \\ \text { Angeles- } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 09 | 060376012 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 22224 \\ \text { PLACE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.38 \\ & 344 \end{aligned}$ | $118.52$ | Y | 14 |  |


|  |  | Santa Ana, CA | s-Long BeachRiversi de, CA | South Coast Air Basin Area |  |  | RITA <br> CANY <br> ON <br> RD, <br> SANT <br> A <br> CLARI <br> TA |  | 84 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Los Angeles | Los AngelesLong BeachSanta Ana, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA |  | 09 | 060379033 | 43301 <br> DIVISI <br> ON <br> ST., <br> LANC <br> ASTER <br> , CA | $\begin{array}{\|l} 34.67 \\ 1394 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118.13 \\ & 1456 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |
| California | Madera | Madera, CA | Fresno <br> Mader <br> a, CA |  | 09 | 060390004 | RD. 29 <br> 1/2 NO. <br> OF <br> AVE 8 <br> MADE <br> RA <br> COUN <br> TY | $\begin{aligned} & 36.86 \\ & 6667 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $120.01$ | N |  | 8 |
| California | Marin | San Francisco-Oakland- <br> Fremont, CA | San <br> Jose- <br> San <br> Francis co- <br> Oaklan <br> d, CA |  | 09 | 060410001 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 534 \\ 4 \mathrm{TH} \\ \text { ST. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 37.97 \\ 231 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122.52 \\ & 0004 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 12 |  |
| California | Merced | Merced, CA |  |  | 09 | 060470003 | 385 S. <br> COFFE <br> E <br> AVEN <br> UE, | $\begin{aligned} & 37.28 \\ & 1598 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120.43 \\ & 4992 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |

I-70 PEL Study
Conditions Assessment Report

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MERC <br> ED, CA <br> 95340 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Monterey | Salinas, CA |  |  | 09 | 060531003 | 867 E. LAUR EL Dr | $\begin{aligned} & 36.69 \\ & 676 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 121.63 \\ 7182 \end{array}$ | Y | 5 |  |
| California | Napa | Napa, CA | San <br> Jose- <br> San <br> Francis <br> co- <br> Oaklan <br> d, CA |  | 09 | 060550003 | 2552 <br> JEFFE <br> RSON <br> AVE. | $\begin{aligned} & 38.31 \\ & 0942 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122.29 \\ & 6189 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 9 |  |
| California | Orange | Los Angeles- <br> Long Beach- <br> Santa Ana, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060590007 | 1630 <br> W. <br> PAMP <br> AS <br> LANE | $\begin{aligned} & 33.83 \\ & 062 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.93 \\ & 845 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 17 |  |
| California | Orange | Los Angeles- <br> Long Beach- <br> Santa Ana, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060591003 | 2850 <br> MESA <br> VERD <br> E DR <br> EAST, <br> COSTA <br> MESA | $\begin{aligned} & 33.67 \\ & 464 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.92 \\ & 568 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 11 |  |
| California | Orange | Los Angeles- <br> Long Beach- <br> Santa Ana, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060595001 | 621 W. <br> ERT, <br> LA <br> HABR <br> A | $\begin{aligned} & 33.92 \\ & 513 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.95 \\ & 264 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 16 |
| California | Placer | Sacramento-- <br> Arden-Arcade- <br> -Roseville, CA | Sacra mento- $\qquad$ |  | 09 | 060610006 | 151 NO <br> SUNRI <br> SE | $\begin{aligned} & 38.74 \\ & 5726 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.26 \\ & 6312 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 10 |  |

I-70 PEL Study Conditions Assessment Report

|  |  |  | Arden- <br> Arcade <br> --Yuba <br> City, <br> CA- <br> NV |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { BLVD, } \\ \text { ROSEV } \\ \text { ILLE, } \\ \text { CA } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Riverside | Riverside-San <br> Bernardino- <br> Ontario, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060650009 | 12705 <br> Pechan <br> ga Rd., <br> Temecu <br> la, CA <br> 92592 | $\begin{aligned} & 33.44 \\ & 7867 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.08 \\ & 8649 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 5 |  |
| California | Riverside | Riverside-San <br> Bernardino- <br> Ontario, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060650012 | 200 S. <br> HATH <br> AWAY <br> ST., <br> BANNI <br> NG CA | $\begin{aligned} & 33.92 \\ & 086 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116.85 \\ & 841 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |
| California | Riverside | Riverside-San <br> Bernardino- <br> Ontario, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060651003 | 7002 <br> MAGN <br> OLIA <br> AVE., <br> RIVER <br> SIDE | $\begin{aligned} & 33.94 \\ & 603 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.40 \\ & 063 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 17 |
| California | Riverside | Riverside-San <br> Bernardino- <br> Ontario, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA |  | 09 | 060655001 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { FS-590 } \\ \text { RACQ } \\ \text { UET } \\ \text { CLUB } \\ \text { AVE, } \\ \text { PALM } \\ \text { SPRIN } \\ \text { GS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.85 \\ & 275 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116.54 \\ & 101 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 7 |  |
| California | Riverside | Riverside-San <br> Bernardino- <br> Ontario, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South | 09 | 060658001 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5888 \\ & \text { MISSI } \end{aligned}$ $\mathrm{ON}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.99 \\ & 958 \end{aligned}$ | $117.41$ $601$ | Y | 16 |  |


|  |  |  | BeachRiversi de, CA | Coast Air <br> Basin Area |  |  | BLVD., RUBID OUX |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Riverside | Riverside-San BernardinoOntario, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060658005 | 5130 <br> POINS <br> ETTIA <br> PLACE | $\begin{array}{\|l} 33.99 \\ 5638 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.49 \\ & 3304 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 14 |  |
| California | Riverside | Riverside-San <br> Bernardino- <br> Ontario, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060659001 | 506 W <br> FLINT <br> ST, <br> LAKE <br> ELSIN <br> ORE | $\begin{array}{\|l} 33.67 \\ 649 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.33 \\ & 098 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 9 |  |
| California | Sacramento | Sacramento--Arden-Arcade--Roseville, CA | Sacra mento- <br> Arden- <br> Arcade <br> --Yuba <br> City, <br> CA- <br> NV |  | 09 | 060670002 | 7823 <br> BLAC <br> KFOO <br> T <br> WAY, <br> NORT <br> H <br> HIGHL <br> ANDS | $\begin{array}{\|l} 38.71 \\ 209 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.38 \\ & 109 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 10 |  |
| California | Sacramento | Sacramento--Arden-Arcade--Roseville, CA | Sacra mento- <br> Arden- <br> Arcade <br> --Yuba <br> City, <br> CA- <br> NV |  | 09 | 060670006 | DEL <br> PASO- <br> 2701 <br> AVAL <br> ON <br> DR, <br> SACR <br> AMEN <br> TO | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 38.61 \\ 3779 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.36 \\ & 8014 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |
| California | Sacramento | Sacramento-- <br> Arden-Arcade- | Sacra mento- |  | 09 | 060670010 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 1309 \mathrm{~T} \\ \text { ST., } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 38.55 \\ 8228 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $121.49$ | N |  | 12 |


|  |  | -Roseville, CA | ArdenArcade --Yuba City, CANV |  |  | SACR <br> AMEN <br> TO, <br> CA. <br> 95814 |  | 2981 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Sacramento | Sacramento-- <br> Arden-Arcade- <br> -Roseville, CA | Sacra mento- <br> Arden- <br> Arcade <br> --Yuba <br> City, <br> CA- <br> NV | 09 | 060670010 | 1309 T ST., <br> SACR <br> AMEN <br> TO, <br> CA. <br> 95814 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.55 \\ & 8228 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.49 \\ & 2981 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 12 |
| California | Sacramento | Sacramento--Arden-Arcade--Roseville, CA | Sacra mento- <br> Arden- <br> Arcade <br> --Yuba <br> City, <br> CA- <br> NV | 09 | 060670010 | 1309 T ST., <br> SACR <br> AMEN <br> TO, <br> CA. <br> 95814 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.55 \\ & 8228 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.49 \\ & 2981 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 13 |
| California | Sacramento | Sacramento--Arden-Arcade--Roseville, CA | Sacra mento- <br> Arden- <br> Arcade <br> --Yuba <br> City, <br> CA- <br> NV | 09 | 060670010 | 1309 T ST., <br> SACR <br> AMEN <br> TO, <br> CA. <br> 95814 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 38.55 \\ 8228 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 121.49 \\ 2981 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N |  | 13 |
| California | Sacramento | Sacramento-- | Sacra | 09 | 060670011 | 12490 | 38.30 | - | Y | 6 |  |


|  |  | Arden-Arcade- <br> -Roseville, CA | mento- <br> Arden- <br> Arcade <br> --Yuba <br> City, <br> CA- <br> NV |  |  | BRUC <br> EVILL <br> ERD, <br> ELK <br> GROV <br> E, CA | 2591 | $\begin{aligned} & 121.42 \\ & 0838 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Sacramento | Sacramento-- <br> Arden-Arcade- <br> -Roseville, CA | Sacra mento- <br> Arden- <br> Arcade <br> --Yuba <br> City, <br> CA- <br> NV | 09 | 060670012 | 50 NATO <br> MA <br> STREE <br> T, <br> FOLSO <br> M | $\begin{array}{\|l} 38.68 \\ 3304 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 121.16 \\ 4457 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N |  | 4 |
| California | Sacramento | Sacramento--Arden-Arcade--Roseville, CA | Sacra mento- <br> Arden- <br> Arcade <br> --Yuba <br> City, <br> CA- <br> NV | 09 | 060670014 | 68 <br> GOLD <br> ENLA <br> ND <br> COUR <br> T, <br> SACR <br> AMEN <br> TO, CA <br> 95834 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 38.65 \\ 0783 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.50 \\ & 6767 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 10 |  |
| California | San <br> Bernardino | Riverside-San <br> Bernardino- <br> Ontario, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | 09 | 060710001 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 200 \text { E. } \\ \text { BUEN } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { VISTA, } \\ \text { BARST } \\ \text { OW } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.89 \\ & 5007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.02 \\ & 4484 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 16 |  |
| California | San <br> Bernardino | Riverside-San Bernardino- | Los <br> Angele | 09 | 060710306 | $\begin{aligned} & 14306 \\ & \text { PARK } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 34.51 \\ 0014 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $117.33$ | Y | 14 |  |

I-70 PEL Study Conditions Assessment Report

|  |  | Ontario, CA | s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA |  |  |  | AVE., <br> VICTO <br> RVILL <br> E, CA |  | 1433 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | San <br> Bernardino | Riverside-San BernardinoOntario, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060711004 | 1350 SAN BERN ARDIN O RD., UPLA ND | $\begin{aligned} & 34.10 \\ & 374 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.62 \\ & 914 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 18 |
| California | San <br> Bernardino | Riverside-San BernardinoOntario, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA |  | 09 | 060711234 | CORN <br> ER OF <br> ATHO <br> L AND <br> TELES <br> COPE | $\begin{aligned} & 35.76 \\ & 3873 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.39 \\ & 7004 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 6 |  |
| California | San <br> Bernardino | Riverside-San BernardinoOntario, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060712002 | 14360 <br> ARRO <br> W <br> BLVD., <br> FONT <br> ANA | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 34.10 \\ 002 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.49 \\ & 201 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 21 |  |
| California | San <br> Bernardino | Riverside-San BernardinoOntario, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | Los <br> Angeles- <br> South <br> Coast Air <br> Basin Area | 09 | 060719004 | 24302 <br> 4TH <br> ST., <br> SAN <br> BERN <br> ARDIN <br> O, CA. | $\begin{aligned} & 34.10 \\ & 688 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 117.27 \\ 411 \end{array}$ | Y | 18 |  |
| California | San Diego | San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos, CA |  |  | 09 | 060730001 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 80 \text { E. 'J' } \\ \text { ST., } \\ \text { CHUL } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { VISTA } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.63 \\ & 1231 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.05 \\ & 9075 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 11 |  |
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| California | San Diego | San Diego- <br> Carlsbad-San <br> Marcos, CA |  |  | 09 | 060730003 | 1155 <br> REDW <br> OOD <br> AVE., <br> EL <br> CAJON | $\begin{array}{\|l} 32.79 \\ 1194 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116.94 \\ & 2092 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | San Diego | San Diego- <br> Carlsbad-San <br> Marcos, CA |  |  | 09 | 060731002 | 600 E. VALLE Y PKWY. , ESCON DIDO | $\begin{aligned} & 33.12 \\ & 7711 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.07 \\ & 5325 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 12 |  |
| California | San Diego | San Diego- <br> Carlsbad-San <br> Marcos, CA |  |  | 09 | 060731006 | 2300 <br> VICTO <br> RIA <br> DR., <br> ALPIN <br> E | $\begin{array}{\|l} 32.84 \\ 2242 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116.76 \\ & 8225 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 6 |  |
| California | San Diego | San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos, CA |  |  | 09 | 060731008 | $21441-$ <br> W B <br> STREE <br> T | $\begin{aligned} & 33.21 \\ & 7025 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.39 \\ & 6158 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 7 |  |
| California | San Diego | San Diego- <br> Carlsbad-San <br> Marcos, CA |  |  | 09 | 060731010 | 1110 <br> BEAR <br> DSLEY <br> STREE <br> T, SAN <br> DIEGO <br> CA <br> 92112 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 32.70 \\ 1492 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.14 \\ & 9653 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 14 |  |
| California | San Diego | San Diego- <br> Carlsbad-San <br> Marcos, CA |  |  | 09 | 060731016 | 6125A KEAR NY VILLA | $\begin{aligned} & 32.84 \\ & 5467 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.12 \\ & 3894 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 11 |  |
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| California | Santa <br> Barbara | Santa BarbaraSanta MariaGoleta, CA |  |  | 09 | 060831008 | 906 S <br> BROA <br> DWAY <br> - <br> SANT <br> A <br> MARI <br> A | $\begin{array}{\|l} 34.94 \\ 9147 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120.43 \\ & 763 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Santa <br> Barbara | Santa BarbaraSanta MariaGoleta, CA |  |  | 09 | 060831013 | HS \& P <br> FACILI <br> TY-500 <br> M SW, <br> LOMP <br> OC <br> PARA | $\begin{aligned} & 34.72 \\ & 5556 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120.42 \\ & 7778 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 1 |  |
| California | Santa <br> Barbara | Santa BarbaraSanta MariaGoleta, CA |  |  | 09 | 060831014 | PARA <br> DISE <br> RD- <br> LOS <br> PADRE <br> S <br> Nationa <br> 1 Forest | $\begin{array}{\|l} 34.54 \\ 166 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119.79 \\ & 146 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 1 |  |
| California | Santa <br> Barbara | Santa BarbaraSanta MariaGoleta, CA |  |  | 09 | 060831018 | GTC B- <br> HWY <br> 101 <br> NEAR <br> NOJOQ <br> UI <br> PASS, <br> GAVIO <br> TA | $\begin{aligned} & 34.52 \\ & 744 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 120.19 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 3 |  |
| California | Santa <br> Barbara | Santa BarbaraSanta MariaGoleta, CA |  |  | 09 | 060831021 | GOBE RNAD OR RD, CARPI | $\begin{array}{\|l} 34.40 \\ 2778 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119.45 \\ & 75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 2 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { NTERI } \\ \mathrm{A} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Santa <br> Barbara | Santa BarbaraSanta MariaGoleta, CA |  | 09 | 060831025 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { LFC } \\ \text { \#1-LAS } \\ \text { FLORE } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { CANY } \\ \text { ON } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.48 \\ & 974 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120.04 \\ & 692 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 2 |  |
| California | Santa <br> Barbara | Santa BarbaraSanta MariaGoleta, CA |  | 09 | 060832004 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 128 \text { S } \\ \text { 'H' ST, } \\ \text { LOMP } \\ \text { OC } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.63 \\ & 782 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120.45 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| California | Santa <br> Barbara | Santa BarbaraSanta MariaGoleta, CA |  | 09 | 060832011 | 380 N FAIRV IEW AVEN UE, GOLET A | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 34.44 \\ 551 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119.82 \\ & 84 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 6 |  |
| California | Santa <br> Barbara | Santa BarbaraSanta MariaGoleta, CA |  | 09 | 060834003 | STS <br> POWE <br> R <br> PLANT <br> VAND <br> ENBER <br> G AFB | $\begin{aligned} & 34.59 \\ & 6111 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 120.63 \\ 0278 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 0 |  |
| California | Santa Clara | San Jose- <br> Sunnyvale- <br> Santa Clara, CA | San <br> Jose- <br> San <br> Francis co- <br> Oaklan <br> d, CA | 09 | 060850005 | 158B <br> JACKS ON ST | $\begin{aligned} & 37.34 \\ & 8497 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.89 \\ & 4898 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 15 |  |


| California | Santa Clara | San Jose- <br> Sunnyvale- <br> Santa Clara, CA | San <br> Jose- <br> San <br> Francis co- <br> Oaklan <br> d, CA | 09 | 060852009 | $\begin{aligned} & 22601 \\ & \text { Voss } \\ & \text { Ave } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.31 \\ & 8435 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122.06 \\ & 9705 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Solano | Vallejo- <br> Fairfield, CA | San <br> Jose- <br> San <br> Francis co- <br> Oaklan <br> d, CA | 09 | 060950004 | 304 <br> TUOL <br> UMNE <br> ST. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 38.10 \\ 2507 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122.23 \\ & 7976 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 10 |  |
| California | Sonoma | Santa Rosa- <br> Petaluma, CA | San <br> Jose- <br> San <br> Francis co- <br> Oaklan <br> d, CA | 09 | 060970003 | $\begin{aligned} & 837 \\ & 5 \mathrm{TH} \\ & \text { ST. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 38.44 \\ 3503 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122.71 \\ & 0169 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 9 |  |
| California | Stanislaus | Modesto, CA |  | 09 | 060990006 | 900 S <br> MINA <br> RET <br> STREE <br> T, <br> TURL <br> OCK, <br> CA | $\begin{aligned} & 37.48 \\ & 7981 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120.83 \\ & 7005 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 11 |  |
| California | Sutter | Yuba City, CA | Sacra mento- <br> ArdenArcade --Yuba | 09 | 061010003 | 773 <br> ALMO <br> ND ST, <br> YUBA <br> CITY | $\begin{aligned} & 39.13 \\ & 8773 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.61 \\ & 8549 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 10 |  |
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|  |  |  | City, <br> CA- <br> NV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Tulare | Visalia- <br> Porterville, CA |  | 09 | 061072002 | 310 N <br> CHUR <br> CH ST, <br> VISALI <br> A | $\begin{aligned} & 36.33 \\ & 2179 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119.29 \\ & 1228 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 13 |  |
| California | Ventura | Oxnard- <br> Thousand Oaks-Ventura, CA | Los Angele s-Long BeachRiversi de, CA | 09 | 061112002 | 5400 <br> COCH <br> RAN <br> STREE <br> T, SIMI <br> VALLE <br> Y, CA <br> 93063 | $\begin{aligned} & 34.27 \\ & 5736 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118.68 \\ & 4731 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 9 |  |
| California | Ventura | Oxnard- <br> Thousand Oaks-Ventura, CA | Los <br> Angele <br> s-Long <br> Beach- <br> Riversi <br> de, CA | 09 | 061113001 | 545 <br> CENTR <br> AL <br> AVEN <br> UE, <br> OXNA <br> RD, CA <br> 93030 | $\begin{aligned} & 34.25 \\ & 324 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119.14 \\ & 2504 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 7 |  |
| California | Yolo | Sacramento-- <br> Arden-Arcade- <br> -Roseville, CA | Sacra mento- <br> Arden- <br> Arcade <br> --Yuba <br> City, <br> CA- <br> NV | 09 | 061130004 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { UC } \\ \text { DAVIS } \\ - \\ \text { CAMP } \\ \text { US, } \\ \text { CAMP } \\ \text { BELL } \\ \text { ROAD } \\ \text { WEST } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { HIWA } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.53 \\ & 445 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.77 \\ & 34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 7 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Y 113 <br>  <br> SOUT <br> H OF <br> HUTC <br> HISON <br> DRIVE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colorado | Adams | Denver-Aurora, $\mathrm{CO}$ | Denver <br> Aurora <br> Boulde <br> r, CO | 08 | 080013001 | $\begin{aligned} & 3174 \mathrm{E} . \\ & 78 \mathrm{TH} \\ & \text { AVE. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.83 \\ & 8119 \end{aligned}$ | 104.94 984 | Y | 17 |  |
| Colorado | Denver | Denver-Aurora, $\mathrm{CO}$ | Denver <br> Aurora <br> Boulde <br> r, CO | 08 | 080310002 | 2105 <br> BROA <br> DWAY | $\begin{aligned} & 39.75 \\ & 1184 \end{aligned}$ | $104.98$ $7625$ | Y | 24 |  |
| Colorado | Denver | Denver-Aurora, $\mathrm{CO}$ | Denver <br> Aurora <br> Boulde <br> r, CO | 08 | 080310027 | 971 W. <br> Yuma <br> Street | $\begin{aligned} & 39.73 \\ & 217 \end{aligned}$ | $105.01$ <br> 53 | N |  | 25 |
| Colorado | Jackson |  |  | 08 | 080570003 | Walden <br> Colorad <br> o- <br> Chandl <br> er <br> Ranch | $\begin{aligned} & 40.88 \\ & 2222 \end{aligned}$ | 106.30 <br> 6111 | Y | 1 |  |
| Colorado | La Plata | Durango, CO |  | 08 | 080671004 | Wemin uche | $\begin{aligned} & 37.30 \\ & 389 \end{aligned}$ | $107.48$ | N |  | 2 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  | Wildern ess <br> Area Shamro ck Station |  | 4167 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colorado | La Plata | Durango, CO |  | 08 | 080677001 | 1 MI. <br> NE OF <br> IGNAC <br> IO ON <br> COUN <br> TY RD. <br> 517 | $\begin{aligned} & 37.13 \\ & 678 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 107.62 \\ & 863 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| Colorado | La Plata | Durango, CO |  | 08 | 080677003 | 7571 <br> HWY. $5505$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.10 \\ & 258 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline- \\ & 107.87 \\ & 0219 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 6 |  |
| Colorado | Rio Blanco |  |  | 08 | 081030005 | Meeker <br> Colorad <br> o Plant <br> Science <br> Buildin <br> g | $\begin{aligned} & 40.03 \\ & 8889 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 107.84 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 1 |  |
| Colorado | Rio Blanco |  |  | 08 | 081030006 | Rangel <br> y <br> Colorad <br> o, Golf <br> Course | $\begin{aligned} & 40.08 \\ & 6944 \end{aligned}$ | 108.76 <br> 1389 | Y | 3 |  |
| Connecticut | Fairfield | Bridgeport-StamfordNorwalk, CT | New <br> York- <br> Newar <br> k- <br> Bridge port, NY- | 01 | 090019003 | SHER <br> WOOD <br> ISLAN <br> D <br> STATE <br> PARK | $\begin{aligned} & 41.11 \\ & 8333 \end{aligned}$ | 73.336 667 | Y | 9 |  |
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|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { NJ- } \\ \text { CT-PA } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Connecticut | Hartford | Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford, CT | Hartfor d-West Hartfor dWillim antic, CT | 01 | 090030025 | $10$ <br> Huntley Place | $\begin{aligned} & 41.77 \\ & 1444 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.679 \\ & 923 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 16 |
| Connecticut | Hartford | Hartford-West <br> Hartford-East <br> Hartford, CT | Hartfor <br> d-West <br> Hartfor <br> d- <br> Willim antic, CT | 01 | 090031003 | Reming ton Road (see coordin ates) | $\begin{array}{\|l} 41.78 \\ 4722 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.631 \\ & 667 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |
| Connecticut | Litchfield | Torrington, CT | New <br> York- <br> Newar <br> k- <br> Bridge <br> port, <br> NY- <br> NJ- <br> CT-PA | 01 | 090050005 | MOHA <br> WK <br> MOUN <br> TAIN <br> ROAD <br> (see <br> coordin <br> ates) | $\begin{array}{\|l} 41.82 \\ 1342 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 73.297 \\ 257 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 2 |  |
| Connecticut | New Haven | New HavenMilford, CT | New <br> York- <br> Newar <br> k- <br> Bridge <br> port, <br> NY- <br> NJ- <br> CT-PA | 01 | 090090027 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 1JAME } \\ \mathrm{S} \\ \mathrm{STREE} \\ \mathrm{~T} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} 41.30 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 72.902 \\ 871 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 14 |  |
| Delaware | New Castle | Philadelphia- | Philad | 03 | 100031010 | BRAN | 39.81 | - | N |  | 5 |
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|  |  | CamdenWilmington, PA-NJ-DE-MD | elphia- <br> Camde <br> n- <br> Vinela <br> nd, <br> PA- <br> NJ- <br> DE- <br> MD |  |  | DYWI NE CREEK STATE PARK | 7222 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 75.563 \\ & 889 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Delaware | New Castle | Philadelphia- <br> Camden- <br> Wilmington, <br> PA-NJ-DE-MD | Philad <br> elphia- <br> Camde <br> n- <br> Vinela <br> nd, <br> PA- <br> NJ- <br> DE- <br> MD | 03 | 100032004 | MLK <br> BLVD <br> AND <br> JUSTIS <br> ON ST. | $\begin{array}{\|l} 39.73 \\ 9444 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.558 \\ & 056 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 12 |  |  |
| Delaware | Sussex | Seaford, DE |  | 03 | 100051003 | UNIV. OF DE COLLE GE OF MARI NE STUDI ES | $\begin{array}{\|l} 38.77 \\ 9196 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.162 \\ & 673 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 2 |  |  |
| District Of Columbia | District of Columbia | Washington-ArlingtonAlexandria, DC-VA-MDWV | Washin Baltim Virgini VA-W | 03 | 110010041 | 420 <br> 34th <br> Street <br> N.E.,W <br> ashingt <br> on, DC <br> 20019 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.89 \\ & 7222 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76.952 \\ & 778 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 12 |  |  |
| District Of | District of | Washington- | Washin | 03 | 110010043 | 2500 | 38.92 | - | Y | 12 |  |  |


| Columbia | Columbia | ArlingtonAlexandria, DC-VA-MDWV | Baltimore-Northern Virginia, DC-MD-VA-WV |  |  | 1ST STREE <br> T, N.W. <br> WASHI <br> NGTO <br> N DC | 1847 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 77.013 \\ & 178 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Of Columbia | District of Columbia | Washington-ArlingtonAlexandria, DC-VA-MDWV | Washington-Baltimore-Northern <br> Virginia, DC-MD-VA-WV | 03 | 110010050 | 301 Van Buren Street, N.W. Washin gton DC 20012 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.97 \\ & 0092 \end{aligned}$ | 77.016 <br> 715 | N |  | 13 |
| Florida | Broward | Miami-Fort <br> Lauderdale- <br> Pompano <br> Beach, FL |  | 04 | 120118002 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7000 \mathrm{~N} . \\ & \text { OCEA } \\ & \mathrm{N} \\ & \text { DRIVE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.08 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $80.111$ | Y | 4 |  |
| Florida | Duval | Jacksonville, FL |  | 04 | 120310032 | 2900 <br> BENN <br> ETT <br> ST. | $\begin{aligned} & 30.35 \\ & 6339 \end{aligned}$ | 81.635 396 | Y | 8 |  |
| Florida | Hillsborough | Tampa-St. <br> Petersburg- <br> Clearwater, FL |  | 04 | 120571065 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5121 \\ & \text { GAND } \\ & \text { Y } \\ & \text { BLVD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.89 \\ & 2222 \end{aligned}$ | 82.538 <br> 611 | Y | 5 |  |
| Florida | Miami-Dade | Miami-Fort <br> Lauderdale- <br> Pompano <br> Beach, FL |  | 04 | 120860027 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ROSEN } \\ & \text { STIEL } \\ & \text { SCHO } \\ & \text { OL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.73 \\ & 3378 \end{aligned}$ | 80.161 <br> 806 | Y | 3 |  |
| Florida | Miami-Dade | Miami-Fort <br> Lauderdale- <br> Pompano <br> Beach, FL |  | 04 | 120864002 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { METR } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { ANNE } \\ & \text { X } 864 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.79 \\ & 8333 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.210 \\ & 278 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { NW } \\ \text { 3RD } \\ \text { STREE } \\ \text { T } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Florida | Orange | Orlando- <br> Kissimmee, FL | Orlando-DeltonaDaytona Beach, FL | 04 | 120952002 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { MORRI } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { BLVD. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.59 \\ & 6389 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81.362 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 5 |  |
| Florida | Palm Beach | Miami-Fort <br> Lauderdale- <br> Pompano <br> Beach, FL |  | 04 | 120990020 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1199 \\ \text { LANT } \\ \text { ANA } \\ \text { ROAD, } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.59 \\ & 123 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 80.060 \\ 867 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| Florida | Pinellas | Tampa-St. <br> PetersburgClearwater, FL |  | 04 | 121030018 | 7200- <br> 22 <br> AVEN <br> UE <br> NORT <br> H | $\begin{aligned} & 27.78 \\ & 5866 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82.739 \\ & 875 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 5 |  |
| Florida | Sarasota | Bradenton-SarasotaVenice, FL | Sarasota- <br> Bradenton- <br> Punta Gorda, FL | 04 | 121151006 | 4570 17TH STREE T | $\begin{aligned} & 27.35 \\ & 0278 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | -82.48 | N |  | 2 |
| Georgia | DeKalb | Atlanta-Sandy <br> Springs- <br> Marietta, GA | Atlanta-Sandy <br> Springs- <br> Gainesville, <br> GA-AL | 04 | 130890002 | 2390-B <br> Wildcat <br> Road, <br> Decatur <br> GA <br> 30034 | $\begin{aligned} & 33.68 \\ & 797 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.290 \\ & 48 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 9 |  |
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| Georgia | Paulding | Atlanta-Sandy <br> Springs- <br> Marietta, GA | Atlanta-Sandy <br> Springs- <br> Gainesville, <br> GA-AL | 04 | 132230003 | King Farm, 160 Ralph King Path, Rockm art, Georgia , 30153 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 33.92 \\ 85 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.045 \\ & 34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Georgia | Rockdale | Atlanta-Sandy <br> Springs- <br> Marietta, GA | Atlanta-Sandy <br> Springs- <br> Gainesville, <br> GA-AL | 04 | 132470001 | Monast ery of the <br> Holy <br> Spirit, <br> 2625 <br> Georgia <br> 212, <br> Conyer <br> s, <br> Georgia <br> , 30094 | $\begin{aligned} & 33.59 \\ & 1077 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.065 \\ & 294 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| Hawaii | Honolulu | Honolulu, HI |  | 09 | 150030010 | 2052 <br> LAUW <br> ILIWIL <br> I ST | $\begin{aligned} & 21.32 \\ & 3745 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 158.08 \\ & 8613 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 3 |  |
| Hawaii | Kauai | Kapaa, HI |  | 09 | 150070007 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2342 \\ \text { HULE } \\ \text { MALU } \\ \text { ROAD, } \\ \text { KAUAI } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.94 \\ & 9599 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 159.36 \\ & 624 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 2 |  |
| Idaho | Ada | Boise City- <br> Nampa, ID |  | 10 | 160010023 | 1311 <br> East <br> Central <br> Drive | $\begin{array}{r} 43.59 \\ 3929 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116.38 \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 17 |
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| Idaho | Ada | Boise City- <br> Nampa, ID |  | 10 | 160010023 | 1311 <br> East <br> Central <br> Drive | $\begin{array}{\|} 43.59 \\ 3929 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116.38 \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Idaho | Ada | Boise City- <br> Nampa, ID |  | 10 | 160010023 | 1311 <br> East <br> Central <br> Drive | $\begin{array}{\|l} 43.59 \\ 3929 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116.38 \\ & 125 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 11 |  |
| Idaho | Ada | Boise City- <br> Nampa, ID |  | 10 | 160010023 | 1311 <br> East <br> Central <br> Drive | $\begin{array}{\|l} 43.59 \\ 3929 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116.38 \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 11 |  |
| Illinois | Cook | Chicago-NapervilleJoliet, IL-INWI | Chicago- <br> Naperville- <br> Michigan City, <br> IL-IN-WI | 05 | 170310063 | 321 S. <br> FRAN <br> KLIN | $\begin{array}{\|l} 41.87 \\ 7682 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 87.635 \\ 027 \end{array}$ | Y | 21 |  |
| Illinois | Cook | Chicago-NapervilleJoliet, IL-INWI | Chicago-NapervilleMichigan City, IL-IN-WI | 05 | 170310076 | 7801 LAWN DALE | $\begin{array}{\|l} 41.75 \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87.713 \\ & 488 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 16 |  |
| Illinois | Cook | Chicago-NapervilleJoliet, IL-INWI | Chicago-NapervilleMichigan City, IL-IN-WI | 05 | 170313103 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4743 \\ \text { MANN } \\ \text { HEIM } \\ \text { RD. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 41.96 \\ 5193 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} - \\ 87.876 \\ 265 \end{array}$ | N |  | 19 |
| Illinois | Cook | Chicago-NapervilleJoliet, IL-INWI | Chicago- <br> Naperville- <br> Michigan City, <br> IL-IN-WI | 05 | 170314002 | $\begin{aligned} & 1820 \mathrm{~S} . \\ & 51 \mathrm{ST} \\ & \text { AVE. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 41.85 \\ 5243 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87.752 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 18 |  |
| Illinois | Cook | Chicago- <br> Naperville- <br> Joliet, IL-IN- <br> WI | Chicago- <br> Naperville- <br> Michigan City, <br> IL-IN-WI | 05 | 170314201 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 750 \\ \text { DUND } \\ \text { EE } \\ \text { ROAD } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 42.13 \\ 9996 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 87.799 \\ 227 \end{array}$ | Y | 12 |  |
| Illinois | Saint Clair | St. Louis, MOIL | St. Louis-St. CharlesFarmington, | 05 | 171630010 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13 \mathrm{TH} \\ & \& \\ & \text { TUDO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 38.61 \\ 2034 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline- \\ 90.160 \\ 477 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 11 |  |


|  |  |  | MO-IL |  |  | R |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Illinois | Saint Clair | St. Louis, MOIL | St. Louis-St. CharlesFarmington, MO-IL | 05 | 171630900 | Cool Sports Road | $\begin{aligned} & 38.52 \\ & 5945 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.039 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 5 |  |
| Indiana | Bartholomew | Columbus, IN | Indianapolis- <br> Anderson- <br> Columbus, IN | 05 | 180050007 | HopeHauser Jr -Sr High School, 9404 N. 775 E. | $\begin{aligned} & 39.29 \\ & 4322 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 85.766 \\ 816 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N |  | 5 |
| Indiana | Lake | Chicago- <br> Naperville- <br> Joliet, IL-IN- <br> WI | Chicago- <br> Naperville- <br> Michigan City, <br> IL-IN-WI | 05 | 180890022 | 201 <br> MISSIS <br> SIPPI <br> ST., <br> IITRI <br> BUNK <br> ER | $\begin{aligned} & 41.60 \\ & 668 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87.304 \\ & 729 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 12 |  |
| Indiana | Marion | IndianapolisCarmel, IN | Indianapolis- <br> Anderson- <br> Columbus, IN | 05 | 180970073 | NAVA <br> L <br> AVION <br> ICS <br> CENTE <br> R, 6125 <br> E. <br> 16TH <br> ST. | $\begin{aligned} & 39.78 \\ & 9486 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 86.060 \\ 85 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 9 |  |
| Indiana | Marion | IndianapolisCarmel, IN | Indianapolis- <br> Anderson- <br> Columbus, IN | 05 | 180970078 | 3120 E. 30TH ST., WASHI NGTO N PARK | $\begin{aligned} & 39.81 \\ & 1097 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.114 \\ & 469 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 12 |  |
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| Indiana | St. Joseph | South Bend- <br> Mishawaka, <br> IN-MI | South Bend- <br> Elkhart- <br> Mishawaka, <br> IN-MI | 05 | 181410015 | 2335 <br> SHIEL <br> DS DR/ <br> SOUT <br> H <br> BEND <br> CAAP <br> 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 41.69 \\ & 6692 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.214 \\ & 683 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indiana | Vanderburgh | Evansville, INKY |  | 05 | 181630021 | Evansvi lle- <br> Buena <br> Vista- <br> 1110 <br> W. <br> Buena <br> Vista <br> Rd. | $\begin{aligned} & 38.01 \\ & 3248 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87.577 \\ & 856 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |
| Indiana | Whitley | Fort Wayne, IN | Fort Wayne-HuntingtonAuburn, IN | 05 | 181830003 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Larwill- } \\ \text { Whitko } \\ \text { Middle } \\ \text { Sch. } \\ 710 \mathrm{~N} . \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Rd. } 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.16 \\ & 9646 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.629 \\ & 292 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 3 |
| Iowa | Polk | Des Moines- <br> West Des <br> Moines, IA | Des Moines-Newton-Pella, IA | 07 | 191530030 | 1907 CARPE NTER, DES MOINE S IOWA | $\begin{aligned} & 41.60 \\ & 3159 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.643 \\ & 118 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 7 |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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|  |  |  | Frankfort-Richmond, KY |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { COUN } \\ \text { TY } \\ \text { HEALT } \\ \text { H } \\ \text { DEPT, } \\ 650 \\ \text { NEWT } \\ \text { OWN } \\ \text { PIKE } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 61 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kentucky | Jefferson | Louisville/Jeffe rson County, KY-IN | Louisville/Jeffers <br> County- <br> Elizabethtown- <br> Scottsburg, KY-I | 04 | 211110067 | 2730 CANN ONS LANE, BOWM AN <br> FIELD | $\begin{array}{\|l} 38.22 \\ 876 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.654 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 11 |  |  |
| Kentucky | McCracken | Paducah, KY- <br> IL | Paducah- <br> Mayfield, KY- <br> IL | 04 | 211451024 | JACKS <br> ON <br> PURC <br> HASE <br> RECC, <br> 2901 <br> POWE <br> LL <br> STREE <br> T <br> 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 37.05 \\ 822 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.572 \\ & 51 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 6 |  |  |
| Louisiana | Ascension | Baton Rouge, LA | Baton Rouge- <br> Pierre Part, LA | 06 | 220050004 | 11153 <br> Kling <br> Road | $\begin{array}{\|l} 30.23 \\ 3889 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline- \\ & 90.968 \\ & 333 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 9 |  |  |
| Louisiana | Calcasieu | Lake Charles, LA | Lake Charles- <br> Jennings, LA | 06 | 220190008 | 2646 <br> John <br> Stine <br> Road | $\begin{array}{\|l} 30.26 \\ 1667 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.284 \\ & 167 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 5 |  |  |
| Louisiana | East Baton Rouge | Baton Rouge, LA | Baton RougePierre Part, LA | 06 | 220330003 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EAST } \\ & \text { END } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 30.41 \\ 9763 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $91.181$ | Y | 10 |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OF <br> ASTER <br> LANE |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  | Allen |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine | Aroostook |  |  | 01 | 230031100 | 8 <br> NORT <br> HERN <br> ROAD, <br> PRESQ <br> UE <br> ISLE, <br> ME <br> 04769 | $\begin{aligned} & 46.69 \\ & 6431 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.033 \\ & 006 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 2 |  |
| Maine | Cumberland | Portland-South <br> Portland- <br> Biddeford, ME | Portland- <br> Lewiston- <br> South Portland, <br> ME | 01 | 230050029 | 356 <br> State <br> Street, <br> Portlan <br> d, <br> Maine | $\begin{aligned} & 43.66 \\ & 0246 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.268 \\ & 965 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |
| Maine | Kennebec | Augusta- <br> Waterville, ME |  | 01 | 230112005 | 14 Pray Street | $\begin{aligned} & 44.23 \\ & 0622 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $69.785$ | Y | 4 |  |
| Maryland | Baltimore | Baltimore- <br> Towson, MD | Washington- <br> Baltimore-Northern <br> Virginia, DC-MD- <br> VA-WV | 03 | 240053001 | 600 <br> Dorsey Avenue | $\begin{aligned} & 39.31 \\ & 0833 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76.474 \\ & 444 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 11 |  |
| Maryland | Prince George's | Washington-ArlingtonAlexandria, DC-VA-MDWV | Washington- <br> Baltimore-Northern <br> Virginia, DC-MD- <br> VA-WV | 03 | 240330030 | Howard <br> Univers <br> ity's <br> Beltsvil <br> le <br> Laborat ory, <br> 12003 <br> Old <br> Baltimo <br> re Pike | $\begin{aligned} & 39.05 \\ & 5277 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 76.878 \\ 333 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 8 |  |
| Maryland | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Baltimore } \\ \text { (City) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | BaltimoreTowson, MD | Washington-Baltimore-Northern | 03 | 245100040 | Oldtow <br> n Fire | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 39.29 \\ & 8056 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $76.604$ | Y | 15 |  |


|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Virginia, DC- } \\ & \text { VA-WV } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Station, <br> 1100 <br> Hillen <br> Street |  | 722 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Massachusett <br> s | Essex | Boston-CambridgeQuincy, MANH | Boston- <br> Worcester- <br> Manchester, <br> MA-RI-NH | 01 | 250092006 | 390 <br> PARKL AND | $\begin{array}{\|l} 42.47 \\ 4642 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 70.970 \\ 816 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 7 |  |
| Massachusett <br> s | Essex | Boston- <br> Cambridge- <br> Quincy, MANH | Boston- <br> Worcester- <br> Manchester, <br> MA-RI-NH | 01 | 250094005 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { HARB } \\ \text { OR } \\ \text { STREE } \\ \mathrm{T} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.81 \\ & 4412 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 70.817 \\ 783 \end{array}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| Massachusett <br> s | Hampden | Springfield, <br> MA |  | 01 | 250130008 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { ANDE } \\ \text { RSON } \\ \text { RD } \\ \text { AFB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 42.19 \\ 438 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 72.555 \\ 112 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 7 |  |
| Massachusett <br> s | Hampden | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Springfield, } \\ & \text { MA } \end{aligned}$ |  | 01 | 250130016 | LIBER <br> TY <br> STREE <br> T <br> QUAB | $\begin{array}{\|l} 42.10 \\ 8992 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.590 \\ & 803 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 14 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Massachusett } \\ & \mathrm{s} \end{aligned}$ | Hampshire | Springfield, <br> MA |  | 01 | 250154002 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { QUAB } \\ & \text { BIN } \\ & \text { SUMM } \\ & \text { IT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 42.29 \\ 8493 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.334 \\ & 079 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 3 |  |
| Massachusett <br> s | Norfolk | Boston- <br> Cambridge- <br> Quincy, MANH | Boston- <br> Worcester- <br> Manchester, <br> MA-RI-NH | 01 | 250213003 | 695 <br> HILLSI <br> DE ST <br> Blue <br> Hill <br> Observ <br> atory | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 42.21 \\ 1774 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 71.113 \\ 97 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N |  | 4 |
| Massachusett <br> s | Suffolk | Boston- <br> Cambridge- <br> Quincy, MA- <br> NH | Boston- <br> Worcester- <br> Manchester, <br> MA-RI-NH | 01 | 250250002 | KENM ORE SQ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 42.34 \\ 8873 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 71.097 \\ 163 \end{array}$ | Y | 18 |  |


| Massachusett <br> s | Suffolk | Boston- <br> Cambridge- <br> Quincy, MA- <br> NH | Boston- <br> Worcester- <br> Manchester, <br> MA-RI-NH | 01 | 250250040 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 531 \mathrm{~A} \\ & \text { EAST } \\ & \text { FIRST } \\ & \text { STREE } \\ & \mathrm{T} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.34 \\ & 0251 \end{aligned}$ | 71.038 <br> 35 | Y | 12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Massachusett s | Suffolk | Boston-CambridgeQuincy, MANH | Boston- <br> Worcester- <br> Manchester, <br> MA-RI-NH | 01 | 250250041 | LONG ISLAN D | $\begin{aligned} & 42.31 \\ & 7372 \end{aligned}$ | 70.968 <br> 359 | N |  | 7 |
| Massachusett s | Suffolk | Boston-CambridgeQuincy, MANH | Boston- <br> Worcester- <br> Manchester, <br> MA-RI-NH | 01 | 250250042 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HARRI } \\ & \text { SON } \\ & \text { AVE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.32 \\ & 95 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 71.082 6 | Y | 17 |  |
| Massachusett S | Suffolk | Boston- <br> Cambridge- <br> Quincy, MA- <br> NH | Boston- <br> Worcester- <br> Manchester, MA-RI-NH | 01 | 250250044 | 19 <br> VON <br> HILLE <br> RN ST | $\begin{aligned} & 42.32 \\ & 5186 \end{aligned}$ | 71.056 061 | N |  | 17 |
| Massachusett <br> s | Worcester | Worcester, MA | Boston- <br> Worcester- <br> Manchester, <br> MA-RI-NH | 01 | 250270023 | SUMM <br> ER ST | $\begin{aligned} & 42.26 \\ & 3955 \end{aligned}$ | 71.794 322 | Y | 12 |  |
| Michigan | Ingham | Lansing-East <br> Lansing, MI | Lansing-East Lansing- <br> Owosso, MI | 05 | 260650012 | 220 N <br> PENNS <br> YLVA <br> NIA | $\begin{aligned} & 42.73 \\ & 8618 \end{aligned}$ | 84.534 633 | Y | 7 |  |
| Michigan | Missaukee | Cadillac, MI |  | 05 | 261130001 | 1769 S <br> JEFFS <br> RD | $\begin{aligned} & 44.31 \\ & 0555 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 84.891 \\ 865 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 1 |  |
| Michigan | Wayne | Detroit- <br> Warren- <br> Livonia, MI | Detroit- <br> Warren-Flint, MI | 05 | 261630019 | 11600 <br> EAST <br> SEVEN <br> MILE <br> ROAD | $\begin{aligned} & 42.43 \\ & 084 \end{aligned}$ | 83.000 <br> 138 | Y | 11 |  |
| Michigan | Wayne | Detroit-Warren- | Detroit-Warren-Flint, | 05 | 261630093 | $23751$ <br> FENKE | $\begin{aligned} & 42.38 \\ & 5998 \end{aligned}$ | $83.266$ | Y | 18 |  |
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|  |  | Livonia, MI | MI |  |  | LL ST <br> (ROAD <br> SIDE) |  | 189 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan | Wayne | Detroit- <br> Warren- <br> Livonia, MI | Detroit- <br> Warren-Flint, MI | 05 | 261630094 | 23751 <br> FENKE <br> LL ST <br> (DOW <br> NWIN <br> D) | $\begin{aligned} & 42.38 \\ & 6811 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83.270 \\ & 506 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 12 |  |
| Minnesota | Anoka | Minneapolis- <br> St. Paul- <br> Bloomington, <br> MN-WI | MinneapolisSt. Paul-St. <br> Cloud, MN-WI | 05 | 270031002 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 9399 \\ \text { Lima St } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.13 \\ & 768 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.207 \\ & 615 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 7 |  |
| Minnesota | Dakota | Minneapolis- <br> St. Paul- <br> Bloomington, <br> MN-WI | MinneapolisSt. Paul-St. Cloud, MN-WI | 05 | 270370020 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 12821 \\ \text { PINE } \\ \text { BEND } \\ \text { TRAIL } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.76 \\ & 323 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.032 \\ & 55 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 9 |  |
| Minnesota | Dakota | Minneapolis- <br> St. Paul- <br> Bloomington, <br> MN-WI | MinneapolisSt. Paul-St. Cloud, MN-WI | 05 | 270370423 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2142 \\ 120 \mathrm{TH} \\ \text { STREE } \\ \mathrm{T} \\ \text { EAST } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.77 \\ & 553 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.062 \\ & 99 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 5 |  |
| Minnesota | Hennepin | Minneapolis- <br> St. Paul- <br> Bloomington, <br> MN-WI | MinneapolisSt. Paul-St. <br> Cloud, MN-WI | 05 | 270530962 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 1444 \mathrm{E} \\ \text { 18th St } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.96 \\ & 5242 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.254 \\ & 759 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 13 |
| Minnesota | Lake |  |  | 05 | 270750005 | Fernber g Road | $\begin{aligned} & 47.94 \\ & 8622 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 91.495 \\ 574 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N |  | 0 |
| Mississippi | Jackson | Pascagoula, MS | Gulfport- <br> Biloxi- <br> Pascagoula, <br> MS | 04 | 280590006 | Hospita <br> 1 Road <br> at Co. <br> Health <br> Dept. | $\begin{aligned} & 30.37 \\ & 8287 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.533 \\ & 93 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| Missouri | Jackson | Kansas City, | Kansas City- | 07 | 290950034 | TROOS | 39.10 | - | Y | 13 |  |
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|  |  | MO-KS | Overland Park- <br> Kansas City, <br> MO-KS |  |  | T: 724 <br> Troost <br> (Rear), <br> Kansas <br> City, <br> MO <br> 64106 | 4758 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 94.570 \\ & 796 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Missouri | Jackson | Kansas City, MO-KS | Kansas City- <br> Overland Park- <br> Kansas City, <br> MO-KS | 07 | 290950042 | BLUE <br> RIDGE <br> , I-70: <br> 4018 <br> Harvard <br> Lane, <br> Kansas <br> City, <br> MO <br> 64133 | $\begin{aligned} & 39.04 \\ & 7911 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94.450 \\ & 513 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 14 |
| Missouri | St. Louis City | St. Louis, MOIL | St. Louis-St. <br> Charles- <br> Farmington, <br> MO-IL | 07 | 295100085 | BLAIR STREE T: 3247 Blair Street, St. Louis, MO 63107 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.65 \\ & 6498 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.198 \\ & 646 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 12 |
| Missouri | St. Louis City | St. Louis, MOIL | St. Louis-St. <br> Charles- <br> Farmington, <br> MO-IL | 07 | 295100086 | MARG <br> ARETT <br> A: 4520 <br> Margar <br> etta, St. <br> Louis, <br> MO <br> 63115 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 38.67 \\ 3221 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 90.239 \\ & 166 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 11 |  |
| Missouri | St. Louis | St. Louis, MO- | St. Louis-St. | 07 | 295100094 | FORES | 38.63 | - | N |  | 13 |


|  | City | IL | Charles- <br> Farmington, MO-IL |  |  | T <br> PARK: <br> McKine <br> ly Dr., <br> St. <br> Louis, <br> MO <br> 63110 | 1057 | $\begin{aligned} & 90.281 \\ & 144 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Missouri | St. Louis City | St. Louis, MOIL | St. Louis-St. CharlesFarmington, MO-IL | 07 | 295100094 | FORES <br> T <br> PARK: <br> McKine <br> ly Dr., <br> St. <br> Louis, <br> MO <br> 63110 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.63 \\ & 1057 \end{aligned}$ | 90.281 <br> 144 | N |  | 13 |
| Missouri | St. Louis City | St. Louis, MOIL | St. Louis-St. <br> Charles- <br> Farmington, MO-IL | 07 | 295100094 | FORES <br> T <br> PARK: <br> McKine <br> ly Dr., <br> St. <br> Louis, <br> MO <br> 63110 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.63 \\ & 1057 \end{aligned}$ | $90.281$ <br> 144 | Y | 14 |  |
| Missouri | St. Louis City | St. Louis, MOIL | St. Louis-St. <br> Charles- <br> Farmington, MO-IL | 07 | 295100094 | FORES <br> T <br> PARK: <br> McKine <br> ly Dr., <br> St. <br> Louis, <br> MO <br> 63110 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.63 \\ & 1057 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $90.281$ <br> 144 | Y | 14 |  |
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| Montana | Fergus |  |  | 08 | 300270006 | 303 <br> East <br> Aztec <br> Drive <br> Lewisto <br> wn MT <br> 59457 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.04 \\ & 8537 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 109.45 \\ & 5315 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Montana | Gallatin | Bozeman, MT |  | 08 | 300310017 | NE of <br> West <br> Park <br> Entranc <br> e Gate | $\begin{aligned} & 44.65 \\ & 7014 \end{aligned}$ | 111.08 9618 | Y | 2 |  |
| Montana | Phillips |  |  | 08 | 300710010 | 2309 <br> Short <br> Oil <br> Road, <br> Malta, <br> MT <br> 59538 | $\begin{aligned} & 48.31 \\ & 7507 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 107.86 \\ & 2471 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 0 |  |
| Montana | Powder River |  |  | 08 | 300750001 | Big <br> Powder <br> River <br> Road <br> East | $\begin{aligned} & 45.44 \\ & 0295 \end{aligned}$ | 105.37 <br> 0283 | Y | 1 |  |
| Montana | Richland |  |  | 08 | 300830001 | Corner Cnty Roads 335 and 131 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.80 \\ & 3392 \end{aligned}$ | 104.48 <br> 5552 | Y | 1 |  |
| Montana | Rosebud |  |  | 08 | 300870001 | SR 566, <br> 3 Miles <br> N of <br> Birney | $\begin{aligned} & 45.36 \\ & 6151 \end{aligned}$ | 106.48 982 | Y | 1 |  |
| Nevada | Clark | Las Vegas- <br> Paradise, NV | Las Vegas-Paradise- | 09 | 320030075 | $\begin{aligned} & 6651 \\ & \mathrm{~W} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.27 \\ & 0583 \end{aligned}$ | $115.23$ | Y | 5 |  |


|  |  |  | Pahrump, NV |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AZUR } \\ & \text { E AVE } \end{aligned}$ |  | 8256 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nevada | Clark | Las Vegas- <br> Paradise, NV | Las Vegas- <br> Paradise- <br> Pahrump, NV | 09 | 320030561 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 2501 \\ \text { SUNRI } \\ \text { SE } \\ \text { AVEN } \\ \text { UE } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 36.16 \\ 3959 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 115.11 \\ & 3916 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 14 |  |
| Nevada | Clark | Las Vegas- <br> Paradise, NV | Las Vegas- <br> Paradise- <br> Pahrump, NV | 09 | 320032002 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 1301B } \\ & \text { EAST } \\ & \text { TONO } \\ & \text { PAH } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 36.19 \\ 126 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 115.12 \\ & 293 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 14 |  |
| Nevada | Washoe | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Reno-Sparks, } \\ \text { NV } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Reno-Sparks- <br> Fernley, NV | 09 | 320310016 | 301 A <br> STREE <br> T, <br> RENO, <br> NV <br> 89502 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 39.52 \\ 5083 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $119.80$ $7717$ | Y | 16 |  |
| New <br> Hampshire | Hillsborough | Manchester- <br> Nashua, NH | Boston- <br> Worcester- <br> Manchester, <br> MA-RI-NH | 01 | 330111011 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { GILSO } \\ \mathrm{N} \\ \text { ROAD } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 42.71 \\ 8664 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.522 \\ & 427 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 2 |
| New Jersey | Camden | Philadelphia-CamdenWilmington, PA-NJ-DE-MD | Philadelphia- <br> Camden- <br> Vineland, PA- <br> NJ-DE-MD | 02 | 340070002 | 266 <br> Spruce <br> Street | $\begin{array}{\|l} 39.93 \\ 4446 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.125 \\ & 291 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 12 |  |
| New Jersey | Essex | New YorkNorthern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJPA | New York- <br> Newark- <br> Bridgeport, <br> NY-NJ-CT-PA | 02 | 340130003 | 360 <br> Clinton <br> Avenue | $\begin{array}{\|l} 40.72 \\ 0989 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74.192 \\ & 892 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 18 |  |
| New Jersey | Essex | New YorkNorthern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ- | New York- <br> Newark- <br> Bridgeport, <br> NY-NJ-CT-PA | 02 | 340131003 | Engine <br> No. 2, <br> Main <br> Street | $\begin{array}{\|l} 40.75 \\ 7501 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $74.200$ | Y | 18 |  |
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|  |  | PA |  |  |  | and <br> Greenw <br> ood <br> Avenue |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Jersey | Hudson | New York- <br> Northern New <br> Jersey-Long <br> Island, NY-NJ- <br> PA | New York- <br> Newark- <br> Bridgeport, <br> NY-NJ-CT-PA | 02 | 340170006 | Veteran <br> s Park <br> on <br> Newark <br> Bay, <br> 25th <br> Street <br> near <br> Park <br> Road | $\begin{array}{\|l} 40.67 \\ 025 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 74.126 \\ 081 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N |  | 16 |
| New Jersey | Middlesex | New York- <br> Northern New <br> Jersey-Long <br> Island, NY-NJ- <br> PA | New York- <br> Newark- <br> Bridgeport, <br> NY-NJ-CT-PA | 02 | 340230011 | Horticu ltural Farm \#3, off Ryder's Lane | $\begin{aligned} & 40.46 \\ & 2182 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 74.429 \\ 439 \end{array}$ | Y | 9 |  |
| New Jersey | Morris | New York- <br> Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJPA | New York- <br> Newark- <br> Bridgeport, <br> NY-NJ-CT-PA | 02 | 340273001 | Buildin g \#1, <br> Depart ment of Public Works (DPW) off Route 513 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 40.78 \\ 7628 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 74.676 \\ 301 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| New Jersey | Union | New York- <br> Northern New <br> Jersey-Long <br> Island, NY-NJ- <br> PA | New York- <br> Newark- <br> Bridgeport, <br> NY-NJ-CT-PA | 02 | 340390004 | Intercha <br> nge 13, <br> New <br> Jersey <br> Turnpik | $\begin{aligned} & 40.64 \\ & 144 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 74.208 \\ & 365 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 22 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | e |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Jersey | Warren | Allentown- <br> Bethlehem- <br> Easton, PA-NJ |  |  | 02 | 340410007 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Columb } \\ \text { ia } \\ \text { Wildlif } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { Manage } \\ \text { ment } \\ \text { Area, } \\ \text { Delawa } \\ \text { re Road } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.92 \\ & 458 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.067 \\ & 815 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 13 |  |
| New Mexico | Bernalillo | Albuquerque, NM |  |  | 06 | 350010023 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4700A } \\ & \text { SAN } \\ & \text { MATE } \\ & \text { O NE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.13 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106.58 \\ & 52 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 12 |  |
| New Mexico | Dona Ana | Las Cruces, NM |  |  | 06 | 350130021 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { 5935A } \\ \text { VALLE } \\ \text { VISTA, } \\ \text { SUNL } \\ \text { AND } \\ \text { PARK, } \\ \text { NM } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.79 \\ & 6111 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 106.58 \\ 3889 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 7 |  |
| New Mexico | Dona Ana | Las Cruces, NM |  |  | 06 | 350130022 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 104-2 } \\ \text { SANT } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { TERES } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { INTER } \\ \text { NATIO } \\ \text { NAL } \\ \text { BLVD, } \\ \text { NM } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 31.78 \\ 7778 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106.68 \\ & 2778 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| New Mexico | Eddy | Carlsbad- <br> Artesia, NM |  |  | 06 | 350151005 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOLL } \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { ST,SE } \\ & \text { OF } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 32.38 | $\begin{aligned} & 104.26 \\ & 2222 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 2 |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { NM } \\ 87419 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Mexico | San Juan | Farmington, NM |  | 06 | 350451005 | USBR <br> SHIPR <br> OCK <br> SUBST <br> ATION <br> (FARM <br> INGTO <br> N) | $\begin{aligned} & 36.79 \\ & 6667 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 108.47 \\ & 25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |
| New Mexico | San Juan | Farmington, NM |  | 06 | 350451233 | DINE <br> COLLE <br> GE, <br> GIS <br> LAB | $\begin{aligned} & 36.80 \\ & 71 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 108.69 \\ & 523 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 7 |
| New York | Bronx | New York- <br> Northern New <br> Jersey-Long <br> Island, NY-NJ- PA | New York- <br> Newark- <br> Bridgeport, <br> NY-NJ-CT-PA | 02 | 360050110 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { IS } 52 \\ 681 \\ \text { KELLY } \\ \text { ST } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.81 \\ & 618 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $73.902$ | Y | 21 |  |
| New York | Bronx | New York- <br> Northern New <br> Jersey-Long <br> Island, NY-NJ- <br> PA | New York- <br> Newark- <br> Bridgeport, <br> NY-NJ-CT-PA | 02 | 360050133 | 200TH <br> STREE <br> T AND <br> SOUT <br> HERN <br> BOUL <br> DVAR <br> D <br> Pfizer <br> Lab | $\begin{aligned} & 40.86 \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.878 \\ & 09 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 18 |  |
| New York | Erie | Buffalo- <br> Niagara Falls, NY | Buffalo- <br> Niagara- <br> Cattaraugus, NY | 02 | 360290005 | TRAIL ER,185 DINGE NS STREE | $\begin{aligned} & 42.87 \\ & 6907 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline- \\ 78.809 \\ 526 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N |  | 10 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  | T (near WEISS ST.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New York | Franklin | Malone, NY |  | 02 | 360337003 | 55 <br> Library <br> Road, <br> HOGA <br> NSBU <br> RG, <br> NY <br> 13655 | $\begin{aligned} & 44.98 \\ & 0577 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74.695 \\ & 005 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 4 |  |  |
| New York | Queens | New York- <br> Northern New <br> Jersey-Long <br> Island, NY-NJ- <br> PA | New York- <br> Newark- <br> Bridgeport, <br> NY-NJ-CT-PA | 02 | 360810124 | Queens College 65-30 <br> Kissena Blvd Parking Lot\#6 | $\begin{aligned} & 40.73 \\ & 614 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.821 \\ & 53 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 18 |  |  |
| North Carolina | Forsyth | Winston- <br> Salem, NC | Greensboro-- <br> Winston- <br> Salem--High <br> Point, NC | 04 | 370670022 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1300 \\ & \text { BLK. } \\ & \text { HATTI } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { AVEN } \\ & \text { UE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.11 \\ & 0556 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.226 \\ & 667 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 6 |  |  |
| North Carolina | Mecklenburg | Charlotte-GastoniaConcord, NCSC | Charlotte-GastoniaSalisbury, NCSC | 04 | 371190041 | 1130 <br> EAST <br> WAY <br> DRIVE | $\begin{aligned} & 35.24 \\ & 01 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.785 \\ & 683 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |  |
| North Dakota | Burke |  |  | 08 | 380130004 | 8315 <br> HIGH <br> WAY <br> 8, <br> KENM <br> ARE | $\begin{aligned} & 48.64 \\ & 193 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102.40 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 2 |  |  |
| North Dakota | Burleigh | Bismarck, ND |  | 08 | 380150003 | 1810 N | 46.82 | - | Y | 5 |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  | 16TH STREE T | 5425 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 100.76 \\ 821 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Dakota | Cass | Fargo, ND-MN | FargoWahpeton, ND-MN | 08 | 380171004 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 4266 \\ \text { 40TH } \\ \text { AVE } \\ \text { NORT } \\ \text { H } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46.93 \\ & 3754 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.855 \\ & 35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| North Dakota | Dunn |  |  | 08 | 380250003 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 9610 \\ \text { SEVEN } \\ \text { TH } \\ \text { STREE } \\ \text { T SW } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.31 \\ & 32 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102.52 \\ & 73 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 2 |  |
| North Dakota | McKenzie |  |  | 08 | 380530002 | 229 <br> SERVI <br> CE <br> RD., <br> WATF <br> ORD <br> CITY | $\begin{aligned} & 47.58 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 103.29 \\ & 95 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 1 |  |
| North Dakota | Mercer |  |  | 08 | 380570004 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6024 \\ & \text { HIGH } \\ & \text { WAY } \\ & 200 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.29 \\ & 8611 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101.76 \\ & 6944 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 2 |  |
| North Dakota | Mercer |  |  | 08 | 380570102 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DGC } \\ & \# 12 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.32 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101.76 \\ & 5833 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 3 |  |
| North Dakota | Mercer |  |  | 08 | 380570124 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DGC } \\ & \text { \#17 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.40 \\ & 0619 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101.92 \\ & 865 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 2 |
| North Dakota | Oliver |  |  | 08 | 380650002 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1575 \\ & \text { HIGH } \\ & \text { WAY } \\ & 31 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.18 \\ & 5833 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101.42 \\ & 8056 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 2 |  |
| Ohio | Cuyahoga | Cleveland- | Cleveland- | 05 | 390350060 | E. | 41.49 | - | Y | 13 |  |


|  |  | Elyria-Mentor, OH | Akron-Elyria, OH |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 14 \mathrm{TH} \\ \& \\ \text { ORAN } \\ \text { GE } \end{array}$ | 2117 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 81.678 \\ 449 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ohio | Franklin | Columbus, OH | Columbus-MarionChillicothe, OH | 05 | 390490037 | 1777 E. <br> BROA <br> D | $\begin{aligned} & 39.96 \\ & 523 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82.955 \\ & 49 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 9 |
| Ohio | Hamilton | Cincinnati- <br> Middletown, <br> OH-KY-IN | Cincinnati-MiddletownWilmington, OH-KY-IN | 05 | 390610040 | 250 <br> WM. <br> HOWA <br> RD <br> TAFT | $\begin{aligned} & 39.12 \\ & 886 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 84.504 \\ 04 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 12 |  |
| Oklahoma | Adair |  |  | 06 | 400019009 | South <br> Highwa <br> y 59 , <br> RR1, <br> 1795 <br> Dahlon <br> egah <br> Park <br> Road, <br> Stilwell <br> Oklaho <br> ma | $\begin{aligned} & 35.75 \\ & 0735 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $94.669$ $697$ | N |  | 4 |
| Oklahoma | Canadian | Oklahoma City, OK | Oklahoma City-Shawnee, OK | 06 | 400170101 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 12575 \\ & \text { NW } \\ & \text { 10TH } \\ & \text { (WATE } \\ & \text { R } \\ & \text { TOWE } \\ & \text { R) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 35.47 \\ 9215 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97.751 \\ & 503 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 6 |
| Oklahoma | Oklahoma | Oklahoma City, OK | Oklahoma City-Shawnee, | 06 | 401090033 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{NE} \\ 10 \mathrm{TH} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 35.47 \\ 7036 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $97.494$ | Y | 9 |  |


|  |  |  | OK |  |  | \& STONE WALL |  | 309 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oklahoma | Oklahoma | Oklahoma City, OK | Oklahoma City-Shawnee, OK | 06 | 401091037 | 2501 E. <br> Memori <br> al Rd. <br> (OKLA <br> HOMA <br> CHRIS <br> TIAN <br> UNIVE <br> RSITY) | $\begin{aligned} & 35.61 \\ & 4131 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97.475 \\ & 083 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 6 |  |
| Oklahoma | Sequoyah | Fort Smith, AR-OK |  | 06 | 401359021 | 207 <br> Cherok <br> ee <br> Boulev <br> ard, <br> Roland, <br> OK <br> 74954 | $\begin{aligned} & 35.40 \\ & 814 \end{aligned}$ | 94.524 <br> 413 | Y | 6 |  |
| Oklahoma | Tulsa | Tulsa, OK | TulsaBartlesville, OK | 06 | 401431127 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3520 \\ & 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} . \\ & \text { PEORI } \\ & \mathrm{A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.20 \\ & 4902 \end{aligned}$ | $95.976$ $537$ | Y | 8 |  |
| Oregon | Multnomah | Portland- <br> Vancouver- <br> Beaverton, OR- <br> WA |  | 10 | 410510080 | SE <br> LAFA <br> YETTE <br> /5824 <br> SE <br> LAFA <br> YETTE | $\begin{aligned} & 45.49 \\ & 6641 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 122.60 <br> 2877 | Y | 10 |  |
| Pennsylvania | Adams | Gettysburg, PA | York-HanoverGettysburg, PA | 03 | 420010001 | NARST <br> O SITE <br> - <br> AREN | $\begin{aligned} & 39.92 \\ & 002 \end{aligned}$ | 77.309 68 | Y | 2 |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DTSVI } \\ \text { LLE } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pennsylvania | Allegheny | Pittsburgh, PA | Pittsburgh-New <br> Castle, PA | 03 | 420030008 | 301 <br> 39TH <br> ST, <br> BLDG <br> \#7, <br> LAWR <br> ENCE <br> VILLE | $\begin{aligned} & 40.46 \\ & 542 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.960 \\ & 757 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 10 |  |
| Pennsylvania | Allegheny | Pittsburgh, PA | Pittsburgh-New Castle, PA | 03 | 420030010 | CARN EGIE <br> SCIEN <br> CE <br> CENTE <br> R-1 <br> ALLEG <br> HENY <br> RD | $\begin{aligned} & 40.44 \\ & 5577 \end{aligned}$ | $80.016$ $155$ | Y | 11 |  |
| Pennsylvania | Allegheny | Pittsburgh, PA | Pittsburgh-New Castle, PA | 03 | 420031005 | CALIF \& 11TH AVE, HARRI SON | $\begin{aligned} & 40.61 \\ & 3949 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.729 \\ & 41 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 7 |  |
| Pennsylvania | Beaver | Pittsburgh, PA | Pittsburgh-New <br> Castle, PA | 03 | 420070014 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { EIGHT } \\ \text { STREE } \\ \text { T AND } \\ \text { RIVER } \\ \text { ALLEY } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.74 \\ & 7796 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 80.316 \\ 442 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 10 |  |
| Pennsylvania | Berks | Reading, PA | Philadelphia-CamdenVineland, PA-NJ-DE-MD | 03 | 420110011 | 1059 <br> Arnold <br> Road | $\begin{aligned} & 40.38 \\ & 335 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.968 \\ & 6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 7 |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { STREE } \\ & \text { TS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pennsylvania | Lackawanna | Scranton--Wilkes-Barre, PA |  | 03 | 420692006 | GEOR <br> GE ST <br> TROOP <br> AND <br> CITY <br> OF <br> SCRA <br> NTON | $\begin{aligned} & 41.44 \\ & 2778 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 75.623 \\ 056 \end{array}$ | Y | 8 |  |
| Pennsylvania | Lancaster | Lancaster, PA |  | 03 | 420710007 | ABRA HAM <br> LINCO <br> LN JR <br> HIGH <br> GROFF <br> TOWN <br> RD | $\begin{aligned} & 40.04 \\ & 6667 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76.283 \\ & 333 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 9 |  |
| Pennsylvania | Northampton | Allentown-BethlehemEaston, PA-NJ |  | 03 | 420950025 | WASHI <br> NGTO <br>  <br> CAMB <br> RIA <br> STS. <br> FREE <br> MANS <br> BURG | $\begin{aligned} & 40.62 \\ & 8056 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.341 \\ & 111 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 9 |  |
| ( ${ }^{\text {Pennsylvania }}$ | Perry | HarrisburgCarlisle, PA | Harrisburg- <br> Carlisle- <br> Lebanon, PA | 03 | 420990301 | ROUT <br> E 34 <br> LITTL <br> E <br> BUFFA <br> LO <br> STATE <br> PARK | $\begin{aligned} & 40.45 \\ & 6944 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77.165 \\ & 556 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 3 |  |
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| Pennsylvania | Philadelphia | Philadelphia-CamdenWilmington, PA-NJ-DE-MD | Philadelphia- <br> Camden- <br> Vineland, PA- <br> NJ-DE-MD | 03 | 421010004 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1501 \mathrm{E} . \\ \text { LYCO } \\ \text { MING } \\ \text { AVE. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 40.00 \\ 8889 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.097 \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 16 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pennsylvania | Philadelphia | Philadelphia- <br> Camden- <br> Wilmington, <br> PA-NJ-DE-MD | Philadelphia- <br> Camden- <br> Vineland, PA- <br> NJ-DE-MD | 03 | 421010047 | 500 SOUT H BROA D STREE T- PARKI NG LOT (CHS) | $\begin{array}{\|l} 39.94 \\ 4651 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 75.165 \\ 206 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 17 |  |
| Pennsylvania | Tioga |  |  | 03 | 421174000 | TIOGA | $\begin{aligned} & 41.64 \\ & 4722 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 76.939 \\ 167 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 1 |  |
| Pennsylvania | Washington | Pittsburgh, PA | Pittsburgh-New Castle, PA | 03 | 421250005 | CHAR <br> LER01 <br> WAST <br> E <br> TREAT <br> MENT <br> PLANT | $\begin{array}{\|l} 40.14 \\ 6667 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.902 \\ & 222 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |
| Pennsylvania | Washington | Pittsburgh, PA | Pittsburgh-New Castle, PA | 03 | 421255200 | 220 <br> Meddin gs Road | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 40.26 \\ 8963 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 80.243 \\ 995 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| Pennsylvania | York | York-Hanover, PA | York-HanoverGettysburg, PA | 03 | 421330008 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { HILL } \\ \text { ST. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 39.96 \\ 5278 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 76.699 \\ 444 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 10 |  |
| Rhode Island | Kent | ProvidenceNew BedfordFall River, RIMA | Boston- <br> Worcester- <br> Manchester, <br> MA-RI-NH | 01 | 440030002 | W. <br> ALTO <br> N <br> JONES | $\begin{array}{\|l} 41.61 \\ 5237 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -71.72 | N |  | 1 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SITE, } \\ 106 \\ \text { KINNE } \\ \text { Y AVE. } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South Dakota | Union | Sioux City, IA-NE-SD | Sioux CityVermillion, IA-NE-SD |  | 08 | 461270001 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 31986 \\ \text { 475th } \\ \text { Ave } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.75 \\ & 1518 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.707 \\ & 208 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 3 |  |
| South Dakota | Union | Sioux City, IA-NE-SD | Sioux CityVermillion, IA-NE-SD |  | 08 | 461270002 | $\begin{aligned} & 31307 \\ & 473 \mathrm{rd} \\ & \text { Ave } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.85 \\ & 0975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.747 \\ & 325 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| Tennessee | Davidson | Nashville-Davidson-MurfreesboroFranklin, TN | Nashville-David Murfreesboro-Columbia, TN |  | 04 | 470370011 | 1015 <br> TRINIT <br> Y <br> LANE | $\begin{aligned} & 36.20 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.744 \\ & 722 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 10 |  |
| Tennessee | Montgomery | Clarksville, TN-KY |  |  | 04 | 471251012 | 1000 <br> Solar <br> Way <br> Clarksv <br> ille TN <br> 37040 | $\begin{aligned} & 36.63 \\ & 8454 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87.239 \\ & 843 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 3 |
| Tennessee | Sullivan | Kingsport-Bristol-Bristol, TN-VA | Johnson City-Kingsport-Bristo (Tri-Cities), TN- |  | 04 | 471630007 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { EAST } \\ \text { MAN } \\ \text { ROSS } \\ \text { N.ROB } \\ \text { INSON } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.53 \\ & 4804 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82.517 \\ & 078 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 11 |  |
| Texas | Bexar | San Antonio, TX |  |  | 06 | 480290032 | 6655 Bluebir d Lane | $\begin{aligned} & 29.51 \\ & 509 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98.620 \\ & 166 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 5 |  |
| Texas | Bexar | San Antonio, TX |  |  | 06 | 480290059 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 14620 \\ \text { Laguna } \\ \text { Rd } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.27 \\ & 5381 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline- \\ & 98.311 \\ & 692 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 3 |  |
| Texas | Brazoria | Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX | Houston-BaytownHuntsville, TX |  | 06 | 480391004 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 4503 \\ \text { Croix } \\ \text { Pkwy } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.52 \\ & 0443 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.392 \\ & 509 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 4 |  |
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| Texas | Brazoria | Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX | Houston- <br> Baytown- <br> Huntsville, TX | 06 | 480391016 | 109B <br> Brazori <br> a Hwy <br> 332 <br> West | $\begin{aligned} & 29.04 \\ & 3759 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.472 \\ & 946 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Texas | Dallas | Dallas-Fort <br> Worth- <br> Arlington, TX | Dallas-Fort <br> Worth, TX | 06 | 481130069 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1415 \\ & \text { HINTO } \\ & \mathrm{N} \\ & \text { STREE } \\ & \mathrm{T} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.81 \\ & 9952 \end{aligned}$ | $96.860$ $082$ | Y | 12 |  |
| Texas | Dallas | Dallas-Fort <br> Worth- <br> Arlington, TX | Dallas-Fort <br> Worth, TX | 06 | 481130075 | 12532 <br> 1/2 <br> Nuestra <br> Drive | $\begin{aligned} & 32.91 \\ & 9206 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.808 \\ & 498 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 7 |  |
| Texas | Dallas | Dallas-Fort WorthArlington, TX | Dallas-Fort <br> Worth, TX | 06 | 481130087 | 3277 W <br> Redbird <br> Lane | $\begin{aligned} & 32.67 \\ & 6451 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.872 \\ & 06 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 7 |  |
| Texas | Denton | Dallas-Fort WorthArlington, TX | Dallas-Fort Worth, TX | 06 | 481210034 | Denton Airport South | $\begin{aligned} & 33.21 \\ & 9056 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97.196 \\ & 287 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 7 |  |
| Texas | Ellis | Dallas-Fort <br> Worth- <br> Arlington, TX | Dallas-Fort <br> Worth, TX | 06 | 481390016 | 2725 <br> Old <br> Fort <br> Worth <br> Road | $\begin{aligned} & 32.48 \\ & 2082 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97.026 \\ & 922 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 5 |  |
| Texas | Ellis | Dallas-Fort <br> Worth- <br> Arlington, TX | Dallas-Fort <br> Worth, TX | 06 | 481391044 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 900 \mathrm{FM} \\ & 667 \\ & \text { Ellis } \\ & \text { County } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.17 \\ & 5428 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.870 \\ & 18 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| Texas | El Paso | El Paso, TX |  | 06 | 481410037 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 250 \\ \text { Rim Rd } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.76 \\ & 8291 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106.50 \\ & 126 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 11 |  |
| Texas | El Paso | El Paso, TX |  | 06 | 481410044 | 800 S <br> San <br> Marcia | $\begin{aligned} & 31.76 \\ & 5698 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106.45 \\ & 522 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 14 |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  | Street |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Texas | El Paso | El Paso, TX |  | 06 | 481410055 | 650 R E <br> Thomas <br> on Loop | $\begin{aligned} & 31.74 \\ & 6743 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106.40 \\ & 2784 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 14 |  |
| Texas | Galveston | Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX | Houston- <br> Baytown- <br> Huntsville, TX | 06 | 481671034 | 9511 <br> Avenue <br> V 1/2 | $\begin{aligned} & 29.25 \\ & 4474 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94.861 \\ & 289 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 3 |  |
| Texas | Gregg | Longview, TX | Longview- <br> Marshall, TX | 06 | 481830001 | Gregg Co <br> Airport near Longvi ew | $\begin{aligned} & 32.37 \\ & 8682 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94.711 \\ & 811 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 5 |  |
| Texas | Harris | Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX | Houston- <br> Baytown- <br> Huntsville, TX | 06 | 482010024 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4510 \\ & 1 / 2 \\ & \text { Aldine } \\ & \text { Mail } \\ & \text { Rd } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.90 \\ & 1037 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.326 \\ & 125 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |
| Texas | Harris | Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX | Houston- <br> Baytown- <br> Huntsville, TX | 06 | 482010026 | $1405$ <br> Sheldon Road | $\begin{aligned} & 29.80 \\ & 2707 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.125 \\ & 495 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 10 |  |
| Texas | Harris | Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX | Houston-BaytownHuntsville, TX | 06 | 482010029 | 16822 <br> Kitzma <br> n | $\begin{aligned} & 30.03 \\ & 9534 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.673 \\ & 9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 5 |  |
| Texas | Harris | Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX | Houston- <br> Baytown- <br> Huntsville, TX | 06 | 482010047 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4401 \\ & 1 / 2 \\ & \text { LANG } \\ & \text { RD. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.83 \\ & 4722 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.489 \\ & 167 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 12 |  |
| Texas | Harris | Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX | Houston- <br> Baytown- <br> Huntsville, TX | 06 | 482010055 | 6400 <br> Bissonn <br> et <br> Street | $\begin{aligned} & 29.69 \\ & 5744 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.499 \\ & 262 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |
| Texas | Harris | Houston-Sugar | Houston- | 06 | 482010075 | 2311 | 29.75 | - | Y | 13 |  |
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|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Land-Baytown, } \\ & \text { TX } \end{aligned}$ | BaytownHuntsville, TX |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { TEXAS } \\ \text { AVE. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2778 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 95.350 \\ 278 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Texas | Harris | Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX | Houston- <br> Baytown- <br> Huntsville, TX | 06 | 482010416 | 7421 <br> Park <br> Place <br> Blvd | $\begin{aligned} & 29.68 \\ & 6389 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.294 \\ & 722 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 11 |  |
| Texas | Harris | Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX | Houston- <br> Baytown- <br> Huntsville, TX | 06 | 482011015 | 4407 <br> Indepen dence Parkwa y South | $\begin{aligned} & 29.76 \\ & 1653 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.081 \\ & 386 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 10 |  |
| Texas | Harris | Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX | Houston- <br> Baytown- <br> Huntsville, TX | 06 | 482011034 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1262 \\ 1 / 2 \\ \text { Mae } \\ \text { Drive } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.76 \\ & 7971 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.220 \\ & 587 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 11 |  |
| Texas | Harris | Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX | Houston- <br> Baytown- <br> Huntsville, TX | 06 | 482011035 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 9525 \\ 1 / 2 \\ \text { Clinton } \\ \text { Dr } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.73 \\ & 3726 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.257 \\ & 593 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 13 |  |
| Texas | Harris | Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX | Houston- <br> Baytown- <br> Huntsville, TX | 06 | 482011039 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4514 \\ 1 / 2 \\ \text { Durant } \\ \text { St } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.67 \\ & 0025 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.128 \\ & 508 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 7 |  |
| Texas | Harris | Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX | Houston-BaytownHuntsville, TX | 06 | 482011050 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4522 \\ \text { Park Rd } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.58 \\ & 3047 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline- \\ 95.015 \\ 544 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 5 |  |
| Texas | Harrison | Marshall, TX | Longview- <br> Marshall, TX | 06 | 482030002 | Hwy <br>  <br> Spur <br> 449 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 32.66 \\ 8987 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 94.167 \\ 457 \end{array}$ | Y | 3 |  |
| Texas | Hunt | Dallas-Fort WorthArlington, TX | Dallas-Fort <br> Worth, TX | 06 | 482311006 | 824 Sayle Street | $\begin{aligned} & 33.15 \\ & 3077 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 96.115 \\ 561 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| Texas | Jefferson | Beaumont-Port Arthur, TX |  | 06 | 482450009 | 1086 <br> Vermon | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 30.03 \\ & 644 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $94.071$ | Y | 6 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Avenue |  | 091 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Texas | Jefferson | Beaumont-Port Arthur, TX |  | 06 | 482450022 | $12552$ <br> Second St | $\begin{aligned} & 29.86 \\ & 3953 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 94.317 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 3 |  |  |
| Texas | Jefferson | Beaumont-Port Arthur, TX |  | 06 | 482451035 | 135 <br> Hare <br> Road | $\begin{aligned} & 29.97 \\ & 8924 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $94.010$ $872$ | Y | 5 |  |  |
| Texas | Kaufman | Dallas-Fort WorthArlington, TX | Dallas-Fort <br> Worth, TX | 06 | 482570005 | 3790 S <br> Housto <br> n St | $\begin{aligned} & 32.56 \\ & 4952 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $96.317$ $677$ | Y | 3 |  |  |
| Texas | McLennan | Waco, TX |  | 06 | 483091037 | 4472 <br> Mazane <br> c Rd | $\begin{aligned} & 31.65 \\ & 3068 \end{aligned}$ | $97.070$ $684$ | Y | 3 |  |  |
| Texas | Montgomery | Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX | Houston-BaytownHuntsville, TX | 06 | 483390078 | 9472A <br> Hwy <br> 1484 | $\begin{aligned} & 30.35 \\ & 0302 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.425 \\ & 128 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 3 |  |  |
| Texas | Navarro | Corsicana, TX |  | 06 | 483491051 | Corsica na Airport | $\begin{aligned} & 32.03 \\ & 194 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.399 \\ & 138 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 4 |  |  |
| Texas | Orange | Beaumont-Port Arthur, TX |  | 06 | 483611001 | 2700 <br> Austin Ave | $\begin{aligned} & 30.08 \\ & 5263 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.761 \\ & 341 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 5 |  |  |
| Texas | Smith | Tyler, TX | TylerJacksonville, TX | 06 | 484230007 | 14790 <br> County <br> Road <br> 1145 | $\begin{aligned} & 32.34 \\ & 4008 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.415 \\ & 752 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 3 |  |  |
| Texas | Tarrant | Dallas-Fort <br> Worth- <br> Arlington, TX | Dallas-Fort <br> Worth, TX | 06 | 484391002 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3317 \\ \text { Ross } \\ \text { Ave } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.80 \\ & 5818 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline- \\ & 97.356 \\ & 568 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 9 |  |  |
| Texas | Tarrant | Dallas-Fort WorthArlington, TX | Dallas-Fort <br> Worth, TX | 06 | 484393009 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4100 \\ \text { Fairway } \end{array}$ $\mathrm{Dr}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.98 \\ & 426 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97.063 \\ & 705 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 7 |  |  |
| Texas | Tarrant | Dallas-Fort Worth- | Dallas-Fort <br> Worth, TX | 06 | 484393011 | $\begin{aligned} & 5504 \\ & \text { South } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.65 \\ & 6372 \end{aligned}$ | $97.088$ | Y | 6 |  |  |
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|  |  | Arlington, TX |  |  |  | Collins Street |  | 583 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Texas | Travis | Austin-Round Rock, TX |  | 06 | 484530014 | 3724 <br> North Hills Dr | $\begin{aligned} & 30.35 \\ & 4419 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline- \\ & 97.760 \\ & 254 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 5 |  |
| Utah | Cache | Logan, UT-ID |  | 08 | 490050004 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 125 \mathrm{~W} . \\ & \text { CENTE } \\ & \text { R } \\ & \text { STREE } \\ & \text { T, } \\ & \text { LOGA } \\ & \text { N, UT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.73 \\ & 1111 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 111.83 \\ 75 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 14 |  |
| Utah | Carbon | Price, UT |  | 08 | 490071003 | On <br> Prperty <br> of <br> Residen <br> t at 351 <br> W 2500 <br> E. <br> Price, <br> Utah | $\begin{array}{\|l} 39.60 \\ 996 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 110.80 <br> 0749 | Y | 4 |  |
| Utah | Davis | Ogden- <br> Clearfield, UT | Salt Lake City-OgdenClearfield, UT | 08 | 490110004 | 171 <br> WEST <br> 1370 <br> NORT <br> H, <br> BOUN <br> TIFUL, <br> UTAH | $\begin{array}{\|l} 40.90 \\ 2967 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111.88 \\ & 4467 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 15 |  |
| Utah | Duchesne |  |  | 08 | 490130002 | $\begin{aligned} & 290 \mathrm{~S} . \\ & 1000 \\ & \mathrm{~W} . \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 40.29 \\ 4178 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 110.00 \\ 9732 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N |  | 9 |
| Utah | Duchesne |  |  | 08 | 490131001 | 1/4 <br> mile <br> South | $\begin{aligned} & 40.20 \\ & 8652 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110.84 \\ & 1056 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 2 |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  | of US 40 off 4500 Wesst Fruitlan d, Utah |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utah | Duchesne |  |  | 08 | 490137011 | 6000 SOUT H AND 10000 WEST (MYTO N) | $\begin{aligned} & 40.21 \\ & 6779 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110.18 \\ & 2742 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| Utah | Salt Lake | Salt Lake City, UT | Salt Lake City-Ogden- <br> Clearfield, UT | 08 | 490353006 | 1675 SOUT <br> H 600 <br> EAST, <br> SALT <br> LAKE <br> CITY | $\begin{aligned} & 40.73 \\ & 6389 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 111.87 \\ 2222 \end{array}$ | Y | 18 |  |
| Utah | Uintah | Vernal, UT |  | 08 | 490471003 | $\begin{aligned} & 220 \\ & \text { South } \\ & 1000 \\ & \text { East } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.45 \\ & 2267 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 109.51 \\ & 0393 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 11 |  |
| Utah | Uintah | Vernal, UT |  | 08 | 490472002 | 2 Miles west of Redwas $h$ atop <br> Deadm an's <br> Bench | $\begin{aligned} & 40.20 \\ & 6291 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 109.35 \\ & 3932 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 6 |
| Utah | Uintah | Vernal, UT |  | 08 | 490472003 | 2 miles south of Ouray and | $\begin{aligned} & 40.05 \\ & 671 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 109.68 \\ & 8108 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 6 |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Virginia | Henrico | Richmond, VA |  | 03 | 510870014 | 2401 <br> HART <br> MAN <br> STREE <br> T <br> MATH <br>  <br> SCIEN <br> CE <br> CTR | $\begin{aligned} & 37.55 \\ & 652 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline- \\ 77.400 \\ 27 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virginia | Loudoun | Washington-ArlingtonAlexandria, DC-VA-MDWV | Washington-Baltimore-Norther Virginia, DC-MD-VA-WV | 03 | 511071005 | 38-I, <br> BROA <br> D RUN <br> HIGH <br> SCHO <br> OL, <br> ASHB <br> URN | $\begin{aligned} & 39.02 \\ & 473 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} - \\ 77.489 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | Y | 7 |  |
| Virginia | Prince William | Washington-ArlingtonAlexandria, DC-VA-MDWV | Washington- <br> Baltimore-Norther <br> Virginia, DC-MD- <br> VA-WV | 03 | 511530009 | JAMES <br> S. <br> LONG <br> PARK | $\begin{aligned} & 38.85 \\ & 287 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77.634 \\ & 62 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 5 |  |
| Virginia | Roanoke | Roanoke, VA |  | 03 | 511611004 | EAST <br> VINTO <br> N <br> ELEM <br> ENTA <br> RY <br> SCHO <br> OL | $\begin{aligned} & 37.28 \\ & 342 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.884 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 6 |  |
| Virginia | Rockingham | Harrisonburg, VA |  | 03 | 511650003 | VDOT RESID ENCY SHOP, | $\begin{aligned} & 38.47 \\ & 753 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78.819 \\ & 52 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3536 <br> NORT <br> H <br> VALLE <br> Y <br> PIKE, <br> HARRI <br> SONB <br> URG, <br> VA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virginia | Alexandria City | Washington-ArlingtonAlexandria, DC-VA-MDWV | Washington- <br> Baltimore-Northern <br> Virginia, DC-MD- <br> VA-WV | 03 | 515100021 | 3200 <br> Colvin <br> Street | $\begin{aligned} & 38.80 \\ & 65 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77.086 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 13 |  |
| Virginia | Hampton <br> City | Virginia Beach-NorfolkNewport News, VA-NC |  | 03 | 516500008 | Adjace nt to Buildin g 1196, Wythe Landin g Loop, NASA- Langley Researc $h$ Center | $\begin{aligned} & 37.10 \\ & 3733 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 76.387 \\ 017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| Virginia | Norfolk City | Virginia Beach-NorfolkNewport News, VA-NC |  | 03 | 517100024 | 181- <br> A1, <br> NOAA <br> LOT, <br> 2ND <br>  <br> WOOD <br> IS | $\begin{aligned} & 36.85 \\ & 555 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76.301 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 8 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | AVE. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virginia | Richmond City | Richmond, VA |  | 03 | 517600025 | Bryan <br> Park, <br> 4308 <br> Hermita <br> ge <br> Road, <br> Richmo <br> nd, VA | $\begin{aligned} & 37.59 \\ & 088 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 77.469 \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N |  | 15 |
| Washington | King | Seattle- <br> TacomaBellevue, WA | Seattle- <br> TacomaOlympia, WA | 10 | 530330080 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4103 \\ \text { BEAC } \\ \text { ON } \\ \text { HILL S } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.56 \\ & 8236 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122.30 \\ & 8628 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | 13 |
| Washington | Skagit | Mount VernonAnacortes, WA | Seattle- <br> TacomaOlympia, WA | 10 | 530570018 | CASIN <br> O <br> DRIVE <br> /NORT <br> H END <br> SITE | $\begin{aligned} & 48.46 \\ & 0101 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122.51 \\ & 911 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 6 |  |
| Wisconsin | Forest |  |  | 05 | 550410007 | FIRE TOWE R RD, POTA WATO MI SITE | $\begin{aligned} & 45.56 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.808 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 1 |  |
| Wisconsin | Manitowoc | Manitowoc, WI |  | 05 | 550710007 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2315 \\ \text { GOOD } \\ \text { WIN } \\ \text { RD } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.13 \\ & 8619 \end{aligned}$ | $87.616$ $1$ | N |  | 2 |
| Wisconsin | Milwaukee | Milwaukee-WaukeshaWest Allis, WI | Milwaukee- <br> Racine- <br> Waukesha, WI | 05 | 550790026 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2300 \mathrm{~N} \\ \text { M. L. } \\ \text { KING } \\ \text { JR DR } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43.06 \\ & 0975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87.913 \\ & 504 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 10 |  |


| Wyoming | Campbell | Gillette, WY |  |  | 08 | 560050011 | Hilight- <br> Reno <br> Junctio <br> n Gas <br> Plant | $\begin{aligned} & 43.84 \\ & 033 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline- \\ 105.35 \\ 955 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wyoming | Campbell | Gillette, WY |  |  | 08 | 560050123 | THUN <br> DER <br> BASIN <br> GRASS <br> LAND <br> SITE <br> 35 MI <br> N-NE <br> GILLE <br> TTE <br> WY <br> WOU | $\begin{aligned} & 44.65 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105.29 \\ & 03 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 1 |  |
| Wyoming | Campbell | Gillette, WY |  |  | 08 | 560050456 | SOUT H CAMP BELL COUN TYAPP ROX 15 MILES SSW OF GILLE TTE WY (SEE APPLE BUTTE QUAD MAP) | $\begin{aligned} & 44.14 \\ & 6964 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105.52 \\ & 9994 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 3 |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  | Creek <br> Encana | 1667 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 107.54 \\ 9444 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wyoming | Laramie | Cheyenne, WY | 08 | 560210100 | NCore - <br> North <br> Cheyen <br> ne <br> Soccer <br> Comple <br> x | $\begin{aligned} & 41.18 \\ & 2227 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 104.77 \\ 8334 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Y | 4 |  |
| Wyoming | Natrona | Casper, WY | 08 | 560250100 | 2800 <br> Pheasan t Drive, Casper | $\begin{array}{\|l} 42.82 \\ 231 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106.36 \\ & 501 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 3 |  |
| Wyoming | Natrona | Casper, WY | 08 | 560252601 | Sinclair <br> , Casper | $\begin{aligned} & 42.86 \\ & 08 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106.23 \\ & 586 \end{aligned}$ | Y | 6 |  |
| Wyoming | Sublette |  | 08 | 560350097 | Wyomi ng <br> Range/ <br> West <br> Fontene <br> lle Dr. | 42.98 | $110.35$ | N |  | 1 |
| Wyoming | Sublette |  | 08 | 560350099 | Boulder <br> APPRO <br> X 3 <br> MILES <br> WEST <br> OF <br> BOUL <br> DER | $\begin{aligned} & 42.71 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $109.75$ | Y | 2 |  |
| Wyoming | Sublette |  | 08 | 560350100 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { DANIE } \\ \text { L } \\ \text { SOUT } \\ \text { H } \sim 4 \\ \text { MILES } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.79 \\ & 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110.05 \\ & 51 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y | 1 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SO OF <br> DANIE <br> L WYO <br> OFF <br> OF <br> HWY <br> 189 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

2. The level of the annual NAAQS for nitrogen dioxide is 53 parts per billion ( ppb ) not to be exceeded during the year.
3. The design values shown here are computed for the latest design value period using Federal Reference Method or equivalent data reported by States, Tribes, and local agencies to EPA's Air Quality System (AQS) as of 6/23/2014. Concentrations flagged by States, Tribes, and local agencies as exception events (e.g., high winds, wildfires, volcanic eruptions, construction) and concurred by the associated EPA Regional Office are not included in the calculation of these design values.
Disclaimer: The information listed in this report and in these tables is intended for informational use only and does not constitute a regulatory determination by EPA as whether an area has attained a NAAQS. The information set forth in this report has no regulatory effect. To have regulatory effect, a final EPA determination as to whether an area has attained a NAAQS or attained a NAAQS as of its applicable attainment date can be accomplished only after rulemaking that provides an opportunity for notice and comment. No such determination for regulatory purposes exists in the absence of such rulemaking. This report does not constitute a proposed or final rulemaking.
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Prime Farmland | Figure 3-10


I-7o PEL Study - St. Charles County

Prime Farmland | Figure 3-10
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## St. Charles County West - Commercial Vacant Building Space - Figure 3-12



## St. Charles County West - Development Areas - Figure 3-13



## St. Charles County West - Commercial Vacant Land - Figure 3-14



## St. Charles County East - Commercial Vacant Building Space - Figure 3-15



St. Charles County East - Development Areas - Figure 3-16


St. Charles County East - Commercial Vacant Land - Figure 3-17
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## St. Louis County West - Development Areas - Figure 3-19
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St. Louis County East - Commercial Vacant Land - Figure 3-23
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St. Louis City - Commercial Vacant Building Space - Figure 3-24
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St. Louis City - Commercial Vacant Land - Figure 3-26
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## St. Charles County West - Business Locations - Figure 3-30



## St. Charles County East - Business Locations - Figure 3-31
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St. Louis County West - Business Locations - Figure 3-32
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St. Louis County East - Business Locations - Figure 3-33


I-70 PEL Study

St. Louis City - Business Locations - Figure 3-34



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is assumed that college commute trips are made at the same rate as journey to work trips.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ A-weighted sound level in decibels

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Department of Transportation Act of 1966

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965
    ${ }^{6}$ Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ ASTM International, formerly known as the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM).

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ U.S.C - United States Code

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ Marion included because the Study Area $1 / 2$-mile buffer extends across the state line into the Illinois "side" of the Mississippi River.

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ The employment data presented in this analysis was obtained from the U.S. Census' OnTheMap tool, which provides annual employment data by industry sector between 2005 and 2014 by user-defined boundary. Since the five corridor segments comprises of portions of Census designated boundaries such as counties and municipalities, the OnTheMap tool provides the most up-to-date employment data available for the five corridor segments that can be broken down by industry sector.

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ Office sector as defined by this analysis combine the following industry sectors according to NAICS code: Finance and Insurance, Real Estate and Rental and Leasing, Management of Companies and Enterprises, Administration and Support, and Public Administration.

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ According to the St. Louis Business Journal's Book of Lists 2016, the region's top employer is BJC Healthcare ( 24,182 employees), followed by Wal-Mart Stores ( 22,006 employees), SSM Health Care ( 15,949 employees), and Washington University (14,692 employees).

[^10]:    ${ }^{13}$ Missouri Network Alliance

[^11]:    ${ }^{14}$ Public Water Supply District
    DISCLAIMER: BASED ON DATA PROVIDED BY OTHERS - SHOULD NOT BE USED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES

[^12]:    ${ }^{15}$ Board of Public Service

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Transportation Research Board. 2008. National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 599.

[^14]:    ${ }^{2}$ Killing Speed and Saving Lives, UK Dept. of Transportation, London, England. See also Limpert, Rudolph. Motor Vehicle Accident Reconstruction and Cause Analysis. Fourth Edition. Charlottesville, VA. The Michie Company, 1994, p. 663.

